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Abstract

We have investigated the use of calix[4]arenes in 3d and 3d/4f chemistry which produced a family of 7 new complexes. These are: 

\[\text{[Mn}^{III} \text{Mn}^{II} (\text{OH})_2 (\text{TBC4})_2 (\text{DMF})_6]\] (1), the analogous version with C4 (2).

\[\text{[Mn}^{III} \text{Gd}^{III} (\text{OH})_4 (\text{C}4)_4 (\text{NO}_3)_2 (\text{DMF})_6 (\text{H}_2\text{O})_6] (\text{OH})_2\] (3),

\[\text{[Mn}^{III} \text{Th}^{III} (\text{OH})_4 (\text{C}4)_4 (\text{NO}_3)_2 (\text{DMF})_6 (\text{H}_2\text{O})_6] (\text{OH})_2\] (4),

\[\text{[Mn}^{III} \text{Dy}^{III} (\text{OH})_4 (\text{C}4)_4 (\text{NO}_3)_2 (\text{DMF})_6 (\text{H}_2\text{O})_6] (\text{OH})_2\] (5),

\[\text{[Cu}^{II} (\text{OH})_3 (\text{TBC4})_3 \text{Cl}_2 (\text{DMSO})_6] (\text{Cu}^{I} \text{Cl}_2 \cdot \text{DMSO} (6 \cdot \text{DMSO})\] (6) and

\[\text{[Cu}^{II} (\text{OH})_3 (\text{TBC4})_3 (\text{NO}_3)_2 (\text{DMSO})_6] (\text{NO}_3) \cdot \text{DMSO} (7 \cdot \text{DMSO})\] (7).

We continued with a series of Pseudo Metallocalix[6]arene planar disc complexes:

\[\text{[Ni}_7 (\text{OH})_6 (\text{L}1)_6] (\text{NO}_3)_2\] (8), \[\text{[Ni}_7 (\text{OH})_6 (\text{L}1)_6] (\text{NO}_3)_2 .2\text{MeOH}\] (9),

\[\text{[Ni}_7 (\text{OH})_6 (\text{L}1)_6] (\text{NO}_3)_2 .3\text{MeNO}_2\] (10), \[\text{[Ni}_7 (\text{OH})_6 (\text{L}2)_6] (\text{NO}_3)_2 .2\text{MeCN}\] (11),

\[\text{[Zn}_7 (\text{OH})_6 (\text{L}1)_6] (\text{NO}_3)_2 .2\text{MeOH.H}_2\text{O}\] (12) and \[\text{[Zn}_7 (\text{OH})_6 (\text{L}1)_6] (\text{NO}_3)_2 .3\text{MeNO}_2\] (13)

and in the final part of this thesis we present a family of tetranuclear mixed valent Mn complexes using the tripodal ligand heedH2 : \[\text{[Mn}^{II}_2 \text{Mn}^{IV}_2 (\text{heed})_2 (\text{EtOH})_6 \text{Br}_2\]Br2 (14), \[\text{[Mn}^{II}_2 \text{Mn}^{IV}_2 (\text{heed})_2 (\text{H}_2\text{O})_2 \text{Cl}_4\] (15), \[\text{[Mn}^{II}_2 \text{Mn}^{IV}_2 (\text{heed})_2 (\text{heedH}_2)_2] (\text{ClO}_4)_4\] (16),

\[\text{[Mn}^{II}_2 \text{Mn}^{IV}_2 (\text{heed})_2 (\text{MeCN})_2 (\text{H}_2\text{O})_2 (\text{bpy})_2] (\text{ClO}_4)_4\] (17),

\[\text{[Mn}^{II}_2 \text{Mn}^{IV}_2 (\text{heed})_2 (\text{bpy})_2 \text{Br}_2\] (18); and the 2-D network of tetranuclear Mn\textsuperscript{II/IV} clusters \{[\text{Mn}^{II}_2 \text{Mn}^{IV}_2 (\text{heed})_2 (\text{H}_2\text{O})_2 (\text{MeOH})_2 (\text{dca})_2] \text{Br}_2\}_n\} (19). In total nineteen new complexes are reported. Studies of the magnetic properties show that 1 and 2 are SMM’s, whilst complex 3 is an excellent magnetic refrigerant for low-temperature applications and 4 and 5 behave as low-temperature molecular magnets.
Abbreviations

ac    Alternating current  
AFM   Antiferromagnetic   
BVS   Bond Valence Sum    
C4    Calix[4]arene       
D     Zero Field Splitting Parameter 
dc    Direct current      
EPR   Electron Paramagnetic Resonance 
EXAFS Extended X-RayAbsorption Fine Structure 
FM    Ferromagnetic       
heedH2 N,N-bis(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylene diamine  
MCE   Magnetocaloric Effect   
OEC   Oxygen-Evolving Complex  
PSII  Photosystem II      
QTM   Quantum Tunnelling of the Magnetisation 
s.e   Symmetry equivalent  
SMMs  Single Molecule Magnets 
SQUID Superconducting quantum interference device  
TBC4  p-^1^But-calix[4]arene  
ZFS   Zero field splitting
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Chapter 1 Introduction

This thesis describes the syntheses, structures and characterisation of a number of polynuclear cluster compounds using polyalkoxide-type ligands. Particular attention is given to their magnetic properties, since some behave as Single-Molecule Magnets (SMMs) or magnetic coolers. The importance of Mn-containing clusters, their origins in the field of bioinorganic chemistry and their development into nanomagnets and nanocoolers is discussed, together with the various strategies employed for their synthesis.

Manganese Cluster Chemistry

Mn cluster chemistry evolved approximately 30 years ago and continues to grow to this day. Its origins lie in biochemistry since Mn clusters are found in the active sites of a number of enzymes such as superoxide dismutase (mononuclear Mn site), catalases (dinuclear Mn) and the Oxygen-Evolving Complex (OEC) of photosystem II (PSII). The goal for the synthetic chemist in this field is thus to synthesise model complexes that can reproduce – at least to some extent – the physical [be they structural, spectroscopic, magnetic or redox] properties of the naturally occurring material in order to understand the underlying chemistry, physics and biology present, with the ultimate aim of reproducing their function. The specific design and synthesis of tetranuclear Mn clusters, although of interest from a spin frustration perspective (vide infra), has mainly been pursued in order to make models for the complex responsible for the oxidation of water in the OEC of PSII in green plants and cyanobacteria. Recent crystallographic and polarised EXAFS studies on the PSII reaction centre of *Thermosynechococcus elongates* confirm the cluster to be an oxide-bridged [Mn₄Ca] complex with (mainly) peripheral carboxylate ligation (Figure 1). The protein environment and one chloride anion also play a crucial role for the water splitting activity. The [Mn-Ca] complex, as can be observed from the electron density map in Figure 1, displays two distinct regions of electron density: one larger spherical region which contains four metal ions of a similar size to manganese which are placed at the apices of a tetrahedron, and one smaller region which has one metal ion at its centre. One out of four metal centres in this larger region has been recognised as Ca²⁺.
with the cluster proposed to have the basic formula \([\text{Mn}_3\text{CaO}_4]\); this in turn is further connected to one additional Mn ion in the smaller region. Each oxygen atom in the \([\text{Mn}_3\text{CaO}_4]\) cube-like cluster bridges three metal ions, with one also bridging to the “isolated” manganese ion. The Mn-Mn distances are \(~2.7\) Å, the Mn-Ca distances \(~3.4\) Å, and the distance between the “isolated” manganese centre and closest metal ions in the cluster unit is \(~3.3\) Å, typical for a Mn-O-Mn type bridge.

![Image](image.png)

Figure 1: (Top) The single crystal X-ray structure of the OEC with (A) and without (B) the electron density map; pictures taken from Reference 2. (Bottom) A cartoon representation of the proposed metal-oxygen topology present in the \([\text{Mn}_4\text{CaO}_4]\) cluster. Colour code: Mn = magenta, Ca = green

The link between this chemistry and the surge in activity in molecular magnetism probably stems from the Christou group who, in the 1980s, were particularly focussed on the synthesis of Mn clusters as models for the OEC in PSII. The work produced an enormous number of polymetallic mixed-valence Mn clusters – many of which actually contained [many] more than the four metals required for an accurate representation of the active site. While attempting to make a \([\text{Mn}_4]\) complex to model
the highest oxidation state of the biological cycle – via the reaction of Mn(OAc)$_2$·4H$_2$O with KMnO$_4$ – they instead accidentally isolated a dodecanuclear aggregate of formula [Mn$_{12}$O$_{12}$(O$_2$CPh)$_{16}$(H$_2$O)$_4$]. Strangely this was analogous to a complex published a decade earlier by Lis$^4$ who had reported the crystal structure and initial magnetic properties of [Mn$_{12}$O$_{12}$(OAc)$_{16}$(H$_2$O)$_4$] (Figure 2), albeit without any attempt to interpret them. The magnetic properties of [Mn$_{12}$O$_{12}$(O$_2$CPh)$_{16}$(H$_2$O)$_4$]$^5$ were thoroughly investigated by Christou and co-workers who incorrectly deduced a spin ground state of $S = 14$ from SQUID magnetometry, which – at the time – would have been a world record value.$^6$ With hindsight we can say that this mistake was unsurprising because the magnetic properties of the cluster were like no other ever reported – indeed this was the dawn of Single-Molecule Magnetism.

