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Abstract and Layout of Thesis

Nature abounds with ingenious nanoscopic machines employed to carry out all of the requisite tasks that collectively contribute to the molecular basis of life. This thesis focuses primarily on a sub-set known as "molecular walkers" which can perambulate along intracellular molecular motorways carrying out such essential tasks as vesicle transport and muscle contraction. A summary of these incredible natural motors is presented in Chapter I along with a review of the artificial small-molecule mimics reported to date. When elucidating a set of design principles for synthetic analogues, inspiration is taken from the mechanism of the biological bipedal motor protein kinesin with a focus on potential strategies to enable directional walking.

Transition metal-ligand chemistry is utilised as one such strategy in Chapter II through the governance of walker-track interactions in the design, synthesis and operation of a bimetallic molecular biped. A palladium(II) moiety is selectively and intramolecularly stepped between pyridine-derivative binding sites in the track using a thermal stimulus in the presence of a coordinating solvent. Acid-base manipulations facilitate directional stepping by means of an energy ratchet mechanism allowing the track to do work on the biped unit and ultimately drive it away from equilibrium.

The potential of malleable transition metal binding-event energetics is explored further in Chapter III with the design and synthesis of a platinum(II)-complexed [2]rotaxane. Thermodynamic and kinetic stimuli are investigated as means to mediate selective shuttling of a Pt-complexed macrocycle between two ligand binding sites in the thread. The substitution pattern of the ligands and the kinetic stability of the metal-ligand bonds afford exceptional metastability to the co-conformers of the molecule in the absence of an external stimulus providing the possibility for long-term information storage.

In Chapter IV, a novel macrocycle is used to demonstrate the chemical orthogonality of acid-mediated hydrazone exchange with respect to the palladium(II) stepping mechanism described in Chapter II and show that two such motifs can be independently addressed within a single molecule. These linkages are then utilised as mutually exclusive chemo-selective switches to individually operate opposing feet in an unprecedented first-generation small-molecule walker-track system.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\delta$</td>
<td>chemical shift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aq.</td>
<td>aqueous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>adenosine triphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calcd.</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>cat.</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>CuAAc</td>
<td>copper(I)-catalysed azide alkyne cycloaddition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dec.</td>
<td>decomposes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIAD</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIPEA</td>
<td>diisopropylethylamine</td>
</tr>
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<td>electrospray ionisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ET</td>
<td>electron transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Et$_2$O</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtOAc</td>
<td>ethyl acetate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Et$_3$N</td>
<td>triethylamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAB</td>
<td>fast atom bombardment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>hour(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPLC</td>
<td>high performance liquid chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMO</td>
<td>highest occupied molecular orbital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRMS</td>
<td>high resolution mass spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hz, MHz</td>
<td>hertz, megahertz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPA</td>
<td>isopropyl alcohol (2-propanol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>infrared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISC</td>
<td>inter-system crossing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J$</td>
<td>coupling constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCMS</td>
<td>liquid chromatography mass spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRMS</td>
<td>low resolution mass spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUMO</td>
<td>lowest unoccupied molecular orbital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>acetonitrile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>methanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min</td>
<td>minute(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m.p.</td>
<td>melting point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>mass spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m/z</td>
<td>mass-to-charge ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMR</td>
<td>nuclear magnetic resonance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd(PPh₃)₄</td>
<td>tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂</td>
<td>Bis(triphenylphosphine)palladium dichloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFP</td>
<td>pentafluorophenol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>part per million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quant.</td>
<td>quantitative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>room temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUMO</td>
<td>singly occupied molecular orbital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFA</td>
<td>trifluoroacetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THF</td>
<td>tetrahydrofuran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC</td>
<td>thin layer chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TsOH</td>
<td>4-para-toluenesulfonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV</td>
<td>ultraviolet (light)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT</td>
<td>variable temperature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: conventional abbreviations for units and physical quantities are not included.
General Remarks on Experimental Data

Unless otherwise stated, all reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification. Dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$, CHCl$_3$ and THF were obtained by passing the solvent (HPLC grade) through an activated alumina column on a PureSolv™ solvent purification system (InnovativeTechnologies, Inc., MA). Dry DMF and MeOH were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Flash column chromatography was carried out using Kieselgel C60 (Merck, Germany) as the stationary phase. Analytical TLC was performed on pre-coated silica gel plates (0.25 mm thick, 60F254, Merck, Germany) and observed under UV light. All $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AVA 400, AVA 500 (cryoprobe), or AVA 600 instruments, at a constant temperature of 298 K unless stated otherwise. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million and referenced to residual solvent. Coupling constants ($J$) are reported in Hertz (Hz). Standard abbreviations indicating multiplicity were used as follows: m = multiplet, quint. = quintet, q = quartet, t = triplet, d = doublet, s = singlet, b = broad. Assignment of the $^1$H NMR signals was accomplished by two-dimensional NMR spectroscopy (COSY, NOESY, HSQC, HMBC). All melting points were determined using a Sanyo Gallenkamp apparatus and are uncorrected. Mass spectrometric analysis was carried out by the mass spectrometry services at the University of Edinburgh and by the EPSRC National Centre at the University of Wales, Swansea. Microwave experiments were carried out using a Biotage Initiator microwave reactor and a Lot Oriel Gruppe150W Xe lamp was used for irradiation in all photochemical studies.
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Molecular Walkers

"Any intelligent fool can make things bigger and more complex. It takes a touch of genius - and a lot of courage - to move in the opposite direction."

- Albert Einstein
1.1 Synopsis

At the dawn of the twenty first century, man stands in awe of Nature's phenomenal achievements in moulding the world around us. Its intangible complexities have provided myth and mystery to our forebears with each successive generation of philosophers offering their own theories and solutions in the gradual evolution of our knowledge and understanding. As awareness of the molecular basis of life has grown over the last fifty years, the exquisite intricacies of Nature's methods have become evermore apparent and one conclusion has been prevalent throughout: the control of molecular-level motion is fundamentally essential to life.

Nature employs a host of hugely varied and sophisticated molecular machines and motors to instigate controlled movement at the molecular level; each individually suited to its environment and specifically designed to carry out essential tasks such as vesicle transport, sensory transduction and muscle contraction. Kinesins are a particularly impressive superfamily of linear motor protein that act as "molecular walkers" capable of transporting cargoes along cellular motorways to predefined locations. The primary obstacle to designing artificial analogues of these systems is the incorporation of appropriate interactions between the "feet" of the walker unit and the track upon which it walks. DNA base-pair interactions and dynamic covalent chemistry have both been used successfully in the past but the advancement of the field depends upon developing improved levels of control over stepping mechanisms and the potential to customise systems for specific environments. In this thesis, transition metal-ligand motifs are developed as the molecular sub-components for one such mechanism and the first example of metal mediated stepping in a synthetic molecular biped is described.


1.2 Introduction

The science of substances in the seventeenth century was dominated, as it had been for many years prior, by those with an aim of transmuting lead into gold and the quest for the ever-illusive Philosopher’s Stone. As the century drew to a close, however, the threads of Aristotle’s four element theory were beginning to come loose and, with the publication of *The Sceptical Chymist* in 1661, Robert Boyle effectively sounded the death knell on alchemy and implored its ambassadors to lay down their quills in favour of the test tube and rigorous calculated experiment; for, to his mind, only with the accompaniment of concurrent results from such experiments could their theories ever be unequivocally proven fact. This radical idea shook the very foundations of science and set in motion a revolution that would eventually herald him as one of the founding fathers of modern chemistry.

A veritable explosion of scientific research and discovery soon followed fuelling an exponential growth in mankind’s understanding of the fundamentals of life on Earth, matched only by his will to control them. However, with this increasing wealth of knowledge came an awakening sense of awe at the disparity between Nature and humankind’s respective capacities to constructively wield the forces of the world, most notably at the molecular level. This realisation was famously laid out by Richard Feynman in a speech to the California Institute of Technology in 1959 when he proposed that scientists would one day be able to manufacture active molecular species that function and carry out useful tasks on a nanoscopic scale, in much the same way that Nature manipulates molecular-level movement to perform the vast majority of its key operations. The rise of the field of synthetic molecular machines has been instrumental in meeting some of the challenges thrown down by Feynman and examples now exist of fully functional synthetic molecular motors that consume fuel and do mechanical work at the molecular level. Most of these systems are designed to achieve rotary motion whilst linear molecular motors have received far less attention.

This chapter will address a particular set of linear motors known as "molecular walkers", which are motor units that can walk down molecular tracks. A general set of principles for the design of such systems is outlined and the progression of the field to date is critically reviewed.
1.3 Molecular Machines

Molecular motors and machines are ubiquitous throughout biology as the shepherds of chemical systems at the molecular level. They are capable of controlling nano- and micrometre scale movements on account of their inherent ability to drive said systems away from equilibrium, thereby enabling specific tasks to be performed and work to be done. Thus far however, scientists have struggled to synthetically replicate anything close to such control, primarily due to the fact that the macroscopic mechanical engineering that has been developed and mastered over the years is governed by fundamental physics inapplicable at the molecular level.

Molecules and their constituent parts do not subscribe to the Newtonian laws of momentum. Instead they are incredibly susceptible to temperature variation and exist in a constant state of flux, moving incessantly and in a random, chaotic manner known as Brownian motion. The effect of gravity on these systems is negligible and, when in solution, movement is dominated by the frictional forces of their extremely viscous surroundings. Consequently, any attempt at controlled, inertia-induced displacement is rendered ineffectual by the overpowering effects of the environment's background motion. R. D. Astumian deemed Brownian machines' remarkable proficiency to overcome these ordeals equivalent to man being able to "swim in molasses and walk in a hurricane". They accomplish this feat, not by attempting to counteract and overpower the adverse forces, but rather by harnessing them in order to meet their own ends. This is often achieved through the use of mechanical bonds that can incur restrictions upon the degrees of freedom available to a machine's component parts with respect to one another, whilst still retaining the potential for large amplitude displacement along certain desired vectors. By using a stimulus that can affect the nature of these mechanical bonds, it is possible to drive the systems away from their equilibrated states, which can subsequently result in overall controlled, directional molecular motion. It should be noted, however, that this capacity alone does not warrant a system to be termed a "molecular machine", as the other prerequisite for such an accolade is the ability to carry out constructive work.

It is pertinent at this juncture to more rigorously define the term and hereafter molecular machines will be considered as: "a subset of molecular devices in which some
stimulus triggers the controlled, large amplitude mechanical motion of one component relative to another which results in a net task being performed".3

One area in which Nature truly displays a tour de force in terms of manipulating molecular motion is in biology's wide array of motor proteins. These fall into the two broad classes of rotary and linear motors.

1.4 Motor Proteins

Nature employs an army of incredibly diverse motor proteins throughout biology with a huge range of different molecular architectures and cellular roles, but all of them commonly operate by converting an energy input into mechanical or chemical work. This is how DNA and RNA polymerases9 are able to convert monomeric nucleotides into incredibly sequence-specific polymers; how translocation pores10 are able to selectively transport proteins through membranes against concentration gradients; how the remarkable rotary motor of bacterial flagellar11 propels bacteria at speeds of hundreds of micrometres per second; how the rotating motor F0F1-ATPase12 uses a proton gradient to produce the ubiquitous cellular fuel adenosine triphosphate (ATP); and how the hoards of different molecular walkers walk along molecular cytoskeletal polymer highways within the cell performing such tasks as mitosis, sensory transduction and muscle contraction as well as the imperative act of intracellular cargo transportation. These natural molecular walkers are known as cytoskeletal motor proteins13 and are of particular importance here as they provide the inspiration for the work carried out in this thesis.

Linear Motor Proteins

As previously mentioned, the crowded cytoplasmic environment within cells is not conducive to efficient cargo conveyance by means of one-off spot forces because inertial terms are heavily outweighed by motion dampening frictional forces in the highly viscous surroundings. Nor can diffusion alone be counted upon to accommodate the many important intracellular reactions at a suitable rate. Nature, therefore, often employs linear motor proteins as cargo carrying molecular walkers to manage these highly specific tasks. These proteins fall into three superfamilies; myosins, dyneins, and kinesins (Figure 1.1) and all function by converting chemical energy into mechanical work by means of ATP hydrolysis.
Figure 1.1 - Comparison of examples from the three superfamilies of Nature's linear proteins: (a) myosin-V; (b) cytoplasmic dynein; (c) kinesin-I. Colour coding: dark blue: motor domains; light blue: mechanical amplifiers; purple: cargo attachment site; green: motor units. Modified with permission (Cell Press).13c

Differential to their rotary analogues, linear motor proteins achieve controlled translational motion with the aid of well-defined tracks to which they adhere and along which they can "walk". Myosins,14 responsible for muscle-contraction in the body and intracellular vesicle transport, bind actin filaments15 as a track whereas dyneins16 and kinesins17 traverse along tightly wound biopolymers known as microtubules.18 Many of the members of these three superfamilies exhibit different modes of action and are employed simultaneously within the same organism to carry out specific tasks. Although kinesins were the last of the three superfamilies to be discovered,19 they have since proven susceptible to simple manipulation using the various tools of molecular biology, and a prolific wealth of literature is now devoted to them.17,20 To date, it has been established that the human body plays host to at least 45 discernable kinesins with variations in overall structure and function but significant sequence homology in
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The majority of research has predominantly revolved around conventional kinesin (also known as kinesin-1 and hereafter referred to simply as kinesin) due to the ease of its purification from brain homogenates\textsuperscript{20d} and it is the mode of action of this species upon which the synthetic systems in this thesis are based.

1.5 Kinesin

Kinesin is a homodimeric species made up of two chains of 120 and 130 kDa each and comprising three distinct domains: motor; chain; and tail as shown in Figure 1.2. The motor domain consists of two identical motor heads or "feet", each containing a catalytic core that binds to tubulin subunits in the microtubule track\textsuperscript{22} and controls ATP hydrolysis in the molecule. The feet are connected to the central stalk chain domain \textit{via} adjacent flexible neck linkers, which control their respective orientation and ensure that they are held at the optimum separation of 8 nm;\textsuperscript{23} in keeping with the spacing between $\alpha/\beta$-tubulin dimers in microtubules and hence kinesin's individual stepping distance. The coiled-coil stalk of the chain domain is in turn bound to the tail domain, which is responsible for both recognition and binding of specific organelles and vesicles.

\textit{Figure 1.2} - Conventional Kinesin: illustration based upon the crystal structure of the catalytic core and neck linker and the electron-microscopy images of the chain and tail domains. Modified with permission (Nature Publishing Group).\textsuperscript{24}
The walking action of kinesin is fuelled by the hydrolysis of ATP to adenosine diphosphate (ADP) and an inorganic phosphate (Pi). In a resting state (Figure 1.3a), kinesin is tightly attached to the microtubule track via a kinetically locked, nucleotide-free foot. The tethered foot, meanwhile, is not bound to the track but its motor domain is bound to ADP (D), the microtubule-activated release of which is stably inhibited.\textsuperscript{20c,25} ATP can selectively bind the locked foot with its vacant motor domain, partially relieving this inhibition whilst simultaneously releasing a significant amount of energy. This release gives rise to docking of the neck linker to the motor domain, which manifests itself in a conformational change that slings the tethered foot towards the plus-end of the microtubule in the so-called power-stroke. The unbound foot is then free to explore the available binding sites, both in front and behind its opposing foot; however, the neck-linker docking enforces a temporary asymmetric energy potential favouring the forward step (Figure 1.3b) and is therefore responsible for the overall directionality of kinesin's movement by means of a Brownian ratchet mechanism\textsuperscript{3} (\textit{vide infra}). There is still some debate as to the order in which the following events proceed, but in Block's "consensus model",\textsuperscript{17a} ADP is released from the anterior foot leading to tightened binding with the microtubule and increased ring strain which suppresses subsequent premature binding of ATP before the posterior foot has hydrolysed its.
respective ATP expelling inorganic phosphate.\textsuperscript{20c,d,26} This process culminates in an analogous state to the original resting state, only two tubulin units along\textsuperscript{23b} (Figure 1.3a’) and is tantamount to a single catalytic stepping cycle, the repetition of which constitutes kinesin’s complete walking mode of action. This locomotive method is commonly referred to as a "passing-leg" or "hand-over-hand" mechanism and, after years of debate, was independently confirmed for kinesin by both Kaseda \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{27} and Yildiz \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{26b} Optical trapping studies have shown kinesin to be capable of up to 100 ATP turnovers before complete dissociation from its track equating to a walk of \textasciitilde1 mm in a single direction.\textsuperscript{20a,28}

Whereas in day-to-day life the walker decides which route to take, in the case of kinesin, it is in fact the track that ultimately dictates directionality. This comes as a result of anisotropy in the polymeric microtubule where the chains of self-assembled \textalpha/\textbeta-tubulin dimers create an inherent overall polarity in the track.\textsuperscript{29} As previously mentioned, kinesins walk unidirectionally with 42 of the 45 human kinesins known to perambulate from negative to positive, which, in most cells, amounts to anterograde motion where cargo is transported away from the centre to the periphery of the cell.\textsuperscript{21}

The primary aim of this project is to use the fundamental principles of molecular walking exhibited by kinesin to investigate potential chemical motifs for use as components in the design of simplified synthetic small molecular analogues.

\section*{1.6 Design Principles For Synthetic Walking Molecules}

The term "molecular walker" has so far been applied to a class of natural linear motors because they move in a manner reminiscent of man’s own mode of perambulation. However, considering the staggering differences between life at the macro- and molecular levels in terms of environments and the laws by which they are governed, it seems prudent to outline a precise set of definitions for use when establishing principles for the design of minimalist artificial analogues. The Oxford English Dictionary defines stepping as, "An act of bodily motion consisting in raising the foot from the ground and bringing it down again in a fresh position" and walking as, "The action of moving or travelling . . . by lifting and setting down each foot in turn so that one of the feet is always touching the ground". From this it can be said that a walker must have at least two well-defined feet that, as a pair or set, act as the only necessary points of bodily attachment to a surface or track in order to mediate stepping. Furthermore a
prerequisite exists such that one foot must be in contact with the surface or track at all times regardless of the other foot/feet. Such a system will cease to be termed a walker if it begins to translocate its centre of mass without the use of discrete steps (e.g. rolling or tumbling) or at such a time that no feet remain attached to the track (e.g. running or jumping). By adopting these provisos the basic principles of walking can be transferred to a synthetic design. However, whereas Nature has had millions of years to evolve, try and test-drive the molecular walkers described in the previous sections, synthetic analogues must be designed, tuned and built for instant effective operation. In a recent critical review of walking molecules, von Delius and Leigh\(^3\) outlined five fundamental characteristics of the dynamic behaviour with respect to the interactions between the walker units and their tracks, which are discussed in detail below.

1.7 Keep Your Feet On The Ground - Processivity

Processivity is the ability of a molecular walker to remain attached to its track during operation. Whereas their macroscopic counterparts enjoy the benefit of gravity to keep their feet securely on the ground, molecular walkers must incorporate additional safeguards to ensure this linkage at all times. Whilst not all of Nature’s linear motors operate processively (e.g. conventional myosin), non-processive motors can only do work in large ensembles where multiple walker units are simultaneously attached to the same track. This is a very difficult and complicated feat to achieve synthetically and so this project will focus primarily upon walker units that do not fully detach from their tracks. One factor that can significantly affect a system’s processivity is the act of inter-track stepping, but this can be quite easily avoided in artificial systems by operating under dilute reaction conditions or appending the molecular track to a suitable surface.

In a perfectly processive molecular walker, the walker unit is attached to its track by some means at all times. The easiest way to achieve this synthetically is to incorporate two chemically different feet that can be labilised under mutually exclusive conditions so that when one foot is undergoing a stepping process, the other is kinetically locked to the track and acts as an inert pivot. The simplest possible hypothetical walker unit of this kind is outlined in Figure 1.4 and has two feet (1 and 2) linked together by an inelastic, non-rigid linker motif that separates them by a fixed distance (x). Consider setting this walker unit upon a track with two alternating footholds (A and B), each foothold also separated by distance x.
If it is specified that, dependent upon two mutually exclusive external stimuli, 1 and 2 can have binding preferences for either A or B, then locked generic linkages \(1A, 1B, 2A\), and \(2B\) can all be readily formed. The walker’s initial position can be described as \([1A, 2B]\), with foot 1 shown in yellow, foot 2 in red and A and B are green and blue respectively. Applying a stimulus that labilises foot 1 from foothold A whilst leaving linkage \(2B\) unperturbed generates the intermediate \([2B]1^*\), where foot 2 is still strongly bound to foothold B but foot 1 is free to explore other binding sites (here indicated by *) via free rotation around the non-rigid linker (Figure 1.5). Upon removal of the stimulus, foot 1 will regain its affinity for foothold A and re-form a locked generic \(1A\) linkage with the closest suitable foothold giving rise to a statistical 1:1 ratio of spatial isomers \([1A, 2B]\) and \([2B, 1A']\), where \(1A'\) indicates that this linkage is one \(AB\) unit away from the origin.

By applying a second, orthogonal stimulus that labilises foot 2 from foothold B whilst leaving linkages \(1A\) and \(1A'\) unperturbed, generic states of the type \([1A]2^*\) are formed. Free rotation about the non-rigid linker, followed by subsequent removal of the second stimulus, regenerates generic \(2B\) linkages resulting in three statistically populated translational isomers; \([1A, 2B]\), \([2B, 1A']\), and \([1A', 2B']\) in the ratio 2:1:1 (Figure 1.6). This distribution is the result of the walker units starting from state \([1A, 2B]\) (half of the total number) when the second stimulus is applied being unable to make any progress.
down the track due to constraints imposed by the inelastic link to locked foot 1 on foothold A, whilst the walker units initially in state $[2B, 1A']$ can either recover this state, or advance to $[1A', 2B]$ upon removal of the stimulus, each outcome having a probability of 0.5.

**Figure 1.6** - Three co-conformers possible after the second step of an ABABAB walker.

Reapplying the first stimulus labilises all generic 1A linkages generating various different states of $[2B]1^*$, which then give rise to four inequivalent spatial isomers upon removal of the stimulus to the ratio of 3:3:1:1. The second law of thermodynamics states that unidirectional motion can only be achieved in conjunction with energy expenditure. In a system such as this, devoid of chemical fuel and where there is no in-built directional bias, there can be no controlled directed motion and the overall populations of each state are governed purely by statistics. In this sense, Figure 1.6 is slightly misleading because, as drawn, it implies a general migration of walker units from left to right. However, this is only because $[1A, 2B]$ was arbitrarily elected as the starting state. In fact, if the sequence of alternating stimuli were repeated for a suitably long time, the system would reach equilibrium with the populations of each state abiding by a normal distribution about a modal population class in the centre of the track. A molecule such as this, that uses two simple switches as feet and migrates in either direction along a track with equal probability cannot be deemed a motor because it is incapable of performing useful tasks and cannot achieve any net-mechanical work.
1.8 Ratchet Mechanisms - Directionality

For a molecular walker to be directional it must migrate either preferentially, or exclusively to a single end of a molecular track in the same manner as all of Nature's translational molecular motors. The study of non-equilibrium statistical mechanics and thermodynamics has proven to be a very useful tool in elucidating key aspects of achieving directional bias in molecular-level motion. The Principle of Detailed Balance\(^3\) states that, within a system at equilibrium, transitions between any two states take place in either direction at an equal rate.

\[ \text{Eqn 1.1} - \quad N_i P_{ij} = N_j P_{ji} \]

For a system that contains species \(i\) and \(j\) in dynamic exchange at equilibrium, the number of transitions from state \(i\) to state \(j\) is equal to the number of transitions in the opposite direction as described by Equation 1.1, where \(N_i\) is the number of particles in state \(i\) and \(P_{ij}\) is the probability of transition from state \(i\) to \(j\) for a given particle.\(^{34}\)

These terms can help define the key differences between simple molecular switches and genuine molecular motors. A switch can be described as a system that progresses from state \(i\) to \(j\) along a reaction pathway and can only be returned to its original state by returning along that same pathway, thereby undoing the task performed in the initial transition. Alternatively, a motor can return to state \(i\) from \(j\) via a different, non-reciprocal route to the one already travelled meaning that the completion of a cycle of operation can reset the motor without undoing the mechanical work done on the system. In this way switches are said to influence a system as a function of state, whereas motors influence a system as a function of the trajectory of their components or substrates.\(^3\) Regardless of the molecular device in question, be it a switch or a motor, directionality can only be achieved by disturbing the equilibrium with an energy input (a fuel or external stimulus) that facilitates the breaking of detailed balance. A dynamic switch will re-equilibrate to its thermodynamic minimum upon suspension of the stimulus or fuel input, whereas a molecular motor can harness the work done by invoking impassable kinetic barriers to dynamic exchange between states thus compartmentalising the system.\(^3\) This method is exploited in both biological and synthetic molecular motors\(^8,35\) and can be described according to Brownian ratchet theory,\(^{36}\) which explains how the thermally driven positional displacement of a substrate can be captured by the imposition of a kinetic barrier to the reversal of the
displacement once the thermodynamic driving force is removed. Although many
different theoretical variations exist, they all rely upon three key facets of the theory:
the presence of a randomising element (Brownian motion in molecular scale motors);
an energy input; and an asymmetric potential of energy or information in the direction
of the motion. In this way, a Brownian particle can be transported directionally along a
compartmentalised potential energy surface. The instillation of directionality is
governed by the nature of the third of these tenets which can be divided into the two
general classes of energy ratchets and information ratchets.

**Energy Ratchets**

In an energy ratchet mechanism, the transport of a particle along a potential energy
surface is achieved by periodic perturbation of the thermodynamic minima and kinetic
barriers. Cyclic fluctuation of energy maxima and minima can facilitate directional
movement irrespective of the position of the particle on the surface.

*Figure 1.7 - Brownian energy ratchet mechanism. Sequential fluctuation of the energy maxima
and minima induce directional movement of a Brownian particle (green).*

Figure 1.7 shows a Brownian particle (green) at equilibrium upon an asymmetric
potential energy surface that incorporates a periodic series of two unique energy
maxima and two unique energy minima. The particle can be transferred from left to
right by simultaneously or sequentially inverting the relative energies of the
thermodynamic minima and the kinetic barriers. Although some transport of the
particle from right to left will still occur, the majority of the particles will translocate
rightwards because, as drawn, the orange and blue thermodynamic minima always
have the greater kinetic barrier to their left. It is clear that the direction of transport
can therefore be controlled by manipulation of the relative energies of each state and
several catenane- and rotaxane-based molecular motors and devices have been reported that operate using energy ratchet mechanisms.\textsuperscript{4c,d,37}

\textbf{Information Ratchets}

Alternatively, the thermodynamic minima of the potential energy surfaces of information ratchets are fixed and directional motion is achieved by raising and lowering kinetic barriers to translation according to the position of the particle. There is therefore a requirement for information of the particle's position to be communicated to the kinetic barrier.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure1.8}
\caption{Brownian Information ratchet mechanism. A Brownian particle (green) is directionally transported along an asymmetric potential energy surface. The relative magnitudes of the kinetic barriers result from an information transfer between the particle and the barrier (dotted arrow).}
\end{figure}

In Figure 1.8, a Brownian particle lies at equilibrium upon an asymmetric potential energy surface that incorporates a series of identical potential energy wells, each separated by a kinetic barrier. A stipulation exists such that the particle can only communicate its presence to the kinetic barrier directly to its right. In so doing, the barrier can be lowered establishing a new equilibrium where a 1:1 distribution will form between the first two wells and the barrier is subsequently raised again. Because migration to the left is impossible, the particle can progress directionally despite the unbiased reversibility of step ii. Although reports of rotaxane-based information ratchets have been scarce,\textsuperscript{38} a synthetic small molecule capable of directionally walking along a track by means of information ratchet mechanisms has recently been reported\textsuperscript{39} and will be discussed in detail in Section 1.11.
1.9 Repetitive, Progressive, Autonomous Operation

The other stipulation for linear molecular motors is that they can be operated in a repetitive and progressive manner. This means that they must be able to repeatedly carry out similar mechanical cycles, and that it is possible to reset the walker-track conjugate after operation without undoing the physical task that was originally performed. This can be readily achieved in non-interlocked molecular walker systems by detachment of the walker unit from the end of the track along which it has walked, and subsequently reattaching it at the original starting position. In terms of ratchet nomenclature, this is known as an "escapement" where the ratcheted substrate (the walker unit) can be released and the system reset with the overall achievement of net-mechanical work being done. The presence of an escapement defines the difference between a simple ratchet and a genuine motor.

Autonomous operation is certainly a long-term goal for synthetic systems as the vast majority of cytoskeletal motor proteins operate allosterically and autonomously in the constant presence of an ATP fuel. Their feet are coordinated and the systems employ complex structural gates to enforce processivity. Two synthetic examples have been reported recently in which small molecules can walk processively along polyamine tracks through reversible imine formation without the aid of external stimuli, however, both systems are non-directional. It is also difficult to envisage how one might instil an appropriate bias with current synthetic techniques, short of simply introducing a thermodynamic sink at the end of the track to which the walker units would statistically migrate.

An alternative approach is to devise designs that incorporate sequential stepping of feet controlled by external stimuli, which can ultimately allow for greater degrees of control over the direction of movement as well as the rate and distances travelled. The following discussion will therefore exclusively cover sequenced stepping mechanisms, specifically in bipedal systems. These designs rely upon the operator’s ability to address each foot-foothold interaction independently of the other. In the design of random walkers based on the simplistic models described in Section 1.7, this requirement is practically realisable through the incorporation of two orthogonal, chemo-selective molecular switches that individually govern the foot-track connectivities and hence the nanoscale motility of each foot. However, in order to
attain the coveted prize of directionality, an asymmetric potential must be sequentially introduced during the stepping process of each foot, in other words, one must substitute simple switches for ratchet motifs.

1.10 Molecular Motion: Switches, Shuttles and Ratchets

Nature's methods of manipulating molecular movement through the control of Brownian motion have been an inspiration to scientists for decades, but it was not until the late twentieth century that synthetic skills reached a suitable level for mimics to be designed and made in the laboratory. In 1994, Stoddart et al. reported one of the first examples of a synthetic molecular device capable of harnessing Brownian motion to produce well-defined movement of its constituent parts. The system made use of template-directed rotaxane synthesis to achieve a [2]rotaxane with a tetra-cationic cyclophane macrocycle threaded onto a stoppered two-station track as shown in Figure 1.9. A biphenol and a benzidine unit provide the two π-electron donor stations.

![Figure 1.9 - Switchable molecular shuttle: (top) neutral conditions, the macrocycle preferentially occupies the benzidine station (left); (bottom) protonation of the benzidine moiety results in switching of the macrocycle to preferentially occupy the biphenol station (right).](image-url)
At room temperature, the macrocycle shuttles between stations at a rate comparable to the NMR timescale, however, when the system was cooled, $^1$H NMR and ultraviolet/visible spectroscopy confirmed that the majority of the macrocycles resided on the benzidine station. It was then shown that the average distribution of macrocycles could be shifted to have a majority on the biphenol station by two separate means: either by adding two equivalents of acid and hence protonating the basic nitrogen atoms on the benzidine residue, or alternatively, by the electrochemical oxidation of this station. Both of these methods make use of repulsive electrostatic interactions between the positively charged macrocycle and the post-switching cationic nature of the benzidine station, ultimately resulting in a controlled shuttling process. This system was one of the first examples of what have come to be known as molecular shuttles, a subset of switchable species within the then-emerging field of synthetic molecular machines, and provided precedent for a whirlwind of research that followed which has been comprehensively reviewed.$^{3,42}$ An enormous amount of work has since focused upon the goal of achieving unidirectional motion by controlling relative inter-component movement of interlocked molecular devices, both rotational,$^{4a,b,43}$ and translational.$^{44}$ Catenanes and rotaxanes have proven to be particularly suited to such tasks, as they possess mechanical bonds that can incur significant restrictions upon the degrees of freedom available to the interlocked components whilst simultaneously accommodating large amplitude motion along a desired vector. Molecular shuttles of the type outlined in Figure 1.9 can be described in terms of their individual components where the thread fulfils the role of the "machine" entity and can do work on the macrocycle, which is regarded as a Brownian particle. The vast majority of examples in the literature represent simple rotaxane switches that can be moved between positional states, but each time the position is switched, the work done during the previous positional change is consequently undone. In 2006, Leigh et al. reported the first example of a [2]rotaxane that could be locked in an out-of-equilibrium state by means of an energy ratchet mechanism$^{37a}$ meaning the thread could be reset after operation without automatically instigating the reverse shuttling of the macrocycle. Only a few examples of such molecular ratchets exist in the literature,$^{37,38}$ the most recent of which is described in Figure 1.10.
The system comprises a palladium(II)-complexed macrocycle threaded on a stoppered two-station track. A 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) and a pyridine group (Py) in the track provide the two ligand-binding sites (stations). The metal remains complexed to the macrocycle at all times and translocation of the ring-complex is dictated by simple ligand exchange. The stimulus for labilising palladium from either of the two monodentate ligands in the thread is elevated temperature in the presence of a coordinating solvent. Re-coordination to a particular station in the track is achieved by allowing the system to cool to room temperature. Whilst the labilising stimulus is being applied, an equilibrium is established to which an asymmetric bias can be induced by addition or removal of protons. Protonation of the more basic binding site determines the position of equilibrium. Importantly, the stability of the Pd-N bond in these complexes ensures that variations in the chemical state of the thread, i.e. degree of protonation, have no effect on the position of the macrocycle without application of the labilising stimulus because of a kinetic barrier to equilibration, thus rendering the system an efficient molecular energy ratchet. Combining the mechanistic principles extrapolated from biology with the expertise and knowledge cultivated through development of rotaxanes as molecular switches and ratchets has led to the first steps in the promising field of synthetic linear molecular motors.
1.11 Synthetic Walking Molecules

Until relatively recently, the only synthetic structures capable of taking multiple, processive steps along a molecular track had been unanimously assembled, at least in part, from DNA building blocks. These DNA walkers represent genuine linear molecular motors where the walker units migrate progressively in a specified direction along molecular tracks and can be operated in a repetitive manner. The systems are highly efficient and can be synthesised in an automated fashion, thus providing valuable inroads to useful and applicable mimics of Nature’s packhorse motor proteins. However, whereas high precision analytical techniques such as nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR) and high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) are largely incompatible with the large chemical structures involved in DNA walkers, they can be readily applied to small-molecule systems facilitating more intricate mechanistic analysis and tunability of components. Although many examples exist of small molecules who’s mode of motion is purported as “walking”, most lack the requisite processivity or directional bias to be deemed verifiable molecular walkers and it was not until 2010 that von Delius et al. reported the synthesis and operation of the first highly processive two-legged small molecule translational motor capable of walking up and down a molecular track. Whereas linear motor proteins and synthetic DNA walkers utilise non-covalent interactions to bind the walker to the track, this system made use of dynamic covalent chemistry so that, during the walking process, the different feet form actual covalent bonds with the track that are either labile, or kinetically locked under different sets of conditions.

![Figure 1.11 - First non-DNA based small-molecule capable of directional motion along a track: Local equilibria connect the four positional isomers (1,2), (2,3), (3,4), and (1,4) under various conditions. The upper pathway represents the major passing-leg mechanism from (1,2) to (3,4) (through 2,3) and the lower pathway (through 1,4) is a minor double-step mechanism.](image-url)
The design outlined in Figure 1.11 incorporates many of the theoretical concepts covered so far. The walker unit functions using a passing-leg gait and has two chemically different feet, one that forms a hydrazone bond with the track, and one that forms a disulphide bond. These feet reversibly bind different footholds of the track depending on the applied stimulus. Under acidic conditions, the disulfide bond between one foot and the track is kinetically locked whilst the hydrazone foot is free to explore other binding sites. In contrast, when basic conditions are applied, the hydrazone foot is locked in place and the disulfide foot is free to sample forward and backward binding sites. When operated in this form by simply alternating the stimuli, this system is directly comparable to the first hypothetical example discussed in Section 1.7. The predicted outcome is confirmed with a steady-state, minimum-energy distribution of walkers around a central point achieved after several stimulant oscillations (results do not match the theoretical model perfectly due to elasticity in the linker). Directional bias was introduced by replacing the base-promoted disulfide exchange reaction with a kinetically controlled redox reaction and hence, leading the system to conform to an information ratchet mechanism. Not only did this provide the first example of a non-DNA based synthetic molecular walker, but the two passing-leg gait steps taken in this system constitute the full repeat cycle necessary for the molecule to walk down a hypothetically infinite polymeric track of alternating benzaldehyde and benzylic disulfide stations, presenting potential for the transport of molecular cargo over relatively long distances.

*Figure 1.12 - Operating mechanism of a directional light-driven walker-track conjugate based on adjustable ring strain between the walker (red) and the track in one positional isomer: (i) minimises walker-track ring-strain in the 2,3 position; (ii) labilises the disulfide foot; (iii) maximises walker-track ring-strain in the 2,3 position; (iv) labilises the hydrazone foot. The reaction sequence shown results in transport of the walker from left to right; switching steps (ii) and (iv) would cause the walker to be transported from right to left.*
The same hydrazone and disulfide foot-foothold linkage chemistry was utilised in a more recent, light-driven synthetic walker molecule that could be transported in either direction along a molecular track by means of an energy ratchet mechanism. The design is very similar to the previous example but for the introduction of a stilbene unit between the internal aldehyde and the disulfide foothold of the track (Figure 1.12). Selective photoisomerisation of the stilbene moiety provides the potential for directionality in this system as it can induce significant ring-strain upon the isomer that bridges the stilbene linkage. \( \text{E} \rightarrow \text{Z} \) isomerisation favours stepping over the central stilbene unit, while subsequent \( \text{Z} \rightarrow \text{E} \) isomerisation provides a driving force to move away from the central position and allows the operator to choose the direction of motion by electing which foot is labilised next, an element of control not available to natural systems. The variation in ring-strain induced by stilbene isomerisation equates to the manipulation of thermodynamic minima whilst the oscillation of acid and base addition mediates the alteration of kinetic barriers experienced by the walker unit culminating in exceptional control over the directionality of the biped with the maintenance of a high degree of processivity.

### 1.12 Conclusions and Aims

Life on Earth is the product of billions of years of biological evolution. During this time, Nature has learnt to harness, control and manipulate incessant Brownian motion at the molecular level as a means of regulating intracellular function in all complex living organisms by using a plethora of intricate motor proteins. The incredible results of this progression lie all around and act as vivid inspiration for scientists by illuminating the vast scale of possibilities attainable through chemical engineering and nanotechnology. Whilst the inherent hydrogen-bonding arrays of DNA base pairing have already been utilised in the design of artificial translational molecular motors, the toolbox of synthetic chemistry holds vast potential for alternative, ingenious molecular walkers capable of carrying out tasks on a much smaller scale than that of motor proteins.

The aim of this thesis is to investigate new motifs for use as potential components in synthetic small-molecule walker units capable of processive and directional migration along molecular tracks. Transition metal-ligand interactions were identified as promising foot-foothold connections in this quest on account of their variable thermodynamic and kinetic binding properties, making them amenable for use in
conjunction with Brownian ratchet mechanisms. Experimental research in the pursuit of this goal has led to an effective palladium(II) motif for use in directional stepping along poly-pyridyl tracks (Chapter II), comprehensive investigation into similar platinum(II) moieties to achieve variable dynamics (Chapter III), and finally the orthogonal combination of palladium(II)-ligand interactions with dynamic covalent chemistry in the design and synthesis of an unprecedented first-generation molecular walker.
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Controlled Stepping of a Bimetallic Palladium(II)/Platinum(II)-Based Molecular Biped
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2.1 Synopsis

Building on the generic design principles laid out in Chapter I, novel methods of practically instilling directional stepping mechanisms into chemical walker-track systems were explored. In this chapter the design, synthesis, characterisation, and operation of a bimetallic molecular biped is described. It comprises a three-foothold track upon which a palladium(II) complex can be selectively stepped between 4-dimethylaminopyridine and pyridine ligand sites via reversible protonation whilst remaining attached to the track by means of a kinetically inert platinum(II) complex throughout. The substitution pattern of the three ligand binding sites and the kinetic stability of the metal-ligand coordination bonds afford the two positional isomers a high degree of metastability and mean that altering the chemical state of the track does not automatically instigate stepping in the absence of an additional stimulus (heat in the presence of a coordinating solvent). This enables the track to do work on the biped unit and ultimately drive it away from equilibrium by means of an energy ratchet mechanism.
2.2 Introduction

Although transition metal coordination motifs have been widely employed as molecular switches and devices\(^1\) where redox-,\(^2\) chemical-,\(^3\) or photo-stimulation\(^4\) have been used effectively to direct molecular structure and function, the application of metal-ligand interactions to induce controlled molecular-level motion away from equilibrium\(^1,5\) has been far less widely reported. Apart from the interim use of zinc(II) ions to instigate conformational change in a single stroke of a rotary motor,\(^3e\) examples of genuine molecular ratchets involving metal-ligand bonds have been limited to interlocked stimuli-switchable molecular shuttles\(^6\) in which a thread can be manipulated to translocate a submolecular component energetically uphill and subsequently reset without undoing the task performed.\(^5\) Such a facet grants these systems a higher degree of sophistication than that of simple dynamic switches.\(^1,7\)

However, the interlocked nature of the designs confines the molecular motion to a single dimension constraining not only the relative movement of the components, but also the achievable ambitions of the machines. For instance, a moveable macrocycle mechanically linked to a thread cannot select between branches or manoeuvre junctions within that thread without breaking covalent bonds. Whilst an interlocked approach can still yield systems capable of doing work on a substrate,\(^5\) there are only limited examples of \textit{bona fide} molecular motors synthesized in this way\(^8\) as such an accolade requires the potential for repetitive operation. The fulfilment of said requisite depends upon the presence of an escapement (a means of releasing the ratcheted substrate) so that the entire system can be reset and operated again without undoing the previously performed task. Escapement is inherently difficult in interlocked architectures and is usually only achieved when the subject of the operation upon which the work is being done is either part of a separate entity,\(^9\) or in a cyclic system such as a catenane.\(^8\)

In order to match the growing fervour for building molecular machines with greater scope for applications beyond one-dimensional motion, there is a requirement to move away from interlocked species towards designs with more degrees of freedom. Metal-ligand bonding is set to be a powerful tool in this progression as it provides a potential conduit to compartmental control over individual submolecular components. Facile manipulation of binding kinetics may facilitate the transportation pathways of ratcheting and escapement in the design of complex molecular motors, both in the
established rotary field, and the relatively new area of linear motors including synthetic molecular walkers.

This chapter chronicles the assembly and operation of a molecular energy ratchet based on a tethered bimetallic transition metal complex where palladium(II) and platinum(II) complexes function as opposing feet on a poly-pyridyl track comprising three distinct ligand binding sites (footholds). The palladium(II) complex can be selectively stepped between vacant footholds by acid-base manipulations using the kinetically inert platinum(II) complex as a pivot. In so doing, this non-interlocked species can be driven energetically uphill and ultimately fixed into a thermodynamically unfavourable positional isomer distribution without permanently altering the state of the footholds, thus constituting the efficient metal-mediated stepping of a molecular biped along a track.

2.3 Complex Design and Selective Addressability

The palladium(II) complex is based upon a motif previously used to organise tridentate pyridine 2,6-dicarboxamide and derivatised monodentate pyridine ligands about a square planar palladium(II) centre in the assembly of catenanes, rotaxanes and molecular shuttles. This N,N,N-pincer complex is particularly attractive due to the stability afforded by the chelate effect, ensuring the metal ion remains bound at all times by the tridentate ligand with only one binding site available for monodentate ligand substitution and the maintenance of an overall neutral system throughout. The design shown in Figure 2.1 exploits the preference of this class of palladium(II) and platinum(II) complexes to selectively bind N-heterocycles as a function of basicity enabling their thermodynamic bias towards different ligands to be controlled by acid-base manipulations. The palladium(II) complex can therefore be stepped back and forth between a 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) and a pyridine (Py) foothold by means of protonation/deprotonation and thermal activation. Throughout this process the palladium(II) foot remains tethered to the track via the opposing platinum(II) complex, which has a considerably higher kinetic stability under the stepping conditions. The substitution pattern of the pyridine derivatives and the kinetic stability of the metal-ligand bonds mean that altering the chemical state of the track by adding or removing protons does not automatically cause a change in the positional isomer distribution in the absence of an additional stimulus (heat in the presence of a coordinating solvent).
Operational control over discrete, well-defined stepping mechanisms is a prerequisite in the design of extended molecular walker systems based upon alternating stimuli-induced directional stepping and can be achieved through careful consideration of the thermodynamics and kinetics of each step. For the present design, the effect of varying the substitution patterns of Py and DMAP ligands on the stabilities of their respective metal complexes was investigated.

In simple exchange experiments analogous to previous studies with macrocyclic palladium(II) complexes, L2Pd- could be selectively switched between 2,6-dihexylPy and 2,6-dihexylDMAP ligands by the addition of acid or base in the presence of a coordinating solvent, as shown in Scheme 2.1a and 2.1b. All L2Pd-2,6-disubstituted complexes were shown to be stable at both ambient and elevated temperature (338 K) in the non-coordinating solvent CDCl₃. Conversely, some exchange of the monodentate ligands was observed in these reactions when carried out in neat CD₃CN at room temperature (See Experimental Section (ES), Figure 2.7), allowing the solvent mixture to be adjusted to manipulate the exchange kinetics.

Figure 2.1 - Two metastable positional isomers of the bimetallic biped: L1Pd-(2,6-DMAP) and L1Pd-(2,6-Py).
Scheme 2.1 - Reversible exchange of monodentate ligands in L2Pd-complexes and the kinetic stability of L2Pt-complexes in CDCl₃/CD₃CN (7:3) at 338 K: (a) neutral conditions; (b) in the presence of CH₃SO₃H (1 equiv). Times required to reach equilibrium: † 12 h; ‡ 40 h. No exchange of 2,6-dihexylheterocyclic ligands was observed in CDCl₃ under neutral conditions or in the presence of CH₃SO₃H, even at 338 K for 2 days; (c) did not reach equilibrium after 12 h in which time <10% exchange of 2,6-dihexylPy for DMAP was observed. Equilibrium was reached after 14 days in DMF-d₇/CD₃CN (1:1) at 348 K.

Switchable kinetic control is imperative to the proposed ratchet mechanism,⁵ and an optimised solvent mixture of CDCl₃/CD₃CN (7:3) was used where all 2,6-disubstituted complexes in Scheme 2.1 were shown to be kinetically inert at ambient temperature (stimulus OFF state). However, upon heating at 338 K (stimulus ON state), 80% of the 2,6-dihexylPy coordinated to L2Pd was exchanged for 2,6-dihexylDMAP (Scheme 2.1a) within 12 h on account of the latter’s superior binding ability.¹⁹ This process could be reversed (Scheme 2.1b) by adding one equivalent of methanesulfonic acid, where the inverted selectivity is defined by the relative basicities of the two heterocycles.²⁰ Although palladium(II) complexes of 3,5-disubstituted pyridines were thermodynamically preferred to the 2,6-disubstituted analogues (ES Figure 2.6), their lability in the absence of the external stimuli renders them unsuitable for use as feet in a molecular walker system (ES Figure 2.7).
The analogous platinum(II) complex \( \text{L}_2\text{Pt-}(\text{3,5-dihexylPy}) \) showed a similar superior thermodynamic preference for unsubstituted DMAP over Py (See Chapter III, Figure 3.2), although the kinetic stability of the 3,5-disubstituted pyridine complex in CDCl\(_3\)/CD\(_3\)CN (7:3) was sufficient for it to be considered relatively inert under the palladium(II) stepping conditions (<10% exchange after 12 h at 338 K) (Scheme 2.1c). Additionally, the steric hindrance of 2,6-dihexylPy was found to prevent any observable coordination to platinum(II) centres, enabling \( \text{L}_2\text{Pt-} \) complexes to perfectly discriminate between 2,6- and 3,5-disubstituted pyridines.

The results indicated that a tethered palladium(II) complex could be made to step along a track whilst maintaining a high degree of processivity\(^{17} \) (that is, a constant attachment to the track) through linkage to a fixed opposing foot. In this first example, a platinum(II) complex is employed as an inert foot-foothold pivot motif in a model for a bimetallic molecular walker with the potential for future development of orthogonal stimuli capable of independently operating a second foot.

### 2.4 Synthesis and Characterisation of Tethered Bimetallic Transition Metal complex \( \text{L}_1\text{Pd-}(\text{2,6-DMAP}) \)

Bimetallic complex \( \text{L}_1\text{Pd-}(\text{2,6-DMAP}) \) was achieved by a compartmentalised strategy. The key reactions of the synthetic route for the feet and foothold sub-components are shown in Scheme 2.2 and the final assembly of the complete machine is illustrated in Scheme 2.3. Comprehensive synthetic details are given in Experimental Section 2.8.

Component \( \text{18} \) was prepared in 42% overall yield via a series of Sonogashira cross-coupling reactions\(^{21} \) starting from 2,6-diodo-4-dimethylaminopyridine (1), 3,5-dibromopyridine (4) and suitable alkyne building blocks (2 & 5), using standard conditions as well as TMS deprotection of 6 with tetrabutylammonium fluoride and subsequent hydrogenation of the alkyne groups with Pd(OH)\(_2\)/C. The final foothold of the track, compound 9, was prepared through Boc protection of 6-iodopyridin-2-ylmethylamine (7) prior to Sonogashira coupling with alkyne 8, hydrogenation, and finally Boc-removal using trifluoroacetic acid. Hydrolysis of the methyl ester group of \( \text{18} \) using LiOH, and subsequent PyBroP mediated amide coupling with 9 afforded the free three-foothold track 19 (Scheme 2.3b).
The metal complexes were prepared from the common pyridine-2,6-dicarboxamide unit 12, obtained in two steps by Williamson etherification and subsequent azide SN2 displacement from 10 (Schemes 2.2d & 2.2e). The platinum(II) moiety required deprotonation of the amide groups of 12 with NaH in THF and subsequent reaction with PtCl2(SMe2)2 to yield the dimethylsulfide-platinum(II) complex 13 (Scheme 2.2f). Selective coordination of this complex to the preferred central foothold on track 19 was achieved by simply stirring an equimolar solution of the two components, exploiting the preference of the Pt(II) complex for the 3,5-substitution pattern (Scheme 2.3c).
Scheme 2.3 - Synthesis of Bimetallic Complex L1Pd-(2,6-DMAP). Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) 6, TBAF, THF/H2O (9:1), 95%; (ii) Pd(PPh3)4, CuI, Et3N/THF, 86%, (iii) H2, Pd(OH)2/C, K2CO3, THF, 78%; (b) (i) LiOH, MeOH/H2O/THF, 97%. (ii) 9, PyBroP, DIPEA, DMF, 86%; (c) 13, DMF, 62%; (d) 17, DIPEA, Cu(CH3CN)4·PF6, TBTA, 85%; (e) CHCl3/CH3CN (7:3), 76%.

Palladium(II) complex 16 was prepared through reaction of pyridine-2,6-dicarboxamide 12 with palladium(II) acetate in CH3CN for 16 h to give the product as a yellow precipitate (Scheme 2.2g), which was converted in one pot to 17 in 80% yield (Scheme 2.2i) by complexation to 2,6-lutidine in CH2Cl2 and subsequent copper(I)-catalyzed azide-alkyne Huisgen cycloaddition22 (CuAAC) using 5 equivalents of dialkyne 15 (N,N-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA), Cu(CH3CN)4·PF6, tris[(1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl]amine (TBTA), 12 h). This key reaction established the applicability of versatile CuAAC "click" chemistry in the presence of palladium(II) complexes of the type used in this study. The following step was considerably more
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demanding as it required the coupling of palladium(II) and platinum(II) precursors (17, and 20 respectively) using the established CuAAC "click" reaction in addition to selective intramolecular coordination of the palladium(II) centre to the preferred N-heterocycle binding site. To achieve this, an equimolar mixture of 17 and 20 was subjected to adjusted Huisgen cycloaddition conditions to afford bimetallic intermediate L1Pd-(2,6-lutidine) demonstrating that CuAAC "click" reactions are practical synthetic ligation tools in the presence of transition metal complexes and multiple metal binding sites (Scheme 2.3d), despite the potential for pyridines to bind Cu(I). Adjusting the reaction concentration to favour intramolecular coordination of the 2,6-DMAP foothold, L1Pd-(2,6-lutidine) was subjected to the optimised conditions used in the model exchange experiments (CHCl3/CH3CN (7:3), 0.16 mM, 338 K, 14 h, Scheme 2.1a) exploiting both the disparate ligand strengths of the free 2,6-lutidine and the 2,6-DMAP/Py footholds of the track, as well as the kinetic stability of the Pt-(3,5-Py) complex, to form bimetallic complex L1Pd in a remarkable 76% yield after silica column chromatography purification (Scheme 2.3e). Electrospray mass spectrometry confirmed the isolated product constitution as L1Pd with mass peaks at m/z = 2225.6 and 2247.9 corresponding to the [M+H]+ and [M+Na]+ ions respectively with isotopic distributions concordant with theoretical predictions.

In order to further explore the potential of this approach in building multi-foothold synthetic molecular walkers, an alternative incremental route was also attempted using bimetallic transition metal complex 21 (Figure 2.2) as a core scaffold for extended systems.23 Such a convergent strategy would not only allow for compartmental extension of the track, but also provide the basis for branched systems through the addition of a range of different footholds. Ultimately, difficulties in hydrolysis of the methylester in the presence of the palladium(II) complex, along with the demonstrated ease of selective attachment of platinum(II) to the central foothold of track 19 resulted in this route being abandoned for the large scale preparation of the complete machine L1Pd-(2,6-DMAP).24 The method did, however, provide invaluable information allowing for unambiguous 1H NMR assignment that confirmed the bimetallic structure as L1Pd-(2,6-DMAP) through comparison of spectral data (ES Figure 2.12).
Figure 2.2 - Graphic representations of bimetallic complex 21. Hydrogen and deuterium atoms are omitted for clarity: (a) chemical structure; (b) X-ray crystal structure. Solvent molecules are omitted for clarity. Nitrogen atoms are shown in blue, oxygen atoms in red, the palladium atom in gold, the platinum atom in pink and carbon atoms in grey (DMAP and pyridine carbon atoms are shown in green and red respectively). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Pd1−N52, 2.027; Pd1−N55, 1.939; Pd1−N61, 2.034; Pd1−N101, 2.113; Pt1−N2, 2.021; Pt1−N5, 1.925; Pt1−N11, 2.027; Pt1−N81, 2.043; N52−Pd1−N61, 160.3; N11−Pt1−N2, 160.6; N55−Pd1−N101, 178.1; N5−Pt1−N81, 179.0.

Slow evaporation of a solution of bimetallic complex 21 in CHCl₃/EtOH afforded suitable single crystals for X-ray diffraction analysis.²⁵ The solid-state structure confirms the coordination of the palladium(II) moiety to the DMAP foothold. All metal-ligand bonds lie within the expected ranges and are similar for both the palladium(II) and the platinum(II) complexes with a distorted square-planar coordination geometry observed for each of the metal centres (Figure 2.2b). The tolyl rings of the dicarboxamide motifs do not participate in π-π-stacking with the DMAP or Py footholds of the track, instead adopting a similar conformation to those observed previously in analogous macrocyclic ligands.⁶ᵃ,¹³ᵃ The 3,5-ditriazoloanisole linker unit is almost
coplanar with the three central hydrogen atoms engaged in intermolecular hydrogen-bond interactions with the oxygen atom of a carbonyl group of an adjacent palladium(II)-containing motif ([C–H···O] distances 2.38, 2.39 and 2.39 Å).

$^1$H NMR spectroscopy of the isolated complex $\text{L1Pd}$ (Figure 2.3b) revealed a single positional isomer with the palladium(II) complex of the biped coordinated solely to the 2,6-DMAP-foothold, analogous to compound 21. A comparison between the spectra of free track 19 (Figure 2.3a) and $\text{L1Pd-(2,6-DMAP)}$ in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ shows the expected upfield shifts of the signals of both the 2,6-DMAP- ($\Delta\delta_{H_1} = -0.20$ ppm and $\Delta\delta_{H_k} = -0.18$ ppm), and the 3,5-Py-groups ($\Delta\delta_{H_r} = -0.88$ ppm, $\Delta\delta_{H_s} = -0.29$ ppm and $\Delta\delta_{H_t} = -0.45$ ppm), while the resonances of the 2,6-Py-foothold (H$_{aa-cc}$), and those relating to the adjacent methylene (H$_2$) occur at almost identical values in both species.

Figure 2.3 - $^1$H NMR spectra (500 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$, 298K) of the two positional isomers of the bimetallic complex $\text{L1Pd}$ and the free track for comparison: (a) track 19; (b) $\text{L1Pd-(2,6-DMAP)}$; (c) $\text{L1Pd-(2,6-Py)}$. The lettering in the figure refers to the assignments in Figure 2.1.
2.5 Protonation-Driven Stepping

In accordance with model studies, in the absence of acid, the palladium(II) complex of \( \text{L1Pd-(2,6-DMAP)} \) can be classified as thermodynamically stable when heated in CDCl\(_3\)/CD\(_3\)CN (7:3) at 338 K.\(^\text{26}\) Furthermore, upon addition of 1 equivalent of methanesulfonic acid to a pure sample at room temperature, the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum showed significant broadening in the 2,6-Py resonances (\( \text{H}_{aa-cc} \)) but no discernable change in either the 2,6-DMAP (\( \text{H}_{ii-k} \)), nor the 3,5-Py signals (\( \text{H}_{r-t} \)), indicating that protonation of the 2,6-Py foothold had occurred but no positional isomerisation had taken place. No changes were observed after 30 h at room temperature, indicating that the transition metal complexes are kinetically locked in the presence of acid under ambient conditions. In fact, stepping of the palladium(II) complex was only observed at 338 K in the presence of 1 equivalent of acid (Scheme 2.4). The operation was monitored by \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy and shown to reach equilibrium within 40 h, after which no further exchange was observed, indicating that a thermodynamic minimum had been reached. The reaction mixture was diluted with toluene by a factor of two and the solvents removed gradually under reduced pressure to ensure a consistently low concentration of the machine with respect to the coordinating solvent, \( \text{CH}_3\text{CN} \).\(^\text{27}\) The crude residue was deprotonated (\( \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3, \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2, \text{30 min} \)) and the sample analysed by \(^1\)H NMR and high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) to reveal an equilibrium 15:85 distribution of \( \text{L1Pd-(2,6-DMAP)}:(2,6-\text{Py}) \) (ES Figure 2.14).

**Scheme 2.4** - Stepping of Pd(II)/Pt(II)-Complexed Molecular Biped. \(^\dagger\) No exchange after 30 h at 298 K in CDCl\(_3\)/CD\(_3\)CN (7:3) with \( \text{CH}_3\text{SO}_3\text{H} \) (1 equiv). <5\% exchange in CDCl\(_3\)/CD\(_3\)CN after 30 h at 338 K. \(^\ddagger\) No exchange observed after 24 h at 298 K in CDCl\(_3\)/CD\(_3\)CN (7:3).
The neutral positional isomers obtained were readily separated by preparative thin layer chromatography to give pure, kinetically stable samples of both \( \text{L1Pd-\{2,6-Py\}} \) (major product) and \( \text{L1Pd-\{2,6-DMAP\}} \) (minor product). The \(^1\text{H} \) NMR spectrum of the former is shown in Figure 2.3c, while the latter matches that of \( \text{L1Pd-\{2,6-DMAP\}} \) precisely. After operation, the resonances of the 2,6-DMAP-foothold (\( H_{\text{d,k}} \)) were found to have shifted downfield (\( \Delta \delta H_i = 0.17 \text{ ppm} \) and \( \Delta \delta H_k = 0.18 \text{ ppm} \)) resembling the non-complexed 2,6-DMAP-foothold in free track, 19 (Figure 2.3a). Similarly, the 2,6-Py signals (\( H_{\text{a-c}} \)) show shifts (\( \Delta \delta H_a = 0.31 \text{ ppm} \), \( \Delta \delta H_b = 0.16 \text{ ppm} \) and \( \Delta \delta H_c = 0.24 \text{ ppm} \)) in accordance with the equivalent resonances in the model exchange experiment (Scheme 2.1b & ES Figure 2.9 for NMR). Interestingly, upon enclosing the amide bond of the track within the macrocycle of \( \text{L1Pd-\{2,6-Py\}} \), two separate signals can be seen for both the amide proton (\( H_{y/y'} \)) and the adjacent methylene protons (\( H_{z/z'} \)) as a result of the \( \text{cis/trans}\)-amide isomers (\( H_{y,y'} \), major isomer, \( H_{y'+z'} \), minor isomer). Using variable temperature \(^1\text{H} \) NMR (\( \text{C}_2\text{D}_2\text{Cl}_4 \), 378 K), these two pairs of resonances were shown to coalesce. Electrospray mass spectrometry of the product showed identical peaks \( (m/z = 2226.0 \ [\text{M+H}]^+ \) and 2248.0 \ [\text{M+Na}]^+) \) with matching isotopic distribution to those observed for \( \text{L1Pd-\{2,6-DMAP\}} \), supporting the identity of the new product as the positional isomer \( \text{L1Pd-\{2,6-Py\}} \).

**2.6 Deprotonation-Driven Stepping**

A sample of the of the neutralised 15:85 crude mixture of \( \text{L1Pd-\{2,6-DMAP;2,6-Py\}} \) from the protonation-driven stepping experiment was subjected to exchange conditions (338 K in \( \text{CDCl}_3/\text{CD}_3\text{CN} \) (7:3)). After 24 h at elevated temperature, the ratio had changed to >95:5 in favour of \( \text{L1Pd-\{2,6-DMAP\}} \), whilst in an identical sample left at room temperature for the same period, no change was observed. The ratio observed at equilibration at 338 K is in accordance with the previous neutral stepping experiment demonstrating an equilibrium distribution almost completely in favour of \( \text{L1Pd-\{2,6-DMAP\}} \) in the absence of acid, and the absolute reversibility of the switching mechanism. These experiments illustrate that the reaction conditions identified in this study allow the system to be locked in a thermodynamically unfavourable positional isomer distribution between the two footholds enabling ratcheting of the biped unit away from its equilibrium state and for work to be done on it by the track.
2.7 Conclusion

Nature boasts a veritable plethora of molecular-level systems in which the thermodynamics and kinetics of binding events can be rapidly and systematically controlled via ingenious manipulation of hydrogen bonding and electrostatic interactions to achieve phenomenal control over molecular-level motion. Routes to synthetic mimics of these systems have thus far proven elusive as the mastery of such weak and ephemeral binding events lies at the boundary of modern-day synthetic chemistry. Metal coordination motifs have shown exciting signs as one potential method and have indeed provided examples of controlled molecular-level motion by exploiting the kinetics and thermodynamics of ligand binding events. This chapter has described an acid-base operable ratchet based upon a non-interlocked palladium(II)/platinum(II) bimetallic system in which one of two transition metal complexes can be selectively labilised and stepped either way along an energy gradient between two different binding sites by means of an energy ratchet mechanism, and kinetically relocked with a high degree of positional discrimination. In so doing, a strategy has been developed for synthesising sophisticated tracks with multiple metal ion binding sites using Sonogashira methodology and a means of attaching transition metal units through an orthogonal combination of metal coordination and CuAAC "click" chemistry. Such expertise, coupled with further investigation into the thermodynamics and kinetics of a potential opposing foot moiety should prove useful in the development of switchable, metastable components for advanced molecular machinery, most notably linear molecular motors in the form of synthetic small molecule molecular walkers.

2.8 Experimental Section

General Information

Unless stated otherwise, all reagents and solvents were purchased from Aldrich Chemicals and used without further purification. Compounds 1,6a 4,28 PtCl2(SMe2)229 and tris[(1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl]amine (TBTA)11a,30 were prepared according to literature procedures.
Synthesis of Model Ligands

**Scheme 2.5** - Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) CuI, PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂, THF/Et₃N, (ii) H₂, Pd(OH)₂/C, K₂CO₃, THF, 54%; (b) (i) CuI, PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂, THF/Et₃N, (ii) H₂, Pd(OH)₂/C, K₂CO₃, THF, 77%; (c) (i) CuI, PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂, THF/Et₃N, (ii) H₂, Pd(OH)₂/C, K₂CO₃, THF, 54%.

**Synthesis of S1**

A solution of 2,6-dibromopyridine (540 mg, 2.31 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in THF/Et₃N (1:1, 8 mL) was purged with nitrogen for 20 min. 1-Hexyne (750 mg, 9.07 mmol, 4.00 equiv) was added via syringe and the mixture purged for a further 10 min. PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ (95.0 mg, 0.14 mmol, 0.06 equiv) and CuI (12.9 mg, 0.07 mmol, 0.03 equiv) were added and the reaction stirred at 50 °C for 12 h. A precipitate formed, was filtered off and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) washed with sat. NH₄Cl (2 x 20 mL) and dried over MgSO₄. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, petroleum ether/EtOAc 95:5) to give a pale yellow oil which was immediately dissolved in THF (10 mL). Pd(OH)₂/C (20 wt.% Pd, 100 mg, 30 wt. %) and K₂CO₃ (5.00 equiv) were added and the reaction was stirred under a hydrogen atmosphere at room temperature for 16h. The solution was filtered through a pad of Celite® and the solvent removed under reduced pressure to give S1 (334 mg, 58%) as a clear, brown oil. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ = 7.48 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, Ha), 6.94 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H, Hb), 2.79–2.70 (m, 4H, Hc), 1.75–1.58 (m, 4H, Hg), 1.44–1.04 (m, 12H, Hd+e+f), 0.87 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 7H, Hh). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 162.1, 136.5, 119.7, 38.8, 31.9, 30.3, 29.3, 22.7, 14.2. HRMS (NSI+): m/z = 248.2368 [M+H]+ (calcd. 248.2373 for C₁₇H₃₀N⁺).
Synthesis of S2

A solution of 2,6-diodo-4-(N,N-dimethylamino)-pyridine (300 mg, 0.80 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in THF/Et$_3$N (1:1, 15 mL) was purged with nitrogen for 20 min. PdCl$_2$(PPh$_3$)$_2$ (56.0 mg, 0.08 mmol, 0.10 equiv) and CuI (7.70 mg, 0.04 mmol, 0.05 equiv) were added and the mixture purged for a further 10 min. 1-Hexyne (750 mg, 9.07 mmol, 4.00 equiv) was added via syringe and the reaction stirred at 50 °C for 18 h in the absence of light. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc (50 mL) washed with sat. NH$_4$Cl (60 mL) and dried over MgSO$_4$. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (SiO$_2$, petroleum ether/EtOAc 4:1) to give a pale, yellow oil which was immediately dissolved in THF (30 mL). Pb(OH)$_2$/C (20 wt.% Pd, 150 mg, 30 wt. %) and K$_2$CO$_3$ (500 mg, 3.41 mmol, 5.00 equiv) were added and the reaction was stirred under H$_2$ at room temperature for 16 h. The solution was filtered through a pad of Celite® and the solvent removed under reduced pressure to give S2 (190 mg, 77%) as a pale yellow oil. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ = 6.20 (s, 2H, H$_b$), 2.95 (s, 6H, H$_a$), 2.63 (m, 4H, H$_c$), 1.66 (m, 4H, H$_d$), 1.41–1.23 (m, 12H, H$_{e+f+g}$), 0.86 (t, $J$ = 7.1 Hz, 6H, H$_h$). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ = 162.1, 155.4, 102.8, 39.3, 39.2, 31.9, 30.5, 29.4, 22.7, 14.2. HRMS (NSI +): $m/z$ = 291.2792 [M+H]$^+$ (calcd. 291.2795 for C$_{19}$H$_{35}$N$_2^+$).

Synthesis of S3

A solution of 3,5-dibromopyridine (540 mg, 2.31 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in THF/Et$_3$N (1:1, 8 mL) was purged with nitrogen for 20 min. 1-Hexyne (750 mg, 9.07 mmol, 4.00 equiv) was added via syringe and the mixture purged for a further 10 min. PdCl$_2$(PPh$_3$)$_2$ (95.0 mg, 0.14 mmol, 0.06 equiv) and CuI (13.0 mg, 0.07 mmol, 0.03 equiv) were added and the reaction stirred at 50 °C for 12 h. A precipitate formed, was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was dissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$, washed with sat. NH$_4$Cl (2 × 20 mL) and dried over MgSO$_4$. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (SiO$_2$, petroleum ether/EtOAc 95:5) to give a
pale, yellow oil which was immediately dissolved in THF (10 mL) and purged with nitrogen for 10 min. Pd(OH)$_2$/C (20 wt.% Pd, 100 mg, 30 wt. %) and K$_2$CO$_3$ (5.00 equiv) were added and the solution purged with hydrogen for 10 min. The reaction was left to stir under hydrogen at room temperature for 16 h. The solution was filtered through a pad of Celite® and the solvent removed under reduced pressure to give S3 (330 mg, 58%) as an orange oil. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz): $\delta = 8.25$ (s, 2H, H$_b$), 7.28 (s, 1H, H$_a$), 2.57 (t, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 4H, H$_c$), 1.68–1.51 (m, 4H, H$_d$), 1.43–1.19 (m, 12H, H$_e$+$f$+$g$), 0.88 (t, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 6H, H$_h$). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta = 147.5, 137.6, 135.9, 33.1, 31.8, 31.3, 29.0, 22.7, 14.2$. HRMS (NSI$^+$): m/z = 248.2368 [M+H]$^+$ (calcd. 248.2373 for C$_{17}$H$_{30}$N$^+$).

**Synthesis of Model Complexes**

![Scheme 2.6](image)

**Scheme 2.6 - Reagents and conditions:** (a) MeI, K$_2$CO$_3$, DMF, quant.; (b) Pd(OAc)$_2$, CH$_3$CN, 79%; (c) S1, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 79%; (d) S2, CHCl$_3$, 80%; (e) NaH, PtCl$_2$(SMe$_2$)$_2$, THF, 74%; (f) 4-dimethylaminopyridine, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 83%; (g) S2, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 95%.
Synthesis of 10

A 250 mL oven dried flask was charged with chelidamic acid monohydrate (2.98 g, 16.2 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and pentafluorophenol (6.13 g, 33.3 mmol, 2.00 equiv). To the solids was added THF (70 mL) and the suspension cooled to 0 °C. Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (7.70 g, 35.4 mmol, 2.20 equiv) was added and, once dissolved, DMAP (100 mg, 0.05 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture stirred at room temperature for 18 h. A precipitate formed and was filtered off. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, petroleum ether/EtOAc 3:2) affording a yellow oil which was immediately dissolved in CHCl₃ (200 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. 4-methylbenzylamine (5.90 g, 49.0 mmol, 3.00 equiv) and Et₃N (8.22 g, 81.2 mmol, 5.00 equiv) were added dropwise via syringe and the reaction mixture stirred at room temperature for 1 h. A precipitate evolved and was filtered off. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, the residue re-dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ and washed successively with saturated NH₄Cl (2 × 100 mL) and water (2 × 100 mL) and dried over MgSO₄. The crude yellow solid was purified by flash column chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 95:5) to give 10 (2.20 g, 35%) as an off-white solid. m.p. 162−165 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.08 (s, 4H, Hₑ+g), 7.23 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H, Hᵇ), 7.15 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H, Hᶜ), 4.63 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 4H, Hᵈ), 2.35 (s, 6H, Hᵃ). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 166.0, 163.2, 150.2, 136.1, 135.3, 128.5, 126.9, 111.6, 42.1, 20.2. HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z = 390.1815 [M+H]+ (calcd. 390.1812 for C₂₃H₂₄N₃O₃⁺).
Synthesis of S4

To a solution of 10 (430 mg, 1.10 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in DMF (10 mL) was added CH$_3$I (190 mg, 1.20 mmol, 1.20 equiv) and K$_2$CO$_3$ (750 mg, 5.50 mmol, 5.00 equiv) and the solution stirred at room temperature for 15 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue dissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and washed with H$_2$O. The organic phase was dried over MgSO$_4$ and the solvent removed under reduced pressure to yield S4 (440 g, quant.) as an off-white solid. m.p. 130-132 °C. 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ = 7.98 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H, H$_g$), 7.88 (s, 2H, H$_b$), 7.21 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 4H, H$_e$), 7.12 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H, H$_d$), 4.61 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 4H, H$_c$), 3.96 (s, 3H, H$_a$), 2.33 (s, 6H, H$_f$). 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ = 168.6, 163.5, 150.9, 137.4, 135.2, 129.5, 127.9, 111.3, 56.2, 43.4, 21.3. HRMS (ESI$^+$): m/z = 404.1966 [M+H]$^+$ (calcd. 404.1969 for C$_{24}$H$_{26}$N$_3$O$_3^+$).

Synthesis of S5

To a solution of S4 (220 mg, 0.55 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in CH$_3$CN (15 mL) was added Pd(OAc)$_2$ (150 mg, 0.66 mmol, 1.20 equiv) and the solution stirred at room temperature for 12 h. A precipitate was filtered off, washed with ice-cold CH$_3$CN and dried under reduced pressure to give S5 (240 mg, 79%) as an amorphous green/grey solid. 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ = 7.23 (s, 2H, H$_b$), 7.19 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, H$_e$), 7.08 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, H$_d$), 4.49 (s, 4H, H$_c$), 3.97 (s, 3H, H$_a$), 2.30 (s, 6H, H$_f$), 2.00 (s, 3H, H$_g$). 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ = 168.6, 163.5, 150.9, 137.4, 135.2, 129.5, 127.9, 111.3, 56.2, 43.4, 21.3. HRMS (NSI$^+$): m/z = 508.0844 [M–CH$_3$CN+H]$^+$ (calcd. 508.0849 for C$_{24}$H$_{24}$N$_3$O$_3$Pd$^+$).
Synthesis of S6

A solution of S4 (300 mg, 0.74 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in THF (25 mL) was purged with N₂ for 1 h. NaH (50.0 mg, 2.10 mmol, 2.80 equiv) was added in THF via syringe and the mixture stirred at room temperature for 5 h. The clear yellow solution formed was wrapped in foil and cooled to 0 °C. PtCl₂(SMe₂)₂ (340 mg, 0.89 mmol, 1.20 equiv) was added and the solution allowed to warm to room temperature while stirring for 16 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude residue purified by flash column chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 99:1) to yield S6 (360 mg, 74%) as an orange solid. m.p. 200 °C (dec.). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.38 (s, 2H, Hb), 7.16 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, He), 7.06 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, Hd), 4.77 (s, 4H, Hc), 4.01 (s, 3H, Ha), 2.27 (s, 6H, Hf), 1.82 (s, 6H, Hg). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 172.4, 170.5, 152.0, 137.0, 136.1, 129.2, 126.6, 110.9, 57.0, 50.2, 22.1, 21.2. HRMS (NSI⁺): m/z = 659.1650 [M+H]+ (calcd. 659.1652 for C₂₆H₃₀N₃O₃Pt⁺).