Figure 2. The molecular structure of [Mn$_{12}$O$_{12}$(OAc)$_{16}$(H$_2$O)$_4$] and on the right the same molecular structure with emphasis on the orientation of the Mn$^{3+}$ Jahn-Teller axis.
As a result of this paper an Italian group in Florence re-investigated the magnetic behaviour of Lis’ [Mn$_{12}$O$_{12}$(OAc)$_{16}$(H$_2$O)$_4$] via high field/frequency EPR spectroscopy in tandem with SQUID magnetometry and correctly deduced a $S = 10$ ground state and a freezing of the magnetisation at low temperatures, analogous to that of super-paramagnets. This was thus the first “Single-Molecule Magnet (SMM)” [although this phrase appeared later] and its discovery has inspired much beautiful chemistry and physics ever since (vide infra). Indeed there are several hundred papers on the [Mn$_{12}$] complex and its analogues alone.

Background and General Magnetism Theory

All substances exhibit diamagnetism (“dia” meaning “against” or “across”). As the name suggests a magnetic field applied to a purely diamagnetic material will induce a magnetic moment in that material which opposes the applied field. Paramagnetism (“para” meaning “with” or “along”) is exhibited in materials with unpaired electrons. A magnetic field applied to a paramagnetic material will induce a magnetic moment in that material which aligns parallel to the applied field. Unpaired electrons have magnetic moments associated with them. If an electric field is applied to an unpaired electron, the lowest energy state that the electron can be in is that with its total angular momentum $J$ (the sum of the orbital angular momentum $L$ and spin angular momentum $S$) aligned with the magnetic field. Usually all electrons are paired. A pair of electrons will align antiparallel to one another, so they have no net magnetic moment. Substances in which all the electrons are paired are diamagnetic. If a substance has one or more unpaired electrons, these electrons will align with an applied magnetic field. Such a substance is paramagnetic (paramagnetic contributions to magnetisation are much larger than diamagnetic ones).

Exchange Interactions

Exchange interactions between unpaired electrons on paramagnetic metal centres within a polynmetallic complex can occur in two ways: direct exchange and super-exchange. Either of these mechanisms can lead to ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic (including canted antiferromagnetic) interactions (Figure 3).
Ferromagnetic interactions are those in which the spins of electrons are induced to align parallel, and antiferromagnetic interactions are those in which the spins of electrons align antiparallel. Canting can occur in antiferromagnets where the spins do not align at exactly 180° so there is some overall magnetic moment even in the idealised 0 K case (Figure 3). As temperature is increased, thermal energy “scrambles” the spin directions causing exchange interactions to be nullified. Exchange interactions are vital in explaining short and long range order in materials in one, two or three dimensions. They also explain magnetic memory; if an array of spins are all ferromagnetically coupled, then when an applied field which has aligned the spins is switched off, there is some resistance to the spins relaxing into random directions.

**Magnetic Anisotropy**

Anisotropy means having properties that differ depending on the direction of measurement. The spins of unpaired electrons in metal ions can exhibit types of anisotropy (arising from distorted ligand fields and/or spin–orbit coupling), *i.e.* they can have preferential directions in which to point. There are three types of spin dimensionality: Heisenberg is totally isotropic *i.e.* spins can point in any direction with equal energy. XY spin refers to a system in which spins can point any direction in one plane (called the easy plane) with a lower energy than if they point out of the plane. Ising spin is a one dimensional spin: spins can point up or down along one axis (the easy axis) with a lower energy than pointing out of the axis. Anisotropy can occur in single ions (single ion anisotropy) and in larger molecular species and extended networks. In single ions anisotropy is usually equated with the presence of a triply degenerate (T) ground state, for example octahedral Co(II) \([{}^4T_1 & {}^4T_2]\) and/or the...
presence of a triply degenerate excited state that can mix with the ground state; a
typical example of this is the Ni(II) ion.

**Magnetisation and Susceptibility**

When the spins of a substance are aligned, the sample is said to be magnetised. The
magnetisation ($M$) of a paramagnet will increase as the field is increased and the spins
align. When the spins are all aligned with the field the magnetisation of the sample is
saturated, *i.e.* it has reached its saturation magnetisation ($M_{\text{sat}}$) the value of which
depends on the number of unpaired electrons per molecule which can align with the
field. For $M_{\text{sat}} / \mu_b \text{ mol}^{-1}$ the relationship is given by Equation 1.

$$M_{\text{sat}} = gS \quad (1)$$

The susceptibility of a substance is defined by the rate change of its magnetisation
with respect to the change in field.

$$\chi = \frac{\partial M}{\partial H} \quad (2)$$

At small field and high temperature this relationship can be approximated to:

$$\chi = \frac{M}{H} \quad (3)$$

The susceptibility of a mole of substance, $\chi_m$ is made up of diamagnetic and
paramagnetic contributions:

$$\chi_m = \chi_p + \chi_D \quad (4)$$

The diamagnetic contribution is usually much smaller than the paramagnetic
contribution, and is negative. This means that $\chi_p$, the paramagnetic contribution to $\chi$ is
always bigger than the molar susceptibility $\chi_m$. The Curie law states that $\chi$ is inversely
proportional to temperature:
\[ \chi = \frac{C}{T} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Where \( C \) is the Curie constant, defined as:

\[ C = \frac{N_g g^2 \mu^2_{B} S(S + 1)}{3k_b} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

This in turn can be approximated to:

\[ C = \frac{g^2}{8} S(S + 1) \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Or for a system of \( n \) non-interacting metal ions:

\[ C = \frac{g^2}{8} nS(S + 1) \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

For experimentally determined \( C \) an estimate of \( g \) can be obtained by simply rearranging (8):

\[ g = \sqrt{\frac{8C}{nS(S + 1)}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

The Curie law was modified by Weiss to afford the Curie-Weiss Law which is applicable to materials with exchange interactions or magnetic anisotropy:

\[ \chi = \frac{C}{T - \theta} \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Where \( \theta \) is the Weiss constant; the sign of which indicates the type of exchange interaction present (negative for antiferromagnets, positive for ferromagnets).
Single Molecule Magnet Theory

Single-Molecule Magnets (SMMs) are molecules which exhibit some magnetic memory. Any molecule containing unpaired electrons can be magnetised upon the application of a field. Usually in the absence of a magnetic field, the $m_s$ sub levels (of the ground state of the molecule) corresponding to different angular momentum orientations of the unpaired electrons (Figure 4) become degenerate, and are all equally populated, i.e. the electrons have no preference for the direction in which they point and thus the magnetisation of the sample is zero.

![Figure 4. The $m_s$ sub levels corresponding to different angular momentum directions of the unpaired electrons when the magnetic field is switched off.](image)

However, a consequence of Ising-like (or axial) anisotropy is that the energies of different $m_s$ sublevels may split - even in zero field. This zero field splitting (ZFS) is described by the axial ZFS parameter, $D$. The energies of the different $m_s$ levels at zero field depend on $D$:

$$E = Dm_s^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Consequently, an increase in $|D|$ or $S$ increases the energy barrier ($E$ or sometimes $U$) to the relaxation of the magnetisation from “up” to “down”. It is difficult to predict the exact value [or sign] of $D_{\text{molecule}}$ however, though it has become apparent that relatively large values of $D$ occur for molecules which have an anisotropic shape and include ions which exhibit substantial single ion anisotropy ($d_{\text{ion}}$). The splitting of the
$m_s$ levels with a magnetic field (the Zeeman effect) increasing from zero (in the $z$ direction) is shown in Figure 5.

![Diagram showing the splitting of $m_s$ levels with a magnetic field increasing from zero in the z direction.](image)

**Figure 5** Splitting of the $m_s$ levels with a magnetic field increasing from zero in the $z$ direction.

If a sample with positive $D$ is magnetised the spins will occupy the lowest energy state which, in the high field limit, is the $m_s$ value which points in the direction of the field. In the case illustrated in Figure 5 this is $m_s = +2$. When the field is removed, the
spins can easily relax to the $m_s = 0$ level. However if $D$ is negative then on removing the field the electrons must overcome the energy barrier between $m_s = +2$ (again using the example in Figure 5) and $m_s = 0$. The temperature below which this relaxation cannot occur spontaneously is called the blocking temperature ($T_B$). For integer spins this energy barrier is equal to $D m_s^2$. When the energies of two $m_s$ levels are coincident quantum tunnelling of the magnetisation (QTM) can occur; this – as is shown in Figure 6 - provides an alternative mechanism for demagnetisation. That is, the magnetisation tunnels through the barrier rather than going up and over the barrier. Thermal activation to states higher in energy than the ground state results in fast tunnelling between energetically coincident states and hence classically forbidden transitions can take place.

![Figure 6: A schematic diagram illustrating the double well potential for SMMs. To travel from $+S$ to $-S$ the magnetisation must climb all the $M_s$ rungs on the ladder before it can fall down the other side. An alternative to this thermally activated relaxation is QTM whereby the magnetisation can tunnel through the barrier between degenerate $M_s$ levels.](image)

**Single Molecule Magnet History**

For a SMM to have practical uses it must exhibit magnetic memory at temperatures close to room temperature, although specialist applications may become feasible at liquid nitrogen temperatures. Values of $U_{eff}$ (the effective barrier to magnetisation reversal) are typically below 70 K and this corresponds to the observation of
hysteresis loops in magnetisation versus field studies (the ultimate proof of SMM behaviour) at temperatures of only ~4 K (the blocking temperature, $T_B$) because of thermal population of levels other than the ground state at higher temperatures. A large amount of the work on SMMs is therefore aimed at raising the blocking temperature to higher levels. The first SMM reported was the mixed oxidation state manganese cluster $[\text{Mn}_{12}\text{O}_{12}(\text{OAc})_{16}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]$ (Figure 2). This prototype cluster has been studied in great detail with a vast variety of techniques such as High-Field EPR\textsuperscript{10}, $^1\text{H}$-NMR\textsuperscript{11}, $^{13}\text{C}$-NMR\textsuperscript{12}, inelastic neutron scattering\textsuperscript{13} and magnetic circular dichroism\textsuperscript{14} resulting in the publication of several hundred papers. The magnetisation of the Mn$_{12}$ cluster is highly anisotropic and its relaxation time at very low temperatures becomes of the order of several years, giving rise to pronounced hysteresis loops below approximately 4 K. Perhaps more important than any proposed application is the fact that this molecule was the first object that truly straddled the classical/quantum interface and as such it provided scientists with an ideal system with which to investigate theoretically predicted quantum effects. For example, the first ever experimental observation of QTM and the first detailed descriptions of the dynamics of magnetisation relaxation.\textsuperscript{15,16}

There are now several hundred SMMs and this introduction does not attempt to summarise these, simply because it would be impossible to do so or to do justice to the field, rather the attention of the reader is directed to the several excellent review articles\textsuperscript{17} and books\textsuperscript{18} recently published. Although SMMs are now known with several different transition metal (the majority are 3d metals\textsuperscript{19} though some 4f\textsuperscript{20} and 5d\textsuperscript{21} examples are known) and lanthanide ions\textsuperscript{22}, the vast majority (approximately 80 \%) involve Mn. This is due to two important factors. The first is that Mn in the 3+ oxidation state and in octahedral geometry exhibits a Jahn-Teller (JT) distortion. The JT distortion can be easily identified through X-ray crystallography by an elongation or compression of one of the axes of the octahedron compared to the other two axes (Fig 7). The reduction in symmetry is crucial because it increases the anisotropy of the ion ($d_{\text{ion}}$) and thus increases the anisotropy of the cluster ($D_{\text{cluster}}$), since to a crude approximation $D_{\text{cluster}}$ is a vectorial addition of $d_{\text{ion}}$. The second reason is that Mn in polymetallic clusters compounds is commonly found in three different oxidations states: Mn$^{2+}$ ($d^5$), Mn$^{3+}$ ($d^4$) and Mn$^{4+}$ ($d^3$). Indeed it is far more common to see heterovalent Mn clusters than homovalent Mn clusters. This means that even if the
exchange interactions between nearest neighbours within the cluster are all antiferromagnetic (as they usually are) the net spin ground state is still likely to be non-zero. This affords Mn a huge advantage over its neighbours in the periodic table that have less oxidation state flexibility. Indeed in 2007 a compound of formula [Mn₆O₂(Et-sao)₆(O₂CPh(Me)₂)₂(EtOH)₆] was the first to break the world record value of \( U_{\text{eff}} \) displayed by the prototype Mn₁₂ molecule, displaying an energy barrier to magnetisation reversal of 86 K and a blocking temperature of 5 K²³.

**Figure 7:** A schematic diagram illustrating Jahn Teller distortion and the effects upon orbital ordering of elongation and compression along the z-axis.

**Magnetic Refrigerants**

The high symmetry of many polynuclear clusters and the [related] observation that \( D_{\text{cluster}} \) was often near zero, became a major obstacle for the creation of new SMMs with high effective energy barriers, despite the fact that chemists can regularly synthesise molecules with (very) large spin ground states (the current largest is \( S = 83/2 \))²⁴. Isotropic clusters with large spin ground states are however ideal candidates for displaying an enhanced magnetocaloric effect (MCE) and therefore have potential application as magnetic coolers²⁵. The magnetocaloric effect is based on the
observation that the magnetic entropy $S_m$ of a paramagnetic material changes when under the influence of an applied magnetic field. A spin $S$ has $2S+1$ degeneracy in zero magnetic field and its magnetic entropy has a maximum value $S_m = R \ln(2S+1)$, where $R$ is the gas constant. If a magnetic field $H_f$ (Figure 8) is applied to the compound, (which is in a state of thermal equilibrium in a thermal bath at temperature $T_i$ in magnetic field $H_i$) then the magnetic entropy will start to decrease isothermally. If the compound is thermally isolated and the field changes to $H_f$ then adiabatic demagnetisation occurs. When the total entropy of the system remains constant during the change in the magnetic field, $\Delta H$, the change of magnetic entropy must be counter-balanced by an even but reverse change of entropy in the crystal, which results in a change in temperature $\Delta T_{ad}$ of the compound. If the change in the magnetic field decreases the entropy, then the change in temperature will be positive, alternatively if it increases the entropy, the change of temperature will be negative\(^{26}\).

![Figure 8: A schematic diagram illustrating magnetic entropy ($S_m$) as a function of temperature in two different magnetic fields $H_i$ and $H_f$ where $H_i < H_f$ for a paramagnet.](image)

Recent studies have demonstrated that the MCE of selected molecular cluster compounds can be much larger than in the best, and conventionally studied, intermetallic and lanthanide alloys, and magnetic nanoparticles. The recipe required for making such molecules has just been published\(^ {26}\) and in addition having a negligible anisotropy [which permits easy polarization of the net molecular spin], requires the presence of degenerate or low-lying excited spin states, since the so-added degrees of freedom result in extra magnetic entropy. In short, we must target high spin...
(ferromagnetic) isotropic clusters displaying weak intra-molecular magnetic exchange. The [Mn_{12}] and [Fe_{8}] SMMs were the first to be investigated for magnetic refrigeration because of their well-defined $S = 10$ ground states, and although they displayed relatively large $-\Delta S_m$ values the large anisotropy present in both systems freezes the orientation of the molecular spins once the temperature is lowered below $\sim 4K$, limiting their applicability$^{26}$. The first isotropic molecular cluster studied was the heterometallic [Cr_{7}Cd] wheel,$^{27}$ but the problem in this case was the low value of the spin, $S = 3/2$. A huge step forward in the search for truly applicable molecular candidates was accomplished via the synthesis and study of highly-symmetric molecules with large values of the spin ground state. The first was [Fe_{14}] with an $S = 25$ ground state,$^{28,29}$ the second a ferromagnetic [Mn_{10}] supertetrahedron with $S = 22$ displaying practically zero anisotropy (Figure 9),$^{30}$ and the third a [Mn_{14}] disc$^{31}$ displaying a truly enormous enhancement of the MCE with values of $-\Delta S_m$ as large as 20 Jkg$^{-1}$K$^{-1}$ for liquid-helium temperatures and $\Delta B = 6$ T - almost a factor of two larger than that of [DyCo_{2}] nanoparticles.$^{32}$

Figure 9. Molecular structures of Fe_{14} (left) and Mn_{10} (right). Yellow Fe, purple Mn, green Cl, red O, blue N.