Synthesis of L₂Pd-(2,6-dihexylPy)

A solution of S5 (30.0 mg, 0.06 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and S1 (17.0 mg, 0.06 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product purified by flash column chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 98:2) to give L₂Pd-(2,6-dihexylPy) (35.0 mg, 79%) as an orange crystalline solid. m.p. 240 °C (dec.). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.74 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Hb), 7.37 (s, 2H, Hb), 6.99 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, Hd), 6.81 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H, He), 6.48 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, Hd), 4.01 (s, 3H, Ha), 3.96 (s, 4H, Hc), 2.92 – 2.84 (m, 4H,
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H1), 2.22 (s, 6H, Hf), 1.40 – 1.12 (m, 16H, Hj+k+l+m), 0.87 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 6H, Hn). 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 171.3, 169.9, 164.1, 154.5, 138.7, 138.3, 135.8, 128.8, 127.5, 120.8, 110.7, 56.8, 49.6, 38.8, 31.9, 29.3, 27.7, 22.7, 21.1, 14.2. HRMS (NSI+): m/z = 755.3142 [M+H]+ (calcd. 755.3152 for C41H53N4O3Pd+); 777.2959 [M+Na]+ (777.2972 for C41H52N4NaO3Pd+).

Synthesis of L2Pd-(2,6-dihexylDMAP)

A solution of S5 (60.0 mg, 0.11 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and S2 (31.8 mg, 0.11 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in CHCl3 (10 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 18 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude was purified by column chromatography (SiO2, CH2Cl2/MeOH 95:5) to afford L2Pd-(2,6-dihexylDMAP) (70.3 mg, 80%) as a yellow solid. m.p. 210 ºC (dec.). 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 7.34 (s, 2H, Hb), 6.85 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H, Hc), 6.65 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, Hd), 6.14 (s, 2H, Hg), 3.99 (s, 7H, Ha+c), 3.12 (s, 6H, Hh), 2.76 (m, 4H, Hi), 2.24 (s, 6H, Hf), 1.39–1.10 (m, 16H, Hj+k+l+m), 0.86 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H, Hn). 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 171.3, 169.6, 162.4, 155.7, 154.6, 138.6, 135.5, 128.6, 127.7, 110.4, 103.5, 56.7, 49.7, 39.6, 38.8, 32.0, 29.4, 27.9, 22.8, 21.1, 14.2. HRMS (NSI+): m/z = 798.3586 [M+H]+ (calcd. 798.3585 for C43H58N5O3Pd+).

Synthesis of L2Pt-(3,5-dihexylPy)

S6 (30.0 mg, 0.05 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and S3 (11.0 mg, 0.05 mmol, 1.00 equiv) were dissolved in CH2Cl2 and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue purified by column
chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 98:2) to give \textbf{L2Pt-(3,5-dihexylPy)} (36.0 mg, 95%) as a yellow solid. m.p. 230 °C (dec.). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): \( \delta = 7.70 \) (d, \( J = 1.7 \) Hz, 2H, H₂), 7.35 (s, 2H, H₃), 7.16 (s, 1H, H₄), 6.84 (d, \( J = 7.8 \) Hz, 4H, H₅), 6.68 (d, \( J = 7.8 \) Hz, 4H, H₆), 4.35 (s, 4H, H₇), 4.00 (s, 3H, H₈), 2.28–2.23 (m, 4H, H₉), 2.22 (s, 6H, H₁₀), 1.47–1.36 (m, 4H, H₁₁), 1.35–1.22 (m, 12H, H₁₂). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): \( \delta = 171.8, 169.8, 153.6, 149.9, 140.1, 137.6, 137.2, 135.4, 128.7, 126.7, 110.7, 56.8, 49.6, 32.5, 31.6, 30.4, 29.1, 22.7, 21.1, 14.2 \). HRMS (NSI⁺): \( m/z = 844.3766 \) [M+H]+ (calcd. 844.3763 for C₄₁H₅₃N₄O₃Pt⁺).

**Synthesis of L2Pt-DMAP**

A dry 50 mL round bottom flask was charged with \textbf{S6} (29.0 mg, 0.05 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (6.0 mg, 0.05 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 mL) and the solution stirred at room temperature for 16 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 98:2) to yield \textbf{L2Pt-DMAP} (26.0 mg, 83%) as an orange crystalline solid. m.p. 250 °C (dec.). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): \( \delta = 7.61 \) (d, \( J = 7.2 \) Hz, 2H, H₂), 7.31 (s, 2H, H₃), 6.88 (d, \( J = 8.0 \) Hz, 4H, H₄), 6.81 (d, \( J = 8.0 \) Hz, 4H, H₅), 6.10 (d, \( J = 7.2 \) Hz, 2H, H₆), 4.35 (s, 4H, H₇), 3.98 (s, 3H, H₈), 3.05 (s, 6H, H₉), 2.24 (s, 6H, H₁₀). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): \( \delta = 171.8, 169.5, 153.7, 150.9, 137.8, 135.3, 128.5, 127.1, 110.4, 107.4, 56.6, 49.7, 39.3, 21.1 \). HRMS (NSI⁺): \( m/z = 719.2292 \) [M+H]+ (calcd. 719.2309 for C₃₁H₃₄N₅O₃Pt⁺).
General Procedures for Model Ligand Exchange Experiments

Ligand exchange experiments in CD$_3$CN

Stock solutions of complexes L$^2$Pd-(2,6-dihexylPy) and L$^2$Pd-(3,5-dihexylPy), and ligands S$^1$ and S$^3$ (0.4 mM in CD$_3$CN) were independently prepared. 0.6 mL aliquots of the relevant complex solution and 0.6 mL of the corresponding ligand solution were mixed to get 1:1 solutions (0.2 mM) of the Pd(II) complexes with respect to the exchange ligand. The reaction mixtures were then either left at room temperature or heated at 65 °C and monitored by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy.

![Figure 2.4 - Elevated temperature (338 K) exchange of 2,6-dihexylPy for CD$_3$CN by Pd(II) complex L$^2$Pd-(2,6-dihexylPy) in CD$_3$CN. Partial $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_3$CN) of: (a) L$^2$Pd-(2,6-dihexylPy) (0.2 mM); (b) solution (a) after heating at 338 K for 5 h.](image)
Figure 2.5 - Elevated temperature (338 K) exchange of 3,5-dihexylPy for CD$_3$CN by Pd(II) complex L$_2$Pd-(3,5-dihexylPy) in CD$_3$CN. Partial $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_3$CN) of: (a) L$_2$Pd-(3,5-dihexylPy) (0.2 mM); (b) solution (a) after heating at 338 K for 5 h.
Figure 2.6 - Elevated temperature (338 K) exchange of 3,5-dihexylPy for 2,6-dihexylPy or CD$_3$CN by Pd(II) complex L$_2$Pd-(3,5-dihexylPy) and L$_2$Pd-(2,6-dihexyl-Py) in CD$_3$CN. Partial $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_3$CN) of: (a) 0.2 mM 1:1 mixture of L$_2$Pd-(3,5-dihexylPy) (0.2 mM) and 2,6-dihexylPy; (b) solution (a) heated at 338 K for 12 h; (c) solution (d) heated at 338 K for 12 h; (d) 1:1 mixture of L$_2$Pd-(2,6-dihexylPy) (0.2 mM) and 3,5-dihexylPy.
Figure 2.7 - Room temperature exchange of 3,5-dihexylPy for 2,6-dihexylPy or CD$_3$CN by Pd(II) complex L$_2$Pd-(3,5-dihexylPy) in CD$_3$CN. Partial $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_3$CN) of a 1:1 solution of L$_2$Pd-(2,6-dihexylPy) (0.2 mM) and 3,5-dihexylPy maintained at room temperature for: (a) 0 h; (b) 5 h; (c) 15 h.

Ligand exchange experiments in CDCl$_3$

Stock solutions of complexes L$_2$Pd-(2,6-dihexylPy), L$_2$Pd-(2,6-dihexylDMAP) and L$_2$Pt-(3,5-dihexylPy), ligands S$_1$, S$_2$ and S$_3$ and CH$_3$SO$_2$H were prepared (45.0 mM in CDCl$_3$). Aliquots of the relevant metal complex (0.33 mL), the corresponding exchange ligand (0.33 mL) and methanesulfonic acid solution (0.33 mL, for acid-driven ligand exchange experiments) or CDCl$_3$ (0.33 mL, for ligand exchange experiments in neutral conditions) were combined in order to obtain equimolar solutions of the Pd(II) or Pt(II) complex and the exchange ligand (and acid where appropriate). Aliquots of CDCl$_3$ (0.42 mL) were added to these mixtures and the resulting solution (10 mM of each of the components) were divided into two samples (0.60 mL): one heated at 65 °C and the other one maintained at room temperature. The samples were monitored by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy.

Ligand exchange experiments in CDCl$_3$/CD$_3$CN (7:3)

Stock solutions of complexes L$_2$Pd-(2,6-dihexylPy), L$_2$Pd-(2,6-dihexylDMAP) and L$_2$Pt-(3,5-dihexylPy), exchange ligands S$_1$, S$_2$ and S$_3$ and CH$_3$SO$_2$H were prepared (45.0 mM
in CDCl₃). Aliquots of the relevant metal complex (0.33 mL), the corresponding exchange ligand (0.33 mL) and the methanesulfonic acid solution (0.33 mL for acid-driven ligand exchange experiments) or CDCl₃ (0.33 mL for ligand exchange experiments in neutral conditions) were combined in order to obtain equimolar solutions of the Pd(II) or Pt(II) complex and the exchange ligand (and acid where appropriate). Aliquots of CD₃CN (0.42 mL) were added to these mixtures and the resulting solution (10 mM of each of the components) were each divided into two samples (0.60 mL): one heated at 65 °C and the other one maintained at room temperature. The samples were monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy.

**Figure 2.8** - Elevated temperature (338 K) exchange of 2,6-dihexylPy for 2,6-dihexylDMAP by Pd(II) complex L₂Pd-(2,6-dihexylPy) in CDCl₃/CD₃CN. Partial ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃/CD₃CN 7:3) of: (a) reference spectrum of L₂Pd-(2,6-dihexylPy); (b) 1:1 mixture of L₂Pd-(2,6-dihexylPy) (10 mM) and 2,6-dihexylDMAP; (c) mixture (a) heated at 338 K for 12 h showing 80% exchange; (d) reference spectrum of L₂Pd-(2,6-dihexylDMAP).
Figure 2.9 - Elevated temperature (338 K) acid-driven exchange of 2,6-dihexylDMAP for 2,6-
dihexylPy by Pd(II) complex L2Pd-{2,6-dihexylDMAP} in CDCl3/CD3CN. Partial 1H NMR (400 MHz, 
CDCl3/CD3CN 7:3) of: (a) reference spectrum of L2Pd-{2,6-dihexylDMAP}; (b) 1:1:1 mixture of 
L2Pd-{2,6-dihexylDMAP} (10 mM), 2,6-dihexylPy and CH3SO2H; (c) mixture (a) heated at 338 K for 
20 h; (d) mixture (a) heated at 338 K for 40 h showing 85% exchange; (e) reference spectrum of 
L2Pd-{2,6-dihexylPy}. 
Synthesis of Track 19

Scheme 2.7 - Synthesis of molecular track. Reagents and conditions: (a) 4-pentyn-1-ol, PPh3, DIAD, THF, 92%; (b) PdCl2(PPh3)2, Cul, THF/Et3N, 56%; (c) PdCl2(PPh3)2, Cul, Et3N/THF, 85%; (d) methyl-4-pentynoate, PdCl2(PPh3)2, Cul, Et3N/THF, 71%; (e) (i) TBAF, THF/H2O (9:1), 95%, (ii) PdCl2(PPh3)2, Cul, Et3N/THF, 74%; (f) H2, Pd(OH)2/C, K2CO3, THF, 78%; (g) LiOH, MeOH/H2O/THF 6:3:1, 97%; (h) phthalimide, PPh3, DIAD, THF, 92%; (i) NaI, Cul, N,N’-dimethylethlenediamine, 90%; (j) hydrazine monohydrate, EtOH, 92%; (k) Boc2O, CH3Cl, 97%; (l) propargyl bromide, K2CO3, 2-butanone, 91%; (m) Cul, Pd(PPh3)4, THF/Et3N, 88%; (n) H2, Pd(OH)2/C, K2CO3, THF, 98%; (o) CF3COOH, CHCl3, 96%; (p) PyBroP, DIPEA, DMF, 86%.
Synthesis of 2

To a solution of triphenylphosphine (15.5 g, 59.5 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in THF (100 mL) was added DIAD (12.0 g, 59.5 mmol, 1.00 equiv) at 0 °C under an atmosphere of nitrogen and the mixture stirred for 30 min. 4-Pentyn-1-ol (5.00 g, 59.5 mmol, 1.00 equiv) was added dropwise and the mixture stirred for 20 min. 4-Cresol (6.10 g, 56.5 mmol, 0.95 equiv) was added slowly over 30 min and the solution was allowed to warm to room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, petroleum ether/EtOAc 95:5) to yield 2 (9.00 g, 92%) as a colourless oil. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.07 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, H₄), 6.80 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, H₅), 4.04 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 2H, H₆), 2.40 (td, J = 7.0, 2.6 Hz, 2H, H₇), 2.28 (s, 3H, H₈), 1.99 (p, 2H, H₉), 1.96 (t, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H, H₁₀). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 156.9, 130.1, 130.0, 114.5, 83.7, 68.9, 66.4, 53.6, 28.4, 20.6. HRMS (EI⁺): m/z = 174.1038 [M⁺] (calcd. 174.1039 for C₁₂H₁₄O⁺).

Synthesis of 3

To a solution of 2 (2.79 g, 16.0 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and 2,6-diiodo-4-(N,N-dimethylamino)-pyridine (1) (6.00 g, 16.0 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in degassed THF/NEt₃ (5:3, 80 mL) was added PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ (1.12 g, 1.60 mmol, 0.10 equiv) and CuI (610 mg, 3.20 mmol, 0.20 equiv) and the solution stirred at 55 °C for 15 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, poured into ammonia solution (10%, 300 mL), and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 75 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine (2 × 150 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, petroleum ether/EtOAc 9:1) to yield 3 (4.10 g, 61%) as a colourless solid. m.p. 68-70 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.07 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, H₄), 6.81 (m, 3H, H₃+I), 6.55 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, H₅), 4.05 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 2H, H₆), 2.85 (s, 6H, H₇), 2.60 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H, H₈), 2.28 (s, 3H, H₉), 2.09–2.03 (m,
2H, H₂). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 156.8, 154.6, 143.3, 130.0, 118.4, 115.9, 114.5, 109.8, 89.5, 80.6, 66.5, 39.4, 28.2, 20.6, 16.3$. HRMS (ESI⁺): $m/z = 421.0777$ [M+H]+ (calcd. 421.0771 for C₁₉H₂₂IN₂O⁺).

**Synthesis of S8**

To a solution of 2,6-dibromopyridine (1.99 g, 7.03 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in THF (50 mL) and Et₃N (25 mL) was added CuI (260 mg, 1.40 mmol, 0.20 equiv) and PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ (490 mg, 0.70 mmol, 0.10 equiv). Trimethyl-(3-prop-2-ynyloxyprop-1-ynyl)-silane (1.16 g, 7.03 mmol, 1.00 equiv) was added to the reaction mixture via syringe and the solution stirred at 65 °C for 16 h. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure, the resulting residue re-dissolved in EtOAc and washed with aqueous NH₄Cl (2 × 50 mL) and brine (50 mL). The organic extracts were collected and dried over Na₂SO₄. After concentration under reduced pressure, the crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (SiO₂, hexane/Et₂O 9:1) to yield S8 (1.93 g, 85%) as a white solid. m.p. 64-66 °C. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 8.61$ (br, 2H, H d+f), 7.88 (s, 1H, H e), 4.48 (s, 2H, Hc), 4.30 (s, 2H, Hb), 0.19 (s, 9H, Ha). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): $\delta = 150.5, 150.3, 141.1, 121.3, 120.3, 100.3, 92.7, 89.5, 82.0, 57.9, 57.2, 0.0$. HRMS (ESI⁺): $m/z = 322.0258$ [M+H]+ (calcd. 322.0257 for C₁₄H₁₇BrNOSi⁺).

**Synthesis of 6**

S8 (5.07 g, 16.0 mmol, 1.00 equiv) was dissolved in THF/Et₃N (1:1, 100 mL) and purged with nitrogen for 20 min. Methyl-4-penty noate (5.90 g, 35.0 mmol, 1.10 equiv) was added and the solution purged for an additional 5 min. PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ (2.20 g, 3.30 mmol, 0.10 equiv) and Cul (310 mg, 1.7 mmol, 0.05 equiv) were added and the reaction stirred at 50 °C for 15 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, filtered and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (150 mL) and washed with sat. NH₄Cl (2 × 100 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The
residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, petroleum ether/EtOAc 9:1) to yield 6 (3.96 g, 71%) as an orange oil. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.56 (br, 2H, Hₜ+), 7.71 (s, 1H, Hₗ), 4.47 (s, 2H, Hₖ), 4.30 (s, 2H, Hₜ), 3.73 (s, 3H, Hₜ), 2.75 (m, 2H, Hₜ), 2.64 (m, 2H, Hₗ), 0.19 (s, 9H, Hₐ). 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 172.1, 151.4, 150.7, 141.0, 135.9, 124.8, 120.2, 119.1, 100.3, 92.5, 88.3, 82.7, 57.7, 57.1, 52.0, 33.1, 15.4, 0.0. HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z = 354.1522 [M+H]⁺ (calcd. 354.1520 for C₂₀H₂₄NO₃Si⁺).

Synthesis of S9

Compound 6 (5.86 g, 16.7 mmol, 1.00 eq.) was dissolved in THF/H₂O (9:1, 60 mL), and tetrabutylammonium fluoride solution in THF (20 mL, 1.20 equiv) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture. The reaction was monitored by TLC and after 2 h, the reaction mixture was directly adsorbed onto silica and purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, petroleum ether/EtOAc 8:2) to yield an oil (4.39 g, 94%) which was immediately added to a solution of 3 (5.66 g, 13.5 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in degassed THF/Et₃N (5:2, 70 mL). Pd(PPh₃)₄ (780 mg, 0.68 mmol, 0.05 equiv) and CuI (0.19 g, 1.01 mmol, 0.08 equiv) were added and the solution was stirred at 50 °C for 18 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, poured into ammonia solution (10%, 500 mL), and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 100 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine (2 × 200 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 97:3) to yield S9 (6.61 g, 86%) as a colourless oil. 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.54 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Hₜ), 8.52 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Hₗ), 7.70 (t, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Hₚ), 7.06 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H, Hₙ), 6.80 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, H₟), 6.61 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H, Hₜ), 6.56 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H, Hₗ), 4.54 (s, 2H, Hₕ), 4.51 (s, 2H, Hₘ), 4.06 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 2H, Hₔ), 3.73 (s, 3H, Hₙ), 2.98 (s, 6H, Hₚ), 2.75 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, Hₗ), 2.64 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, Hₚ), 2.61 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H, H₧), 2.26 (s, 3H, Hₖ), 2.09–2.04 (m, 2H, Hₓ). 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 172.3, 156.9, 154.5, 151.5, 150.9, 143.9, 142.5, 141.2, 130.0, 120.4, 119.3, 114.6, 109.4, 109.4, 92.6, 88.6, 88.5, 87.2, 82.9, 82.4, 81.3, 77.3, 66.6, 57.6, 57.5, 52.1, 39.3, 33.2, 28.3, 20.6, 16.3, 15.5. HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z = 574.2693 [M+H]⁺ (calcd. 574.2700 for C₃₆H₃₆N₃O₄⁺).
Synthesis of 18

S9 (1.20 g, 2.10 mmol, 1.00 equiv) was dissolved in THF/ethanol (4:1, 50 mL) and degassed with N₂, followed by H₂. K₂CO₃ (14.0 mg, 0.10 mmol, 0.05 equiv) and Pd(OH)₂/C (20 % Pd, 120 mg, 10 wt. %) were added and the reaction continued to be purged with H₂ for an additional 10 min before being allowed to stir for 16 h under an H₂ atmosphere. The crude residue was filtered through Celite® and purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1) to yield 18 (0.993 g, 78%) as a brown oil.

1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.27 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1H, Hᵣ), 8.25 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1H, Hᵣ), 7.30 (s, 1H, Hₙ), 7.05 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H, Hₘ), 6.77 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Hₚ), 6.25 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H, Hₗ), 6.22 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H, Hₗ), 3.91 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, Hₜ), 3.66 (s, 3H, Hₚ), 3.47 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H, Hₜ), 3.42 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H, Hₚ), 2.98 (s, 6H, H₁), 2.75 (m, 2H, H₁), 2.71–2.65 (m, 4H, Hₜ), 2.59 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, Hₗ), 2.33 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, Hₗ), 2.26 (s, 3H, Hₚ), 1.99 (m, 2H, Hₗ), 1.87 (m, 2H, Hₚ), 1.83–1.73 (m, 4H, Hₗ), 1.67–1.63 (m, 4H, Hₗ), 1.53 (m, 2H, Hₗ).

13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 174.0, 157.1, 155.6, 147.7, 147.6, 137.0, 136.9, 135.9, 129.9, 129.7, 114.5, 103.2, 103.1, 70.6, 69.8, 68.1, 51.7, 39.4, 38.7, 35.2, 33.9, 32.7, 31.3, 30.7, 30.4, 30.2, 29.6, 29.4, 26.1, 24.6, 20.6. HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z = 590.3942 [M+H⁺]⁺ (calcd. 590.3952 for C₃₆H₅₂N₃O₄⁺).

Synthesis of S10

To a solution of 18 (700 mg, 1.20 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in MeOH/H₂O/THF (6:3:1, 22.5 mL) was added LiOH (145 mg, 6.00 mmol, 6.00 equiv) and the reaction mixture stirred at room temperature for 16 h. The mixture was poured into sat. NH₄Cl (150 mL) and extracted with CHCl₃/iPrOH (3:1, 10 × 30 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine (2 × 50 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield S10 (685 mg, 97%) as a yellow oil. 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.24 (s, 2H, Hᵣ), 7.36 (s, J = 18.4 Hz, 1H, Hₚ), 7.04 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Hₗ).
6.75 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Hc), 6.31 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H, Hj), 6.26 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H, Hk), 3.90 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H, Hf), 3.43 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H, Hg), 3.30 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 2H, Hm), 3.10 (s, 6H), 3.00 (m, 2H, Hl), 2.94 (m, 2H, Hm), 2.67 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, Hn), 2.61 (m, 2H, Hn), 2.32 (m, 2H, Hn), 2.25 (s, 3H, Hα), 2.02 (m, 2H, Hm), 1.89–1.75 (m, 6H, H_{e+g+p}), 1.68 (m, 4H, H_{v+w}), 1.54 (m, 2H, Hl).  

^13C{\text{NMR}} (125 MHz, CDCl_3): \delta = 177.9, 157.2, 157.0, 156.8, 156.5, 147.1, 147.0, 137.6, 137.0, 136.6, 129.9, 129.8, 114.5, 103.7, 103.6, 70.2, 68.7, 67.8, 39.9, 35.8, 33.8, 32.6, 30.9, 30.8, 30.6, 30.3, 29.8, 29.5, 29.4, 29.0, 29.0, 25.8, 25.4, 20.6.

**Synthesis of S11a**

To a solution of PPh\(_3\) (950 mg, 3.62 mmol, 1.20 equiv) in dry THF (30 mL) was added DIAD (0.65 mL, 3.30 mmol, 1.10 equiv) dropwise at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for 15 min at this temperature during which time a suspension formed. 2-Bromo-6-(hydroxymethyl)-pyridine (567 mg, 3.02 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in THF (2 mL) was added dropwise at 0 °C and the mixture stirred for another 20 min at 0 °C. Phthalimide (485 mg, 3.30 mmol, 1.10 equiv) was added as a solid in one portion. The resulting orange solution was stirred for 16 h without further cooling. The solution was concentrated under vacuum and the residue purified by column chromatography (SiO\(_2\), CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) to give S11a (813 mg, 85%) as an off-white solid. m.p. 124-126 °C.  

^1H{\text{NMR}} (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \delta = 7.88–7.92 (m, 2H, H_e), 7.74–7.78 (m, 2H, H_f), 7.48 (t, J = 7.6, 1H, H_b), 7.37 (d, J = 7.6, 1H, H_b), 7.16 (d, J = 7.6, 1H, H_c), 4.99 (s, 2H, H_d), 3.10 (s, 6H).  

^13C{\text{NMR}} (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \delta = 167.9, 156.9, 141.9, 139.0, 134.2, 132.1, 127.0, 123.6, 119.9, 42.5.

HRMS (ESI\(^+\)): \textit{m/z} = 316.9923 [M+H\(^+\)] (calcd. 316.9920 for C\(_{14}\)H\(_{10}\)BrN\(_2\)O\(_2\)\(^+\)).

**Synthesis of S11b**

A solution of N,N'-dimethylethylenediamine (41.0 mg, 50.0 \(\mu\)L, 0.47 mmol, 0.10 equiv) in dry dioxane (35 mL) was degassed with nitrogen for 30 minutes. CuI (45.0 mg, 0.24 mmol, 0.05 equiv), NaI (8.90 g, 59.0 mmol, 12.5 equiv), and S11a (1.50 g, 4.73 mmol, 1.00 equiv) were added and the mixture stirred vigorously at 105 °C for 48 h. The reaction mixture was then allowed to cool to room temperature, before
aqueous ammonia (20 % w, 25 mL) was added and the mixture extracted into EtOAc (3 × 50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine and water, and dried over MgSO4. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (Al2O3 basic, activity II, CH2Cl2), to give S11b (1.55 g, 90%) as a colourless solid. m.p. 138-140 °C.

**1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3)**: δ = 7.88−7.92 (m, 2H, Hc), 7.74−7.78 (m, 2H, Hf), 7.60 (t, J = 7.8, 1H, Ha), 7.25 (t, J = 7.8, 1H, Hb), 7.15 (d, J = 7.8, 1H, Hc), 4.98 (s, 2H, Hd).

**13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3)**: δ = 167.9, 157.3, 138.0, 134.2, 133.8, 132.1, 123.6, 120.1, 117.8, 42.5.

**HRMS (ESI+): m/z = 364.9784 [M+H]+ (calcd. 364.9782 for C14H10IN2O2+).**

**Synthesis of 7**

S11b (2.18 g, 6.00 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and hydrazine monohydrate (0.35 mL, 6.00 mmol, 1.00 equiv) were suspended in EtOH (50 mL) and the mixture heated to reflux for 2 h. Additional hydrazine monohydrate (50.0 µL, 0.86 mmol, 0.15 equiv) was added and the solution stirred at reflux for a further 2 h. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, diluted with Et2O (300 mL) and the solids removed via filtration. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the resulting crude residue purified by column chromatography (SiO2, CH2Cl2/MeOH/Et3N 79:20:1) to give 7 (1.29 g, 92%) as a yellow solid. m.p. 30-32 °C. **1H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz)**: δ = 7.58 (dd, J1 = 6.5, J2 = 2.1, 1H, Hc), 7.24−7.30 (m, 2H, Ha,b), 3.92 (s, 2H, Hd).

**13C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz)**: δ = 164.2, 137.9, 132.8, 120.2, 117.8, 47.2. **HRMS (ESI+): m/z = 234.9726 [M+H]+ (calcd. 234.9727 for C6H8IN2+).**

**Synthesis of S12**

Boc2O (3.60 g, 16.5 mmol, 1.10 equiv) was dissolved in CH2Cl2 (35 mL) and the solution cooled to 0 °C. To this solution was added 7 (3.51 g, 15.0 mmol, 1.00 equiv) as a solid in one portion and the reaction mixture stirred at room temperature for 14 h. Removal of the solvents under reduced pressure, followed by column chromatography (SiO2, CH2Cl2/EtOAc 8:2) yielded S12 (4.86 g, 97%) as a white solid. m.p 84-86 °C. **1H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz)**: δ = 7.59−7.63 (m, 1H, Hc), 7.23–7.32 (m, 2H, H3a,b), 5.34−5.48
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(br, 1H, H2), 4.38 (d, J = 5.8, 2H, H4), 1.46 (s, 9H, H9). 13C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz): δ = 159.9, 155.9, 138.1, 133.4, 120.8, 117.4, 79.7, 45.4, 28.4. HRMS (ESI+): m/z = 335.0250 [M+H]+ (calcd. 335.0251 for C11H16IN2O2+).

Synthesis of 8

To a solution of 4-tert-butylphenol (300 mg, 1.99 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in 2-butanone (35 mL), was added K2CO3 (1.38 g, 9.95 mmol, 5.00 equiv) and propargyl bromide (80 wt.% in toluene, 0.70 mL, 5.99 mmol, 3.00 equiv) and the mixture was stirred at 70 °C for 15 h. H2O (40 mL) was added and the phases separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with ether (2 × 30 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over MgSO4 and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The crude was purified by column chromatography (SiO2, petroleum ether/CH2Cl2 2:1) to yield 8 (341 mg, 91%) as a yellow oil. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 7.39 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, Hb), 6.99 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, Hc), 4.72 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H, Hd), 2.56 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H, He), 1.38 (s, 9H, Ha). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 155.4, 144.3, 126.4, 114.4, 79.0, 75.5, 55.8, 34.2, 31.6. HRMS (APCI+): m/z = 189.1272 [M+H]+ (calcd. 189.1274 for C13H17O+).

Synthesis of S13

To a solution of S12 (2.50 g, 7.50 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and 8 (1.66 g, 9.35 mmol, 1.25 equiv) in degassed THF/Et3N (3:1 40 mL), was added Pd(PPh3)4 (433 mg, 0.38 mmol, 0.05 equiv) and CuI (107 mg, 0.56 mmol, 0.08 equiv) and the solution stirred at 45 °C for 22 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, poured into ammonia solution (10%, 200 mL), and extracted with CH2Cl2 (3 × 50 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine (2 × 50 mL), dried over MgSO4, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO2, CH2Cl2/MeOH 99.5:0.5) to yield S13 (2.60 g, 88%) as a brown solid. 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 7.60 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Hf), 7.35–7.28 (m, 3H, Hb+e), 7.23 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Hg), 6.96 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, Hc), 5.52 (br, 1H, Hi), 4.90 (s, 2H, Hj),...
4.41 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 2H, H₃), 1.45 (s, 9H, H₁), 1.29 (s, 9H, H₃). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 158.4, 156.1, 155.7, 144.5, 141.9, 137.1, 126.5, 126.1, 121.6, 114.5, 86.1, 84.7, 79.8, 56.7, 45.9, 34.3, 31.6, 28.5. HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z = 395.2332 [M+H]⁺ (calcd. 395.2329 for C₂₄H₃₁O₃N₂⁺).

**Synthesis of S14**

A mixture of S13 (200 mg, 0.51 mmol, 1.00 equiv), K₂CO₃ (0.35 g, 2.53 mmol, 5.00 equiv) and Pd(OH)₂/C (20.0 mg, 10%w) in THF (20 mL) was stirred under a H₂ atmosphere at room temperature for 5h. The resulting mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite® and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to afford S14 (200 mg, 98%) as a brown oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.60 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, H₇), 7.32 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, H₃), 7.11 (m, 2H, H₉⁺), 6.85 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, H₂), 5.63 (br, 1H, H₆), 4.45 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 2H, H₄), 4.01 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H, H₆), 3.00 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, H₇), 2.34–2.17 (m, 2H, H₅), 1.49 (s, 9H, H₂), 1.32 (s, 9H, H₃). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 160.8, 156.9, 156.8, 156.1, 143.3, 137.0, 126.2, 121.4, 119.0, 114.0, 79.4, 67.1, 45.8, 34.5, 34.1, 31.7, 29.2, 28.5. HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z = 399.2640 [M+H]⁺ (calcd. 399.2642 for C₂₄H₃₅O₃N₂⁺).

**Synthesis of 9**

A solution of S14 (200 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in CHCl₃/CF₃COOH (1:1, 8 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. The resulting mixture was basified with K₂CO₃ until pH>10 and the extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 25 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried with MgSO₄ and the solvent was reduced under reduced pressure to yield 9 (140 mg, 96%) as a brown oil. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.54 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, H₇), 7.28 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, H₃), 7.09 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, H₅), 7.05 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, H₆), 6.82 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, H₂), 3.99 (m, 4H, H₆⁺), 3.58 (br, 2H, H₂), 2.95 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, H₇), 2.21 (m, 2H, H₅), 1.29 (s, 9H, H₃). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 161.0, 159.4,
156.8, 143.3, 137.1, 126.3, 121.4, 118.8, 114.0, 67.1, 46.8, 34.7, 34.1, 31.6, 29.3. HRMS (ESI\(^+\)): \(m/z = 299.2122\) [M+H \(^+\)] \((\text{calcd. 299.2118 for C}_{19}\text{H}_{27}\text{ON}_2\text{+})\).

**Synthesis of 19**

To a solution of S10 (200 mg, 0.34 mmol, 1.0 equiv), 9 (120 mg, 0.40 mmol, 1.20 equiv) and PyBroP (190 mg, 0.40 mmol, 1.20 equiv) in DMF (15 mL) under N\(_2\) at 0 °C, was added DIPEA (0.18 mL, 1.02 mmol, 3.00 equiv). The resulting mixture was allowed to reach room temperature while stirring for 4 h. The reaction was quenched by addition of aqueous KHSO\(_4\) 1 M (10 mL). The mixture was diluted with brine (40 mL) and extracted with CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (3 \(\times\) 75 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (75 mL) and aqueous LiCl 5% (2 \(\times\) 75 mL) and dried over MgSO\(_4\). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude mixture purified by column chromatography (SiO\(_2\), CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)/MeOH/Et\(_3\)N 94.5:5:0.5) to afford 19 (250 mg, 86%) as a colourless oil. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta = 8.23\) (m, 2H, H\(_{rt}\)), 7.52 (t, \(J = 7.7\) Hz, 1H, H\(_{bb}\)), 7.30 (s, 1H, H\(_3\)), 7.26 (d, \(J = 8.7\) Hz, 2H, H\(_{ge}\)), 7.05 (d, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 2H, H\(_6\)), 7.09–6.98 (m, 2H, H\(_{aa+cc}\)), 6.79 (d, \(J = 8.7\) Hz, 2H, H\(_{bb}\)), 6.74 (d, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 2H, H\(_c\)), 6.32 (d, \(J = 2.4\) Hz, 1H, H\(_i\)), 6.26 (d, \(J = 2.4\) Hz, 1H, H\(_{di}\)), 4.49 (d, \(J = 4.9\) Hz, 2H, H\(_2\)), 3.95 (t, \(J = 6.2\) Hz, 2H, H\(_n\)), 3.89 (t, \(J = 6.3\) Hz, 2H, H\(_o\)), 3.47 (t, \(J = 6.0\) Hz, 2H, H\(_a\)), 3.39 (t, \(J = 6.2\) Hz, 2H, H\(_o\)), 3.11 (s, 6H, H\(_i\)), 3.05 (t, \(J = 7.5\) Hz, 2H, H\(_1\)), 2.98 (t, \(J = 7.7\) Hz, 2H, H\(_3\)), 2.92 (t, \(J = 7.6\) Hz, 2H, H\(_d\)), 2.65–6.54 (m, 4H, H\(_{bb+cc}\)), 2.30 (t, \(J = 7.1\) Hz, 2H, H\(_j\)), 2.24 (s, 3H, H\(_a\)), 2.21–2.13 (m, 4H, H\(_{aa+cc}\)), 2.12–2.00 (m, 2H, H\(_m\)), 1.88–1.74 (m, 6H, H\(_{ge+gin}\)), 1.72–1.59 (m, 6H, H\(_{i+j}+w\)), 1.60–1.48 (m, 2H, H\(_{di}\)), 1.26 (s, 9H, H\(_ii\)). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta = 172.9, 160.8, 157.0, 156.8, 156.0, 147.6, 143.4, 137.2, 136.0, 129.9, 129.8, 126.3, 121.5, 119.4, 114.4, 114.0, 103.9, 103.6, 69.9, 69.7, 67.8, 67.1, 44.5, 40.0, 36.4, 34.6, 34.1, 32.8, 31.6, 31.1, 30.9, 30.0, 29.5, 29.5, 29.3, 29.0, 25.7, 25.4, 20.5. HRMS (ESI\(^+\)): \(m/z = 856.5727\) [M+H \(^+\)] \((\text{calcd. 856.5735 for C}_{54}\text{H}_{74}\text{N}_5\text{O}_4\text{+})\).
Synthesis of L1Pd-(2,6-DMAP)

Synthesis of 11

To a solution of 10 (1.50 g, 3.85 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and 1,2-dibromoethane (3.62 g, 19.2 mmol, 5.00 equiv) in acetone (30 mL), was added K2CO3 (2.65 g, 19.2 mmol, 5.00 equiv) and the suspension was refluxed for 18 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue washed with water, extracted with butanone, and dried over MgSO4. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (SiO2, CH2Cl2/MeOH 95:5) to give 11 (1.38 g, 72%) as a brown solid. m.p. 121-123 °C. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 7.92 (t, 2H, H d), 7.90 (s, 2H, H c), 7.22 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 4H, H f), 7.14 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 4H, H g), 4.62 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 4H, H e), 4.47 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 2H, H b), 3.68 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 2H, H a), 2.34 (s, 6H, H h). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 166.9, 163.5, 151.0, 137.3, 135.1, 129.5, 127.8, 111.4, 68.3, 43.3, 28.0, 21.2. HRMS (ESI+): m/z = 496.1225 [M+H]+ (calcd. 496.1230 for C25H27BrN3O3+).