**Solvothermal Synthesis**

Hydrothermal and solvothermal syntheses involve sealing reactants and water or some other solvent into a given volume and heating to temperatures above the solvent’s boiling point. These high temperature and high pressure conditions can result in the
dissolution of species in solvents in which they would not normally dissolve, and large complex and highly symmetric molecules which may not be easily synthesised by other means can self-assemble. Hydrothermal synthesis has its origins in Geology where scientists were attempting to recreate the conditions within the earth’s crust, and has been used successfully to synthesise quartz on an industrial scale\textsuperscript{33}. Solvothermal synthesis allows reactants to pass through transition states that could not occur using conventional synthesis techniques at normal atmospheric pressure. Teflon lined autoclaves (Figure 10) provide inert containers in which reactions can proceed. These may not be heated above the melting point of Teflon (~250 °C), though this rarely proves to be a significant drawback since such temperatures will likely also cause the decomposition of the organic ligands employed. Though now reasonably common in the synthesis of inorganic coordination polymers or MOFs the technique has been only sparingly employed for the synthesis of molecular inorganic coordination compounds\textsuperscript{34}.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{figure12.png}
\caption{High-pressure vessels used for hydrothermal synthesis.}
\end{figure}
**Tripodal Alcohol Ligands**

Tripodal alcohol ligands such as 1,1,1-tris(hydroxymethyl)ethane (H₃thme), 1,1,1-tris(hydroxymethyl)propane (H₃tmp) and pentaerythritol (H₄peol) (Scheme 1) were originally used by Zubieta and co-workers for the synthesis of [diamagnetic] oxovanadium and oxo-molybdenum clusters³⁵, but only sparingly for the synthesis of paramagnetic 3d transition metal clusters. The first example of the latter was a mixed-valent decametallic Mn cluster of formula \([(\text{Et}_4\text{N})_2\text{Mn}_5\text{O}_2\text{Cl}_8(\text{thme})_8]\) whose structure is based on an edge-sharing bi-octahedron³⁶. For some years now, the Brechin group has been building new polynuclear metal clusters, SMMs and magnetic coolers with tripodal alcohol ligands of general formula RC(CH₂OH)₃ (where R = H, CH₃, Et etc) and their many derivatives (Scheme 1). The disposition of the three alkoxide arms of the tri-anions of RC(CH₂OH)₃ pro-ligands direct the formation of triangular [M₃] units where each arm of the ligand bridges one edge of the triangle. These triangular building blocks then self-assemble to form elaborate architectures (M₉ partial icosahedra, M₁₀ supertetrahedra, Mn₁₂ truncated cubes etc) whose topologies are dependent on reaction conditions and/or the presence of other bridging and/or terminal co-ligands such as carboxylates or β–diketonates etc.³⁷ A natural extension to these studies is the investigation of the coordination chemistry of analogous organic molecules in which one (or more) of the alcohol ‘arms’ is replaced by an alternative functional group(s), for example an amine group. While each alkoxide arm has the potential to bridge up to three metals (and thus a maximum of seven metals per tripodal ligand), the –NH₂ ‘arm’ is likely, if bonded, to act solely as a monodentate/terminal capping unit.
Scheme 1. The structures of 1,1,1-tris(hydroxymethyl)ethane (H$_3$thme); 2-Amino-2-methyl-1,3-propanediol (ampH$_2$); triethanolamine (H$_3$tea); 1,1,1-tris(hydroxymethyl)propane (H$_3$tmp); 2-amino-2-ethyl-1,3-propanediol (aepH$_2$); pentaerythritol (H$_4$peol); 2-(Bromomethyl)-2-(hydroxymethyl)-1,3-propanediol (Br-mpH$_3$); N,N-bis(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylene diamine (heedH$_2$).

The next logical step in this strategy is the use of tetrapodal alkoxides such as calix[4]arenes. Calix[4]arenes are cyclic molecules that have been used extensively in the formation of supramolecular structures and in various fields of coordination chemistry$^{38}$. Calix[4]arene (C$_4$, Scheme 2), is a cyclic polyphenol that is synthetically accessible on a large scale via the parent $p$-tBu derivative (TBC$_4$), and this molecule is the typical starting point for synthetic alteration to the general molecular framework. In a bowl-conformation, the calix[4]arene polyphenolic pocket at the lower-rim is an attractive feature for metal complexation. Phenolic calixarenes display a tendency to form multinuclear metal ion complexes. Of the factors which determine the nuclearity of the clusters, the calixarene ring size and the capacity of the phenoxide donors to act as bridges are obviously important and offers prospects for considerable development of cluster chemistry. The polyphenolic nature of calix[n]arenes (where n = 4-8) also suggests they should be excellent candidates as ligands for the isolation of molecular nanomagnets, but to date their use in the isolation of paramagnetic cluster compounds is rather limited. The group of Luneau
has synthesised a dinuclear cobalt(II) complex with calix[8]arene exhibiting strong magnetic anisotropy of the cobalt(II) ions and a series of \( V^{III}V^{IV} \) compounds of formula \([V_6O_6(OCH_3)_6(TBC4)(ROH)]^-\) with \( p\text{-}tert\)-butylcalix[4]arene (TBC4) obtained under anaerobic solvothermal conditions.


Thiacalix[4]arenes, on the other hand, and their oxidised derivatives (Scheme 2) possess additional donor atoms and these have been widely used in the formation of a number of polynuclear transition metal or lanthanides clusters. The additional donor atoms (relative to C4 or TBC4) around the molecular skeleton play a key role in supporting complex formation, taking part in the bonding within the metal cluster framework. Each thiacalix[n]arene possesses donor atoms both on the lower rim position and on the cyclic framework itself (-S-, -SO-, or -SO\(_2\)-). Larger and more flexible thiacalix[6]arenes can bind up to five metal ions inside the coordination cavity formed when it adopts the pinched cone conformation. Sulfonylcalix[4]arenes show a strong affinity for lanthanides ions with recent examples from the groups of Luneau and Miyano.
Project Aims

The work described in this thesis is focused on the synthesis and structural and magnetic characterisation of polynuclear clusters using polyalkoxide ligands (such as Calix[4]arenes and heedH2) for use as single-molecule magnets and magnetic coolers.

Physical Methods

Elemental analyses (C, H, N) were performed by the EaStCHEM microanalysis service. IR spectra were recorded as KBr pellets in the 4000-400 cm$^{-1}$ range on a JASCO FT/IR-410 spectrometer. Variable temperature magnetic susceptibility (dc and ac) measurements were made on a Quantum Design MPMS-XL SQUID magnetometer equipped with a 7T magnet. Data were collected on powdered samples restrained in eicosane to prevent torquing. Diamagnetic corrections were applied using Pascal’s constants. Magnetic studies below 1.8 K were carried out by Dr Wolfgang Wernsdorfer at the Institut Néel in Grenoble (http://neel.cnrs.fr/spip.php?rubrique51) on single crystals using a micro-SQUID apparatus operating down to 40 mK. Specific heat measurements were carried out and analysed by Dr Marco Evangelisti in CSIC, Zaragoza (http://icma.unizar.es/) using a Quantum Design Physical Property Measurement System (PPMS) setup for the 0<$H<$7 T magnetic field range. Single crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected at 150 K on a Bruker Smart Apex CCD diffractometer equipped with an Oxford Cryosystems LT device, using Mo radiation. The structures were solved by direct methods (SHELXS) and refined by full-matrix least squares against $F^2$ (CRYSTALS) 44.
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Introduction