Synthesis of 12

A solution of 11 (1.08 g, 2.17 mmol, 1.00 equiv), NaN3 (1.43 g, 22.0 mmol, 10.0 equiv) and NaI (50.0 mg, 0.33 mmol, 0.15 equiv) in DMF (10 mL) was stirred at 90 °C for 18 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in CH2Cl2, washed with H2O, and dried over MgSO4. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (SiO2, petroleum ether/EtOAc 3:1) to yield 12 (810 mg, 81%) as a pale, yellow oil. 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 7.97 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 2H, H d),
7.90 (s, 2H, Hc), 7.21 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 4H, Hf), 7.12 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 4H, Hg), 4.61 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 4H, Hb), 4.30 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 2H, Hc), 3.67 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 2H, Hf), 2.33 (s, 6H, Hb).

**13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3):** δ = 167.2, 163.3, 151.2, 137.5, 135.1, 129.6, 127.9, 111.6, 67.8, 49.8, 43.5, 21.3.  **HRMS (ESI+):** m/z = 459.2137 [M+H]+ (calcd. 459.2139 for C25H27N6O3+).

**Synthesis of 13**

Azide 12 (1.51 g, 3.30 mmol, 1.00 equiv) was dissolved in THF (20 mL) and purged with nitrogen for 20 min. NaH (290 mg, 7.26 mmol, 2.20 equiv) was added and the mixture stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The reaction mixture was then cooled to 0 °C and protected from light. PtCl2(SMe2)2 (2.32 g, 5.93 mmol, 1.80 equiv) was added and the reaction stirred for 18 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue purified by flash column chromatography (SiO2, CH2Cl2/MeOH 99:1) to give 13 (1.50 g, 64%) as an orange solid. m.p. 260 °C (dec.).  **1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3):** δ = 7.40 (s, 2H, Hc), 7.16 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, Hf), 7.06 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, Hg), 4.77 (s, 6H, He), 4.33 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H, Hb), 3.71 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H, Ha), 2.27 (s, 6H, Hh), 1.81 (s, 6H, Hd).  **13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3):** δ = 172.2, 169.1, 152.3, 137.0, 136.1, 129.2, 126.6, 111.2, 68.6, 50.2, 49.7, 22.1, 21.2.  **HRMS (ESI+):** m/z = 714.1823 [M+H]+ (calcd. 714.1821 for C27H31N6O3PtS+).

**Synthesis of 15**

To a solution of 3,5-dibromoanisole (2.66 g, 10.0 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and trimethylsilylacetylene (4.30 mL, 30.0 mmol, 3.00 equiv) in degassed THF/Et3N (2:1, 30 mL) was added PdCl2(PPh3)2 (705 mg, 1.00 mmol, 0.10 equiv) and Cul (285 mg, 1.50 mmol, 0.15 equiv) and the solution was stirred at 65 °C for 16 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, and a solution of KOH in methanol (1 M,
50 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature, poured into brine (150 mL), and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 50 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, petroleum ether/EtOAc 98:2) to yield 15 (1.47 g, 94%) as colourless crystals. m.p. 63-65 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.22 (t, J = 1.3 Hz, 1H, Hc), 7.01 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 2H, Hb), 3.80 (s, 3H, Hd), 3.07 (s, 2H, Ha).

¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 159.2, 128.41, 123.5, 118.4, 82.7, 77.8, 55.6. HRMS (EI⁺): m/z = 156.0572 [M]+ (calcd. 156.0570 for C₁₁H₈O⁺).

**Synthesis of 16**

To a solution of 12 (780 mg, 1.72 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in MeCN (25 mL) was added Pd(OAc)₂ (460 mg, 2.06 mmol, 1.15 equiv) and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h. A yellow precipitate was formed, filtered off and rinsed with ice-cold CH₃CN to give 16 as a yellow/green solid (870 mg, 84%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃/CD₃CN): δ = 7.22 (s, 2H, Hc), 7.17 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, Hg), 7.06 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, Hf), 4.46 (s, 4H, He), 4.25 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H, Hb), 3.64 (m, 2H, Ha), 2.28 (s, 6H, Hh), 1.98 (s, 3H, Hd). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 170.5, 168.7, 154.9, 138.7, 135.8, 129.0, 127.4, 111.5, 111.0, 68.5, 50.1, 43.3, 21.2, 2.1. HRMS m/z = 602.1094 [M−2H+H⁺]⁺ (calcd. 602.1132 for C₂₇H₂₆N₇O₃Pd⁺).
Synthesis of 17

To a solution of compound 16 (300 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (6.0 mL) was added 2,6-lutidine (60 µL, 0.50 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 3 h. The solution was purged with N₂ for 20 min. and DIPEA (130 µL, 1.50 equiv) and 15 (320 mg, 5.00 equiv) were added. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 5 min. Cu(CH₃CN)₄·PF₆ (37.0 mg, 20% mol) and a catalytic amount of TBTA were added to the reaction which was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 98:2) to yield 17 (330 mg, 80%) as a pale, yellow solid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.95 (s, 1H, Hₖ), 7.62 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, Hₗ), 7.52 (t, J = 1.3 Hz, 1H, Hₘ), 7.49 (dd, J = 2.4, 1.3 Hz, 1H, H₁/₂ₙ), 7.36 (s, 2H, c), 7.01 (dd, J = 2.4, 1.3 Hz, 1H, H₁/₂ₙ), 6.80 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H, Hₘ), 6.44 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H, Hₙ), 4.91 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H, Hₒ), 4.63 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H, Hₙ), 4.00 (s, 4H, Hₓ), 3.88 (s, 3H, Hp), 3.09 (s, 1H, Hₙ), 2.41 (s, 6H, H₇), 2.24 (s, 6H, H₈). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 170.8, 167.9, 160.5, 150.9, 147.4, 138.7, 138.0, 136.1, 131.9, 128.8, 127.4, 123.8, 122.8, 122.2, 121.2, 117.7, 112.2, 110.8, 83.3, 77.6, 67.7, 55.7, 55.5, 53.8, 49.4, 25.6, 21.2. HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z = 826.2346 [M+H]+ (calcd. 826.2333 for C₄₃H₄₂N₇O₄Pd⁺); 848.2159 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd. 848.2147 for C₄₅H₄₁N₇NaO₄Pd⁺).
Synthesis of 20

To a solution of 19 (150 mg, 0.18 mmol, 1.00 equiv) under N₂ in DMF (10 mL), was added a solution of 13 (150 mg, 0.21 mmol, 1.20 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL). The solution was stirred vigorously at room temperature for 16 h. The resulting mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH/Et₃N 96.5:3.5:0.5) to yield 20 (173 mg, 62 %) as an orange solid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.78 (s, 1H, Hₜ), 7.62 (s, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H, Hᵱ), 7.53 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, Hₛₑ), 7.31 (s, 2H, H₆), 7.30 (br, 1H, Hₗ), 7.27 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, Hₘₑ), 7.06 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H, Hₗ), 7.03 (m, 2H, Hₐ+cc), 6.96 (t, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, Hₘ), 6.83 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, Hₘ), 6.19 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, Hₐ₊cc), 6.73 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Hₗ), 6.67 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, Hₗ), 6.41 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H, Hₖ/i), 6.33 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H, Hₖ/i), 4.50 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 2H, Hₗ), 4.39 (d, J = 14.9 Hz, 2H, Hₐ+cc), 3.82 (m, 2H, Hₐ), 4.32 (d, J = 15.1 Hz, 2H, Hₗ), 3.97 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H, Hₐ), 3.86 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H, Hₗ), 3.67 (m, 2H, Hₗ), 3.43 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H, Hₗ), 3.33 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 2H, Hₗ), 3.13 (s, 6H, H₂), 2.93 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H, Hₗ), 2.83 (m, 2H, Hₗ), 2.72 (m, 2H, Hₗ), 2.36 (m, 2H, Hₗ), 2.30 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H, Hₗ), 2.25 (m, 5H, Hₕₑ), 2.19 (m, 8H, Hₖ+i), 1.98–1.88 (m, 2H, Hₗ), 1.78–1.67 (m, 4H, Hₕ+i), 1.67–1.57 (m, 4H, Hₕ+i), 1.52–1.38 (m, 4H, Hₕ+i), 1.28 (s, 9H, Hₗ). HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z = 1507.7276 [M+H]⁺ (calcd. 1507.7293 for C₇₉H₈₇N₁₁O₇Pt⁺).
Synthesis of L1Pd-(2,6-lutidine)

To a solution of 20 (150 mg, 0.10 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and 17 (90.0 g, 0.11 mmol, 1.10 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ was added DIPEA (20.0 mg, 0.17 mmol, 1.50 equiv) via syringe. Cu(CH₃CN)₄PF₆ (15.0 mg, 0.04 mmol, 0.40 equiv) and a catalytic amount of TBTA were added forming an orange solution which was stirred under a nitrogen environment at room temperature for 12 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude residue purified by flash column chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH/Et₃N 95.5:4:0.5) to yield L1Pd-(2,6-lutidine) (220 mg, 85%) as a yellow solid. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.35 (s, 1H, H₁₂), 8.29 (s, 1H, H₈), 7.69 (s, 1H, H₉), 7.66 (s, 1H, H₁₀), 7.61 (s, 1H, H₁₁), 7.60 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H, H₂₂), 7.55 (s, 2H, H₁₀), 7.54 (t, 1H, H₉), 7.42 (s, 2H, H₁₅), 7.40 (s, 2H, H₁₆), 7.28 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, H₆₁), 7.19 (s, 1H, H₁), 7.02–7.09 (m, 2H, H₆₁+cc), 7.05 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H, H₆₁), 6.92 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, H₂₁), 6.83–6.75 (m, 12H, H₆₁+hh+2+18), 6.65 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, H₃), 6.43 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, H₁₇), 6.24 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, H₆₁), 6.22 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, H₁), 4.85–4.79 (m, 4H, H₇+₁₃), 4.62 (br s, 4H, H₆+₁₄), 4.52 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 4H, H₄), 4.39–4.21 (m, 4H, H₄), 3.98 (s, 4H, H₁₆), 3.97 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H, H₆₁), 3.95 (s, 3H, H₃), 3.91 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H, H₂), 3.46 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H, H₁₃), 3.33 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 2H, H₁₃), 2.97 (s, 6H, H₂), 2.96–2.92 (m, 2H, H₆₁), 2.74 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H, H₁), 2.68 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, H₁), 2.39 (s, 6H, H₂), 2.33–2.30 (m, 2H, H₈), 2.30–2.27 (m, 4H, H₆₁+cc), 2.26 (s, 3H, H₃), 2.22 (s, 6H, H₁₅), 2.19 (s, 6H, H₁₃), 2.19–2.15 (m, 2H, H₁₆), 2.02–1.95 (m, 2H, H₆₁), 1.82–1.77 (m, 2H, H₁₃), 1.77–1.73 (m, 2H, H₈), 1.69–1.63 (m, 2H, H₁₆), 1.62–1.54 (m, 2H, H₁₃), 1.48–1.52 (m, 2H, H₁₃), 1.48–1.43 (m, 2H, H₁₆), 1.28 (s, 9H, H₁₆). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 172.4, 171.6, 170.9, 168.3, 168.2, 160.9, 160.9, 160.5, 157.1, 156.8, 155.7, 154.8, 153.9, 150.0, 149.8, 147.9, 147.9, 143.5, 139.7, 138.7, 138.0, 137.5, 137.4, 137.3, 136.1, 135.4, 132.4, 130.1, 129.8, 128.8, 127.3, 126.5, 126.4, 122.8, 122.0, 121.9, 121.7, 119.5, 116.3, 114.5, 114.0, 111.4, 111.4, 111.1, 103.3, 103.1, 70.9, 69.5, 68.0, 67.8, 67.1,
55.8, 49.6, 49.4, 49.3, 46.4, 44.5, 39.4, 36.0, 34.7, 34.2, 32.3, 31.7, 30.4, 30.2, 30.1, 30.0, 29.8, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 26.1, 25.6, 25.3, 21.2, 20.6. HRMS (ESI\(^+\)): \( m/z = 2332.9570 [M+H]^+ \) (calcd. 2332.9548 for \( \text{C}_{122}\text{H}_{139}\text{N}_{18}\text{O}_{11}\text{PdPt}^+ \)).

**Synthesis of L1Pd-(2,6-DMAP)**

A solution of L1Pd-(2,6-lutidine) (96.0 mg, 0.04 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in CH\(_3\)Cl/CH\(_3\)CN (7:3, 250 mL, 0.16 mM) was stirred at 65 °C for 14 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude mixture purified by column chromatography (SiO\(_2\), CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)/MeOH/Et\(_3\)N 95.5:4:0.5) to yield L1Pd-(2,6-DMAP) (69.0 mg, 76%) as a yellow solid. \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta = 8.55 \) (s, 1H, H\(_{12}\)), 8.17 (s, 1H, H\(_{8}\)), 7.78 (s, 1H, H\(_1\)), 7.70 (dd, \( J = 2.4, 1.4 \) Hz, 1H, H\(_{10/10'}\)), 7.60 (dd, \( J = 2.4, 1.4 \) Hz, 1H, H\(_{18/18'}\)), 7.53 (t, \( J = 7.7 \) Hz, 1H, H\(_{bb}\)), 7.42 (s, 2H, H\(_{15}\)), 7.42 (s, 1H, H\(_{11}\)), 7.38 (s, 1H, H\(_7\)), 7.28 (d, \( J = 8.9 \) Hz, 2H, H\(_{ee}\)), 7.19 (s, 1H, H\(_7\)), 7.19–7.17 (m, 1H, H\(_r\)), 7.06–7.03 (m, 4H, H\(_{aa+cc+b}\)), 6.98 (s, 2H, H\(_5\)), 6.81 (d, \( J = 8.7 \) Hz, 2H, H\(_{bb}\)), 6.81 (d, \( J = 7.7 \) Hz, 4H, H\(_{18}\)), 6.75 (d, \( J = 8.6 \) Hz, 2H, H\(_3\)), 6.70 (d, \( J = 7.6 \) Hz, 4H, H\(_2\)), 6.58 (d, \( J = 7.7 \) Hz, 4H, H\(_{17}\)), 6.56 (d, \( J = 7.6 \) Hz, 4H, H\(_3\)), 6.15 (d, \( J = 2.4 \) Hz, 1H, H\(_3\)), 6.10 (d, \( J = 2.4 \) Hz, 1H, H\(_1\)), 4.96 (t, \( J = 6.5 \) Hz, 2H, H\(_7\)), 4.92 (t, \( J = 4.6 \) Hz, 2H, H\(_{13}\)), 4.59 (t, \( J = 4.6 \) Hz, 2H, H\(_{14}\)), 4.52 (d, \( J = 4.9 \) Hz, 2H, H\(_{4/4'}\)), 4.49 (d, \( J = 15.5 \) Hz, 2H, H\(_{4/4'}\)), 4.26 (t, \( J = 6.5 \) Hz, 2H, H\(_{6}\)), 4.10 (d, \( J = 15.5 \) Hz, 2H, H\(_{4/4'}\)), 4.03 (d, \( J = 14.0 \) Hz, 2H, H\(_{16/16'}\)), 3.97 (t, \( J = 6.1 \) Hz, 2H, H\(_8\)), 3.96 (s, 3H, H\(_9\)), 3.89 (d, \( J = 14.0 \) Hz, 2H, H\(_{16/16'}\)), 3.85 (t, \( J = 6.4 \) Hz, 2H, H\(_d\)), 3.31–3.25 (m, 4H, H\(_{aa+cc+b}\)), 3.11 (s, 6H, H\(_3\)), 2.95–2.92 (m, 2H, H\(_{ad}\)), 2.94–2.90 (m, 2H, H\(_{1}\)), 2.73–2.67 (m, 2H, H\(_{1}\)), 2.33 (t, \( J = 7.8 \) Hz, 2H, H\(_3\)), 2.30 (t, \( J = 7.3 \) Hz, 2H, H\(_3\)), 2.27 (s, 3H, H\(_{1}\)), 2.21 (s, 6H, H\(_{19}\)), 2.19–2.17 (m, 2H, H\(_{ee}\)), 2.18–2.15 (m, 2H, H\(_3\)), 2.14 (s, 6H, H\(_1\)), 1.73–1.61 (m, 6H, H\(_{pm+rn}\)), 1.60–1.47 (m, 6H, H\(_{pm+rn}\)), 1.28 (s, 9H, H\(_d\)), 1.28–1.24 (m, 2H, H\(_g\)). \(^1\)\(^3\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \( \delta = 172.6, 171.5, 171.0, 167.9, 167.7, 162.4, 161.5, 161.1, 160.9, 157.0, 156.8, 156.0, 155.7, 154.3, 154.2, 150.1, 149.8,
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148.3, 147.2, 143.5, 139.9, 139.3, 138.5, 137.3, 137.1, 135.6, 135.6, 132.6, 132.2, 131.3, 129.9, 128.8, 127.8, 126.4, 126.4, 122.5, 122.2, 121.6, 119.3, 116.5, 114.5, 114.4, 114.4, 113.9, 111.4, 111.3, 111.2, 110.6, 103.8, 103.5, 103.3, 70.4, 70.0, 68.2, 67.8, 67.2, 67.1, 55.8, 53.6, 49.7, 49.6, 49.0, 48.3, 46.2, 44.6, 40.1, 39.6, 38.7, 36.0, 35.5, 34.7, 34.2, 32.5, 31.7, 30.2, 29.8, 29.7, 29.4, 29.3, 29.0, 28.7, 27.9, 27.5, 26.2, 25.3, 21.2, 20.6, 14.3, 9.2. LRMS (ESI+): m/z = 2225.6 [M+H]+; 2247.9 [M+Na]+. HRMS (ESI+): m/z = 1113.4441 [M+2H]+ (calcd. 1113.4448 for C_{115}H_{131}N_{17}O_{11}PdPt^{2+}).

Figure 2.10 - Isotopic mass distribution for L1Pd-(2,6-DMAP). LRESI+: m/z = 2247.98 [M+Na]+ (calcd. 2247.86 for C_{115}H_{129}N_{17}O_{11}PdPtNa^+): a) experimental; b) theoretical.

Synthesis of L1Pd-(2,6-Py)

L1Pd-(2,6-DMAP) (17.0 mg, 7.60 µmol, 1.00 equiv) and CH_3SO_3H (1.00 equiv) were dissolved in a CHCl_3/CH_3CN mixture (7:3, 76 mL, 0.1 mM) and heated at 65 °C for 40 h. Toluene (50 mL) was added and the solvent slowly removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by preparative thin layer chromatography (SiO_2, CH_2Cl_2/MeOH 95:5) to yield L1Pd-(2,6-Py) (4.5 mg, 26%) as a yellow solid. ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CD_2Cl_2): δ = 8.69 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H, H_y), 8.12 (s, 1H, H_12), 8.07 (s, 1H, H_6),
7.82 (s, 2H, H₅), 7.81 (s, 1H, H₇), 7.74 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H, H₅), 7.72 (s, 1H, H₇), 7.70 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H, H₃), 7.60 (t, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H, H₁₁), 7.54 (dd, J = 2.4, 1.4 Hz, 1H, H₁₀), 7.46 (dd, J = 2.4, 1.4 Hz, 1H, H₁₀), 7.36 (dd, J = 7.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H, H₃), 7.32 (s, 1H, H₇), 7.30–7.27 (m, 1H, H₅), 7.29 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, H₈), 7.19 (s, 2H, H₁₅), 7.09 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 4H, H₁₇), 7.04 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, H₈), 6.97 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 4H, H₁₀), 6.90 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, H₂), 6.86 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, H₈), 6.76 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, H₈), 6.74 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, H₁₇), 6.34 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H, H₃), 6.32 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H, H₃), 5.90 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H, H₂), 4.89–4.85 (m, 4H, H₇₊₁₃), 4.67 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H, H₁₄), 4.58 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H, H₁₄), 4.49–4.44 (m, 8H, Hₐ₊₁₆), 4.29 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 4H, H₄), 4.16–4.12 (m, 2H, H₁₂), 4.11–4.07 (m, 2H, H₁₂), 4.05–4.00 (m, 2H, H₁₂), 3.93 (s, 3H, H₉), 3.90 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H, H₉), 3.56 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H, H₉), 3.17 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H, H₉), 3.02 (s, 6H, H₁₂), 2.60–2.55 (m, 2H, H₁₀), 2.50–2.47 (m, 2H, H₁₀), 2.38–2.27 (m, 6H, Hₐ₊₁₆), 2.26 (s, 3H, H₉), 2.23 (s, 12H, H₁₊₁₉), 2.07–2.02 (m, 2H, H₁₂), 1.84–1.77 (m, 2H, H₁₂), 1.73–1.66 (m, 2H, H₁₂), 1.67–1.57 (m, 2H, H₁₂), 1.48 (s, 2H, H₁₂), 1.28 (s, 9H, H₁₂). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ = 174.2, 172.4, 172.3, 171.9, 168.4, 167.4, 164.3, 163.8, 162.5, 161.4, 161.3, 161.3, 159.9, 157.6, 157.2, 156.0, 154.1, 152.0, 150.7, 150.6, 149.0, 144.1, 140.1, 139.9, 139.6, 139.4, 137.9, 137.3, 136.2, 136.1, 133.1, 133.0, 130.3, 130.2, 129.6, 129.3, 128.0, 126.8, 126.8, 124.7, 124.4, 122.4, 121.8, 116.2, 114.8, 114.5, 111.6, 111.5, 111.2, 111.1, 105.8, 105.6, 70.8, 70.5, 68.9, 68.6, 68.3, 68.0, 67.6, 67.0, 65.7, 65.6, 63.9, 56.2, 50.1, 49.8, 49.7, 46.2, 43.5, 40.2, 39.7, 36.8, 36.5, 36.4, 34.6, 34.5, 33.6, 32.5, 32.3, 31.8, 30.3, 30.2, 30.1, 30.1, 30.0, 29.9, 29.9, 29.8, 29.7, 29.6, 29.4, 28.9, 28.9, 28.7, 26.5, 25.5, 25.4, 25.3, 23.3, 21.4, 21.3, 20.7, 14.4, 1.3. LRMS (ESI⁺): m/z = 2226.0 [M+H]⁺; 2248.0 [M+Na]⁺.

Figure 2.11 - Isotopic mass distribution for L1Pd-(2,6-Py). LRESI⁺: m/z = 2247.96 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd. 2247.86 for C₁₁₅H₁₂₁N₁₇O₁₁PdPtNa⁺): a) experimental; b) theoretical.
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Scheme 2.8 - Synthesis of bimetallic complex. Reagents and conditions: (a) 13, DMF, 85%; (b) 17, DIPEA, Cu(CH₃CN)₄·PF₆, TBTA, 88%; (c) DMF/CH₃CN (1:1), 0.2 mM, 67%.
Synthesis of S16

Pd(OH)$_2$/C was stirred in D$_2$O for 16 h. D$_2$O was removed under reduced pressure and dried under high vacuum before use. S9 (1.20 g, 2.10 mmol, 1.00 equiv) was dissolved in THF/methanol-$d_4$ (4:1, 50 mL) and degassed with N$_2$, followed by D$_2$. K$_2$CO$_3$ (14.0 mg, 0.10 mmol, 0.05 equiv) and Pd(OH)$_2$/C (120 mg, 10 % weight) were added and the reaction continued to be purged with D$_2$ for an additional 10 min. before being allowed to stir for 16 h under a D$_2$ atmosphere. The crude residue was filtered through Celite®, and purified by column chromatography (SiO$_2$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$/MeOH 9:1) to yield S16 (994 mg, 78%) as a colourless oil. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta = 8.27$ (d, $J = 1.8$ Hz, 1H, H$_r$), 8.26 (d, $J = 1.8$ Hz, 1H, H$_y$), 7.30 (t, $J = 2.1$ Hz, 1H, H$_s$), 7.05 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H, H$_b$), 6.77 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H, H$_c$), 6.25 (d, $J = 2.3$ Hz, 1H, H$_k$), 6.22 (d, $J = 2.3$ Hz, 1H, H$_i$), 3.91 (t, $J = 6.6$ Hz, 2H, H$_d$), 3.66 (s, 3H, H$_y$), 3.46 (s, 2H, H$_a$), 3.41 (s, 2H, H$_o$), 2.98 (s, 6H, H$_j$), 2.33 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, H$_x$), 2.27 (s, 3H, H$_z$), 1.83–1.76 (m, 2H, H$_e$), 1.65 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, H$_w$), 1.54–1.49 (m, 2H, H$_t$). $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta = 174.0$, 161.5, 161.5, 157.1, 147.8, 147.6, 147.6, 136.9, 136.9, 135.9, 130.0, 130.0, 129.7, 114.5, 114.5, 103.2, 103.1, 70.5, 69.7, 68.1, 67.9, 51.7, 39.4, 33.9, 29.8, 29.3, 25.9, 25.9, 25.8, 24.5, 24.4, 20.6. HRMS (ESI$^+$): m/z = 606.4933 [M+H]$^+$ (calcd. 606.4940 for C$_{36}$H$_{36}$D$_{16}$N$_3$O$_4$$^+$).

Synthesis of S17

S16 (319 mg, 0.53 mmol, 1.00 equiv) was dissolved in DMF (5 mL) and a CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (5 mL) solution of 13 (375 mg, 0.53 mmol, 1.00 equiv) was added dropwise. The reaction was stirred vigorously for 16 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (SiO$_2$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$/MeOH/Et$_3$N
98.5:2:0.5) to yield S17 (568 mg, 85%) as a yellow solid. 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 7.72 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1H, Hγ), 7.71 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1H, H3), 7.37 (s, 2H, Hδ), 7.20 (t, J = 1.9 Hz, 1H, H3), 7.06 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, H3), 6.85 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, Hα), 6.78 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, H3), 6.69 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, Hα), 6.24 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, H3), 6.22 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, H3), 4.36 (s, 4H, Hcc), 4.33 (t, J = 4.3 Hz, 2H, Hee), 3.92 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, Hδ), 3.73–3.69 (m, 2H, Hff), 3.69 (s, 3H, Hy), 3.47 (s, 2H, Hn), 3.36 (s, 2H, Hn), 2.97 (s, 6H, Hj), 2.33 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H, H3), 2.27 (s, 3H, H3), 2.22 (s, 6H, H3), 1.84–1.76 (m, 2H, H3), 1.60 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H, H3), 1.55–1.48 (m, 2H, H3). 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 173.7, 171.7, 168.4, 162.0, 157.1, 155.5, 153.9, 150.1, 149.9, 137.6, 137.4, 135.4, 130.0, 129.8, 128.8, 126.6, 114.5, 111.0, 103.2, 103.0, 70.9, 69.4, 68.4, 68.1, 51.8, 49.5, 49.6, 39.4, 33.8, 33.6, 32.1, 30.3, 29.9, 29.5, 29.4, 26.9, 25.9, 24.4, 22.8, 21.1, 20.6, 14.3. HRMS (ESI+): m/z = 1257.6444 [M+H]+ (calcd. 1257.6449 for C61H60D16N9O7Pt+).

Synthesis of S18

Compounds S17 (480 mg, 0.38 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and 17 (318 g, 0.38 mmol, 1.00 equiv) were dissolved in CH2Cl2 (25 mL) and the reaction mixture purged with N2 for 20 min. DIPEA (100 µL, 1.50 equiv) was added and the mixture stirred for 5 min. Cu(CH3CN)4·PF6 (37.0 mg, 0.20 equiv) and a catalytic amount of TBTA were added to the reaction which was stirred at room temperature for 14 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue purified by flash column chromatography (SiO2, CH2Cl2/MeOH/Et3N 94.5:5:0.5) to yield S18 (706 mg, 88%) as an orange oil. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 8.35 (s, 1H, H7/12), 8.28 (s, 1H, H7/12), 7.70 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H, H3), 7.66 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H, H3), 7.63–7.60 (m, 1H, H3), 7.61–7.57 (m, 1H, H22), 7.56–7.53 (m, 2H, H10), 7.43 (s, 2H, H3), 7.41 (s, 2H, H15), 7.19 (s, 1H, H3), 7.05 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, H3), 6.92 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, H21), 6.81 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, H18), 6.79 – 6.75 (m, 6H, H2c),
6.66 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, H_{17}), 6.42 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, H_3), 6.24 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H, H_k), 6.23 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H, H_i), 4.86–4.79 (m, 4H, H_{6'+13}), 4.60–4.65 (m, 4H, H_{6+14}), 4.32 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 4H, H_{16}), 3.98 (s, 4H, H_4), 3.95 (s, 3H, H_{11}), 3.91 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H, H_8), 3.67 (s, 3H, H_2), 3.47 (s, 2H, H_6), 3.35 (s, 2H, H_3), 3.00 (s, 6H, H_5), 2.38 (s, 6H, H_{20}), 2.31 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H, H_8), 2.26 (s, 3H, H_2), 2.22 (s, 6H, H_1), 2.20 (s, 6H, H_{19}), 1.84–1.76 (m, 2H, H_e), 1.57 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H, H_f).

**Synthesis of 21**

S18 (1.85 g, 0.89 mmol) was dissolved in DMF/MeCN 1:1 (4.0 L, 0.2 mM) and the reaction stirred at 65 °C for 5 h. The solvent was removed at 60 °C under reduced pressure. The orange residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO\_2, CH\_2Cl\_2/MeOH 95:5) to yield 21 (1.18 g, 67%) as a yellow solid. \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\_3): \(\delta = 8.52\) (s, 1H, H_{12}), 8.18 (s, 1H, H_7), 7.75 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 1H, H_{8/10}), 7.69 (s, 1H, H_9), 7.62 (s, 1H, H_{8/10}), 7.48 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 1H, H_{7/9}), 7.44 (s, 2H, H_5), 7.41 (s, 1H, H_9), 7.18 (t, J = 1.7 Hz, 1H, H_1), 7.08 (s, 2H, H_{13}), 7.05 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, H_9), 6.81 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, H_3), 6.75 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 4H, H_2), 6.73 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 4H, H_{17}), 6.62 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 4H, H_{18}), 6.58 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, H_2), 6.16 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H, H_1), 6.10 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H, H_3), 4.96 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H, H_{13}), 4.92 (t, J = 4.3 Hz, 2H, H_8), 4.60 (t, J = 4.4 Hz, 2H, H_6), 4.42 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 2H, H_{16}), 4.31 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H, H_{14}), 4.20 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 2H, H_{19}), 4.08 (d, J = 13.9 Hz, 2H, H_4), 3.96 (s, 3H, H_2), 3.86 (d, J = 13.9 Hz, 2H, H_4), 3.85 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H, H_3), 3.68 (s, 3H, H_2), 3.28 (s, 4H, H_{n+o}), 3.11 (s, 6H, H_1), 2.34 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H, H_3), 2.27 (s, 3H, H_3), 2.21 (s, 3H, H_1), 2.16 (s, 6H, H_{19}), 1.62–1.58 (m, 2H, H_2), 1.27–1.22 (m, 2H, H_4). \(^{13}\)C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl\_3): \(\delta = 173.8, 172.5, 171.5, 171.1, 167.8, 167.7, 162.4, 162.4, 161.4, 161.0, 161.0, 156.9, 155.6, 154.3, 154.2, 154.1, 150.1, 149.8, 148.3, 147.2, 140.8, 139.5, 139.2, 139.2, 138.5, 137.3, 137.1, 135.6, 135.5, 132.5, 132.1, 132.1,
130.0, 129.8, 128.7, 128.6, 127.8, 127.7, 126.5, 126.4, 116.5, 114.3, 111.4, 111.3 111.2, 110.6, 103.4, 103.3, 70.3, 69.9, 68.1, 67.8, 67.2, 55.8, 51.8, 49.6, 48.9, 48.4, 46.0, 39.7, 33.6, 29.8, 29.4, 25.9, 24.4, 22.8, 21.2, 20.6. HRMS (ESI\(^{+}\)): \(m/z = 1996.7784 \text{ [M–H+Na]}^{+}\) (calcd. 1996.7764 for C\(_{97}H_{90}D_{16}N_{15}O_{11}PdPtNa\)).

**Figure 2.12** - Partial \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) of: a) 21; (b) L1Pd-(2,6-DMAP).

**General Procedure for the Protonation-Driven Switching of L1Pd**

Stock solutions of L1Pd-(2,6-DMAP) (2.0 mM in CDCl\(_3\)) and CH\(_3\)SO\(_2\)H (2.0 mM in CDCl\(_3\)) were prepared. Aliquots (0.5 mL) of each solution were mixed and CDCl\(_3\) (6.0 mL) and CD\(_3\)CN (3.0 mL) were added to obtain a 1:1 solution of L1Pd-(2,6-DMAP) (0.1 mM) and CH\(_3\)SO\(_2\)H (0.1 mM) in CDCl\(_3\)/CD\(_3\)CN (7:3). Aliquots of this solution (0.5 mL) were heated at 65 °C or maintained at room temperature and monitored by \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy.
Figure 2.13 - Partial $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of $\text{L1Pd-(2,6-DMAP)}$ before (a) and after (b) the addition of 1 equivalent of CH$_3$SO$_2$H.

Figure 2.14 - Partial $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) of: (a) $\text{L1Pd-(2,6-DMAP)}$; (b) crude operation mixture after work-up; (c) $\text{L1Pd-(2,6-Py)}$. 
Figure 2.15 - Partial $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, C$_2$D$_2$Cl$_4$) of L1Pd-(2,6-Py) at: (a) 298 K; (b) 380 K.

Figure 2.16 - Partial $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$/CD$_3$CN 7:3) of: (a) 1:1 solution of L1Pd-(2,6-DMAP) (0.1 mM) and CH$_3$SO$_2$H; (b) mixture (a) heated at 338 K for 40 h; (c) mixture (b) heated at 338 K for 24 h in the presence of excess K$_2$CO$_3$; (d) reference spectrum of L1Pd-(2,6-DMAP).
2.9 Crystallographic Data

Figure 2.17 - X-ray crystal structures of: (a) L2Pt-(3,5-dihexylPy); (b) L2Pt-DMAP. Hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity. Nitrogen atoms are shown in blue, oxygen atoms red, platinum atoms pink and carbon atoms in grey (DMAP and pyridine carbon atoms are shown in green and red respectively).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>L1Pd-(2,6-DMAP)</th>
<th>L2Pt-(3,5-dihexylPy)</th>
<th>L2Pt-DMAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical formula</td>
<td>C_{101}H_{103}D_{16}N_{15}O_{13}PdPtC_{62}H_{110}N_{8}O_{9}Pt_{2}</td>
<td>C_{62}H_{68}N_{10}O_{7}Pt_{2}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula Mass</td>
<td>2068.70</td>
<td>1742.00</td>
<td>1455.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P-1</td>
<td>P-1</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size/mm</td>
<td>0.03 × 0.20 × 0.20</td>
<td>0.04 × 0.10 × 0.12</td>
<td>0.20 × 0.20 × 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a/Å</td>
<td>14.238(4)</td>
<td>13.963(5)</td>
<td>24.018(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b/Å</td>
<td>18.939(5)</td>
<td>16.442(5)</td>
<td>20.103(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c/Å</td>
<td>19.681(5)</td>
<td>19.176(5)</td>
<td>16.238(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α/°</td>
<td>104.533(6)</td>
<td>90.185(5)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β/°</td>
<td>96.912(8)</td>
<td>106.795(7)</td>
<td>125.402(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ/°</td>
<td>94.763(4)</td>
<td>108.246(5)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V/Å³</td>
<td>5064(2)</td>
<td>3981(2)</td>
<td>6391(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature/K</td>
<td>93(2)</td>
<td>93(2)</td>
<td>93(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_{calc}/Mgm⁻³</td>
<td>1.357</td>
<td>1.448</td>
<td>1.513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ/mm⁻¹</td>
<td>1.624</td>
<td>3.556</td>
<td>4.413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
<td>2116</td>
<td>1772</td>
<td>2888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ limits</td>
<td>2.16° to 25.33°</td>
<td>2.22° to 25.35°</td>
<td>0° to 25.46°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hkl limits</td>
<td>-17,15/-22,17/-23,23</td>
<td>-16,16/-12,19/-20,23</td>
<td>-24,29/-21,24/-19,19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of reflections measured</td>
<td>32421</td>
<td>25292</td>
<td>19911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of independent reflections</td>
<td>17832</td>
<td>14087</td>
<td>5872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_{int}</td>
<td>0.0554</td>
<td>0.0345</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data/restraints/parameters</td>
<td>17832 / 35 / 1168</td>
<td>14087/20/900</td>
<td>5872/43/366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(I&gt; 2σ(I))</td>
<td>R_f = 0.1041</td>
<td>R_f = 0.0521</td>
<td>R_f = 0.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (all data)</td>
<td>wR_2 = 0.3374</td>
<td>wR_2 = 0.1517</td>
<td>wR_2 = 0.2694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness of fit on F²</td>
<td>1.231</td>
<td>1.083</td>
<td>1.052</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 - X-ray crystallographic experimental and refinement data for L1Pd-(2,6-DMAP), L2Pt-(3,5-dihexylPy) and L2Pt-DMAP. In common: Refinement method: full-matrix least-squares on F². Wavelength: 0.71073 Å (Mo/Kα), Temperature: 93(2) K. Absorption correction method: multi-scan (only for L1Pd-(2,6-DMAP) and L2Pt-(3,5-dihexylPy)).
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4. For examples of photo-driven molecular machines, see:


6. For Pd(II)-based molecular shuttles driven by acid-base changes, see:

7. To the best of the author's knowledge, none of the previously reported systems, with the exception of the Haberhauer's motor and the two Pd(II)
rotaxane shuttles, possess ratcheting mechanisms, and are therefore switches.

8. *For examples of interlocked molecular motors, see:*


10. a) Fletcher, S. P.; Dumur, F.; Pollard, M. M.; Feringa, B. L. Science **2005**, 310, 80–82;


16. The italicized suffixes -DMAP, -Py, and -2,6-lutidine denote the monodentate ligand is coordinated to the aforementioned metal.

17. *For a recent review on the design principes of small molecule molecular walkers, see:*

18. Hx = n-hexyl

19. *For exchange of various 4-substituted pyridine ligands at the fourth coordination site of Pd-pincer complexes, see:*


20. *For proton-driven ligand exchange of lutidine coordinated Pd(II), see:*

   *For proton-driven exchange of various amines within copper-coordinated imine ligands, see:*


23. Prior to its synthesis it was postulated that such a molecule might prove difficult to characterize by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy and thus, deuteration of the alkyl chain linkers between the footholds was employed to simplify the spectra. This technique would also prove useful in differentiating between similar footholds in extended and iterative tracks of potential molecular walker systems.

24. Investigation of a compatible protecting group could still make this a viable route to extended systems with 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyl ester (TMSE) as a possible alternative.

25. Crystal data for $^{21}$·2EtOH: yellow prism, 0.03×0.20×0.20 mm, $M_w = 2068.70$, C$_{101}$H$_{103}$D$_{16}$N$_{15}$O$_{13}$PdPt, triclinic, space group $P-1$, $a = 14.238(4)$ Å, $b = 18.939(5)$ Å, $c = 19.681(5)$ Å, $\alpha = 104.533(6)^{\circ}$, $\beta = 96.912(8)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 94.763(4)^{\circ}$, $V = 5064(2)$ Å$^3$, $Z = 2$, $D_c = 1.357$ g/cm$^3$, MoK$_{\alpha}$ radiation (graphite monochromator, $\lambda = 0.71075$ Å), $\mu = 1.624$ mm$^{-1}$, $T = 93(2)$ K, $F(000) = 2116$. 32421 data (17832 unique, $R_{int}=0.055$, 2.16$<\theta<25.3$). 1168 parameters, 35 restraints, $R = 0.1041$ [$I_o > 2\sigma(I_o)$], $wR = 0.3374$ (all reflections), $S = 1.23$.

26. <5% exchange to the alternative positional isomer observed after 30 h.

27. When the concentration of L$_1$Pd was increased in neat coordinating solvent a significant degree of intermolecular ligand exchange was observed, leading to the formation of polymeric material. In order to avoid this, when removing the solvent, the temperature was maintained below 40 °C, and the concentration with respect to coordinating solvent was never increased above the exchange reaction concentration (0.1 mM).


Chapter III

Design, Synthesis and Investigation of Stimuli for a Switchable Platinum(II)-Complexed Molecular Shuttle
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3.1 Synopsis

Switchable mechanically interlocked molecules have shown promise in the field of molecular electronics with potential applications in processors and information storage devices. Further progress in this area demands improvement in molecular switching efficiencies during operation and an increase in the lifetimes of resting states. Herein, the design, synthesis, and characterisation of a novel bistable [2]rotaxane is described incorporating a platinum(II)-complexed macrocycle interlocked with a two-station thread comprising 4-dimethyaminopyridine and pyridine binding sites. The use of both thermodynamic and kinetic stimuli are investigated with the aim of achieving efficient and selective translocation of the metal-complexed macrocycle between stations through reversible protonation, thus constituting a stimuli-responsive molecular shuttle. The substitution pattern of the ligands and the kinetic stability of the Pt-N bond afford exceptional metastability to the co-conformers of the molecule in the resting state; a prerequisite in the procurement of long-term information storage.

In terms of this thesis, the investigation of photoinitiated ligand exchange in platinum(II) complexes is also pursued as a potential orthogonal stimulus to the heat/protonation switch outlined in Chapter II for use in a bimetallic molecular walker system.
3.2 Introduction

The past half-century has witnessed an incredible advancement in the fields of technology and electronics; a progression inextricably linked with man's ability to miniaturise components in the quest for evermore powerful and efficient devices. In 1965, Gordon E. Moore famously laid out a vision for the future of integrated electronics and computing in his seminal paper "Cramming more components onto integrated circuits"\(^1\) where he predicted the number of transistors that could be placed into a given area would double every two years. Although he only foresaw this trend holding for a decade or so, innovative engineering and improved manufacturing techniques have resulted in the remarkable endurance of so-called Moore's Law up to the present day, fuelling the continued progression of electronic device capabilities including computer processing-power and data-storage capacity. After nearly half a century and with transistors now registering at an individual length of little over 20 nm, the end of the law's limits may soon be realised. Keeping components cool and error-free becomes more and more difficult as their dimensions decrease, as do the complex and expensive optical lithography and etching techniques required to accurately arrange them on integrated circuits from a bulk material.\(^2\) In order to match the modern-day information society's seemingly insatiable thirst for faster, more powerful and portable electronic devices, there is a growing demand for smaller, cheaper and more energy efficient alternatives to the silicon chip\(^3\) as the predicted zenith of its capabilities approaches.

The field of molecular electronics\(^4\) has garnered significant interest as one such avenue and involves superlative miniaturisation of parts by incorporating complete electronic components within single molecules. This "bottom-up" approach holds the potential to substitute costly factory assembly lines with large-scale laboratory synthesis of components, all intricately designed and tuned at the atomic level to meet the ultimate goal of molecular electronics, that is: to control macroscopic device properties as a function of chemical structure. This has already been achieved to a certain degree in molecular electronic devices where molecules act as solid-state molecular resistors,\(^5\) rectifiers,\(^6\) and transistors.\(^7\)

Catenanes and rotaxanes that can act as molecular switches and shuttles through manipulation of non-covalent interactions\(^8\) have shown real promise as active
molecular electronic devices\textsuperscript{9} because their operation can instigate changes in experimentally measureable parameters such as calorimetric transformations,\textsuperscript{10} shifts in electrochemical potentials,\textsuperscript{11} and temperature-dependent kinetics,\textsuperscript{10,11a,b,12} thus facilitating a tangible correlation between the properties of chemical solution-phase switching and the resultant responses of the electronic device. To date, most examples have been based around bistable [2]catenane or [2]rotaxane molecular switches and shuttles\textsuperscript{4b,8c,13} that possess two experimentally differentiable, metastable co-conformers. These two states can be translated into a simple binary output where the switch is defined as either ON or OFF, very similar to the binary code that has acted as the core of computing over the past half-century. In principle, each single molecule can store the 'one' or the 'zero' of a bit of information according to its positional isomeric state offering an alternative method of making computer memory\textsuperscript{14} on an unprecedented scale.

A major challenge facing this approach is the degree of metastability afforded to each state. An effective memory storage system would require fast and facile manipulation of the switches when writing information but, equally, each state would need to be completely kinetically locked once the data had been stored to ensure long-term retention. This equates to a chemical switch not dissimilar to those already discussed for use in the operable feet of molecular walkers and stimuli switchable molecular shuttles (Chapter II). Accordingly, the same beneficial control of binding event kinetics and thermodynamics that made metal coordination motifs amenable to these aforementioned fields stands them in good stead to play a role in the achievement of high integrity information storage through molecular memory.

Over the past fifteen years or so, J. P. Sauvage \textit{et al.} have continued to carry out ground-breaking research in the field of redox-responsive Cu(I)/Cu(II) and Cu(II)/Zn(II) mechanically interlocked molecules.\textsuperscript{15} This work has given rise to an impressive wealth of literature and provided the pretext for the palladium(II)-based acid-base switchable molecular shuttles\textsuperscript{16} discussed in Chapter I. Although these latter Pd(II)-based examples do possess an intelligible ON/OFF level and can be fixed in thermodynamically unfavourable states by means of an energy ratchet mechanism,\textsuperscript{17} the metastability of the co-conformers is not absolute under ambient conditions and the systems were reported to gradually return to their equilibrium distributions over time. This aspect needs to be vastly improved if such chemistry is to be used for long-
term memory storage where there is a requirement for complexes to be completely kinetically stable unless subjected to switching conditions.

One approach to improving the retention time of positional integrity in metal-coordinated molecular shuttles in the absence of their operating stimuli is simply to use metal-ligand complexes with greater levels of stability. The platinum(II)-pyridine derivative complexes (L2Pt-) investigated in Chapter II exhibited significantly improved stabilities compared to their palladium(II) counterparts (L2Pd-), making motifs of this kind attractive for potential use in stimuli switchable molecular shuttles aiming to achieve exceptional metastability of co-conformers. The upshot of this increased stability is of course the need for a more rigorous stimulus when operating the machine. Although this would be an issue in the palladium-based shuttles that were reported to decompose at very high temperatures used in attempts to accelerate shuttling, it was predicted that platinum analogues could withstand far harsher conditions whilst still facilitating translocation of the macrocycle on a reasonable timescale. An energetically more efficient alternative would be to employ a different stimulus to labilise the macrocycle and the potential for photonically driven platinum(II) molecular shuttling is explored in this chapter. It was postulated that such a stimulus may also prove to be effective as an independently operable switch for use with an opposing foot to the successful palladium(II) motif laid out in Chapter II and aid the design of extended bimetallic molecular walker systems.

3.3 Basis of Design: Protonation/Deprotonation Driven Ligand Exchange

The concept outlined in Figure 3.1 is very similar to that of the palladium-based molecular shuttles discussed previously only with slight structural adjustments to the binding sites’ substitution patterns on account of platinum(II) complexes’ inability to bind 2,6-disubstituted pyridines. To accommodate these preferences the thread was altered to incorporate a 3,5-substituted pyridine, and a DMAP moiety functionalised through the nitrogen at the 4-position.
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Figure 3.1 - Structure and proposed operation method for a pH-switchable Pt(II)-complexed molecular shuttle, Py-L3Pt.

The system's design was based around the hypothesis that, upon application of a suitable stimulus, the otherwise kinetically inert Pt-ligand bond will be significantly labilised. Assuming the platinum remains coordinated to the tridentate N,N,N-pincer motif of the macrocycle (L3) throughout the application, metal-ligand complex L3Pt will act as a brownian particle free to explore both potential ligand binding sites of the thread. Maintenance of the stimulus will drive the system towards a thermodynamic minimum at which the relative populations of the Py-L3Pt and DMAP-L3Pt states will be defined by the energy difference between them. This ratio could then be fixed upon suspension of the stimulus when the macrocycle becomes kinetically locked to the thread. When Py-L3Pt is operated under neutral conditions, labilisation would invoke a significant shift in relative populations as the majority of macrocycles in the sample translocate to coordinate to the DMAP station on account of its superior ligand strength. The addition of one equivalent of acid and subsequent application of the stimulus will bring about a different equilibrium defined by the relative basicities of the two heterocycles. Acidic operation of the system would instigate protonation of the more basic DMAP moiety and a net migration of macrocycle units to the Py-station. The exceptional kinetic stability of the Pt-N-heterocycle bonds means that shuttling should be completely prohibited in the absence of a stimulus for extensive periods of time. Whilst in this state, the addition or removal of protons to the thread should not...
automatically induce translocation of the macrocycle. These operating conditions would allow the thread do work on the macrocycle by ultimately ratcheting it energetically uphill (from DMAP to Py), an act notoriously difficult to achieve in molecular shuttles that operate via non-covalent interactions. Because resetting the thread (deprotonation) in the absence of a stimulus was not expected to undo the initial translocation task, the macrocycle could be locked in a thermodynamically unfavourable distribution. This method of operation would render the platinum-complexed [2]rotaxane an acid-base switchable molecular shuttle predicted to possess improved levels of metastability from previous examples.\textsuperscript{16}

3.4 Ligand Exchange Experiments

The synthesis of a platinum(II)-complexed [2]rotaxane of the kind proposed in Figure 3.1 by a threading-and-stoppering strategy\textsuperscript{19} is inherently more difficult than for their palladium counterparts because the metal of the macrocycle is more likely to coordinate to the stations on the thread by 'perching' (that is, without forming the desired interlocked species) because the binding sites are far less sterically hindered due to differences in substitution patterns. This issue can be overcome through manipulation of the steric environment of the macrocycle by using a rigid bis-anilide ring,\textsuperscript{16b,20} as opposed to a more flexible benzylic amide macrocycle.\textsuperscript{16a} However, although this choice of shuttling component has been shown to improve positional bias in previous systems, it has also proven to facilitate superior dynamics in terms of rate of equilibration during operation. Considering the aim of this project is to increase the metastability of co-conformers rather than the dynamics between them, some model ligand exchange experiments were carried out to investigate the relative stabilities of the different kinds of platinum(II)-pyridine complexes and assess their respective potentials for use as a components in this new system.

The tridentate pyridine 2,6-dicarboxamide motif (L\textsubscript{2}) used to model the palladium(II) and platinum(II) complexes in Chapter II also provides a good mimic for a potential benzylic amide macrocycle. A simple exchange experiment (Scheme 3.1) showed L\textsubscript{2}Pt-(3,5-dihexylPy) to be stable in coordinating solvent mixture DMF-\textsubscript{d7}/MeCN-\textsubscript{d3} (1:1) in the presence of DMAP at room temperature indefinitely and, even at 348 K, it took 2 weeks to reach an equilibrium state of 25:75 L\textsubscript{2}Pt-(3,5-dihexylPy):DMAP (Figure 3.2). Although this rate of exchange is too slow for practical use, the
metastability of $\text{L}_2\text{Pt-}(3,5-\text{Py})$ in the presence of the superior DMAP ligand was shown to be considerably better than its Pd(II) analogue. Additionally, the exchange process observed when heated was incredibly clean with no decomposition products observed at all providing precedent for the theory of dramatically increased overall stability.

**Scheme 3.1** - Substitution of 3,5-dihexylPy for DMAP ligands in $N,N,N$-pincer-platinum(II) complex $\text{L}_2\text{Pt-}(3,5$-dihexylPy) in DMF-$d_7$/MeCN-$d_3$ (1:1). Time required to reach equilibrium: 2 weeks at 348 K. No ligand exchange was observed at room temperature after 2 weeks.

**Figure 3.2** - $^1\text{H}$ NMR spectra (500 MHz, DMF-$d_7$/MeCN-$d_3$ (1:1), 298 K) $\text{L}_2\text{Pt-}(3,5$-dihexylPy) and DMAP (1:1, 1 mM): (a) $t = 0$ h; (b) $t = 2$ weeks at 348 K. The lettering and colours in the figure refer to the assignments in Scheme 3.1.

Similar exchange experiments were carried out with bis-anilide macrocycle $\text{L}_3$ (Scheme 3.2) to monitor how structural alterations to the $N,N,N$-pincer ligand affected the dynamics of the system. Encouragingly, there was no observable difference in
ambient stability with all complexes shown to be stable in the reaction mixture at room temperature for 2 weeks. At elevated temperature, however, there was a significant increase in the rate of ligand exchange compared to the bis-amide analogues. The lutidine group of $\text{L}_3\text{Pt-}$(3,5-lutidine) was exchanged for DMAP (Scheme 3.2a) reaching an equilibrium distribution of 25:75 ($\text{L}_3\text{Pt-}$(3,5-lutidine):DMAP) within just 18 h. This process could be reversed by adding an equivalent of p-toluenesulfonic acid (Scheme 3.2b). The results suggested that the platinum-complexed [2]rotaxane proposed in Figure 3.1, incorporating both DMAP and Py binding sites and a bis-anilide macrocycle, could operate as an acid-base switchable molecular shuttle with a thermal stimulus in a coordinating solvent.

![Scheme 3.2](image)

**Scheme 3.2** - Reversible substitution of 3,5-dihexlypyridine and DMAP ligands in macrocycle-platinum complex $\text{L}_3\text{Pt-}$(3,5-lutidine)/DMAP in DMF-$d_7$/MeCN-$d_3$ (1:1): (a) neutral conditions; (b) in the presence of TsOH (1 equiv). Time required to reach equilibrium: 18 h at 348 K. No ligand exchange was observed in CDCl$_3$, either under neutral conditions, nor in the presence of TsOH, even after heating at reflux for 5 days.

The method proposed here to increase the metastability of far-from-equilibrium states depends very simply upon raising the kinetic barrier to equilibration from either of the fixed co-conformers of the [2]rotaxane. Although this is beneficial because it results in longer-term information storage, it comes at the cost of a heavier energy penalty to intentional metal-ligand bond labilisation. One way of offsetting this is with the use of a kinetic stimulus to lower the energy barrier during operation and it has indeed been demonstrated that platinum(II)-ligand exchange can be increased upon photoirradiation.
3.5 Photophysics and Photochemistry of Platinum(II)-Py Bonds

To date, the majority of work relating to the photophysical and photochemical properties of platinum(II) complexes has focused upon electronic and spectroscopic characteristics with a view of gaining control over their photoluminescence. Reported applications include use in light-emitting devices, chemical sensors, bioimaging and labelling, solar energy conversion, and photogeneration of hydrogen from water. Although the elucidation of relevant excited states of these complexes is a sine qua non for obtaining a general understanding in any of the bespoke fields, the collective primary incentive for further research is provided by the allure of chemo-stable systems with high luminescent quantum yields and electronic properties that can be easily tuned by means of simple synthetic manipulation. The main challenges facing these systems are competitive non-radiative decay pathways and photodecomposition of the platinum species. An area that has received far less attention, however, is one in which these pathways, previously deemed deleterious, are actively encouraged within platinum(II) complexes specifically designed to undergo controlled photodissociation to yield pre-ordained, desirable photoisomerisation products. Regardless of the respective goals of these systems, a comprehensive understanding of the relevant photophysical and electronic processes involved is essential to enable their effective incorporation as functioning components into advanced molecular machines.

As a coordination centre, platinum(II) has a strong thermodynamic preference for dsp² hybridisation and hence, has proved to be an interesting alternative to the more extensively studied metal ions within the world of inorganic photochemistry, such as ruthenium(II), chromium(III) and rhodium(III) that generally form 6-coordinate octahedral complexes. It is the 4-coordinate square-planar geometry that predominantly dictates the character of the excited states. Platinum in the +2 oxidation state has a d⁶ electron configuration defined by discrete energy levels according to the well-established ligand field theory. This equates to four filled bonding or non-bonding metal-based d-orbitals (dₓ², dᵧᵧ, dₓz, and dᵧz) and a strongly antibonding dₓᵧ² orbital. For platinum(II) complexes solely comprised of simple inorganic ligands such as [PtCl₂]²⁻ or [Pt(NH₃)₄]²⁺, the dₓᵧ² provides the only empty orbital accessible to the ground state upon irradiation. However, such a transition is Laporte forbidden and would therefore be very weak. Furthermore, due to unfavourable interactions with the ligands, the excited state molecule would undergo
significant $D_{2d}$ distortion away from the $D_{4h}$ square-planar configuration towards a tetrahedral conformation.$^{31}$ Such rearrangements promote coupling to the ground state and thus facilitate rapid radiationless deactivation of the excited species.$^{32}$ Hence, simple complexes generally have short-lived ligand field excited states and rarely show any significant luminescence.$^{29}$

It is possible to influence the relative positions of the energy levels involved via careful ligand selection according to steric and electronic properties. However, the inauguration of more electronically complex ligands, such as conjugated aromatics, complicates the picture somewhat by introducing new accessible excited states and consequently, a new range of possible photo-induced transitions including ligand centred ($\pi-\pi^*$) and metal to ligand charge transfer ($d-\pi^*$, MLCT), among others. Consider the system described in the Figure 3.3a where an electron residing in a ground state $d$-orbital is promoted into a $\pi^*$-orbital centred on the ligand via a Laporte allowed photoinduced MLCT. The molecule then undergoes radiationless decay from the upper vibrational states, dissipating energy to the surroundings until it reaches the lowest vibrational level of the electronically excited molecular state ($\pi_1^*, \nu=0$). From here, it can either return to the ground state by spontaneously emitting the remaining excess energy in the form of fluorescence, or it can thermally populate the adjacent $d^*$ excited state centred on the metal, from whence it can undergo subsequent non-radiative decay to the ground state. It is clear from this generic example that the luminescence properties and, to some extent, the excited state lifetimes of such systems are dictated by the disparity between the two excited state energy levels. In order to obtain highly emissive compounds this energy gap must be maximised either by raising the $d^*$-state to inaccessible energies, or by lowering the potentially emissive $\pi^*$-state.

Figure 3.3a displays a simplified description of the potential energy landscape because it is only concerned with the ground and first two singlet excited states. However, platinum's high spin-orbit coupling constant ($\varepsilon$) brings states of higher multiplicity into play by promoting rapid inter-system crossing (ISC). Figure 3.3b accounts for this by describing a similar system but with the $1d^*$-state raised to an inaccessible energy, and a readily accessible excited triplet state available to the first singlet excited state via ISC. The triplet state lies below its singlet analogue in energy in accordance with Hund's maximum multiplicity rule, which dictates that the state of the complex with spins parallel will lie lower in energy than the state in which they are paired.$^{33}$
Figure 3.3 - Potential energy profile showing the possible pathways of a platinum(II) complex promoted from the ground state (GS) via UV-irradiation (hν) into: (a) the first excited singlet ligand-based state (π1*). The molecule descends to the lowest vibrational level from whence it can thermally access a metal-based state (d*) and thence non-radiatively return to the ground state; (b) the first singlet excited state (1MLCT*). Intersystem crossing (ISC) mediates transfer into a triplet state of ligand parentage (3MLCT*), where it rapidly descends to the lowest vibrational level. The molecule can then radiatively dissipate energy via phosphorescence or undergo thermally activated internal conversion (IC) to a highly dissociative metal-based antibonding orbital state (3d-d*) leads to Pt-ligand bond breaking.

Similar to the previous example, irradiation induces a metal to ligand charge transfer to the first singlet excited state, followed by rapid relaxation, non-radiatively transferring energy to the surroundings as the molecule descends through the vibrational levels. At the intersect of the potential energy surfaces, the ligand-based triplet and singlet states share a common geometry where spin-orbit coupling can mediate the unpairing of electron spins and thus facilitate a non-radiative transition between the two states via ISC. From there the molecule continues to dissipate energy to the surroundings descending the triplet's vibrational ladder until it reaches the lowest vibrational level where it is trapped because the solvent cannot absorb the final large quantum of energy and radiative return to the ground state is spin forbidden. These conditions manifest themselves in the formation of a relatively long-lived, paramagnetic state and it is from this state that various possibilities to manipulate the system arise depending on the desired application of the molecule. For the majority of systems mentioned previously in which high luminosity is the ultimate goal, the final step would involve radiative decay back to the ground state in the form of phosphorescence. Although this process is formally spin-forbidden, the same spin-orbit coupling that mediates ISC...
breaks the selection rule and can render the triplet state a slowly radiating reservoir.\textsuperscript{33} Alternatively, for systems with ambitions more akin to those of this project in which organised bond dissociation is the coveted concluding process, an additional element of control over decay from the ligand-based triplet excited state must be introduced.

Because the photolability of transition metal compounds is generally associated with the enforced population of metal-centred (MC) antibonding orbitals,\textsuperscript{34} this can be realised by means of a low-lying metal-centred triplet state (such as $^3d-d^*$ in Figure 3.3b) that is thermally accessible from the triplet MLCT state ($^3\text{MLCT}^*$). Thermal energy can excite the molecule to a higher vibrational level causing its electrons to undergo a reorganisation that results in internal conversion (IC); a radiationless transition to another state of identical multiplicity that occurs most readily when the two states share a common nuclear geometry (i.e. at the intersect of their respective potential energy profiles). If the metal-centred antibonding state into which the molecule converts is dissociative, there will be no ground state analogue and such a conversion will result in the breaking of a chemical bond where the photolability of the compound will be related to the energy difference between the emitting $^3\text{MLCT}$ and the dissociative $^3\text{MC}$ states.

These mechanisms have been studied extensively for ruthenium(II) complexes\textsuperscript{35} and in 2004, Sauvage \textit{et al.} reported two such complexes in which the motility of one component of a catenane molecule could be photochemically controlled by means of photoinduced ligand exchange as a result of orchestrated population of a dissociative excited state.\textsuperscript{36} Although ruthenium(II) systems stand as a useful paradigm for predicting the possible photophysical mechanisms, apart from a few reports of photoisomerisations,\textsuperscript{27a,f} there has not been substantial literature precedent for successful photonically stimulated ligand dissociation in platinum(II) complexes until quite recently. In 2007, Fujita \textit{et al.} reported the potential to labilise an otherwise inert platinum(II)-Py bond by irradiating with ultraviolet (UV) light.\textsuperscript{22b}
This property was exploited to accommodate efficient photoinduced catenane formation in the synthesis of a so-called "molecular lock" where a pyridyl ligand was reversibly coordinated to platinum dependent upon aqueous/non-aqueous solvent environment (Scheme 3.3). Kinetic studies supported the theory of a photoinduced, dissociative mechanism, however, there is some debate as to the specific orbitals and excited states involved. DFT calculations showed a "reasonable" agreement with the authors' theory that photo-excitation induces a direct transition from the ground state highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) into an antibonding orbital dominated by metal $d_{x^2-y^2}$ character and consequently inducing dissociation of the pyridine ligand. Although the HOMO was not officially assigned, calculated projections showed a large coefficient on the metal centre implying that this excitation equates to a Laporte forbidden $d$-$d^*$ transition corresponding to an indiscernible band in the UV-visible absorption spectrum. Considering the unprecedented efficiency of the catenation, it seems more likely that the reaction proceeds via a mechanism more akin to that proposed earlier for ruthenium(II) complexes. Regardless of this contention, the overall result of the study is indisputable: platinum(II)-pyridine bonds can be significantly labilised upon UV irradiation providing a clear mandate for further research in this area. Indeed, Lusby and Pike later reported the use of light as a kinetic stimulus in $N$-heterocyclic ligand exchange between cyclometalated platinum(II) complexes.\textsuperscript{22e}

In order to transform these systems from simple ligand exchange processes into a fully functioning component of a synthetic molecular machine, the fundamental mechanism must be considered and each aspect tuned appropriately to meet the goals of the new design. Taking each facet in turn; the basis of a selectively labilisable metal-ligand bond in these species equates to a platinum(II) complex in a perfect square-planar
conformation. Distortion of $D_{2d}$ symmetry in the complex can lead to reduction of the ligand field and lowering of the deleterious $d$-$d^*$ singlet excited states to a thermally accessible level, the end result being rapid non-radiative deactivation of the excited state. One way in which the conformation can be locked is by using rigid chelate ligands. Cyclometalating ligands can also serve as strong $\sigma$-donors that increase the splitting of the platinum $d$-orbitals and therefore help to raise the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ to inaccessible energies.\textsuperscript{37} Additionally, multidentate ligands can help avoid unwanted decomposition products, as the idealised labilising stimulus should specifically target only the bond that coordinates the platinum to the exchanging ligand. Selecting a tridentate $N\_N\_N$-pincer ligand to occupy the other three coordination sites on the platinum reduces the chance of complete metal dissociation from the pincer motif and favours the permanent breaking of the metal-monodentate ligand coordinate bond, which will be the most photolabile in the complex as a result of the chelate effect. MLCT transitions can be maximised by introducing low lying $\pi^*$-orbitals facilitated through the introduction of good $\pi$-donor ligands. Swift ISC crossing is accommodated by platinum's high spin-orbit coupling constant. It has also been reported that the use of strongly ligating solvents can increase the quantum yield of ligand exchange.\textsuperscript{34} With these preconditions in mind, macrocycle L3 provides a reasonable starting point in the search for a rigid, $\pi$-donor, tridentate ligand with the prediction that irradiation with an appropriate wavelength of light in the presence of a coordinating solvent will lower the activation energy to platinum(II)-pyridyl bond labilisation, thus acting as a kinetic stimulus for use in the pH-switchable molecular shuttle outlined in Figure 3.1.

This chemistry could also find useful application in the designs of advanced bimetallic molecular walker systems similar to the one described in Chapter II. Although both metals reside in the same group of the periodic table, irradiation of platinum(II) complexes is far more likely to promote population of dissociative triplet states than in their palladium(II) counterparts on account of the former's greater size and therefore spin orbit coupling constant. If two opposing complexes could be effectively tuned to accommodate a labilising process in the platinum(II) moiety at sufficiently low temperatures to render a Pd-N bond inert, such a stimulus would be orthogonal to the heat/protonation switch proposed previously and could therefore function in tandem as the basis for a bimetallic molecular walker.

The synthetic strategy of [2]rotaxane Py-L3Pt is outlined in Schemes 3.4-3.6 and full details are given in the Experimental Section 3.10.

**Scheme 3.4** - Synthesis of molecular thread. Reaction conditions: (a) 10-undecynoic acid, DCC, CHCl₃, RT, 18 h, 99%; (b) LiAlH₄, THF, 40 °C, 10 h, 98%; (c) methyl acrylate, 98 °C, 3 days, 90%; (d) LiAlH₄, THF, RT, 18 h, 73%; (e) 6, PPh₃, DIAD, THF, RT, 4 h, 61%; (f) propargyl alcohol, Pd(PPh₃)₄, CuI, Et₃N, THF, RT, 15 h, 55%; (g) Pd(PPh₃)₄, Cul, Et₃N, THF, 50 °C, 6 h, 64%; (h) Pd(OH)₂/C, H₂, K₂CO₃, CHCl₃/THF (1:1), RT, 18 h, 95%; (i) 6, PPh₃, DIAD, THF, RT, 12 h, 56%.

DCC coupling of commercially available 4-aminoypyridine (1) and 10-undecynoic acid afforded amide 2 and subsequent reduction (LiAlH₄) gave rise to amine derivative 3 (Scheme 3.4a & 3.4b). The unsymmetrical DMAP-station, 5, was achieved by reflux of 3.
in methyl acrylate (excess) to give 4, followed by reduction (LiALH₄). Subjection of 5 to Mitsunobu reaction conditions with bulky phenol 6 gave rise to compound 7. The synthesis of the Py-station fragment was achieved by desymmetrisation of commercially available 3,5-dibromopyridine (8) through Sonagashira cross-coupling with 1 equivalent of propargyl alcohol to give 9, which was subsequently submitted to Pd-catalysed Sonagashira cross-coupling with 7 to yield 10, the hydrogenation of which (Pd(OH)₂/C) afforded the saturated monostoppered thread 11. The isolated full thread was synthesised for reference purposes to aid in the characterisation of the shuttle using a Mitsunobu reaction to couple 11 with phenol 6 to give 12 in 56% yield.

The platinum(II)-coordinated macrocycle was synthesised by first heating commercially available aniline hydrochloride with 2,2-bis(p-hydroxyphenyl)propane (13) to afford 2-aniline-(2-phenolpropane) (14) which was subsequently reacted with pyridine-2,6-dicarboxyl dichloride (0.5 equiv) at 0 °C to yield diol 15 (Scheme 3.5a & 3.5b). The free macrocycle 16 was synthesised via Williamson reaction of 1,6-dibromohexane with 15, which was then metallated by reaction with PtCl₂(SMe₂)₂ and sodium hydride to give L₃. Combining monostopped thread, 11, with 1 equivalent of trifluoroacetic acid led to selective protonation of the more basic DMAP station and subsequent addition of L₃ resulted in complexation of the macrocycle-platinum moiety exclusively to the Py binding site to yield pseudo-rotaxane 17 (Scheme 3.6a). Attempts to mimic the synthesis of isolated thread 12 using the stopper and pseudo-rotaxane 17 under Mitsunobu conditions proved unsuccessful, possibly as a consequence of using a triphenylphosphine mediated reaction with a platinum-complexed species. To circumnavigate this problem, the alcohol group of 13 was converted to the corresponding mesylate and Williamson coupled to 6 to give the target [2]rotaxane L₃Pt in a yield of 56%.
Scheme 3.6 - Synthesis of Pt(II)-complexed molecular shuttle. Reaction conditions: (a) L3, TsOH (1 equiv), CHCl₃, 50 °C, 18 h, 63%. b) (i) MsCl, Et₃N, CHCl₃, RT, 1 h, (ii) 6, NaH, THF, RT, 4 h, (iii) 15-crown-5, DMSO, 48 °C, 48 h, 56%.

Electrospray mass spectrometry confirmed the product’s constitution as L3Pt with a mass peak at 2276.29 m/z corresponding to [M+H]+ and an isotopic distribution concordant with theoretical predictions. ¹H NMR spectroscopy (Figure 3.4) showed exclusive formation of the Py-L3Pt co-conformation; i.e. the platinum-macrocyclic fragment, L3Pt, was solely coordinated to the Py-station. A comparison of the spectra of free thread 12 (Figure 3.4a) and Py-L3Pt in CDCl₃ (Figure 3.4b) shows significant upfield shifts of between 0.55-0.59 ppm for resonances associated with the Py-station (Hᵥₓ) upon threading. Similarly the signals of the protons adjacent to the Py-station (Hᵥᵧ) are shifted upfield by 0.55 and 0.67 ppm respectively as they are shielded by the presence of the macrocycle. Meanwhile, the DMAP-station signals (Hᵥₚ) of the rotaxane occur at very similar values (6.6-6.8 and 8.1-8.2 ppm respectively) to those of the free thread.
3.7 Macrocycle to DMAP-Station Shuttling Experiments

In an attempt to translocate the platinum(II)-coordinated macrocycle from the Py-station to its thermodynamically favoured DMAP counterpart, \(\text{Py-L}_3\text{Pt}\) was subjected to the neutral ligand exchange reaction conditions developed for the non-interlocked model experiments (DMF-\(d_7\)/MeCN-\(d_3\) (1:1), 348 K, Scheme 3.2a), and the reaction followed by \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectroscopy. However, no changes were observed in the [2]rotaxane resonances during 6 weeks of heating after which solvent decomposition started to occur. It was postulated that the lack of macrocycle translocation might be the result of remnant trace acid from the synthesis in the solution meaning the DMAP-station would in fact be protonated. In this event, the platinum-Py bond may be labilised under the reaction conditions but the protonated DMAP-station would be inaccessible meaning no shuttling would be observed as the system would thermodynamically favour the starting co-conformer under the conditions. This hypothesis was tested by submitting a fresh sample of \(\text{Py-L}_3\text{Pt}\) to the same conditions in the presence of excess \(\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3\), however, the results remained unaltered. There were

---

**Figure 3.4** - \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectra (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\), 298 K) of [2]rotaxane \(\text{L}_3\text{Pt}\) and free thread for comparison: (a) thread 12; (b) \(\text{Py-L}_3\text{Pt}\). The lettering in the figure refers to assignments in Figure 3.1.
also no changes seen in the chemical shifts of the resonances relating to the DMAP-station \( (H_{13}) \) upon the addition of base, implying that the reaction mixture was already neutralised in the previous experiment.