The use of methylene-bridged calix[4]arenes in 3d and 3d/4f chemistry produces a series of very exciting clusters. In this chapter we present the first Mn cluster and the first ever single-molecule magnet to be isolated using any methylene bridged calix[n]arene - a ferromagnetically coupled mixed-valence [Mn$^{III}_2$Mn$^{II}_2$] complex housed between either two TBC4s or two C4s, [Mn$^{III}_2$Mn$^{II}_2$(OH)$_2$(TBC4)$_2$(DMF)$_6$] (1) and [Mn$^{III}_2$Mn$^{II}_2$(OH)$_2$(C4)$_2$(DMF)$_6$] (2). To date almost all SMMs have been made via the self-assembly of 3d metal ions in the presence of bridging/chelating organic ligands.$^1$ However, very recently an exciting new class of SMMs, based on 3d metal clusters (or single lanthanide ions) housed within polyoxometalates,$^2$ has appeared. These types of molecules, in which the SMM is completely encapsulated within (or shrouded by) a “protective” organic or inorganic sheath have much potential for design and manipulation: for example, for the removal of unwanted dipolar interactions, the introduction of redox activity, or to simply aid functionalisation for surface grafting.$^3$ The series is extended by a family of clusters of general formula [Mn$^{III}_4$Ln$^{III}_4$(OH)$_4$(C4)$_4$(NO$_3$)$_2$(DMF)$_6$(H$_2$O)$_6$][OH$_2$] (where C4 is calix[4]arene; Ln = Gd (3), Tb (4), Dy (5)). The molecular structure describes a square of Ln$^{III}$ ions housed within a square of Mn$^{III}$ ions. Magnetic studies reveal that 3 has a large number of molecular spin states that are populated even at the lowest investigated temperatures, whilst the ferromagnetic limit $S = 22$ is being approached only at the highest applied fields. This, combined with the high magnetic isotropy, enables the complex to be an excellent magnetic refrigerant for low-temperature applications. Replacement of the isotropic Gd$^{III}$ ions with the anisotropic Tb$^{III}$ and Dy$^{III}$ ions “switches” the magnetic properties of the cluster so that they (4 and 5) behave as low-temperature molecular magnets displaying slow relaxation of the magnetisation. The chapter concludes with the report and structural-magnetic studies of the first ever poly-nuclear Cu$^{II}$ clusters to be isolated using any methylene bridged calix[4]arene as
a new supramolecular building block; enneanuclear Cu\textsuperscript{II} tri-capped trigonal prisms housed between TBC4s.

**Results and Discussion**

The reaction of MnBr\textsubscript{2} with TBC4 and NEt\textsubscript{3} in a solvent mixture of MeOH/DMF results in the formation of the complex [Mn\textsuperscript{III}\textsubscript{2}Mn\textsuperscript{II}\textsubscript{2}(OH)\textsubscript{2}(TBC4)\textsubscript{2}(DMF)\textsubscript{6}] (1) which crystallises as purple blocks that are in the monoclinic space group \textit{P}2\textsubscript{1}/\textit{c}. The cluster (Figure 2.1) comprises a planar diamond or butterfly-like [Mn\textsuperscript{III}\textsubscript{2}Mn\textsuperscript{II}\textsubscript{2}(OH)\textsubscript{2}] core in which the wing tip Mn ions (Mn1) are in the 3\textsuperscript{+} oxidation state and the body Mn ions (Mn2) in the 2\textsuperscript{+} oxidation state. This is a common structural type in Mn SMM chemistry\textsuperscript{4}, but the oxidation state distribution here is highly unusual, being “reversed” from the norm in which the body Mn ions are almost always 3\textsuperscript{+}. Indeed the “reversed” core has been seen only once before, in the cluster [Mn\textsuperscript{III}\textsubscript{2}Mn\textsuperscript{II}\textsubscript{2}(teaH)\textsubscript{2}(acac)\textsubscript{4}(MeOH)\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{2+} (teaH\textsubscript{3} = triethanolamine) and its analogues.\textsuperscript{5}

The Mn\textsuperscript{3+} ions are in distorted octahedral geometries with the Jahn-Teller axes defined by O5(DMF)-Mn1-O6(OH). The four equatorial sites are occupied by the oxygen atoms (O1-O4) of the TBC4, two of which bridge in a \(\mu_2\)-fashion to the central Mn\textsuperscript{2+} ions (Mn1-O4-Mn2, 103.5\textdegree; Mn1-O1-Mn2, 105.4\textdegree). These are connected to each other (Mn2-O6-Mn2\textsuperscript{′}, 94.7\textdegree) and to the Mn\textsuperscript{3+} ions (Mn1-O6-Mn2, 100.4\textdegree; Mn1-O6-Mn2\textsuperscript{′}, 98.8\textdegree) via two \(\mu_3\)-bridging OH\textsuperscript{-} ions, with the two remaining equatorial sites (completing the distorted octahedral geometry on Mn2) filled by terminal DMF molecules. There are no inter-molecular H-bonds between symmetry equivalents of 1 in the crystal structure with the closest interactions being between neighbouring DMF molecules at ca. 3.3 \textAA. The only inter-molecular interaction with the core of 1 is from a disordered methanol of crystallisation that hydrogen bonds to O4.
Notably, the orientation of the endo-TBC4 DMF molecule in 1 (Figure 2.2) is atypical and is driven by coordination. To our surprise we could not find a report on the solid state structure of the DMF solvate of TBC4. Solvothermal re-crystallisation of TBC4 from DMF resulted in the formation of large colourless crystals (Figure 2.3) that were suitable for X-ray diffraction studies. The crystals are in a tetragonal cell that is common for solvates of TBC4, and structural analysis shows the expected bi-layer arrangement in which the DMF molecules are oriented with methyl groups inserted into the calixarene cavity as might be expected (Figure 2.4). For comparison, the extended structure of 1 (Figure 2.4) shows a bi-layer type arrangement that is skewed relative to the planar arrangement shown in Figure 2.4A. This is most likely attributable to the restriction of TBC4 orientation - that is dictated by cluster formation - in addition to the presence of the peripheral DMF ligands on Mn2 and its symmetry equivalent (Figure 2.1B). Furthermore, the almost completely encapsulated cavity bound DMF molecule in 1 should have little effect over bi-layer orientation relative to the DMF solvate of TBC4 considering that guest protrusion from the cavity is similar in both cases (see Figures 2.2 and 2.3 respectively).

Figure 2.1. A) Structure of the calix[4]arenes used in SMM formation. B) Single crystal X-ray structure of 1. Colour code: Mn = purple, O = red, N = blue, C = silver. Selected atoms are labeled and H-atoms have been omitted for clarity.
**Figure 2.2.** Space filling representation of the asymmetric unit in 1 showing the endo-cavity DMF molecule only slightly protruding from TBC4 cavity.

**Figure 2.3.** Space filling representation of the endo-cavity guest molecule in the DMF solvate of TBC4. Note the similar guest protrusion to that shown in Figure 2.2.
Although the extended structure in 1 does deviate from true planarity, the clusters are nevertheless arranged in bi-layers that have an inter-layer separation of ~ 19 Å (Figure 2.4B).

**Figure 2.4** A) Extended structure of TBC4·DMF. B) The extended structure of 1 showing the inter-planar separation between layers of clusters. Figures not to scale and hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity.

### Magnetic Studies

With respect to the magnetic properties of 1, dc susceptibility measurements were carried out in the 300 - 5 K temperature range in an applied field of 0.1 T. The room temperature $\chi_M T$ value of 15.5 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ is larger than the spin-only value expected for an uncoupled [Mn$^{III}_2$Mn$^{II}_2$] unit of 14.75 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ (Figure 2.5). The value then increases very slowly with decreasing temperature reaching a maximum of ~25 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ at 5 K. The behaviour - similar to that reported for other [Mn$^{III}_2$Mn$^{II}_2$] clusters - is suggestive of dominant but weak intra-molecular ferromagnetic exchange. The experimental data can be satisfactorily fitted using the (standard) ab isotropic 2$J$ model of Figure 2.5, affording the parameters $J_{bb} = -2.43$ cm$^{-1}$ and $J_{wb} = +1.84$ cm$^{-1}$ for $g = 2.0$ (fixed). With these parameters the spin ground state of the system is an $S = 7$ spin...
state, with numerous excited states lying just above it, defining a quasi-continuum of states. Interestingly the magnitude of the exchange interactions closely resembles that observed for 2, but in that case distortions of the \( \text{Mn}^{2+}-\text{O}-\text{Mn}^{2+} \) central core resulted in all the exchange interactions being very weakly antiferromagnetic.\(^5\) We also note that the exchange interactions are likely much smaller than the single ion zero-field splitting (zsfs, weak exchange limit) and thus the low lying multiplets cannot properly be described as total \( S \) states.

**Figure 2.5.** Plot of \( \chi_M T \) versus \( T \) for 1. The solid line is a fit of the experimental data using the cartoon scheme shown in the lower inset. Inset (top): Magnetisation \( (M/N\mu_B) \) vs \( H \) data in the 2-7 K and 0.5 - 7 T temperature and field ranges.

This picture is also reflected in the magnetisation versus field data (collected in the ranges 0.5 – 7.0 T and 2 – 7 K and plotted in the inset of Figure 2.5) which shows \( M \) increasing only slowly with \( H \), rather than quickly reaching saturation as one would expect for an isolated spin ground state. This is indicative of the population of low lying levels with smaller magnetic moment, which only become depopulated with the application of a large field - and so we cannot describe the system within the giant
spin approximation. Ac susceptibility studies carried out on crystalline samples of I in the 1.8-10.0 K range in a 3.5 G field oscillating at frequencies up to 1000 Hz (Figure 2.6) display the tails of frequency-dependent out-of-phase ($\chi''_M$) signals (suggestive of SMM behaviour) but no peaks.

![Figure 2.6](image)

**Figure 2.6.** Plot of the out-of-phase ($\chi''_M$) ac susceptibility versus T for complex 1 at the indicated frequencies and temperature range.

Hysteresis loop measurements carried out on single crystals using a micro-SQUID assembly with the field applied along the easy axis of magnetisation,\(^7\) show temperature and sweep rate dependent hysteresis loops confirming SMM behaviour (Figure 2.7). The loops are indicative of a well-isolated SMM (i.e. no inter-cluster interactions) with quantum steps, but one in which many excited states are clearly mixed with the ground state, in agreement with the powder data above. In addition to the contribution of excited states, crystal defects, nuclear spins, dipolar interactions and/or disorder (if present) will also lead to the broadening of steps.
To demonstrate generality of the calix[4]arene based SMM motif, the same synthetic route with C4 in place of TBC4 resulted in the formation of thin weakly diffracting purple crystals. X-ray diffraction studies showed the crystals to be of poor quality, but afforded a partial structure. Formation of the C4 based SMM (2) core that is analogous to 1 was confirmed in the partial structure by observation of the main atomic positions, as shown in Figure 2.8. Examination of the extended structure shows that 2, relative to 1, assembles as a bi-layer with a markedly shorter inter-layer spacing of ~ 13.5 Å (Figure 2.8B). This reduced inter-layer spacing is likely due to both removal of the tBut groups from the upper rim, and the subsequent protrusion of the DMF methyl groups from the C4 cavity. As a result of cavity occupation, there appears to be no notable interactions between neighbouring C4s (Figure 2.9). This clearly shows that substitution at the calixarene upper rim has a dramatic effect on assembly within resulting bi-layers, and suggests that alteration of these groups may afford a degree of supramolecular control with this system.

Figure 2.7. Hysteresis loops measured on single crystals of 1, M is normalised to its saturation value.
To conclude, we have presented the first Mn cluster and the first SMM to be isolated using any methylene bridged calix[n]arene. This SMM formation is general for calix[4]arenes and given the vast range of methylene bridged derivatives available, this motif presents a virtually unbounded range of SMMs that possess huge scope for materials design. The calix[4]arenes provide a protective skin both above and below the SMM layer, and alteration to the upper rim properties of the calixarene framework will provide control over bi-layer packing, inter-layer spacing, and three dimensional
order in general. Alteration of the guest in the calixarene cavity, and also the ligands at the peripheral Mn coordination sites will provide further opportunities for controlling self-assembly and tuning of the magnetic properties of these new SMMs. This will be the focus of future studies, as will be obtaining a more accurate structure of 2 and examining its magnetic properties. In addition to this, subsequent/additional guest exchange or intercalation within layers of the crystal lattice holds further potential to alter the properties of these new interesting materials.

After the synthesis and characterization of SMMs with methylene bridged TBC4, we extended our study to the syntheses, structures and magnetic properties of a family of \( \text{[Mn}^{\text{III}}_{4}\text{Ln}^{\text{III}}_{4}] \) clusters, the first methylene bridged calix\([n]\)arene based 3d-4f molecules, in which the replacement of one Ln(III) ion for another invokes dramatic changes in the observed magnetic properties in otherwise structurally analogous molecules.

The reaction of Mn(NO₃)₂·4H₂O and Ln(NO₃)₃·6H₂O with C₄ and NEt₃ in a solvent cocktail of MeOH/DMF results in the formation of complexes with the general formula \( \text{[Mn}^{\text{III}}_{4}\text{Ln}^{\text{III}}_{4}(\text{OH})_{4}(\text{C}4)_{4}(\text{NO}_3)_{2}(\text{DMF})_{6}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{6})\text{](OH)}_{2}, \) where Ln = Gd (3), Tb(4), or Dy (5). Crystals of 3 are in the monoclinic space group \text{C2/c}, whilst those of 4 and 5 are found to crystallise in the triclinic space group \text{P}-1. The C₄ supported clusters in all three complexes are structurally analogous, differing only in the number of co-crystallised solvent molecules (which are badly disordered), and so for the sake of brevity discussion will be limited to complex 3, highlighting any specific differences between the molecules at appropriate stages. The cluster (Figure 2.10) comprises a near-planar octametallic core describing a “square” of Mn(III) ions encasing a “square” of Gd(III) ions. The \{Mn₄\} square has dimensions 6.591 × 7.042 Å and the \{Gd₄\} square 3.915 × 3.929 Å, with the latter rotated approximately 45° with respect to the former. This is a very unusual motif, but has also been observed recently in the cluster \( \text{[Mn}_{4}\text{Nd}_{4}(\text{OH})_{4}(\text{fcdc})_{2}(\text{Piv})_{8}(\text{bdea})_{4}]\text{·H}_2\text{O} \) (fcdc = ferrocene dicarboxylate; piv = pivalate or trimethylacetate; bdeaH₂ = N-butyldiethanolamine ).

The central \( \text{[Gd}^{\text{III}}_{4}(\text{OH})_{4}(\text{NO}_3)_{2}] \) unit comprises the four Gd(III) ions connected to each other via four \( \mu_3\text{-OH} \) ions (O12 and O16 and symmetry equivalents) and two \( \eta^2, \eta^2, \mu_3\text{-NO}_3^- \) ions. The \( \text{OH}^- \) ions also bridge to the four \( \text{[Mn}^{\text{III}}_{4}(\text{C}4)(\text{DMF})] \) corner units of the \{Mn₄\} square. The \( \mu_3\)-TBC4 ligands are fully deprotonated with two oxygen atoms (O1, O4 and O7, O8 and symmetry equivalents) bonding terminally to the
Mn(III) ions and two (O2, O3 and O6, O9 and symmetry equivalents) μ-bridging to the central \{Gd_{4}\} square. The Mn ions lie in distorted octahedral geometries in \{O_6\} coordination spheres with the Jahn-Teller axes \(O10-Mn2, 2.225 \text{ Å}, Mn2-O16, 2.218 \text{ Å} ; O5-Mn4, 2.261 \text{ Å}, Mn4-O12, 2.221 \text{ Å}\) described by the DMF-Mn-OH vectors; \textit{i.e.} across the diagonal of the \{Mn_{4}\} square. The Gd(III) ions are eight coordinate and are in distorted square antiprismatic geometries with their remaining coordination sites filled by a combination of terminal H2O molecules, which form intra-molecular H-bonds to the terminally bonded O-atoms of the C4 ligands (e.g. O8…O11, 2.642 Å) and inter-molecular H-bonds to hydroxide anions, and DMF molecules.

\textbf{Figure 2.10.} Molecular structure of 3 showing the coordination environments around the Mn\(^{III}\) and Gd\(^{III}\) centres. Hydrogen atoms, hydroxide anions and co-crystallized DMF molecules omitted for clarity. Colour code Mn = purple; O = red; N = dark blue; C = grey; Ln = green.

Examination of the extended structures of 3 – 5 shows that symmetry equivalent clusters pack together to form two types of complex arrangement. Disorder is present in the solvent molecules co-crystallised with the clusters in each of the crystal lattices, and this is severe enough to preclude detailed analysis of the inter-molecular interactions between all of the structural components in each crystal structure. The structure of 3 differs to those of 4 and 5 (isostructural), and packing of the asymmetric unit shows that four [Mn(III)-C4] sub-units assemble in a pseudo-capsule assembly to
produce a DMF rich microenvironment between the corner units of nearest-neighbour clusters (Figure 2.11A). These are reminiscent of hexameric calixarene based molecular capsules, and suggest that appropriately functionalized C4 molecules could be employed in cluster formation to invoke templated self-assembly into nanometer scale assemblies containing large internal volumes. The extended structures of isostructural 4 and 5 (Figure 2.11B) show the formation of a similar type of pseudo-capsule assembly. Three [Mn(III)-C4] sub-units assemble to produce a second type of DMF microenvironment, while the fourth neighbouring sub-unit points away from the centre of the capsule-like assembly. Notably, the [Mn(III)-C4] sub-unit found in the [Mn$$^{III}_{2}$$Mn$$^{II}_{2}$$] SMM shown in Figure 2.1 is preserved in these new hybrid 3d-4f complexes. This indicates that this is indeed a favourable structural sub-unit (or “metalloligand”) for Mn(III), and that these moieties may well be exploited in the formation of other complexes and supramolecular architectures, whose self-assembly may be governed by relatively small changes in reaction conditions.