Another explanation for the lack of shuttling could be that the interlocked nature of the [2]rotaxane affords the system a considerable increase in stability in comparison to the free macrocycle analogues. Such a phenomenon could be explained from a steric perspective: if the alkyl chain between the two stations provides a sufficient steric barrier to translocation, the labilised macrocycle would remain in the vicinity of the Py-station under the reaction conditions and, therefore, simply reform the starting co-conformer upon suspension of the stimulus. The simplest method of overcoming such a thermodynamic barrier is to increase the energy input into the system. Fresh independent samples of Py-L3Pt were made up in the solvent mixture as well as in neat DMF and each refluxed for 7 days but to no avail. Identical samples were heated to their respective boiling points whilst exposing them to microwave radiation for 48 h but showed no sign of isomerisation or decomposition.

An alternative method of probing this theory is to increase the relative stability of the intermediate platinum(II)-solvent complex, facilitating incremental escapement of the macrocycle from the local thermodynamic minimum of the Py-station. This was done by incorporating solvents with increased coordinating ability (neat MeCN, DMSO). Unsuccessful attempts were also made in neat pyridine and in the presence of excess un-tethered DMAP. Heating the system at 348 K in \( C_2H_4Cl_2/CH_3CN (2:8) \) for 5 days resulted in alkylation of the nitrogen at the 1-position of the DMAP-station through decomposition of the dichloroethane. This product was confirmed by mass spectrometry \( (m/z = 2341.48, [M+H]^+) \).

The labilisation of kinetically inert platinum(II)-pyridine coordination bonds by salt-mediated nucleophilic activation has previously been reported\(^{40}\) and attempts were made to synthesise an intermediate platinum(II)-chloride complex by heating Py-L3Pt in DMF at 383 K in the presence of tetrabutyl ammonium chloride (5 equiv) for 4 weeks. Although this resulted in some unidentified variations in the \(^1H\) NMR spectrum over time, the product could not be isolated from the starting material in the crude mixture. Attempts to remove the chloride ion to allow the macrocycle to equilibrate and coordinate to one of the two stations in the thread were made by the addition of silver triflate (0.5 equiv) in DMF. However, after 12 h at room temperature multiple
decomposition products were observed and the expected favoured product of DMAP-L3Pt could not be isolated by column chromatography.

Considerable labilisation of platinum(II)-pyridine bonds has also been reported in the presence of strong hydrogen-bond donor solvents\textsuperscript{41} such as 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (TFE) which exhibits a hydrogen bond donating ability equivalent to that of phenol\textsuperscript{42} and weakly acidic character resulting in the formation of a 1:1 acid-base complex with pyridine. Platinum(II)-pyridine bonds are said to be labilised in TFE due to the stabilisation afforded to the dissociated pyridine ligands through strong hydrogen bonding to the solvent. Although such an approach would certainly make the DMAP-station inaccessible as well, it was attempted to see if the platinum-complexed macrocycle could at least be moved away from the Py-station to form an intermediate metal-solvent complex. A clean sample of Py-L3Pt was dissolved in DMSO/TFE (3:7) and heated at 358 K whilst being subjected to microwave radiation for 48 h. No change was observed in the \textsuperscript{1}H NMR. Following a similar theory of blocking the Py-station once the metal-ligand bond had been labilised, the [2]rotaxane was dissolved in DMSO in the presence of TsOH (2 equiv) and heated at 358 K with microwave irradiation for 48 h but, again, no changes were observed from the starting material.

3.8 Kinetic Stimulus: Photoirradiation

Although the conditions developed for the non-interlocked model experiments sufficed in overcoming the kinetic barrier to equilibration in intermolecular ligand exchange (Scheme 3.2a), it is possible that the slight differences between these systems and the [2]rotaxane have a profound effect on the kinetic stability of the Pt(II)-N bond, thus rendering the conditions inadequate for bond labilisation in the interlocked structure. The application of a kinetic stimulus to reduce the magnitude of the energy barrier could vastly increase the degree of bond dissociation under the conditions and accelerate the rate of shuttling. Photoirradiation was proposed as one such stimulus in Section 3.5 and so the photochemistry of Py-L3Pt was investigated to assess the applicability of using light as an additional stimulus to instigate shuttling of the macrocycle component. The UV-visible spectrum for the [2]rotaxane is shown in Figure 3.5.
The broad, bell-shape of the peak centred at 340 nm is indicative of a charge transfer transition and represents the population of the first MLCT singlet excited state. It was predicted that from this state the system could undergo intersystem crossing to a dissociative triplet state and thus promote increased metal-ligand bond lability. A solution of \textit{Py-L3}Pt in DMF-$d_7$/MeCN-$d_3$ (1:1, 1 mM) was irradiated at 340 nm at 348 K and the reaction followed by $^1$H NMR (Figure 3.6).
No signs of shuttling were observed and, after 20 h of irradiation, considerable decomposition had taken place. In an attempt to reduce this deterioration, the irradiation wavelength was varied within the proposed MLCT absorption bracket using a range of bandwidth filters, the solvents were degassed with argon using a freeze-thaw technique to remove any air which could lead to the formation of reactive singlet oxygen species, and the temperature of the reaction varied. Figure 3.6 demonstrates the best results obtained using this method. It was clear from these experiments that the complex structure and electronics needed to be tuned in order to achieve the desired metal-ligand bond dissociation without significant decomposition of the complex. More worrying, however, was that Figure 3.6c appears to show decomposition not only of the metal complex, but also of the thread which had been predicted to be stable under the conditions. Before tinkering with the subtle photophysics of the Pt(II) complex to improve the efficiency of the system, experiments were carried out to test the photostability of the free ligand sites in the track.

Figure 3.7 - $^1$H NMR spectra (500 MHz, MeCN-d$_3$, 298 K) of DMAP upon irradiation with UV-light (340 nm ± 40 nm) at 298 K: (a) t = 0 h; (b) t = 4 h.

A solution of DMAP in MeCN-d$_3$ was irradiated with UV-light at 298 K and the reaction followed by $^1$H NMR (Figure 3.7). Significant decomposition was observed after just 4 h and, although this process could be retarded by running the experiments at high concentrations and by removing oxygen from the system, it could never be completely prevented in any solvent tested. This discovery marked the end of investigations into using UV-light as a kinetic stimulus as they clearly show that it is not compatible with the pyridine derivatives used in the threads and tracks of these systems. In order to
overcome this problem whilst retaining a pyridyl thread, a platinum(II) complex would have to be devised with an MLCT absorption band at higher wavelengths where DMAP can be irradiated without initiating photodecomposition.

3.9 Conclusion

The development of switchable components with high degrees of metastability is important for the advancement of molecular machinery such as synthetic molecular walkers and stimuli-responsive shuttles for use in molecular electronics. Manipulation of metal coordination motif energetics can help in this progression by providing operational control over submolecular components.

The system described in this chapter looked to enhance the kinetic stability of previous pH-switchable molecular shuttles through the incorporation of platinum(II) ions. Considering the relative ease with which this goal was achieved in non-interlocked model experiments, the exceptional stability of the [2]rotaxane under a large range of conditions was certainly surprising and ultimately prevented operation of the final interlocked system. This is thought to be due to steric restrictions causing a dearth of sufficient pathways for the coordinating solvent, scavenger ligand or anion to reach the platinum(II) centre meaning that, once the platinum-Py bond has been labilised under the applied stimulus, it simply reforms the original complex due to a lack of alternative ligand partners. This steric restriction around the metal-centre may also explain the difficulties encountered when trying to form the rotaxane via a Mitsunobu reaction in the final step of the synthesis. Further work on this system could involve extending the alkyl chain between the stations and their adjacent bulky stoppers to alleviate the steric restrictions and more closely mimic the model systems where the coordinating solvent species enjoy free passage to the metal ion. Attempts to employ photoirradiation as a kinetic stimulus proved unsuccessful due in part to photodecomposition of the thread at the required wavelengths for metal-ligand labilisation meaning further in-depth investigation and tuning of the relevant energy levels of the excited states involved is required.

One point is certain, however; the migration from palladium(II) to platinum(II) metal-ligand complexes in these types of rotaxane allows for an exceptional increase in molecular stability and metastability of co-conformers and may well prove useful in the continued research into molecular electronic devices.
3.10 Experimental Section

General Information

4-[tris-(4-tert-butyphenyl)methyl]phenol (6) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. 10-Undecynoic acid, propargyl alcohol, 1,6-dibromohexane, bis(p-hydroxyphenyl)propane, pyridine-2,6-dicarboyl dichloride, 3,5-lutidine, 4-dimethylaminopyridine and compounds 1 and 8 were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. The syntheses of L2Pt-(3,5-dihexylPy), L2PtDMAP and 3,5-dihexylPy are described in Chapter II, Section 2.8.


Synthesis of 2

To a solution of 4-aminopyridine (5.16 g, 55.0 mmol, 2.00 equiv) and 10-undecynoic acid (5.00 g, 27.0 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in CHCl3 (250 mL) was added dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (7.36 g, 36.0 mmol, 1.30 equiv) and the solution allowed to stir at room temperature for 15 h. A white precipitate was observed, filtered off and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The resulting crude residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO2, MeOH/EtOAc 5:95) to give 2 (7.01 g, 99%) as a colourless oil. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 8.47 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H, Hac), 7.79 (s, 1H, Hbc), 7.59 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H, Hed), 2.42 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, Hed), 2.18 (td, J = 7.0, 2.6 Hz, 2H, Hed), 1.94 (t, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H, Hai), 1.81 – 1.66 (m, J = 14.5, 7.2 Hz, 2H, Hud), 1.56 – 1.46 (m, 2H, Hid), 1.44 – 1.23 (m, 8H, H1g+bi+aj+aj). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 172.60, 149.15, 113.78, 84.85, 68.29, 37.94, 34.09, 29.33, 29.24, 29.03, 28.78, 28.54, 25.28, 18.51. HRMS (NSI+): m/z = 259.1806 [M+H]+ (calcd. 259.1810 for C16H23N2O+).

Synthesis of 3

A 500 mL, oven-dried round-bottom flask was charged with 2 (7.01 g, 27.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv). THF (300 mL) was added and the resulting solution cooled to 0 °C. LiAlH4
Tablets (10.0 g, 263 mmol, 9.8 equiv) were added and the solution stirred for 1 h. Effervescence and a grey precipitate were observed. The ice bath was removed and the solution left to stir at 40 °C for 12 h. The reaction was quenched with H2O, added dropwise. The grey precipitate was filtered off and the solution dried over MgSO4. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give 3 (6.47 g, 98%) as a pale yellow oil. 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 8.17 (dd, J = 4.9, 1.5 Hz, 2H, Hα), 6.42 (dd, J = 4.9, 1.5 Hz, 2H, Hβ), 4.20 (s, 1H, Hc), 3.13 (td, J = 7.1, 5.6 Hz, 2H, Hd), 2.18 (td, J = 7.1, 2.6 Hz, 2H, He), 1.94 (t, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H, Hm), 1.61 (m, 2H, Hf), 1.52 (m, 2H, Hg), 1.44 – 1.35 (m, 4H, Hh+i+j+k), 1.35 – 1.25 (m, 8H, Hg+h+i+j). 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 153.63, 149.91, 107.58, 84.87, 68.25, 42.80, 29.51, 29.41, 29.26, 29.14, 28.82, 28.57, 27.11, 18.52.


**Synthesis of 4**

3 (6.47 g, 26.4 mmol, 1 equiv) was dissolved in methyl acrylate (48 g, 555 mmol, 35 equiv) and refluxed at 98 °C for 3 days. Excess methyl acrylate was removed under reduced pressure yielding a brown, oily residue. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (SiO2, acetone/MeOH 9:1) to give 4 (7.17 g, 90%) as a pale yellow oil. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 8.20 (dd, J = 5.2, 1.4 Hz, 2H, Hα), 6.48 (dd, J = 5.2, 1.4 Hz, 2H, Hβ), 3.70 (s, 3H, Hc), 3.66 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H, Hf), 3.31 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, Hg), 2.61 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H, Hh), 2.18 (td, J = 7.1, 2.6 Hz, 2H, Hβ), 1.94 (t, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H, He), 1.63 – 1.54 (m, 2H, Hf), 1.54 – 1.47 (m, 2H, Hg), 1.45 – 1.36 (m, 2H, Hh), 1.37 – 1.16 (m, 8H, Hg+h+i+j+k). 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 172.06, 152.75, 148.84, 106.68, 84.85, 77.16, 68.27, 52.12, 50.50, 45.96, 31.95, 29.55, 29.49, 29.13, 28.81, 28.56, 27.06, 18.52.

**HRMS (NSI+): m/z** = 331.2376 [M+H]+ (calcd. 331.2386 for C20H31N2O2+).

**Synthesis of 5**

A solution of 4 (7.17 g, 21.8 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (240 mL) was cooled to 0 °C and purged with nitrogen for 20 min. LiAlH4 tablets (9.55 g, 252 mmol, 12.0 equiv) were
added and the reaction mixture stirred for 1 h. The ice bath was removed and the solution stirred at room temperature for 15 h. The reaction was quenched with H₂O, added dropwise. Violent effervescence and a grey precipitate were observed. The precipitate was filtered off and rinsed with THF. The rinsings and remaining solvent were combined and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give 5 (4.77 g, 73%) as a yellow oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.15 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, Hᵢ), 6.51 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, H₂), 3.72 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 2H, Hₗ), 3.47 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H, Hₜ), 3.30 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, Hₜ), 2.18 (td, J = 7.0, 2.6 Hz, 2H, Hₜ), 1.94 (t, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H, Hᵢ), 1.89 - 1.80 (m, J = 6.6, 3.3 Hz, 2H, Hₗ), 1.64 - 1.55 (m, 2H, Hₗ), 1.55 - 1.47 (m, 2H, Hₗ), 1.42 - 1.35 (m, 2H, H₁), 1.35 - 1.23 (m, 8H, Hᵢ+ᵣ+ᵫ+ᵣ). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 153.15, 148.40, 106.63, 84.87, 68.26, 59.90, 50.41, 47.00, 29.91, 29.56, 29.50, 29.13, 28.81, 28.55, 27.09, 26.95, 18.51. HRMS (NSI⁺): m/z = 303.2435 [M+H]⁺ (calcd. 303.2436 for C₁₉H₃₁N₂O⁺).

Synthesis of 7

PPh₃ (4.99 g, 19.0 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was dissolved in the minimum volume of THF (20 mL) and the solution cooled to 0 °C. DIAD (4.48 g, 22.2 mmol, 1.4 equiv) was added dropwise via syringe over 30 min. The reaction mixture turned cloudy. A solution of 5 (4.77 g, 15.8 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (15 mL) was added via syringe and the mixture stirred for 30 min. A solution of 6 (8.79 g, 17.4 mmol, 1.1 equiv) in THF (30 mL) cooled to 0 °C was added dropwise, and the solution stirred for 30 min. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 12 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product chromatographed (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 99:1) to give 7 (7.59 g, 61%) as a brown solid. m.p. 107-110 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.19 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H, Hᵢ), 7.26 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 6H, Hₗ), 7.16 – 7.12 (m, 2H, H₂), 7.11 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 6H, Hₗ), 6.80 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, Hₜ), 6.54 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H, Hᵢ), 4.00 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 2H, Hₗ), 3.57 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, Hₗ), 3.33 (t, J = 7.3, 2H, H₁), 2.20 (td, J = 7.0, 2.6 Hz, 2H, Hₜ), 2.14 - 2.01 (m, 2H, Hₜ), 1.95 (t, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H, Hᵢ), 1.67 –
1.58 (m, 2H, H₁), 1.57 – 1.48 (m, 2H, H₂), 1.46 – 1.37 (m, 2H₃), 1.33 (m, 35H, H₄₊₅₊₆₊₇₊₈₊₉₊₁₀₊₁¹)

13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 156.5, 152.9, 148.9, 148.5, 144.2, 140.1, 132.5, 130.8, 124.2, 113.0, 106.6, 84.8, 68.3, 64.8, 63.2, 50.5, 47.1, 34.4, 31.5, 29.5, 29.4, 28.8, 28.5, 27.1, 27.0, 26.9, 18.5.   HRMS (NSI⁺): m/z = 789.5684 [M+H]⁺ (calcd. 789.5717 for C₅₆H₇₃N₂O⁺).

Synthesis of 9

To a mixture of THF/Et₃N (1:1, 200 mL) was added 3,5-dibromopyridine (9.01 g, 38.0 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and the solution purged with nitrogen for 50 min. Propargyl alcohol (2.13 g, 38.0 mmol, 1.00 equiv) was added and the mixture purged for a further 30 min. Pd(PPh₃)₄ (2.63 g, 2.28 mmol, 0.06 equiv) and CuI (0.87 g, 4.56 mmol, 0.12 equiv) were added and the reaction stirred under nitrogen at room temperature for 16 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product dissolved in CH₂Cl₂, and washed with sat. NH₄Cl and H₂O. A deep blue aqueous layer was observed. The organic layers were combined and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 98:2) to give 9 (4.25 g, 53%) as a yellow/brown solid. m.p. 99-102 °C. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.63 – 8.58 (m, 2H, Hₐ+c), 7.87 (t, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, H_b), 4.51 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H, H_d), 2.61 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H, H_e). 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 150.23, 149.97, 141.12, 132.19, 92.59, 80.96, 51.45.

Synthesis of 10

To a mixture of THF/Et₃N (3:1, 80 mL) was added 7 (7.59 g, 9.63 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and the solution purged with nitrogen for 40 min. To the mixture was added 9 (2.04 g, 9.63 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and the solution purged for 30 min. Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.51 g, 0.41 mmol, 0.05 equiv) and copper iodide (0.205 g, 1.10 mmol, 0.10 equiv) were added and
the reaction stirred at 50 °C for 5 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ and washed with ammonia solution (1 M, 2 x 20 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 85:15) to give 10 (5.69 g, 64%) as a brown solid, m.p. 124-127 °C. 

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.51 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Hᵥ), 8.50 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Hₜ), 8.15 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H, H₁), 7.67 (t, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Hₛ), 7.23 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 6H, H₅), 7.10 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, H₇), 7.08 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 6H, H₆), 6.77 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, H₉), 6.50 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H, H₈), 4.48 (s, 2H, Hₜ), 3.97 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 2H, Hᵣ), 3.53 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H, Hₕ), 3.30 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H, Hₖ), 2.42 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H, Hₙ), 2.10 – 1.98 (m, 2H, Hₖ), 1.65 – 1.52 (m, 4H, Hᵣ+r), 1.51 – 1.40 (m, 2H, Hₖ), 1.36 – 1.31 (m, 8H, Hₘ+n+o+p), 1.30 (s, J = 4.3 Hz, 27H, Hₚ). 

13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 156.5, 153.1, 151.1, 150.4, 148.5, 148.4, 144.2, 140.9, 140.1, 132.5, 130.8, 124.2, 120.9, 119.8, 113.0, 106.6, 94.9, 92.6, 80.9, 76.9, 64.8, 63.2, 50.9, 50.5, 47.1, 34.4, 31.5, 29.4, 29.1, 29.0, 28.7, 28.3, 27.0, 26.9, 26.7, 19.5. 

HRMS (NSI⁺): m/z = 920.6095 [M+H]⁺ (calcd. 920.6094 for C₆₄H₇₈N₃O₂⁺).

Synthesis of 11

To a mixture of THF/CHCl₃ (1:1, 100 mL) was added 10 (4.32 g, 4.70 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and the solution purged with nitrogen for 20 min. Pd(OH)₂/C (large excess) and K₂CO₃ (0.035 g, 0.24 mmol, 0.05 equiv) were added and the solution purged with hydrogen for 30 min. The reaction was left to stir under hydrogen at room temperature for 12 h. The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite® and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The crude black residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 95:5) to give 11 (4.16 g, 95%) as an off-white solid. m.p. 157-160 °C. 

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.28 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Hᵥ), 8.26 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Hₜ), 8.12 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H, H₁), 7.32 (t, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, H₄), 7.23 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 6H, H₅), 7.11 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, H₇), 7.07 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 6H, H₆), 6.77 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, H₉), 6.62 (d, J = 6.25 Hz, 2H, H₈), 3.99 (t, J = 5.4 Hz, 2H, Hᵣ), 3.68 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H, Hₖ), 3.62 (t, J =
7.0 Hz, 2H, H_i), 3.38 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H, H_k), 2.69 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, H_j), 2.56 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, H_o), 2.13 – 2.03 (m, 2H, H_p), 1.88 (m, 2H, H_q), 1.66 – 1.52 (m, 4H, H_r+t), 1.30 (s, 27H, H_a), 1.36 – 1.22 (m, 14H, H_m+n+o+p+q+r+s). ^13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 156.2, 155.3, 148.6, 147.7, 147.5, 144.1, 140.5, 137.7, 136.8, 136.0, 132.6, 130.8, 124.2, 113.0, 106.6, 77.4, 64.4, 61.9, 51.2, 47.9, 36.2, 34.5, 34.1, 33.0, 31.5, 31.3, 29.6, 29.5, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 27.0, 26.9, 26.8, 26.7, 26.3. HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z = 928.6689 [M+H]^+ (calcd. 928.6720 for C_{64}H_{86}N_{3}O_{2}^+).

**Synthesis of 12**

An oven-dried 5 mL round bottom flask was charged with PPh_3 (0.035 g, 0.132 mmol, 1.2 equiv) in THF (1 mL) and the solution cooled to 0 °C. DIAD (0.031 g, 0.154 mmol, 1.4 equiv) was added dropwise and the solution stirred under nitrogen for 40 min. 11 (0.102 g, 0.110 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added in THF (1 mL) and the solution stirred for 40 min. 6 (0.083 g, 0.165 mmol, 1.5 equiv) dissolved in minimum THF (1 mL) was added and the reaction allowed to warm to room temperature over 12 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product purified by column chromatography (SiO_2, CH_2Cl_2/MeOH 98:2) to give 12 (53 mg, 56%) as an off-white solid. m.p. 240 °C (dec.). ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 8.30 (s, 1H, H_x), 8.27 (s, 1H, H_v), 8.09 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H, H_j), 7.33 (s, 1H, H_w), 7.23 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 6H, H_i), 7.22 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 6H, H_o), 7.12 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, H_c), 7.08 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 10H, H_bb), 7.08 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 6H, H_a), 7.07 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 6H, H_cc), 6.76 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, H_d), 6.75 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, H_dd), 6.00 (t, J = 5.3 Hz, 2H, H_h), 3.94 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 2H, H_extra), 3.68 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H, H_h), 3.44 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H, H_h), 2.78 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, H_h), 2.56 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, H_h), 2.15 – 2.03 (m, 4H, H_r+t), 1.68 – 1.61 (m, 2H, H_i), 1.61 – 1.53 (m, 2H, H_o), 1.36 – 1.31 (m, 2H, H_m), 1.30 (s, 27H, H_mff), 1.29 – 1.20 (m, 12H, H_m+n+o+p+q+r+s). ^13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 156.8, 156.3, 156.1, 148.6, 148.5, 147.8, 147.1, 144.3, 144.1, 140.7, 140.2, 139.5, 138.2, 136.7, 136.2, 132.6, 132.4, 130.9, 130.8, 124.3, 124.2, 113.1, 113.0, 106.7, 66.6, 64.2, 63.3, 63.2, 51.5, 48.3, 34.5, 34.4, 34.1, 33.0, 31.5, 31.2, 29.9, 29.8, 29.7, 29.6,
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29.5, 29.4, 27.0, 26.9, 26.8, 26.7. HRMS (NSI\(^+\)): \( m/z = 1415.9940 \) [M+H]\(^+\) (calcd. 1416.0040 for C\(_{101}H_{128}N_3O_2\)^+).

**Synthesis of 14**

2,2-Bis(\(p\)-hydroxyphenyl)propane (22.8 g, 100 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and aniline hydrochloride (38.9g, 300 mmol, 3.0 equiv) were added to a 150 mL round bottom flask and heated to 180 °C to give a clear liquid. The resulting liquid was stirred at 180 °C for 45 min and cooled to room temperature to give a sticky solid. Water (600 mL) was added to give a suspension. The pH adjusted to >13 by addition of 50% NaOH. The resulting mixture was extracted with toluene (3 x 100 mL) to remove aniline. The pH was adjusted to 5.5 by addition of 0.5 M HCl to give a white precipitate of the crude product which was collected and washed with water (20 mL). The powder was recrystallised from EtOH to give the pure 14 (7.1 g, 31 mmol, 31%) as a white microcrystalline solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d\(_6\)): \( \delta = 9.15 \) (s, 1H, H\(_A\)), 6.96 (d, \( J = 8.7 \), 2H, H\(_E\)), 6.83 (d, \( J = 8.6 \), 2H, H\(_C\)), 6.61 (d, \( J = 8.7 \), 2H, H\(_F\)), 6.43 (d, \( J = 8.6 \), 2H, H\(_B\)), 4.83 (s, 2H, H\(_G\)), 1.49 (s, 6H, H\(_D\)). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d\(_6\)): \( \delta = 154.8, 146.0, 141.5, 138.1, 127.3, 126.8, 114.5, 113.6, 40.8, 31.0 \). HRMS (NSI\(^+\)): \( m/z = 228.1382 \) [M+H]\(^+\) (calcd. 228.1388 for C\(_{15}H_{18}NO\)^+).

**Synthesis of 15**

To a solution of 14 (0.560 g, 2.50 mmol, 2.3 equiv) and Et\(_3\)N (0.30 g, 3.00 mmol, 2.7 equiv) in dry THF (50 mL) was added pyridine-2,6-dicarboyl dichloride (0.227g, 1.10 mmol, 1 equiv) in THF (10 mL) slowly at 0 °C. A white precipitate formed immediately and became pale yellow after several hours. The solution was stirred at room
temperature for 20 h and the solution filtered to remove the white precipitate, which was washed with THF (100 mL). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give a fluffy solid which was recrystallised from EtOH/H2O to give 15 (0.570 g, 87%) as a white powder. m.p. 270 °C (dec.). 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ = 10.97 (s, 2H, Hc), 9.23 (s, 2H, H1), 8.39 (dd, J = 7.7, 0.8, 2H, Hb), 8.30 (dd, J = 8.6, 6.8, 1H, Ha), 7.76 (d, J = 8.7, 4H, Hg), 7.28 (d, J = 8.8, 4H, H6), 7.04 (d, J = 8.7, 4H, Hf), 6.68 (d, J = 8.7, 4H, H0), 1.63 (s, 12H, HF). 13C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ = 161.6, 155.1, 148.9, 147.1, 140.6, 135.4, 127.4, 126.8, 125.3, 121.1, 114.7, 41.5, 30.70. HRMS (NSI+): m/z = 586.2696 [M+H]+, 608.2512 [M+Na]+ (calcd. 586.2706 for C37H36N3O4+, 608.2525 for C37H35N3NaO4+).

Synthesis of 16

To a solution of 15 (1.77 g, 3.02 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in DMF (600 mL) was added K2CO3 (10 g, 76 mmol, 25 equiv). To the stirring suspension was added 1,6-dibromohexane (0.737 g, 3.02 mmol, 1.0 equiv) slowly via syringe over a period of 30 min and the mixture stirred at room temperature for 3 days. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product dissolved in CH2Cl2, washed with H2O and dried over MgSO4. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO2 CH2Cl2/cyclohexane/Et2O 5:4:1) to give 16 (0.887 g, 45%) as a white crystalline solid. m.p. 330 °C (dec.). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 9.47 (s, 2H, Hc), 8.47 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, Hb), 8.16 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ha), 7.56 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 4H, Hg), 7.16 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 4H, H6), 7.14 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 4H, H5), 6.80 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 4H, H0), 3.96 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 4H, HJ), 1.82 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 4H, HJ), 1.68 (s, 12H, H1), 1.68 (s, 12H, H1), 1.53 (s, 4H, H8). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 160.7, 157.3, 148.9, 148.8, 142.5, 140.1, 134.5, 128.1, 127.8, 125.4, 119.4, 114.1, 67.7, 42.3, 30.1, 29.3, 25.6. HRMS (NSI+): m/z = 690.3305 [M+Na]+ (calcd. 690.3308 for C43H45N3NaO4+).
Synthesis of L3

A 15 mL oven-dried round bottom flask was charged with 16 (0.167 g, 0.250 mmol, 1.0 equiv). THF (9 mL) was added and the solution purged with nitrogen for 20 min. NaH (0.017 g, 0.450 mmol, 1.7 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture stirred for 5 h. After a cloudy solution was observed the vessel was wrapped in tin foil and cooled to 0 °C. PtCl2(SMe2)2 (0.176 g, 0.450 mmol, 1.8 equiv) was added and the reaction stirred under nitrogen for 12 h. A yellow solution was observed with some white precipitate. The solution was filtered through cotton wool and the solvent removed under vacuum. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO2, CH2Cl2/MeOH 95:5:0.5) to give L3 (0.070g, 78%) as an orange crystalline solid. m.p. 345 °C (dec.). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 8.17 (t, J = 7.8, 1H, H\text{A}), 7.96 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, H\text{B}), 7.22 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 4H, H\text{D}), 7.13 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 4H, H\text{E}), 6.98 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 4H, H\text{G}), 6.67 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 4H, H\text{H}), 3.88 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 4H, H\text{I}), 1.85 – 1.76 (m, 4H, H\text{J}), 1.67 (s, 12H F), 1.55 – 1.49 (m, 4H K), 1.38 (s, 6H C). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 170.4, 157.0, 150.5, 148.6, 145.1, 143.7, 141.0, 127.7, 127.5, 126.3, 126.1, 113.5, 67.7, 42.0, 30.23, 29.8, 29.1, 25.8, 21.1. HRMS (NSI+) : m/z = 923.3159 [M+H]+ (calcd. 923.3170 for C45H50N3O4PtS\textsuperscript{+}).

Synthesis of 17

To a stirring solution of 11 (145 mg, 0.155 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in CHCl\textsubscript{3} (1.5 mL) under nitrogen was added trifluoroacetic acid (21 mg, 0.186mmol, 1.2 equiv) dropwise. The solution was stirred for 1 h. L3 (143 mg, 0.155 mmol, 1.0 equiv) dissolved in CHCl\textsubscript{3} was
added via syringe. The solution was heated to 55 °C and stirred under nitrogen for 3 days. The solution was washed with NaHCO₃ solution and dried over NaSO₄. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude residue purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 96:4) to give 17 (176 mg, 63%) as an orange crystalline solid. m.p. 230 °C (dec.). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.10 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H, Hₐ), 8.09 – 8.04 (m, 2H, Hₗ), 7.83 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H, Hₜ), 7.78 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 1H, Hₜ), 7.68 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 1H, Hₜ), 7.23 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 6H, Hₜ), 7.12 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, Hₜ), 7.07 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 6H, Hₜ), 6.87 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 4H, Hₜ), 6.80 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 4H, Hₜ), 6.79 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 4H, Hₕ), 6.75 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, Hₚ), 6.74 (s, 1H, Hₚ), 6.68 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H, Hₚ), 6.63 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 4H, Hₜ), 3.98 (t, J = 5.3 Hz, 2H, Hₚ), 3.94 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 4H, Hₕ), 3.63 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, Hₖ), 3.39 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, Hₖ), 3.13 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H, Hₖ), 2.12 – 1.99 (m, 6H, Hₐ+q+r), 1.90 – 1.80 (s, 4H, Hₚ), 1.65 – 1.56 (m, 6H, Hₕ), 1.53 (s, 6H, Hₕ), 1.34 – 1.31 (m, J = 12.0 Hz, 4H, Hₕ), 1.27 – 1.09 (m, 12H, Hₐ+q+r+q+r), 1.04 – 0.96 (m, H, Hₕ). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 169.86, 156.72, 156.17, 152.13, 149.58, 149.05, 148.56, 146.95, 144.11, 143.49, 143.16, 141.15, 140.55, 140.34, 139.52, 139.28, 137.74, 132.58, 130.81, 127.33, 126.42, 125.80, 125.29, 124.22, 113.53, 113.00, 106.71, 67.86, 64.37, 63.18, 59.97, 51.25, 48.06, 41.54, 34.44, 32.75, 32.39, 32.06, 31.51, 30.36, 30.17, 30.14, 29.75, 29.71, 29.65, 29.50, 29.18, 28.72, 28.10, 27.04, 26.88, 25.91, 22.82, 14.26. HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z = 1789.9585 [M+H]⁺ (calcd. 1789.9655 for C₁₀⁷H₁₂⁹N₆O₆Pt⁺).

**Synthesis of Py-L3Pt**

To a solution of 17 (0.060 g, 0.033 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in CHCl₃ (10 mL) as added MsCl (0.008 g, 0.067 mmol, 2.0 equiv) and Et₃N (0.014 g, 0.134 mmol, 4.0 equiv) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The reaction was quenched with H₂O and the crude product extracted with CH₂Cl₂, washed with brine and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give a yellow oil which was added
to a solution of NaH (0.024 g, 0.602 mmol, 4.9 equiv) and 6 (0.310 g, 0.614 mmol, 5.0 equiv) in THF (30 mL) that had been stirring at room temperature for 4 h.

15-crown-5 (0.135 g, 0.614 mmol, 5.0 equiv) and DMSO (1.5 mL) were added and the reaction stirred at 48 °C for 48 h. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure, the crude product dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ and washed with H₂O and brine. The organic fractions were dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1) to give Py-L₃Pt (0.158 g, 56%) as an orange solid m.p. 181-184 °C. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.12 (s, 1H, Hⱼ), 8.12 – 8.08 (m, 1H, Hₐ), 7.88 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H, H₉), 7.73 – 7.69 (m, 2H, Hᵥₓ), 7.25 – 7.22 (m, 4H, H₇), 7.22 – 7.15 (m, 12H, Hᵥ.dd), 7.09 – 7.04 (m, 16H, Hᵥ,b,e,bb,ee), 6.83 (s, 8H, Hᵥ.dd), 6.79 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 4H, Hᵥ), 6.78 (s, 1H, Hᵥ), 6.77 – 6.74 (m, 2H, Hᵥ), 6.66 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, Hᵥ), 6.61 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 4H, Hᵥ), 6.57 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 3.97 (t, J = 5.5 Hz, 2H, Hᵥ), 3.92 – 3.85 (m, 4H, Hᵥ), 3.57 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H, Hᵥ), 3.56 – 3.51 (m, 2H, Hᵥ), 3.36 – 3.28 (m, 2H, Hᵥ), 2.11 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, Hᵥ), 2.08 – 2.04 (m, 2H, Hᵥ), 2.01 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, Hᵥ), 1.81 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 4H, Hᵥ), 1.63 – 1.53 (m, 8H, Hᵥᵥᵥ), 1.48 (s, 6Hᵥᵥᵥ), 1.49 (s, 6Hᵥᵥᵥ), 1.30 (s, 27H, Hᵥᵥᵥ), 1.28 (s, 27H, Hᵥᵥᵥ), 1.27 – 1.21 (m, 12H, Hᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥᵥ₄), 1.23 – 1.15 (m, 2H, Hᵥ), 1.06 – 1.00 (m, 2H, Hᵥ). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =169.89, 156.73, 156.45, 156.39, 154.07, 152.31, 149.40, 149.12, 148.52, 148.47, 146.88, 145.72, 144.16, 144.15, 143.43, 143.26, 140.29, 140.18, 140.15, 139.66, 138.69, 137.39, 132.52, 132.45, 130.83, 130.82, 127.30, 126.46, 125.76, 125.28, 124.20, 113.42, 113.04, 112.91, 106.64, 67.66, 65.65, 64.68, 63.18, 63.16, 50.75, 47.49, 41.48, 34.43, 34.42, 32.39, 30.39, 30.22, 30.01, 29.83, 29.81, 29.76, 29.70, 29.61, 29.52, 29.35, 29.14, 28.78, 28.55, 27.13, 26.98, 25.91, 22.83, 14.27. HRMS (NSI⁺): m/z = 2276.2843 [M+H]⁺ (calcd. 2276.2941 C₁₄₄H₁₇₁N₆O₆Pt⁺).

**Synthesis Model Compounds**

**Synthesis of L₃PtDMAP**
To a solution of L3 (50 mg, 0.054 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in CHCl₃ (2 mL) was added DMAP (6.6 mg, 0.054 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and the mixture stirred at 50 °C under nitrogen for 15 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude residue purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 98:2) to give L3PtDMAP (45 mg, 83%) as an orange crystalline solid. m.p. 300 °C (dec.). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.11 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H, Hₐ), 7.90 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, H₈), 7.13 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, H₇), 6.97 – 6.84 (m, 12H, H₆₋D₋G), 6.60 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 4H, H₅), 5.64 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, H₄), 3.88 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 4H, HI), 2.70 (s, 6H, Hn), 1.85 – 1.78 (m, 4H, HJ), 1.58 (s, 12H, HE+F), 1.56 – 1.52 (m, 4H, HK). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 170.30, 156.88, 153.41, 152.49, 150.60, 146.39, 144.01, 143.35, 139.55, 127.68, 126.85, 125.87, 125.69, 113.29, 107.29, 67.46, 41.84, 39.48, 30.50, 29.59, 26.36. HRMS (NSI⁺): m/z = 1005.3637 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd. 1005.3643 for C₅₀H₅₃N₅NaO₄Pt⁺).

Synthesis of L3Pt-(3,5-lutidine)

To a solution of L3 (50 mg, 0.054 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in CHCl₃ (2 mL) was added 3,5-lutidine (5.8 mg, 0.054 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and the mixture stirred at 50 °C under nitrogen for 15 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude residue purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 97:3) to give L3Pt-(3,5-lutidine) (44 mg, 85%) as an orange crystalline solid. m.p. 290 °C (dec.). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.12 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Hₐ), 7.90 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, H₈), 7.58 (s, 2H, H₆), 6.94 – 6.82 (m, 12H, H₆₋D₋G), 6.77 (s, 1H, H₁), 6.65 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 4H, H₅), 3.94 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 4H, H₄), 1.88 – 1.80 (m, 4H, HJ), 1.67 (s, 6H, Hn), 1.60 – 1.56 (m, 4H, HK), 1.55 (s, 12H, HE+F). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 170.60, 156.79, 149.47, 146.92, 143.41, 143.03, 140.05, 138.60, 134.77, 127.30, 126.39, 125.51, 113.57, 67.80, 41.51, 30.05, 29.21, 25.92, 17.85. HRMS (NSI⁺): m/z = 1022.3332 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd. 1022.6335 for C₅₀H₅₂N₄NaO₄Pt⁺).
General Procedures for Model Exchange Experiments

Exchange of 3,5-dihexylPy for DMAP in L2Pt-(3,5-dihexylPy) (Scheme 3.1)

To two vacuum dried NMR tubes was added \( L_2 \text{Pt-(3,5-dihexylPy)} \) (0.25 mL, 2.0 mM, 1 equiv) and DMAP (0.25 mL, 2.0 mM, 1 equiv) each from stock solutions in DMF-\( d_7/\text{CD}_3\text{CN} \) (1:1). The tubes were sealed. One was left at room temperature and the other heated at 348 K for two weeks during which time each was monitored by \(^1\text{H} \) NMR spectroscopy. The room temperature sample showed no changes throughout the duration of the experiment. The heated sample reached an equilibrium distribution of 25:75 \( L_2 \text{Pt-(3,5-dihexylPy)}:\) DMAP within 2 weeks.

Exchange of 3,5-lutidine for DMAP in L3Pt-(3,5-lutidine) (Figure 3.2a)

To two vacuum dried NMR tubes was added \( L_3 \text{Pt-(3,5-lutidine)} \) (0.25 mL, 2.0 mM, 1 equiv) and DMAP (0.25 mL, 2.0 mM, 1 equiv) each from stock solutions in DMF-\( d_7/\text{CD}_3\text{CN} \) (1:1). The tubes were sealed. One was left at room temperature and the other heated at 348 K and each monitored by \(^1\text{H} \) NMR spectroscopy. The room temperature sample showed no changes throughout the duration of the experiment (2 weeks). The heated sample reached an equilibrium distribution of 25:75 \( L_3 \text{Pt-(3,5-lutidine)}:\) DMAP within 18 h.

Exchange of DMAP for 3,5-lutidine in L3PtDMAP (Figure 3.2b)

To two vacuum dried NMR tubes was added \( L_3 \text{Pt-(3,5-lutidine)} \) (0.16 mL, 3.0 mM, 1 equiv), DMAP (0.16 mL, 3.0 mM, 1 equiv), and TsOH (0.16 mL, 3.0 mM, 1 equiv) each from stock solutions in DMF-\( d_7/\text{CD}_3\text{CN} \) (1:1). The tubes were sealed. One was left at room temperature and the other heated at 348 K and each monitored by \(^1\text{H} \) NMR spectroscopy. The room temperature sample showed no changes throughout the duration of the experiment (2 weeks). The heated sample reached an equilibrium distribution of 25:75 \( L_3 \text{Pt-(3,5-lutidine)}:\) DMAP within 18 h.

3.11 References


4. For reviews on the progression of the field of molecular electronics, see:


8. For recent articles on molecular shuttles that exploit weak non-covalent interactions, see:


For recent reviews highlighting the various aspects of molecular shuttles, see:


e) Durola, F.; Sauvage, J.-P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 3537-3540;


18. The italicised prefixes -DMAP and -Py denote the position of the macrocycle on the thread in the rotaxane.


21. Heat cannot be termed a "kinetic stimulus" because it does not alter the magnitude of the energy barrier to re-equilibration.

   
   
   
   
   


   
   

   


   


Chapter IV

The Orthogonal Addressability of Hydrazone and Palladium(II) Motifs Applied in the Design of a Molecular Walker
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4.1 Synopsis

The synthesis and characterisation of an unprecedented macrocycle (M1) containing a palladium(II)-pyridine motif and a hydrazone linkage is described. In the context of this thesis, M1 represents a model for a molecular walker unit featuring one palladium(II) and one hydrazone foot attached to a two-foothold section of a molecular track. The dynamic chemistry of M1 is investigated through various ring-opening experiments carried out under thermodynamic control. The results of these experiments indicated that the hydrazone bond exchanges only under acidic conditions, whereas the palladium(II)-ligand bond is only labile at elevated temperatures in the presence of a coordinating solvent.

These findings led to the design and synthesis of a first generation small-molecule walker-track system incorporating the core components of macrocycle M1 with an extended four-foothold track. This chapter addresses the teething problems associated with the initial design and lays out a proposal for improvements in a second generation model including discussion on how a thermodynamic bias might be introduced to facilitate directional walking.
4.2 Introduction

Having discounted platinum(II) complexes as potential opposing feet to the palladium(II) chemistry developed in Chapter II, an alternative orthogonally operable switch was sought in the continued quest to integrate the palladium-foot into a fully functioning molecular walker system. Previous pursuits of this target have independently incorporated Cu(I)/Cu(II), and Co(II)/Co(III) redox ligand-exchange chemistry, however, the operation of both systems ultimately proved incompatible with that of the palladium(II) ligand exchange.\(^1\) In broadening the search beyond the confines of bimetallic systems, other kinetically switchable linkages were considered along with their chemical compatibility with transition metal complexes of the kind used in this study.

**Dynamic Covalent Chemistry**

Synthetic organic chemistry to date has revolved around the design, synthesis and isolation of specific compounds that possess attractive properties and applications. The synthetic strategies employed to reach these target molecules are predominantly based on either individual, or ensembles of isolable kinetically controlled chemical reactions that irreversibly form stable covalently bonded products. Nature, on the other hand, more often makes use of dynamic chemical systems under thermodynamic control in which multiple components are interconnected through a range of reversible exchange reactions and where the final product distribution is defined by the relative free energies of all the possible products. This method provides the potential for real-time checks and control mechanisms and enables biological systems to respond rapidly to changes in their environments. The allure of attaining such reversible control over covalent bond formation inspired the dawn of dynamic covalent chemistry (DCC), an area that has given rise to a wealth of literature over the last decade\(^2\) by bridging the fields of dynamic supramolecular chemistry\(^3\) and traditional covalent synthesis. Although it has been heralded as a potential game-changer in the field of drug discovery where binding affinity towards target receptors can beneficially distinguish between members of dynamic combinatorial libraries,\(^4\) dynamic covalent chemistry has also found applications in a wide range of other areas including dynamic polymers (dynamers),\(^5\) sensors,\(^6\) the synthesis and manipulation of mechanically interlocked architectures,\(^7\) and systems chemistry.\(^8\)
The complex behaviour of dynamic covalent systems can often be far more powerful than that attainable through the sum of their individually operated components and it is possible to use the chemistry to mimic the environment-responsive nature of biological systems. By integrating molecular networks of synthetic chemical species that interact with one another in a predesigned manner, the final thermodynamically favoured product can be selected and controlled using suitable external stimuli. However, predicting the resultant phenomena of an applied stimulus to these systems is not trivial, especially when the exchange reactions involved are said to be communicating, i.e. when the reaction products of one component have an affect upon the procedure of another. Additional control can be attained by incorporating orthogonal and non-concurrent exchange processes where reactions do not take place simultaneously and give products that have no influence over the operation of other components. Such control mechanisms have been incorporated into some of the molecular motor systems mentioned previously to ratchet positional isomer distributions of molecular bipeds away from their thermodynamic minima, thus facilitating the walking of small molecules down a track. These examples represent double-level systems with two orthogonal, non-concurrent exchange reactions, each responsible for the stepping of an individual foot of the walker unit. There is a requirement that under the first set of conditions, only one of the two bond types is dynamic whilst the other remains kinetically locked. Similarly, under the second, mutually exclusive set of conditions, the relative rates of bond forming and bond breaking are reversed.

The implications and potential benefits of utilising multiple reactions instigated by orthogonal external stimuli have been impressively demonstrated but by no means comprehensively explored or exploited. Reversible reactions form the toolbox of dynamic covalent chemistry and knowledge of the dynamism of different reaction types under mutually exclusive conditions empowers the designers of complex molecular machinery with the ability to integrate independent, yet ultimately cooperative reaction mechanisms into systems with a much greater consideration for the requirements of the surrounding environment (e.g. solubility, pH, temperature).

The palladium(II) motif described in Chapter II exhibited promise as a potential foot in a molecular walker system in which the inert Pt(II) anchor moiety could be replaced with an additional functioning foot. With a plethora of dynamic covalent processes to
choose from, the concept of a double-level, constitutionally dynamic system combining DCC with transition metal coordination chemistry in the design of a molecular walker system was considered as an exciting advancement in the field.

**Hydrazone Exchange**

The reversible hydrolysis of hydrazone containing moieties has been studied and the general mechanism is shown in Scheme 4.1 where acid-catalysed hydrolysis of the initial hydrazone is the rate-limiting step followed by the condensation of the hemiaminal to the new mixture of products.

![Scheme 4.1 - Mechanism of reversible hydrolysis of a hydrazone: E = electron-withdrawing group (typically acyl); rds = rate determining step.](image)

The equilibrium distribution lies heavily in favour of the hydrazone product whilst allowing exchange to take place through a low but sufficient concentration of hydrolysed monomers. This provides an important and exploitable difference from the better-documented dynamic covalent chemistry of imines.\(^{8d,15}\) Hydrazones are more stable than imines because the nitrogen substituent can participate in delocalisation of the imine double bond decreasing the partial charge on the carbon atom and raising the energy of the LUMO, thus making it less susceptible to nucleophilic attack. This increased stability means that, unlike imine exchange, hydrazones require acid\(^{16}\) or nucleophilic base\(^{17}\) to be hydrolysed and can therefore be kinetically locked under neutral conditions. Hydrazone exchange has proven to be a tremendously versatile tool effective in both organic\(^{10b,11,18}\) and aqueous media\(^{19}\).

This chapter reports the synthesis and dynamic chemistry of macrocycle \(\text{M1}_1\), which incorporates both the palladium(II) moiety described in Chapter II, and a separate hydrazone unit within the bonds that make up the ring. Selective ring-opening of \(\text{M1}_1\) at either the hydrazone or palladium linkages was achieved by reversible covalent or metal-ligand bond formation respectively using one of two sets of mutually exclusive reaction conditions; namely, acid, or heat in the presence of a coordinating solvent. The dynamic chemistry was investigated in terms of competition between fully reversible intra- and intermolecular exchange processes carried out under thermodynamic
control. The results from this study, along with the developed synthetic strategy, were then incorporated into the synthesis of a small molecule designed to walk repetitively up and down a four-foothold molecular track in response to an oscillating chemical environment.

4.3 System Design and Independent Addressability

The successful operation of each of the proposed foot motifs in the design have been independently demonstrated with great success in previous systems, however, the potential to operate them in tandem within a single molecule requires that each foot is kinetically stable under the operating conditions of its partner. The hydrazone linkage is by no means an innocent functional group when working with transition metals and carrying out palladium(II)-ligand exchange processes in close proximity is without precedent. Experiments were therefore developed to test whether the palladium(II) complexes were stable under the conditions previously established for hydrazone exchange (Scheme 4.2).

After 22 h at room temperature under acidic conditions in CDCl₃, L₂Pd-(2,6-dihexylPy) remained unchanged while 30% of hydrazone 1 had been converted to 4 as shown in Figure 4.1. In a similar experiment in the absence of 2-picoline and L₂Pd-(2,6-dihexylPy), but with a greater excess of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), the hydrazone exchange was shown to be much faster reaching an equilibrium state with 65% exchange from 1 to 4 within 20 h implying that the reaction rate can be controlled as a function of acid concentration.

To test a potential hydrazone foot's stability to the palladium(II)-stepping conditions, an equimolar solution of compound 1 and benzaldehyde (2) in CDCl₃/CD₃CN (7:3, 0.1 mM) was heated to 228 K for 16 h, during which time no change was observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum.

These results provided precedent for a potential small molecule walker-track system with two different foot-foothold linkages based around the independently operable switches explored in this section.
Scheme 4.2 - Acid mediated hydrazone exchange in the presence of spectator compounds 2-picoline and L2Pd-(2,6-dihexylPy) with 1 drop of 20% CF₃COOH in CDCl₃.

Figure 4.1 - Partial ¹H NMR spectra (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K) of a 0.1 mM mixture of L2Pd-(2,6-dihexylPy), 1, 2, and 2-picoline in CDCl₃ with one drop of acid solution (20% TFA in CDCl₃) at: (a) t = 0 h; (b) solution (a) after 22 h at room temperature. The lettering and colours in the figure refer to assignments in Scheme 4.2.

4.4 Synthesis of Macrocycle M1₁

So far, experiments have dealt with intermolecular exchanges. To ensure that the results could be extended into intramolecular systems, macrocycle M1₁ was devised to further probe the dynamic chemistries involved. The target macrocycle can be viewed as a biped with an Pd(II)-N,N,N-pincer motif and a hydrazone moiety which act as opposing feet respectively attached to 2,6-substituted pyridine and benzaldehyde derivative footholds of a two-foothold track. The synthetic strategy for macrocycle
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$M_{11}$ is outlined in Scheme 4.3-4.5 and full details are given in the Experimental Section 4.11.

**Scheme 4.3** - Synthesis of 2-foothold track. Reagents and conditions: (a) $SOCl_2$, MeOH, RT, 15 h, quant.; (b) 1-bromopropane, $K_2CO_3$, DMF, RT, 3 days, 32%; (c) TBDPSCI, DIPEA, DMF, 328 K, 3 h, 94%; (d) LiAlD$_4$, THF, RT, 13 h, 94%; (e) Dess-Martin periodinane, $CH_2Cl_2$, RT, 16 h, 51%; (f) TBAF, THF, 273 K, 2 h, 90%; (g) 6-methyl-2-pyridine methanol, PPh$_3$, DIAD, THF, 8 h, 42%.

Esterification of 3,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (5) was achieved by stirring with thionyl chloride in MeOH for 12 h to give ester 6 in quantitative yield. Williamson coupling with 1-bromopropane (1 equiv) in DMF afforded monosubstituted substrate 7 within 3 days. The phenol group was protected by reaction with tert-butyldiphenylsilyl chloride (TBDPSCI) using $N,N$-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) in DMF to give 8. The ester was reduced to deuterated alcohol 9 using LiAlD$_4$ (4 equiv) in THF before subsequent Dess-Martin oxidation to deuterated aldehyde 10. The silyl ether was deprotected with tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) to give 11, which was subsequently coupled to 6-methyl-2-pyridine methanol using Mitsunobu conditions to give two-foothold track 12.

**Scheme 4.4** - Synthesis of Pd(II)- and hydrazone foot motifs. Reagents and conditions: (a) 4-bromobut-1-ene, $K_2CO_3$, butanone, 368 K, quant.; (b) Pd(OAc)$_2$, $CH_3CN$, RT, 16 h, 78%; (c) $SOCl_2$, MeOH, RT, 14 h; (d) $H_2NNH_2\cdot H_2O$, MeOH, 335 K, 12 h, 63% from 15.
Palladium(II) complex 14 was prepared from the same pyridine-2,6-dicarboxamide unit described in Chapter II in two steps through Williamson alkylation with 4-bromobut-1-ene in butanone to give 13, followed by reaction with palladium(II) acetate in CH$_3$CN to give the product as a yellow/green precipitate. The hydrazide moiety 17 was sourced from 6-heptanoic acid (15), which was esterified by reaction with thionyl chloride in methanol to give 16, and subsequently converted to the product through reaction with hydrazine monohydrate in MeOH with a yield of 63% over the two steps.

Scheme 4.5 - Synthesis of macrocycle M11. Reagents and conditions: (a) 17, acetic acid, MeOH, RT, 12 h, 90%; (b) (i) Grubbs II, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, RT, 18 h, 58%, (ii) H$_2$, Pd(OH)$_2$/C, K$_2$CO$_3$, THF, RT, 4 h, 90%.

Palladium(II) precursor 14 was coordinated to the pyridine binding site of track 12 by stirring the two in an equimolar solution with CHCl$_3$ for 5 h to give complex 18. The opposing hydrazone foot (17) was attached by stirring with 18 and glacial acetic acid at room temperature to give 19. Ring closing metathesis using Grubbs II catalyst in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ followed by hydrogenation of the resultant double bond (Pd(OH)$_2$/C, K$_2$CO$_3$, THF) afforded macrocycle M11 in 52% yield over two steps.

Upon forming M11, the $^1$H NMR spectrum became convoluted at room temperature on account of encapsulating the hydrazone linkage within the 25-membered ring resulting in the formation of different isomers of the amide bond that were not interchangeable at room temperature. The spectrum could be simplified using variable temperature $^1$H NMR (C$_2$D$_2$Cl$_4$, 378 K) allowing full characterisation of the structure in solution (Figure 4.2).
Cyclooligomerisation of Macrocycle M1₁

Macrocycle M1₁ was independently subjected to the two different sets of chemical conditions optimised in the intermolecular model studies to establish their effectiveness in instigating cyclooligimerisation *via* hydrazone and palladium(II)-ligand exchange under thermodynamic control (Scheme 4.6).

**Scheme 4.6** - Two sets of reaction conditions for the efficient conversion of macrocycle M1₁ into a distribution of cyclic oligomers M1ₙ by dynamic bond formation: Conditions 1: M1₁ (6 µmol, 1 equiv), 0.5 mL CDCl₃, TFA (30 µmol, 5 equiv), RT, 24 h; Conditions 2: M1₁ (6 µmol, 1 equiv), 0.5 mL CDCl₃/CD₃CN (7:3), 338 K, 24 h.
Under both sets of conditions (heating in CDCl$_3$/CD$_3$CN (7:3) and TFA in CDCl$_3$) detectable amounts of dimeric (M$_{12}$) and trimeric (M$_{13}$) macrocyclic analogues of M$_{11}$ were observed in the equilibrium mixtures after typical equilibration times of 12-24 h (after which time the composition of reaction mixtures no longer changed). Although the progression of the reactions was hard to follow by $^1$H NMR on account of the different isomers of the hydrazone linkages, the results were confirmed by electrospray ionisation-mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) (Figure 4.3).

![Figure 4.3](image)

**Figure 4.3** - Mass spectrum (ESI$^+$) of an equilibrium mixture containing M$_{11}$ and higher oligomers M$_{1n}$ after treatment of M$_{11}$ under acidic conditions outlined in Scheme 4.6.

### 4.6 Ring-Opening of Macrocycle M$_{11}$ in the Presence of Scavengers

To confirm which linkage was dynamic under the different oligomerisation conditions, macrocycle M$_{11}$ was opened at each end in the presence of a suitable, acyclic "scavenger" molecule. M$_{11}$ was first subjected to conditions to labilise the Pd(II)-foot (CDCl$_3$/CD$_3$CN (7:3), 1 mM, 338 K$_r$) in the presence of 5 equivalents of 2,6-lutidine (Scheme 4.7a) which gave ring-opened compound 20 in a 75% isolated yield. A separate sample of M$_{11}$ was subjected to conditions to labilise the hydrazone foot (CDCl$_3$, 1 mM, TFA (5 equiv), RT) in the presence of 5 equivalents of p-anisaldehyde (Scheme 4.7b) which gave ring-opened compound 21 in a 40% isolated yield.
Scheme 4.7 - Dynamic covalent ring-opening of macrocycle M1\textsubscript{1} in the presence of an acyclic aldehyde or pyridyl scavenger: (a) Pd(II)-ligand exchange of M1\textsubscript{1} (1 equiv) with metal scavenger 2,6-lutidine (5 equiv) in CDCl\textsubscript{3}/CD\textsubscript{3}CN (7:3) (initial concentration of M1\textsubscript{1} 12 mM), 338 K, 15 h; (b) acid-mediated (TFA, 5 equiv) hydrazone exchange of macrocycle M1\textsubscript{1} (1 equiv) with aldehyde scavenger p-anisaldehyde (5 equiv) in CDCl\textsubscript{3} (initial concentration of M1\textsubscript{1} 12 mM), RT, 15 h.

Figure 4.4 - \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectra (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}, 298 K) of the isolated ring-opened products and the starting material for comparison: (a) 20; (b) 21; (c) M1\textsubscript{1}. The lettering and colour refer to the assignments in Scheme 4.7.
The $^1$H NMR spectra of both isolated open products are shown in Figure 4.4 along with that of $\textbf{M1}_1$. It can be clearly seen that the resonances of the two products are easily resolvable at room temperature compared to those of the starting material indicating that the hydrazone linkage is no longer constrained within the macrocycle and thus confirming the opening of the rings. Both products were isolated from a range of by-products by preparative thin layer chromatography on silica. These by-products were assumed to be oligomeric species formed as a result of running the experiments at higher concentrations than in previous intermolecular exchanges in order to favour the ring-opened products. This prediction was supported by mass spectrometry.

### 4.7 Design of a Dynamic Synthetic Small Molecule Walker-Track System

The dynamic behaviour of macrocycle $\textbf{M1}_1$ meets the criteria required for the key operational components of a non-directional molecular walker laid out in Chapter I; in essence, it comprises two independently operable chemical switches. The goal of converting the simple macrocycle into a functioning walker system can be realised by extending the track to enable walking in the form of intramolecular dynamic exchange between footholds as opposed to the intermolecular stepping demonstrated in the presence of a high concentration of scavenger moieties. In this way, the biped unit of $\textbf{M1}_1$ could be made to randomly walk up and down a 4-foothold track with a high degree of processivity by means of alternating the orthogonal stimuli developed in the macrocycle ring-opening (Figure 4.5).

**Figure 4.5** - Proposed operation of walker track conjugate 1,2-$\textbf{T1}$ (chemical structure shown in Scheme 4.9) when exposed to different sets of stimuli: Stimulus 1: heat in the presence of a coordinating solvent labilises Pd(II)-foot (A) from pyridyl footholds (1 & 3); Stimulus II: acid, labilises hydrazone foot (B) from benzaldehyde footholds (2 & 4).
4.8 Synthesis, Characterisation and Operation of 1,2-T1

The synthetic strategy for the target walker-track conjugate 1,2-T1 used macrocycle M21 (a direct analogue of M11) as a core scaffold providing the potential for future compartmental extension of the track allowing for variations to be incorporated, such as branches or polymeric extensions. The synthetic route for the track is illustrated in Scheme 4.8, whilst the design of macrocycle M21 is very similar to that described previously for M11 and is outlined in Scheme 4.9. Full details are given in Experimental Section 4.11.

Scheme 4.8 - Synthesis of free track. Reagents and conditions: (a) TBDMSCl, DIPEA, DMF, 328 K, 3 h, 51%; (b) 6-methyl-2-pyridine methanol, PPh₃, DIAD, THF, RT, 15 h, 74%; (c) TBAF, DIPEA, THF, 273 K, 2 h, 77%; (d) TsCl, THF/H₂O (3:1), RT, 16 h, 95%; (e) 11, Cs₂CO₃, THF, RT, 89%; (f) Cs₂CO₃, THF, RT, 68%.

3,5-dihydroxybenzaldehyde (22) was mono-protected through reaction with one equivalent of tert-butyldimethylsilyl chloride and DIPEA in DMF to yield 23 (Scheme 4.8a), which was coupled to 6-methyl-2-pyridine methanol under Mitsunobu reaction conditions to afford track fragment 24. Ditosylate 27 was synthesised according to a modified literature procedure by reacting 2,6-pyridinemethanol (26) with tosyl chloride, in THF/H₂O (3:1). The second half of the four-foothold track was achieved by Williamson coupling of 11 and 27 to give the product in 89% yield (Scheme 4.8e). Free track T1 was synthesised in a similar manner attaching 25 to 28 under Williamson reaction conditions (Scheme 4.8f).
Scheme 4.9 - Synthesis of walker-track conjugate. Reagents and conditions: (a) CHCl₃, RT, 16 h, 91%; (b) 17, acetic acid, MeOH, RT, 12 h, 93%; (c) (i) Grubbs II, CH₂Cl₂, RT, 18 h, 58%, (ii) TBAF, DIPEA, THF, 273 K, 2 h, 78%, (iii) Pd(OH)₂, H₂, K₂CO₃, THF, RT, 4 h, 96%; (d) Conditions 1: 28, Cs₂CO₃, THF, RT, 16%; Conditions 2: 28, K₂CO₃, 18-crown-6, acetone, RT, 16 h, max 60%, min 42%; Conditions 3: 28, K₂CO₃, 18-crown-6, acetone, RT, 6 days, 50%.

The palladium(II) foot was attached by reacting an equimolar solution of 24 and 14 to give complex 29 (Scheme 4.9a), which was subjected to hydrazone forming conditions (acetic acid, MeOH) in the presence of 17 to give precursor 30. Ring closing metathesis followed by silyl deprotection using TBAF in THF and subsequent hydrogenation gave the product M₂₁ (Scheme 4.9c). The ¹H NMR spectrum of this new macrocycle unsurprisingly showed the same hydrazone isomers as M₁₁ at room temperature but could be resolved at elevated temperature. Williamson reaction conditions were used in an attempt to couple M₂₁ and 28 in the synthesis of the target walker-track conjugate 1,2-T₁, however, the product was only ever observed in poor yield. Conditions were screened varying the reaction times, the solvent and the base. The best results came from using K₂CO₃ in combination with 18-crown-6 in acetone for 16 h giving the product in a remarkable 60% yield. However, this result was not precisely reproducible. An alternative attempt was made with the same reagents in CH₂Cl₂ for 6 days, which afforded 1,2-T₁ in a more modest but reproducible 50% yield.

4.9 Heat-Driven Stepping of Palladium(II)-Foot

Walker-track conjugate 1,2-T₁ was submitted to the reaction conditions optimised in the intermolecular model studies and the ring-opening of macrocycle M₁₁ (CDCl₃/CD₃CN (7:3), 0.1 mM, 338 K, 25 h) and the progress was monitored by ¹H NMR
spectroscopy but, unfortunately, very little change was observed in the spectra. Due to the chemical similarity of the two pyridyl footholds within the track, only small variations were expected anyway, and they were predicted to be difficult to interpret in low concentration NMR experiments run at high temperature, however, when carried out on a larger scale full high temperature NMR analysis (13C, HSQC, HMBC, COSY, ROESY, DOSY) showed the product to be identical to the starting material. Although the previous models implied that the palladium(II)-foot should indeed function under these conditions, the results from the attempted stepping either mean that the walker’s first step actually worked and the product is simply indistinguishable from the starting material by NMR and HPLC analysis, or else the starting material is considerably more favoured and the equilibrium distribution lies completely in its favour. This first of these scenarios seems unlikely whilst the second could be explained by additional steric hindrance around foothold three. To test this theory and prove that the Pd-pyridyl bond was indeed being labilised under the chosen conditions, the stepping reaction was repeated with the addition of 5 equivalents of scavenger 2,6-lutidine (Scheme 4.10). The reaction showed formation (2,6-lutidine),2-T1 in 70% yield.

Scheme 4.10 - Ring-opening of 1,2-T1 in the presence of 2,6-lutidine. Reagents and conditions: (a) 2,6-lutidine (5 equiv), CDCl3/CD3CN (7:3), 338 K, 23 h, 70%.

These results give further evidence that the reaction conditions for palladium(II)-foot stepping are effective and suggest that foothold-1 is, in effect, unanimously favoured over its counterpart pyridyl foothold-3. This realisation means that the biped unit cannot be made to walk along the track of this system. Considering the degree of electronic similarity between the two stations in question, it can be inferred that this bias emanates from their disparate steric environments. Although CPK modelling had previously indicated that a single methylene spacer would be enough to comfortably accommodate the palladium(II) complex when coordinated to the central foothold, experimental evidence has shown otherwise.
4.10 Conclusions and Future Work

Whilst the first generation walker-track conjugate 1,2-**T1** has been found wanting in terms of the ultimate goal of this project, the information acquired through the synthesis, operation and model studies described in this chapter will be invaluable in the design of subsequent superior systems (Figure 4.6). The effective ring-opening of macrocycle **M1**, gives precedent for the use of palladium(II) ligand chemistry and hydrazone exchange as effective components for use in conjunction with orthogonal chemical stimuli as independently addressable feet in a molecular biped.
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**Figure 4.6 - Potential adjustments to improve the functionality of 1,2-T1**: (i) an increase in steric bulk at position X would help give a more even distribution between footholds 1 and 3 when operating the Pd(II) foot; (ii) increasing y would aid characterisation of the system by NMR spectroscopy by eliminating the hydrazone isomer issues that convolute the spectra at RT; (iii) increasing z would also help give a more even distribution between footholds 1 and 3 when operating the Pd(II) foot if X were left as a hydrogen atom; (iv) by incorporating a DMAP derivative in the foothold-3 position the Pd(II) step could be made directional conforming to an energy ratchet mechanism.

It has been shown that encapsulating the hydrazone linkage within strained macrocycles can convolute the NMR spectra of these species. A second-generation design should try and avoid this by increasing the degree of flexibility afforded to the macrocycle formed by the two fixed feet and the track. Track **T1** was designed as an ABAB system with the potential for incremental extension. Although this is useful when trying to mimic the polymeric nature of biological molecular tracks, it makes 1H NMR analysis of operation difficult. The introduction of deuterium labelling of the benzaldehyde footholds in **T1** proved effective in differentiating between them, however, the pyridine footholds (1 & 3) were almost indistinguishable by NMR. One method of labelling them would be to replace pyridine foothold-3 with a 2,6-substituted DMAP derivative. This would not only enable the operator to differentiate between ligand sites, but also allow for directionality to be introduced into the system by upgrading the palladium(II) component from a simple dynamic switch to an energy ratchet similar to the one described in Chapter II. The requirement for a large excess of
acid in order to operate the hydrazone foot means that processivity could still be maintained with the addition of a single equivalent of acid used to apply a bias between footholds during the palladium(II) stepping. Finally, the steric issue of the two pyridyl footholds would need to be addressed either by increasing the steric bulk of foothold-1 to match that of 3, or else, by increasing the alkyl chain lengths between footholds to ensure an even steric hindrance of both pyridyl moieties.

4.11 Experimental Section

General Information

Compounds 1,20 2321 and 2722 were prepared according to modified literature procedures. Benzaldehyde (2), 3,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (5), 6-heptenoic acid (15), 3,5-dihydroxybenzaldehyde (22), 1-bromopropane, 2,6-pyridinemethanol (26), 4-bromobutene and 6-methyl-2-pyridine methanol were purchased from Sigma Aldrich.

Synthesis of Macrocycle M11

Synthesis of 6

To a solution of 3,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (5) (5.00 g, 32.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in dry MeOH (100 mL) was added SOCl₂ (5 mL) and the mixture stirred at room temperature under nitrogen for 12 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give 6 (5.35 g, quant.) as a pale yellow powder. m.p. 158-163 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD): δ = 6.93 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 2H, H₆), 6.48 (t, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, H₅), 3.86 (s, 3H, H₄). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, MeOD): δ = 168.68, 159.74, 133.01, 108.79, 108.24, 52.52. HRMS (NSI⁺): m/z = 191.0317 [M+Na⁺]⁺ (calcd. 191.0320 for C₈H₈NaO₄⁺).

Synthesis of 7

Under nitrogen, 6 (2.50 g, 15.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and K₂CO₃ (6.20 g, 45.0 mmol, 3.0 equiv) were added to dry DMF (100 mL) and stirred for 10 min. 1-bromopropane (1.80...
g, 15.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added via syringe over a period of 1 h. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, the crude product dissolved in EtOAc and washed with H₂O, aqueous LiCl, and brine. The organic fractions were dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, EtOAc/petrol ether 1:4) to give 7 (1.00 g, 32%) as a white solid. m.p. 76-78 °C.

1H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD): δ = 7.05 – 7.00 (m, 2H, Hb+d), 6.58 (t, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, Hc), 3.94 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H, He), 3.88 (s, 3H, Ha), 1.87 – 1.74 (m, 2H, Hf), 1.06 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H, Hg).

13C NMR (101 MHz, MeOD): δ = 168.6, 161.8, 159.9, 133.1, 109.9, 107.7, 107.5, 70.8, 52.6, 23.6, 10.8.

Synthesis of 8

To a solution of 7 (0.620 g, 2.96 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in dry DMF (40 mL) at 0 °C was added DIPEA (1.22 g, 9.47 mmol, 3.2 equiv) and the solution stirred for 20 min. TBDPSCl (1.63 g, 5.91 mmol, 2.0 equiv) was added in dry DMF (10 mL) and the solution stirred at 55 °C for 3 h. Brine was added, the crude product extracted with CH₂Cl₂ and washed with HCl (1 M), H₂O, and brine. The organic phase was dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude residues combined and purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, petrol ether/CH₂Cl₂ 3:1) to give 8 (1.25 g, 94%) as a white solid. m.p. 121-123 °C. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.82 – 7.74 (m, 4H, H Ar), 7.52 – 7.37 (m, 6H, H Ar), 7.21 – 7.15 (m, 2H, Hb+d), 6.48 (t, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, Hc), 3.85 (s, 3H, Ha), 3.71 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, He), 1.73 – 1.63 (m, 2H, Hf), 1.18 (s, 9H, Hh), 0.95 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H, Hg). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 166.9, 159.8, 156.6, 135.6, 132.6, 131.8, 130.1, 127.9, 113.6, 111.2, 108.6, 69.7, 52.1, 26.6, 22.4, 19.6, 10.4. HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z = 449.2142 [M+H]⁺ (calcld. 449.2148 for C₂₇H₃₃O₄Si⁺).
Chapter IV

Synthesis of 9

To a solution of 8 (0.380 g, 0.846 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in dry THF (35 mL) at 0 °C was added LiAlD₄ (0.140 g, 3.40 mmol, 4.0 equiv) and the reaction stirred at room temperature for 13 h. The reaction was quenched with H₂O followed by NaOH (0.1 M) and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 98:2) to give 9 (0.340 g, 94%). m.p. 136-139 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.73 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 4H, H₄Ar), 7.46 – 7.34 (m, 6H, H₄Ar), 6.46 (s, 1H, H₃a/b), 6.37 (s, 1H, H₃a/b), 6.22 (s, 1H, Hδ), 3.65 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, Hδ), 1.72 – 1.60 (m, 2H, Hε), 1.12 (s, 9H, Hg), 0.93 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H, Hf). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 160.2, 156.7, 153.9, 135.7, 133.0, 130.0, 127.9, 110.6, 106.3, 105.4, 69.5, 26.75, 22.5, 19.6, 10.5. HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z = 423.2323 [M+H]⁺ (calcd. 423.2324 for C₂₆H₃₁D₂O₃Si⁺).

Synthesis of 10

An equimolar solution of 9 (0.111 g, 0.260 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and Dess-Martin periodinane (0.110 g, 0.259 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was made up in CH₂Cl₂ and stirred at room temperature for 3 h. The reaction mixture was washed with H₂O and the organic fraction dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude residue purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, petrol ether/CH₂Cl₂ 3:2) to give 10 (76 mg, 51%) as a colourless oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.74 – 7.68 (m, 4H, H₄Ar), 7.48 – 7.34 (m, 6H, H₄Ar), 6.94 (dd, J = 2.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H, H₃a/b), 6.84 (dd, J = 2.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H, H₃a/b), 6.53 (t, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, Hδ), 3.72 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, Hδ), 1.73 – 1.62 (m, 2H, Hε), 1.12 (s, 9H, Hg), 0.94 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H, Hf). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 191.8, 160.6, 157.3, 138.1, 135.6, 132.5, 130.3, 128.1, 114.5, 113.0, 107.5, 69.9, 26.6, 22.4, 19.6, 10.5. HRMS (NSI⁺): m/z = 420.2097 [M+H]⁺ (calcd. 420.2105 for C₂₆H₃₀D₃O₃Si⁺).
Synthesis of 11

To a solution of 10 (76 mg, 0.17 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in dry THF (10 mL) was added TBAF (1 M in THF, 0.17 mL, 0.17 mmol, 1.0 equiv) at 0 °C forming a yellow solution which was stirred for 2 h. The reaction was quenched with H2O (4 mL) at 0 °C and the crude product extracted with CH2Cl2, washed with H2O and brine, and dried over MgSO4. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (SiO2, MeOH/CH2Cl2 1:99) to give 11 (28 mg, 90%) as a brown oil. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 7.00 (dd, J = 2.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H, Hd/f), 6.94 (dd, J = 2.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H, H_d/f), 6.67 (t, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, H_e), 3.95 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, H_c), 1.90 – 1.76 (m, 2H, H_b), 1.04 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H, H_a). 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 192.92, 161.04, 157.82, 138.14, 109.14, 109.10, 109.05, 70.07, 22.51, 10.55. HRMS (NSI+): m/z = 182.0923 [M+H]+ (calcd. 082.0927 for C10H12DO3+).