**Figure 2.11.** Partial extended structures of 3(A) and 4 (and isostructural 5, B) showing the packing of [Mn(III)-C4] sub-units in each case. A) Four corner units of nearest-neighbour clusters form a pseudo-capsule assembly containing a DMF microenvironment that is reminiscent of other calixarene based molecular capsules. B) Three corner units of nearest-neighbour clusters form a related assembly, albeit with one pointing away from the DMF microenvironment relative to that shown in A. Figures not to scale.
Magnetic Studies

Figure 2.12. Temperature dependence (5 – 300 K) of the dc-susceptibility for 3 collected in an applied field of 0.1 T. Inset: magnetisation of 3 versus applied field for several temperatures.

We investigated the magnetic properties of 3 by dc-susceptibility experiments in the 300 -5 K temperature range in an applied field $B$ of 0.1 T (Figure 2.12). The room-temperature $\chi T$ value of $\sim 42.8$ cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ is close to the spin-only ($g = 2.0$) value expected for an uncoupled [Mn$^{III}_4$Gd$^{III}_4$] unit of $\sim 43.5$ cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$. The value stays essentially constant as the temperature is decreased until approximately 50 K, below which it increases, reaching a maximum of $\sim 60.5$ cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ at 5 K. This behaviour is suggestive of very weak intra-molecular exchange and one would expect a nesting, and thus population of, several $S$ states even at the lowest temperatures studied. This is reflected in the low temperature $\chi T$ value, which is well below that expected for a ferromagnetically coupled cluster with an isolated $S = 22$ ground state (253 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$), and can also be seen in the magnetisation versus field data (collected in the 2 – 7 K temperature range for applied fields up to 7 T, and plotted in the inset of Figure 2.12) which shows $M$ increasing only slowly with $B$, rather than quickly reaching saturation as one would expect for an isolated spin ground state. This is indicative of
the population of low lying levels with smaller magnetic moment, which only become depopulated with the application of a large field. This result suggests 1 to be an excellent candidate for magnetic refrigeration. To validate this statement, our preliminary studies focused on the determination of the magnetic entropy change $\Delta S_m$ of 1 by analysing the experimental $M(B)$ data of Figure 2.12. In an isothermal process of magnetisation, $\Delta S_m$ can be derived from the Maxwell relations by integrating over the magnetic field change $\Delta B = B_f - B_i$, i.e., $\Delta S_m(T)_{\Delta B} = \int [\partial M(T,B)/\partial T]_B dB^{\ast}$. The so-obtained $\Delta S_m$ is depicted in Figure 2.13 for several field changes. It can be seen that $-\Delta S_m$ increases gradually with increasing $\Delta B$ reaching a value of 19.0 J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ at $T = 4$ K, achieved for the experimentally accessible maximum $\Delta B$ of 7 T. This is amongst the highest values ever reported for this temperature range. We also note that the observed magnetic entropy changes are much larger than the maximum allowable entropy for an isolated $S = 22$ spin ground state, i.e. $R \ln(2S + 1) = 3.8 R = 9.0$ J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$. This demonstrates that the presence of low-lying excited spin states can have a strong and positive influence on the magneto-caloric effect.
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**Figure 2.13.** Temperature dependencies of the magnetic entropy change of 1 for applied field changes $\Delta B$. 
We next turn to the study of the magneto-caloric effect of 3 by means of heat capacity (C) experiments, emphasizing that the measurement of the heat capacity as a function of temperature in constant magnetic field provides the most complete characterisation of the MCE in magnetic materials. The top panel of Figure 2.14 depicts the experimental heat capacity curves of 3 in the 30 – 0.3 K temperature range for several applied fields. It can be seen that the curves collected at temperatures below 1 K are strongly dependent on the applied field, and that they span over three orders of magnitude in units of $R$ for the investigated field changes. The zero-field curve achieves a maximum of $\sim 4 \ R$ for $T = 0.8 \ K$, whilst the field-independent lattice contribution has a value of $6 \times 10^{-3} \ R$ for the same temperature. The relevant feature is the broad specific heat anomaly that shifts towards higher temperatures on increasing applied field; we attribute this to the field-splitting of the spin multiplets of the molecule. We stress, however, that this behaviour cannot be reproduced by a simple model based on a well-defined $S = 22$ spin ground state (which would not exceed $\sim 1 \ R$). To explain the excess of experimental heat capacity, we need to invoke the contribution arising from the population of low-lying excited spin states, corroborating the previous magnetisation experiments. In the high-temperature range a large field-independent contribution appears that can be attributed to the lattice phonon modes of the crystal. The dashed line in the top panel of Figure 2.14 represents a fit to this contribution with the well-known low-temperature Debye function, yielding a value of $\Theta_D = 23 \ K$ for the Debye temperature, typical for this class of cluster complex.
Figure 2.14. Top: temperature dependencies of the heat capacity of 3 normalised to the gas constant $R$ collected for $B = 0, 1, 3, 6$ and $9$ T. Dashed line is the fitted lattice contribution. Bottom: temperature dependencies of the experimental magnetic entropy for several $B$, as obtained from the respective magnetic contributions to the total heat capacity.

From the experimental heat capacity, the temperature dependence of the magnetic entropy $S_m$ is obtained by integration, i.e., using $S_m(T,B) = \int C_m/T \, dT$, where the magnetic heat capacity $C_m$ is obtained from $C$ upon subtracting the lattice contribution. The so-obtained $S_m$ is shown in the bottom panel of Figure 2.14 for the corresponding applied fields. As further evidence of the participation of excited spin states, we note that the experimental $S_m(T)$ by far exceeds the value expected for an isolated $S = 22$ ground state, i.e. $3.8 \, R$.

It now becomes straightforward to obtain the magnetic entropy changes $\Delta S_m$ of 3, whose temperature-dependencies are depicted in the top panel of Figure 2.15 for several field changes. Any uncertainty in the determination of the field-independent lattice contribution is irrelevant for this calculation and cancels out since we are dealing with differences between entropies. The $\Delta S_m(T,\Delta B)$ curves are consistent with the preliminary estimates obtained in Figure 2.13, proving the validity of employing both the magnetization and heat capacity data in the analysis. Furthermore, we
observe that $-\Delta S_m$ reaches the extremely large value of 21.3 J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ at liquid-helium temperature for the investigated field change $\Delta B = (9 - 0)$ T.

The analysis of the heat capacity data also permits us to estimate the adiabatic temperature change $\Delta T_{ad}$ by using $\Delta T_{ad}(T) = [T(S_m)_{Bf} - T(S_m)_{Bi}]S_m$ directly from the experimental magnetic entropy $S_m$ depicted in Figure 2.14 (bottom panel). The bottom panel of Figure 2.15 shows that the maximum in $\Delta T_{ad}$ gradually decreases and shifts to lower temperatures by decreasing the field change $\Delta B$. Indeed, it changes from $\Delta T_{ad} = 9.0$ K for $\Delta B = (9 - 0)$ T at $T = 4.4$ K to $\Delta T_{ad} = 2.0$ K for $\Delta B = (1 - 0)$ T at $T = 2.0$ K. In other words the magnetic field dependence of the adiabatic temperature change increases from 1 to 2 K/T, respectively, making 3 one of the finest refrigerants in the liquid-helium temperature range.$^{12,10b}$
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Figure 2.15. Top: temperature dependencies of the magnetic entropy change of 3 for the indicated applied-field changes $\Delta B$. Bottom: temperature dependencies of the adiabatic temperature change of 3 for the indicated $\Delta B$. Both $\Delta S_m(T,\Delta B)$ and $\Delta T_{ad}(T,\Delta B)$ curves are obtained from the heat capacity data of Figure 2.14.

We have pointed that negligible anisotropy is a major requirement for achieving an enhanced magneto-caloric effect with polymetallic molecules. As is well established, a large magnetic anisotropy promotes single-molecule magnet (SMM) behaviour, for which slow relaxation of the net spin per molecule is obtained below a super-paramagnetic blocking temperature. The consequence is that the blocked molecular spins tend to lose thermal contact with the lattice at low temperatures,$^{14}$ resulting in a
lower magnetic entropy and therefore a lower MCE. The effect of the anisotropy on the efficiency of a polymetallic molecule in terms of magnetic refrigeration has already been demonstrated by means of simple numerical simulations, and experimentally using a large variety of molecules from the prototype Mn₁₂ SMM to the [ideally] isotropic Mn₁₀ supertetrahedron. However, to the best of our knowledge, no example has yet been provided of a molecular refrigerant in which the degree of anisotropy can be experimentally and exclusively altered/tuned without structural alteration. The [Mn₃₄Ln₄] molecular cluster can provide such a playground since the identity of the Ln(III) can be easily changed. By replacing the zero-orbital moment Gd(III) ion (3) with the anisotropic Tb(III) (4) or Dy(III) (5) ion, one can expect to observe abrupt changes in the magneto-thermal properties of these otherwise structurally analogous molecules.