Synthesis of 12

To a solution of PPh3 (0.26 g, 0.99 mmol, 1.2 equiv) in dry THF (2 mL) was added DIAD (0.24 g, 1.2 mmol, 1.4 equiv) at 0 °C under nitrogen, forming a yellow cloudy solution which was stirred for 20 min. 6-methyl-2-pyridinemethanol (0.11 g, 0.91 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added in THF (1 mL) and the solution stirred for 20 min. 11 (0.15 g, 0.83 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added in THF (1.5 mL) and the solution turned clear yellow. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 12 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue purified by column chromatography (SiO2, MeOH/CH2Cl2 0.5:99.5) to give 12 (0.22 g, 93%) as a colourless oil. 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 7.60 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, H_c), 7.29 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, H_b/d), 7.09 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, H_b/d), 7.08 – 7.07 (m, 1H, H_f/h), 7.04 – 7.00 (m, 1H, H_f/h), 6.81 (t, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, H_g), 5.19 (s, 2H, H_e), 3.94 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, H_i), 2.57 (s, 3H, H_a), 1.86 – 1.75 (m, 2H, H_j), 1.03 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H, H_k). 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 192.0 – 191.4 (m), 161.0, 160.2, 158.3, 155.9, 138.4, 137.3, 122.6, 118.4, 108.5, 108.4, 107.9.
71.2, 70.1, 22.6, 22.1, 10.6. HRMS (NSI\(^+\)): \(m/z = 287.1501\) [M+H]\(^+\) (calcd. 287.1506 for C\(_{17}\)H\(_{19}\)DNO\(_3\)\(^+\)).

**Synthesis of 13**

To a solution of compound 10 (Chapter II) (0.70 g, 1.8 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (1.2 g, 9.0 mmol, 5.0 equiv) in butanone (60 mL) was added 4-bromobutene (1.2 g, 9.0 mmol, 5.0 equiv) and the solution stirred at 70 °C under nitrogen for 2 days. H\(_2\)O (50 mL) was added and the crude product extracted with butanone, dried over MgSO\(_4\) and purified by column chromatography (SiO\(_2\), MeOH/CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) 0.05:95.5) to give 13 (0.78 g, 99%) as a colourless oil. \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta = 8.51\) (t, \(J = 6.1\) Hz, 2H, H\(_j\)), 7.78 (s, 2H, H\(_e\)), 7.09 (d, \(J = 8.0\) Hz, 4H, H\(_b\)), 6.99 (d, \(J = 8.0\) Hz, 4H, H\(_c\)), 5.83 (ddt, \(J = 17.0, 10.2, 6.7\) Hz, 1H, H\(_h\)), 5.22 – 5.09 (m, 2H, H\(_i\)), 4.48 (d, \(J = 6.2\) Hz, 4H, H\(_d\)), 4.05 (t, \(J = 6.6\) Hz, 2H, H\(_f\)), 2.54 (q, \(J = 6.6\) Hz, 2H, H\(_g\)), 2.26 (s, 6H, H\(_a\)). \(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta = 167.78, 163.58, 150.84, 137.39, 135.14, 133.50, 129.50, 127.87, 117.97, 111.61, 68.16, 43.37, 33.20, 21.24\). HRMS (NSI\(^+\)): \(m/z = 444.2277\) [M+H]\(^+\) (calcd. 444.2287 for C\(_{27}\)H\(_{30}\)N\(_3\)O\(_3\)\(^+\)).

**Synthesis of 14**

To a solution of 13 (0.80 g, 1.8 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in MeCN (55 mL) was added Pd(OAc\(_2\)) (0.42 g, 1.8 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and the solution stirred at room temperature for 12 h. A bright yellow precipitate was filtered off and washed with cold MeCN. The product was dried under reduced pressure to give the product (0.79 g, 74%) as a grey/yellow
amorphous solid.  $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta = 7.17$ (s, 2H, H$_e$), 7.15 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 4H, H$_b$), 7.04 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 4H, H$_c$), 5.88 – 5.72 (m, 1H, H$_h$), 5.18 – 5.04 (m, 2H, H$_i$), 4.44 (s, 4H, H$_d$), 4.15 (t, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 2H, H$_g$), 2.59 – 2.48 (m, 2H, H$_f$), 2.26 (s, $J = 13.1$ Hz, 6H, H$_a$), 1.96 (s, $J = 2.8$ Hz, 3H, H$_j$).  $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta = 170.7$, 154.6, 138.7, 135.9, 133.1, 129.0, 128.6, 127.3, 118.3, 116.5, 111.2, 69.1, 50.1, 33.0, 21.2, 2.7, 2.0.  HRMS (NSI$^+$): $m/z = 589.1422$ [M+H$^+$] (calcd. 589.1431 for C$_{29}$H$_{31}$N$_4$O$_3$Pd$^+$).

**Synthesis of 16**

$^{6}$-heptenoic acid (1.0 g, 7.8 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added to MeOH (25 mL) at 0 °C. Thionyl chloride (2.0 g, 17 mmol, 2.2 equiv) was added via syringe and the solution stirred at room temperature for 16 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give 16 (1.1 g, quant.) as a colourless oil.  $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta = 5.79$ (ddt, $J = 16.9$, 10.2, 6.7 Hz, 1H, H$_c$), 5.05 – 4.91 (m, 2H, H$_a$), 3.66 (s, 3H, H$_h$), 2.31 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H, H$_g$), 2.06 (q, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H, H$_d$), 1.65 - 1.61 (m, 2H, H$_i$), 1.47 – 1.36 (m, 2H, H$_e$).  $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta = 174.3$, 138.5, 114.8, 51.6, 48.5, 34.1, 33.5, 28.5, 24.5.

**Synthesis of 17**

To a stirring solution of 16 (1.10 g, 7.80 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in MeOH (25 mL) was added hydrazine monohydrate (1.95 g, 39.0 mmol, 5.0 equiv) and the reaction mixture refluxed for 12 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product purified by column chromatography (SiO$_2$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$/MeOH 95:5) to give 17 (0.70 g, 63%) as a white solid, m.p. 63 – 65 °C.  $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta = 6.71$ (s, 1H, H$_h$), 5.78 (ddt, $J = 16.9$, 10.2, 6.7 Hz, 1H, H$_c$), 5.03 – 4.93 (m, 2H, H$_a$), 3.89 (s, 2H, H$_i$), 2.20 – 2.11 (m, 2H, H$_g$), 2.11 – 2.01 (m, 2H, H$_f$), 1.73 – 1.57 (m, 2H, H$_i$), 1.48 – 1.35 (m, 2H, H$_e$).  $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta = 174.0$, 138.4, 114.9, 34.5, 33.5, 28.56, 25.1.
**Synthesis of 18**

To a solution of 14 (0.25 g, 0.42 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in CHCl₃ (50 mL) was added 12 (0.12 g, 0.42 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and the solution stirred at room temperature for 5 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude residue purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH, 97:3) to yield 18 (0.32 g, 93%) as a yellow oil. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.82 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, H_l), 7.45 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, H_k/m), 7.36 (s, 2H, H_e), 7.11 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, H_k/m), 7.05 (dd, J = 2.1, 1.1 Hz, 1H, H_q/o), 6.87 (dd, J = 2.2, 1.1 Hz, 1H, H_q/o), 6.74 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H, H_b), 6.57 (t, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, H_p), 6.45 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, H_i), 6.45 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, H_i), 5.87 (ddt, J = 17.0, 10.3, 6.7 Hz, 1H, H_h), 5.22 – 5.12 (m, 2H, H_i), 4.59 (s, 2H, H_a), 4.28 – 4.18 (m, 4H, H_d,e), 3.98 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, H_j), 3.81 (d, J = 14.2 Hz, 2H, H_b), 2.66 – 2.56 (m, 5H, H_g,h), 2.00 (s, 6H, H_a), 1.89 – 1.76 (m, 2H, H_d), 1.05 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H, H_t). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 191.44, 171.23, 169.37, 160.84, 160.47, 158.93, 154.33, 139.12, 138.09, 136.25, 133.18, 128.85, 127.30, 124.57, 120.67, 118.13, 111.25, 108.66, 108.40, 107.61, 77.41, 77.16, 76.91, 70.19, 68.94, 68.83, 53.54, 49.22, 33.02, 26.03, 22.54, 22.04, 20.86, 10.60. HRMS (NSI⁺): m/z = 834.2608 [M+H⁺]⁺ (calcd. 834.2593 for C₄₄H₄₆DN₄O₆Pd⁺).

**Synthesis of 19**

To a solution of 18 (0.25 g, 0.31 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and 17 (0.044 g, 0.31 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in dry MeOH (40 mL) was added glacial acetic acid (5 drops) and the solution stirred at room temperature for 16 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude residue purified by column chromatography (SiO₂,
CH$_2$Cl$_2$/MeOH 95:5) to yield **19** (0.27 g, 90%) as a yellow oily solid. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta =$ 9.35 (s, 1H, H$_s$), 7.82 (t, $J =$ 7.8 Hz, 1H, H$_k$), 7.48 (d, $J =$ 7.9 Hz, 1H, H$_{j/l}$), 7.37 (s, 2H, H$_e$), 7.07 (d, $J =$ 7.9 Hz, 1H, H$_{j/l}$), 6.88 (s, 1H, H$_{y/p}$), 6.76 (d, $J =$ 7.8 Hz, 4H, H$_b$), 6.65 (s, 1H, H$_{n/p}$), 6.45 (d, $J =$ 7.8 Hz, 4H, H$_c$), 6.36 (t, $J =$ 2.2 Hz, 1H, H$_a$), 5.94 – 5.76 (m, 2H, H$_{h+w}$), 5.21 – 5.15 (m, 2H, H$_a$), 5.06 – 4.91 (m, 2H, H$_s$), 4.69 (s, 2H, H$_m$), 4.25 (t, $J =$ 6.6 Hz, 2H, H$_i$), 4.14 (d, $J =$ 14.2 Hz, 2H, H$_a$), 3.95 (t, $J =$ 6.6 Hz, 2H, H$_b$), 3.92 (d, $J =$ 14.3 Hz, 2H, H$_b$), 2.75 (t, $J =$ 7.5 Hz, 2H, H$_b$), 2.64 – 2.59 (m, 2H, H$_e$), 2.56 (s, 2H, H$_i$), 2.15 – 2.09 (m, 2H, H$_{aa}$), 1.88 – 1.79 (m, 2H, H$_y$), 1.77 – 1.70 (m, 2H, H$_u$), 1.52 – 1.44 (m, 2H, H$_v$), 1.06 (t, $J =$ 7.4 Hz, 3H, H$_z$) . $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta =$ 207.13, 175.92, 171.24, 169.41, 160.63, 160.58, 159.19, 158.78, 154.38, 139.10, 138.76, 138.08, 135.82, 133.20, 128.90, 127.33, 124.51, 120.72, 118.20, 114.73, 111.25, 107.23, 104.94, 103.76, 77.41, 77.16, 76.91, 70.18, 69.96, 69.02, 68.84, 53.56, 49.29, 33.67, 33.07, 32.63, 31.07, 28.74, 26.02, 24.34, 22.65, 22.09, 20.97, 10.70. HRMS (NSI$^+$): $m/z =$ 958.3611 [M+H]$^+$ (calcd. 958.3594 for C$_{51}$H$_{58}$DN$_6$O$_6$Pd$^+$).

**Synthesis of M1**

To an oven-dried round-bottom flask was added **19** (96.0 mg, 0.100 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and the flask purged with nitrogen. CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (110 mL, pre-purged with N$_2$) was added via syringe. Grubbs II (8.5 mg, 0.01 mmol, 0.1 equiv) was added and a light vacuum applied to the flask for 5 min. The flask was purged with nitrogen for 15 min and stirred at room temperature for 24 h. Additional Grubbs II (8.5 mg, 0.01 mmol, 0.1 equiv) was added and a light vacuum applied to the flask for 5 min. The flask was purged with nitrogen for 15 min and stirred at room temperature for 24 h. Ethyl vinyl ether (2 mL) was added and the solution stirred for 2 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO$_2$, EtOAc) to give a yellow solid (54 mg, 58%), which was dissolved in dry THF (10 mL). K$_2$CO$_3$ (37.0 mg, 0.270 mmol, 5.0 equiv) was added with Pd(OH)$_2$/C (5 mg) and the solution stirred at room temperature in the presence of
hydrogen gas for 4 h. The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite® and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 96:4) to yield M1₁ (45 mg, 52% from 19) as a yellow solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₂Cl₄D₂): δ = 8.78 (s, 1H, Hₙ), 7.88 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Hₚ), 7.56 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, Hₚ/q), 7.37 (s, 2H, H₂), 7.14 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, Hₚ/q), 6.88 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 4H, Hₙ), 6.83 (s, 3H, Hₚ-w), 6.63 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 4H, Hₚ), 5.16 (s, 2H, H₁), 4.41 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 2H, Hₚ), 4.17 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 2H, Hₙ), 4.03 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H, Hₕ), 4.01 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 2H, Hₕ), 2.62 (s, 3H, Hₚ), 2.23 (s, 6H, Hₚ), 2.00 – 1.93 (m, 2H, Hₕ), 1.94 – 1.85 (m, 2H, Hₕ), 1.81 – 1.65 (m, 4H, Hₕ), 1.65 – 1.55 (m, 4H, Hₕ), 1.53 – 1.46 (m, 2H, H₁), 1.15 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H, Hₐ). HRMS (NSI +): m/z = 932.3466 [M+H]+ (calcd. 932.3437 for C₄₉H₅₆DN₆O₆Pd⁺).

**Ring-Opening Experiments**

**Synthesis of 20**

To a solution of M1₁ (5.6 mg, 6.0 µmol, 1.0 equiv.) in CD₃CN (0.1 mL) and CDCl₃ (0.4 mL) was added 2,6-lutidine (3.2 mg, 30 µmol, 5.0 equiv.) and the solution heated at 65 °C for 15 hrs. Toluene (1 mL) was added and the solvent removed gradually under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by preparative thin layer chromatography (SiO₂, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ 5:95) to give 20 as a yellow solid (4.7 mg, 75%). m.p. 180 °C (dec). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9.26 (s, 1H, Hₙ), 7.61 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, Hₚ), 7.61 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, Hₚ), 7.35 (s, 2H, H₂), 7.33 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H, Hₚ/q), 7.09 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, Hₚ/q), 6.94 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H, Hₕ), 6.87 (s, 1H, Hₚ/q), 6.81 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H, Hₚ), 6.77 (s, 1H, Hₚ/q), 6.58 (t, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H, H₁), 6.47 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H, Hₚ), 5.17 (s, 2H, Hₚ), 4.16 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H, Hₚ), 4.02 (s, 4H, Hₕ), 3.90 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, H₂), 2.76 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H, Hₕ), 2.57 (s, 2H, Hₕ), 2.42 (s, 6H, Hₕ), 2.24 (s, 6H, Hₕ), 1.81 – 1.71 (m, 6H, Hₕ), 1.50 – 1.37 (m, 6H, Hₕ), 1.03 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H, Hₐ). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 175.78, 171.30, 169.52, 169.52, 160.64, 160.57, 159.97, 158.13, 156.35, 154.37, 138.61, 138.22, 137.26, 135.96, 128.74, 127.46, 122.72, 122.45, 118.39, 111.16, 106.73,
105.47, 103.48, 70.98, 69.94, 69.85, 49.34, 32.64, 29.84, 29.13, 29.09, 28.87, 28.54, 25.61, 25.56, 24.57, 24.54, 22.64, 21.16, 10.66. HRMS (NSI⁺): m/z = 1039.4241 [M+H]⁺ (calcd. 1039.4172 for C₅₆H₆₅DN₇O₆Pd⁺).

**Synthesis of 21**

To a solution of M1₁ (5.6 mg, 6.0 µmol, 1.0 equiv) in CDCl₃ (0.5 mL) was added p-anisaldehyde (4.1 mg, 0.03 mmol, 5.0 equiv) and TFA (2.2 µL, 0.03 mmol, 5.0 equiv) and the reaction left at room temperature for 15 h. The crude mixture was purified by preparative TLC (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 95:5) to give 21 as a yellow solid. m.p. 175 °C (dec.) ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.79 (s, 1H, Hₙ), 7.86 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, Hₙ), 7.69 (s, 1H, Hₙ), 7.58 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H, Hₙ), 7.47 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, Hₙ), 7.38 (s, 2H, Hₙ), 7.12 (d, J = 7.7, 1H, Hₙ), 7.06 (s, 1H, Hₙ), 6.91 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H, Hₙ), 6.89 (s, 1H, Hₙ), 6.76 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H, Hₙ), 6.59 (t, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, Hₙ), 6.47 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H, Hₙ), 4.61 (s, 2H, Hₙ), 4.23 (d, J = 14.1 Hz, 2H, Hₙ), 4.19 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 3.99 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, Hₙ), 3.84 (s, 3H, Hₙ), 3.83 (d, J = 14.1 Hz, 2H, Hₙ), 2.76 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H, Hₙ), 2.64 (s, 3H, Hₙ), 2.02 (s, 6H, Hₙ), 1.87 - 1.73 (m, 6H, Hₙ), 1.50 - 1.39 (m, 8H, Hₙ), 1.07 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H, Hₙ). HRMS (NSI⁺): m/z = 1068.4001 [M+H]⁺ (calcd. 1068.3961 for C₅₇H₆₄N₆O₈Pd⁺).

**Synthesis of Molecular Walker-Track Conjugate 1,2-T1**

**Synthesis of 24**

PPh₃ (0.24 g, 0.82 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was dissolved in dry THF (2 mL) and cooled to 0 °C under nitrogen. DIAD (0.21 g, 1.1 mmol, 1.4 equiv) was added dropwise and the
solution stirred for 20 min. 6-methyl-2-pyridine methanol (0.10 g, 0.82 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added in THF (1 mL) and the solution stirred for 20 min. 23 (0.19 g, 0.75 mmol, 1 equiv) was added in THF (1.5 mL) and stirred for 4.5 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂) to give 24 (0.20 g, 74%) as a colourless oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9.87 (s, 1H, H₁), 7.61 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H, H₃), 7.30 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, H₄), 7.12 (dd, J = 2.4, 1.3 Hz, 1H, H₅), 7.10 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, H₆), 6.95 (dd, J = 2.2, 1.3 Hz, 1H, H₇), 6.73 (t, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, H₈), 5.18 (s, 2H, H₉), 2.58 (s, 3H, H₁₀), 0.97 (s, 9H, H₁₁), 0.22 (s, 6H, H₁₂). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 191.90, 160.14, 158.30, 157.57, 155.94, 138.57, 137.31, 122.60, 118.49, 114.31, 113.54, 108.94, 71.21, 25.74, 24.50, 18.33, -4.33. HRMS (NSI⁺): m/z = 358.1834 [M+H⁺]⁺ (calcd. 358.1838 for C₂₀H₂₈NO₃Si⁺).

**Synthesis of 25**

To a solution of 24 (76 mg, 0.21 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in dry THF (10 mL) was added TBAF (1 M in THF, 0.21 mL, 0.21 mmol, 1.0 equiv) at 0 °C forming a yellow solution which was stirred for 2 h. The reaction was quenched with H₂O (4 mL) at 0 °C and the crude product extracted with CH₂Cl₂, washed with H₂O and brine, and dried over MgSO₄. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ 1:99) to give 29 (46 mg, 90%) as a brown oil. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, MeOD): δ = 9.83 (s, 1H, H₁), 7.74 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, H₃), 7.39 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, H₄), 7.24 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, H₅), 7.03 (dd, J = 2.0, 1.1 Hz, 1H, H₆), 6.94 (dd, J = 2.0, 1.1 Hz, 1H, H₇), 6.74 (t, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, H₈), 5.15 (s, 2H, H₉), 2.56 (s, 3H, H₁₀). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, MeOD): δ = 193.77, 161.57, 160.68, 159.31, 157.13, 140.15, 139.19, 124.07, 120.28, 110.53, 109.51, 107.66, 71.40, 23.79. HRES (ESI⁺): m/z = 244.1001 [M+H⁺]⁺ (calcd. 244.0974 for C₁₄H₁₄NO₃⁺).

**Synthesis of T1**
To a solution of 25 (29.5 mg, 0.122 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and 28 (61.0 mg, 0.134 mmol, 1.1 equiv) in dry THF (4 mL) was added CsCO₃ (119 mg, 0.365 mmol, 3.0 equiv) and the mixture stirred at room temperature for 15 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude residue purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, petroleum ether/EtOAc 6:4) to give T₁ (44 mg, 68%) as a colourless oil. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9.89 (s, 1H, Ḧhz), 7.77 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ḦAr), 7.61 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, ḦAr), 7.45 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, ḦAr), 7.28 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, ḦAr), 7.13 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 2H, ḦAr), 7.11 – 7.09 (m, 2H, ḦAr), 7.04 (s, 2H, ḦAr), 6.93 (t, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, ḦAr), 6.82 (t, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, ḦAr), 5.24 (s, 4H, Ḧj+n), 5.20 (s, 2H, Ḧe), 3.96 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, Ḧr), 2.58 (s, 3H, Ḧa), 1.86 – 1.74 (m, 2H, Ḧs), 1.04 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H, Ḧe). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 191.73, 161.02, 160.36, 160.19, 160.12, 158.38, 156.44, 156.30, 155.75, 138.68, 138.51, 137.93, 137.27, 122.64, 120.63, 118.47, 108.97, 108.66, 108.42, 108.26, 108.17, 71.32, 71.05, 70.98, 70.15, 24.55, 22.58, 10.61. HRMS (NSI⁺): m/z = 528.228 [M+H]⁺ (calcd. 528.2245 for C₃₁H₃₀D₃N₂O₆⁺).

Synthesis of 28

To a solution of 11 (0.310 g, 1.67 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and 27 (3.80 g, 8.50 mmol, 5.0 equiv) in dry THF (40 mL) was added CsCO₃ (2.77 g, 8.50 mmol, 5.0 equiv) and the solution stirred at room temperature under nitrogen for 16 h. CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) and H₂O (50 mL) were added and the two phases separated. The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, the solvent removed under reduced pressure and the crude product purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, petrol ether/EtOAc 2:1) to give 28 (0.58 g, 74%) as a colourless oil. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.84 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, Ḧl/m), 7.73 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ḧj), 7.43 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ḧh/i), 7.37 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ḧh/i), 7.34 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H, Ḧl/m), 7.06 – 7.04 (m, 1H, Ḧd/n), 7.04 – 7.02 (m, 1H, Ḧd/n), 6.78 (t, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H, Ḧc), 5.16 (s, 2H, Ḧg/k), 5.14 (s, 2H, Ḧg/k), 3.95 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, Ḧe), 2.44 (s, 3H, Ḧa), 1.87 – 1.74 (m, 2H, Ḧh), 1.03 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H, Ḧa). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 191.59, 161.03, 160.02, 156.43, 153.65, 145.24, 138.52, 138.02, 132.97, 130.07, 128.25, 121.09, 121.08, 108.38, 108.32, 108.07, 71.67, 70.74, 70.17, 22.57, 21.80, 10.60. HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z = 457.1532 [M+H]⁺ (calcd. 457.1544 for C₂₄H₂₅D₅N₂O₆S⁺).
Synthesis of 29

To a solution of 24 (0.22 g, 0.60 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in CHCl₃ (150 mL) was added 14 (0.36 g, 0.60 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and the reaction mixture stirred at room temperature for 16 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 97:3) to give 29 (0.42 g, 77%) as a yellow oily solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 9.91 (s, 1H, Hᵣ), 7.84 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Hᵢ), 7.46 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, Hₗ/m), 7.37 (s, 2H, Hₑ), 7.12 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, Hₖ/m), 6.99 (dd, J = 2.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H, Hₜ/q/o), 6.87 (dd, J = 2.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H, Hₜ/q/o), 6.74 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 4H, Hᵇ), 6.57 (t, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, Hₚ), 6.45 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, Hₗ), 5.88 (ddt, J = 17.0, 10.2, 6.7 Hz, 1H, Hₜ), 5.26 – 5.13 (m, 2H, Hᵢ), 4.60 (s, 2H, Hₐ), 4.28 – 4.20 (m, 4H, Hₖ/n), 3.82 (d, J = 14.2 Hz, 2H, Hₗ), 2.65 – 2.60 (m, 5H, Hₑ/s/₁), 2.02 (s, 6H, Hₑ), 0.99 (s, 9H, Hₛ), 0.22 (s, 6H, Hₗ). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 191.66, 171.24, 169.42, 160.50, 158.98, 157.54, 154.41, 139.14, 138.52, 138.19, 136.30, 133.21, 128.90, 127.35, 124.54, 120.60, 118.20, 115.07, 113.27, 111.26, 107.96, 69.02, 68.89, 49.28, 33.08, 29.84, 26.07, 25.75, 20.93, 18.35, -4.24. HRMS (NSI⁺): m/z = 905.2932 [M+H]⁺ (calcd. 905.2926 for C₄7H₅₅N₄O₆PdSi⁺).

Synthesis of 30
To a solution of 29 (0.077 g, 0.085 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in dry MeOH (20 mL) was added 17 (0.013 g, 0.085 mmol, 1.0 equiv). Glacial acetic acid (3 drops) was added and the solution stirred at room temperature under nitrogen for 12 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product purified by column chromatography (SiO2, CH2Cl2/MeOH 98:2) to yield 30 (0.083 g, 94%) as a yellow, oily solid. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 8.98 (s, 1H, Hq), 7.83 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Hb), 7.63 (s, 1H, Haa), 7.47 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, Hj/l), 7.36 (s, 2H, He), 7.09 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, Hj/l), 6.84 (s, 1H, Hn/p), 6.74 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H, Hb), 6.65 (s, 1H, Hn/p), 6.44 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H, Hc), 6.34 (t, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H, Ha), 5.96 - 5.73 (m, 2H, Hs), 5.25 - 5.13 (m, 2H, Hs), 5.06 - 4.91 (m, 2H, Hs), 4.71 (s, 2H, Hs), 4.25 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, Hs), 4.15 (d, J = 14.2 Hz, 2H, H4/5), 3.90 (d, J = 14.2 Hz, 2H, H4/5), 2.74 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, Hs), 2.63 (q, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, Hs), 2.55 (s, 3H, Hs), 2.16 - 2.08 (m, 2H, Hs), 2.07 (s, 6H, Hs), 1.79 - 1.68 (m, 2H, Hs), 1.56 - 1.42 (m, 2H, Hs), 0.96 (s, 9H), 0.20 (s, 6H, Hs). 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3) δ 175.88, 174.09, 171.20, 169.41, 163.59, 160.55, 159.14, 158.77, 157.24, 154.42, 150.97, 142.56, 142.46, 139.12, 138.74, 138.11, 136.34, 135.82, 133.18, 129.52, 128.91, 127.90, 127.33, 124.46, 120.61, 118.24, 114.75, 111.64, 111.51, 111.43, 111.20, 108.52, 107.41, 107.35, 69.06, 68.88, 49.33, 33.67, 33.07, 32.74, 28.75, 25.83, 24.36, 21.01, 18.41, -4.18. HRMS (NSI+): m/z = 1029.3939 [M+H]+ (calcd. 1029.3926 for C54H67N6O6PdSi+).

Synthesis of M21

To an oven-dried round-bottom flask was added 30 (103 mg, 0.10 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and the flask purged with nitrogen. CH2Cl2 (110 mL, purged with N2) was added via syringe. Grubbs II (8.5 mg, 0.01 mmol, 0.1 equiv) was added and a light vacuum applied to the flask for 5 min. The flask was purged with nitrogen for 15 min and stirred at room temperature for 24 h. Additional Grubbs II (8.5 mg, 0.01 mmol, 0.1 equiv) was added and a light vacuum applied to the flask for 5 min. The flask was purged with nitrogen for 15 min and stirred at room temperature for 24 h. Ethyl vinyl ether (2 mL) was added and the solution stirred for 2 h. The solvent was removed
under reduced pressure and the crude residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, EtOAc) to give a yellow solid HRMS (NSI⁻): \( m/z = 1001.3618 \) [M+H]⁺ (calcd. 1001.3613 for \( \text{C}_{52}\text{H}_{63}\text{N}_{6}\text{O}_{6}\text{PdSi}^- \))(58 mg, 58%), which was dissolved in dry THF (10 mL). TBAF (1 M in THF, 0.17 mL, 0.17 mmol, 1 equiv) at 0 °C forming a yellow solution which was stirred for 2 h. The reaction was quenched with \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) (4 mL) at 0 °C and the crude product extracted with CH₂Cl₂, washed with \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) and brine, and dried over MgSO₄. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ 1:99) to give a brown oil, which was dissolved in dry THF (10 mL). \( \text{K}_2\text{CO}_3 \) (37 mg, 0.27 mmol, 5 equiv) was added with Pd(OH)₂/C (5 mg) and the solution stirred at room temperature in the presence of hydrogen gas for 4 h. The reaction mixture was filtered through celite and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (SiO₂, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 96:4) to yield \( \text{M21} \) (27 mg, 52% from \( \text{30} \)) as a yellow solid. \(^1\text{H NMR} (400 \text{ MHz, } \text{C}_2\text{D}_2\text{Cl}_4, 378 \text{ K})\): \( \delta = 8.51 \) (s, 1H, \( \text{H}_o \)), 7.84 (t, \( \text{J} = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H, H}_r \)), 7.49, (d, \( \text{J} = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H, H}_q/s \)), 7.31 (s, 2H, \( \text{H}_e \)), 7.10 (d, \( \text{J} = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H, H}_o/s \)), 6.88 (d, \( \text{J} = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 4\text{H, H}_s \)), 6.76 (s, 1H, \( \text{H}_u/w \)), 6.73 (s, 1H, \( \text{H}_s \)), 6.62 (d, \( \text{J} = 7.7 \text{ Hz}, 4\text{H, H}_e \)), 6.53 (s, 1H, \( \text{H}_u/w \)), 5.18 (s, 2H, \( \text{H}_t \)), 4.36 (t, \( \text{J} = 5.7 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H, H}_f \)), 4.26 (d, \( \text{J} = 14.4 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H, H}_d \)), 3.91 (d, \( \text{J} = 14.4 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H, H}_d \)), 2.62 – 2.59 (m, 2H, \( \text{H}_m \)), 2.55 (s, 3H, \( \text{H}_p \)), 2.23 (s, 6H, \( \text{H}_a \)), 2.00 – 1.89 (m, 2H, \( \text{H}_g \)), 1.78 – 1.69 (m, 2H, \( \text{H}_a \)), 1.63 – 1.54 (m, 2H, \( \text{H}_i \)), 1.50 – 1.45 (m, 8H, \( \text{H}_{h,i,j,k} \)). HRMS (NSI⁻): \( m/z = 889.2914 \) [M+H]⁺ (calcd. 889.2905 for \( \text{C}_{46}\text{H}_{51}\text{N}_6\text{O}_6\text{Pd}^- \)).
Figure 4.7 - $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, C$_2$D$_2$Cl$_4$) of M2$_1$: (a) 378 K; (b) 348 K; (c) 318 K; (d) 298 K.

Synthesis of 1,2-T1

To a mixture of M2$_1$ (30 mg, 0.034 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and CsCO$_3$ (55.4 mg, 0.17 mmol, 5.0 equiv) in dry THF (10 mL) was added 28 (31 mg, 0.067 mmol, 2.0 equiv) and the mixture stirred at room temperature for 15 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude residue purified by column chromatography (SiO$_2$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$/MeOH 95:5) to give 1,2-T1 (24 mg, 61%) as a brown solid. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, C$_2$D$_2$Cl$_4$, 378 K): $\delta$ = 8.60 – 8.51 (m, 1H, H$_o$), 7.87 – 7.77 (m, 2H, H$_r$+z), 7.53 – 7.45 (m, 2H, H$_q$/s+y/aa), 7.31 (s, 2H, H$_e$), 7.17 – 7.13 (m, 1H, H$_cc$), 7.11 – 7.06 (m, 3H, H$_q$/s+y/aa+bb), 6.90 (t, $J$ = 2.3 Hz, 1H, H$_dd$), 6.83 (d, $J$ = 7.7 Hz, 4H, H$_b$), 6.82 – 6.78 (m, 1H, H$_u$/w), 6.71 (t, $J$ = 2.1 Hz, 1H, H$_c$), 6.58 (d, $J$ = 7.7 Hz, 4H, H$_c$), 6.56 – 6.55 (m, 1H, H$_u$/w), 5.33 (s, 2H, H$_a$),
5.29 (s, 2H, H_{bb}), 5.26 (s, 2H, H_t), 4.35 (t, \( J = 5.9 \) Hz, 2H, H_f), 4.12 (d, \( J = 14.5 \) Hz, 2H, H_d), 4.03 (t, \( J = 6.5 \) Hz, 2H, H_r), 3.96 (d, \( J = 14.5 \) Hz, 2H, H_d), 2.63 - 2.58 (m, 2H, H_m), 2.58 (s, 3H, H_p), 2.17 (s, 6H, H_a), 1.94 - 1.78 (m, 4H, H_{1_{gg}}), 1.73 - 1.35 (m, 10H, H_{g,h,i,j,k}), 1.08 (t, \( J = 7.4 \) Hz, 3H, H_{hh}).

Figure 4.8 - \(^1\)H NMR spectra (400 MHz, C_2D_2Cl_4, 378 K) of 1,2-T_1.

Synthesis of (2,6-lutidine),2-T_1

A solution of 1,2-T_1 (5.0 mg, 4.26 µmol, 1.0 equiv) and 2,6-lutidine (2.3 mg, 21.0 µmol, 5.0 equiv) in CDCl_3/CD_3CN (7:3, 0.50 mL) was heated at 65 °C for 23 h. The crude mixture was purified by preparative thin layer chromatography (SiO_2, CH_2Cl_2/MeOH 95:5) to give (2,6-lutidine),2-T_1 (3.50 mg, 70%) as a viscous yellow oil. \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3): \( \delta = 9.21 \) (s, 1H, H_{n}), 7.76 (t, \( J = 7.8 \) Hz, 1H, H_{dd}), 7.69 (s, 1H, H_{aa}), 7.61 (t, \( J = 7.7 \) Hz, 1H, H_{x}), 7.61 (t, \( J = 7.7 \) Hz, 1H, H_{u}), 7.47 - 7.43 (m, 2H, H_{cc+ee}), 7.34 (s, 2H, H_e), 7.33 (d, \( J = 6.3 \) Hz, 1H, H_{i/v}), 7.12 (d, \( J = 6.3 \) Hz, 1H, H_{i/v}), 7.08 (dd, \( J = 2.3, 1.2 \) Hz, 1H, H_{gg}), 7.04 (dd, \( J = 2.3, 1.2 \) Hz, 1H, H_{ii}), 6.95 (s, 1H, H_{p/q}), 6.94 (d, \( J = 7.7 \) Hz, 2H, H_{y}), 6.87 (s, 1H, H_{p/q}), 6.83 - 6.82 (m, 1H, H_{hh}), 6.81 (d, \( J = 7.9 \) Hz, 4H, H_{a}), 6.70 (t, \( J = 2.2 \) Hz, 1H,
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Hr), 6.47 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, Hc), 5.24 (s, 4H, Hbb+ff), 5.21 (s, 2H, Hs), 4.15 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H, Hll), 4.02 (s, 4H, Hd), 3.96 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, Hg), 2.75 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, Hm), 2.43 (s, 6H, Hz), 2.24 (s, 6H, Hs), 1.84 – 1.79 (m, 2H, Hk), 1.77 – 1.70 (m, 4H, Hl), 1.04 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H, Hll). 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 175.69, 171.31, 169.52, 161.03, 160.58, 160.15, 160.07, 159.88, 158.20, 156.77, 156.33, 156.20, 154.38, 142.54, 138.61, 138.51, 138.25, 137.91, 137.26, 136.29, 135.96, 129.50, 129.50, 128.74, 127.88, 127.47, 122.73, 122.51, 120.58, 120.49, 118.41, 111.65, 111.17, 108.49, 108.43, 108.02, 106.80, 106.27, 103.96, 71.08, 71.02, 70.86, 70.17, 69.95, 49.35, 43.38, 32.60, 32.07, 29.85, 29.75, 29.61, 29.51, 29.40, 29.28, 29.22, 29.08, 28.83, 28.53, 25.63, 25.54, 24.55, 24.50, 22.84, 22.59, 21.23, 21.16, 14.26, 10.62. HRMS (NSI⁺): m/z = 1280.4898 [M+H]+ (calcd. 1280.4911 for C70H76DN8O9Pd⁺).
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13. The term "double-level" system denotes a system whose building blocks are interconnected by two different types of exchange processes.

14. The term "constitutionally dynamic" is used to include both reversible metal coordination and dynamic covalent processes.

15. *Selected examples of the dynamic covalent chemistry of imines:*
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18. *Selected examples of hydrazone exchange in organic solvents:*


19. *Selected examples of hydrazone exchange in aqueous media:*
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