Figure 2.16. Temperature dependence (5 – 300 K) of the dc-susceptibility for 4 (top) and 5 (bottom) collected in an applied field of 0.1 T. Insets: magnetisation of 4 (top) and 5 (bottom) versus applied field for T = 2, 5 and 20 K.

Figure 2.16 depicts the dc-susceptibility measurements for 4 and 5, collected in the 300 – 2 K temperature range for an applied field B of 0.1 T. Let us first examine complex 4; terbium(III) is a non-Kramers ion with a ⁷F₆ ground state. The fit of the experimental χ(T) data to the Curie-Weiss law in the 300 – 5 K temperature range provides a small θ = −0.16 K, suggesting that the metallic ions are only weakly
magnetically correlated. The room-temperature $\chi T$ value of 4 is $\sim$55.5 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ in reasonable agreement with the spin-only ($g = 2.0$) value expected for an uncoupled [Mn$^{III}$_4Tb$^{III}$_4] unit of $\sim$59.3 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$. The value stays essentially constant as the temperature is decreased until approximately 150 K, below which it decreases smoothly down to 22 K reaching a value of $\sim$52.3 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$. The 22 – 7 K temperature range is marked by an upward shift in the $\chi T$ value that, considering the relatively large inter-cluster spacing, we associate to ferro- or ferrimagnetic intra-molecular exchange. In the lowest temperature range, $\chi T$ sharply decreases to $\sim$43.5 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ at 2 K, suggesting either antiferromagnetism or perhaps more likely the progressive depopulation of excited states of the lanthanide ions. A similar conclusion may be drawn by looking at the field dependence of the magnetisation (inset of Figure 2.16, top panel). On increasing field, the onset of a net molecular moment promotes a relatively quick increase of the magnetisation reaching 20.5 $N\mu_B$ at $B = 1$ T for $T = 2$ K, after which it increases linearly without saturating. Likewise for 3, we expect the crystal field to split the $^6H_{15/2}$ ground state of dysprosium(III). Contrary to 4, the fit of the experimental $\chi(T)$ data to a Curie-Weiss law in the 300 – 5 K temperature range provides a very small, but positive value [$\theta = +0.35$ K] suggesting that the ferro- or ferrimagnetic component is relatively stronger in 5. The $\chi(T)$ value stays essentially constant from room temperature down to nearly 100 K, below which it experiences a small decrease to $\sim$66.8 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ at $T = 12.6$ K. The lowest temperature range is then characterised by an abrupt increase in $\chi T$, which reaches $\sim$79.5 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ at $T = 2$ K, corroborating the presence of a stronger ferro- or ferrimagnetic interaction than observed in 4. This is also supported by the larger experimental values of the magnetisation, whose field dependence [qualitatively] is very similar to that of 4 (inset of Figure 2.16, bottom panel).

Because of the anisotropy induced by the Tb$^{III}$ and Dy$^{III}$ ions, and the observation that each molecular unit develops a net magnetic moment at low temperatures, it is reasonable to expect super-paramagnetic behaviour. We therefore investigated the dynamic properties of 4 and 5 by means of ac-susceptibility experiments. Both complexes show out-of-phase signals ($\chi''$) at temperatures above 2 K (Figure 2.17), indicative of either a phase transition or slow relaxation of the magnetisation.
Figure 2.17. Temperature dependence of the out-of-phase ac-susceptibility for 4 (top) and 5 (bottom), collected in zero-applied field and for the excitation frequencies 333, 633 and 1300 Hz, as labeled.

In order to discriminate between these two phenomena, we extended our experiments to the lower temperature region, obtaining the results depicted in Figure 2.18.
Figure 2.18. Temperature dependence of the in-phase ($\chi'$, top) and out-of-phase ($\chi''$, bottom) ac-susceptibility for 4 (left) and 5 (right), collected in zero-applied field and for the indicated excitation frequencies. Insets: semi-logarithmic plots of the (main) zero-field relaxation time. The straight lines are fits to the Arrhenius law.
The main feature is a cusp in the in-phase component $\chi'$ of the ac-susceptibility which occurs at approximately 0.9 K for both complexes, accompanied by a non-zero out-of-phase component (Figure 2.18), with both maxima in $\chi'$ and $\chi''$ being frequency ($f$) dependent. The experimental data suggest super-paramagnetic blocking of the molecular spins below $T_B$ corresponding to the temperature at maximum absorption. Using the average value $T_B = 0.9$ K, the frequency shift of $T_B$, nominally $\Delta T_B/(T_B \Delta \log f)$, where $\Delta T_B$ is the change in $T_B$ for the given change in frequency $\Delta \log f$, provides the values of 0.5 and 0.2 for 4 and 5, respectively, which are comparable to that of other super-paramagnets. The relaxation time for superparamagnets with an anisotropy barrier $U$ is usually described with the Arrhenius law, typical of a thermal activation process: $\tau = \tau_0 \exp (U/k_BT)$, where $\tau = 1/2\pi f$ and $\tau_0$ is an attempt frequency. We have fitted the cusp in the out-of-phase susceptibility with the above equation. The results are presented in Figure 2.18 (insets), affording $\tau_0 = 1 \times 10^{-7}$ s and $U/k_B = 3.0$ K for 4, and $\tau_0 = 3 \times 10^{-8}$ s and $U/k_B = 5.0$ K for 3. Closer inspection of the low-temperature ac-susceptibility (Figure 2.18) reveals that the magnetic relaxation in these materials is particularly complex, since other (secondary) relaxation pathways can be spotted besides the main one taking place at 0.9 K. Indeed, for both complexes, a rather broad frequency-dependent “shoulder” is observed in the 2 – 3 K temperature range. For 4, a secondary cusp is observed in $\chi''(T)$ at somewhat higher temperature than that of the main feature. For 5, the maximum absorption of a faster relaxation mechanism takes place below 80 mK, temperatures not experimentally accessible with our setup. The frequency dependence of the ac-susceptibility below 3 K suggests that both 4 and 5 are single-molecule magnets. Therefore, it is not a surprise that heat capacity experiments performed on 4 and 5 (Figure 2.19) can detect no sign of long-range magnetic order (in the form of a sharp lambda-like anomaly), a result also expected on the basis of structure considerations (see above). The complicated magnetic behaviour of these materials is also observed in Figure 2.19. For example the zero-field $C$ curve of complex 4 shows a broad anomaly close to $T = 3$ K, followed by a second one at 1 K, and a third detected at the lowest investigated temperature, the latter being clearly visible in the case of in-field measurements.
Figure 2.19. Temperature dependence of the heat capacity normalised to the gas constant $R$ for 4 (top) and 5 (bottom) collected for $B = 0, 1, 4$ and 9 T, as labeled.

The measurements of the heat capacity of 3 and 4 indicate that these molecules would not be suitable for use as molecular refrigerants. This is simply understood by looking at the field-dependent curves and their absolute values in units of $R$. By comparing the results of Figure 2.19 with that obtained with an excellent refrigerant such as 3 (Figure 2.14), it can be seen that the zero-field heat capacities of 4 and 5 are notably smaller than that of 3 in the (magnetic dependent) low-temperature region. Furthermore, the change of applied field from 0 to 9 T causes the heat capacities of 4 and 5 to change by one-to-two orders of magnitude at best, i.e. more than an order of magnitude less than in 3. Likewise, the changes in the magnetic entropy follow the same trend, allowing us to conclude that the anisotropy of the lanthanide ion is of crucial importance for dictating the performance of this family of molecules as magnetic refrigerants.

To conclude, we have expanded our initial studies into $[\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}_{4}\text{Ln}^{\text{III}}_{4}]$ cluster formation with calixarenes as supporting ligands so that we tailor these 3$d$/$4$f$ clusters of general formula $[\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}_{4}\text{Ln}^{\text{III}}_{4}(\text{OH})_{4}(\text{C4})_{4}(\text{NO}_3)_{2}(\text{DMF})_{6}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{6}]\text{(OH)}_2$ to include either Gd, Tb or Dy as desired. Magnetic studies show that the $[\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}_{4}\text{Gd}^{\text{III}}_{4}]$ cluster is an excellent...
magnetic refrigerant for low-temperature applications. The molecular anisotropy added by replacing Gd with Tb or Dy results in (a) superparamagnetic behaviour of the [Mn$^{III}_4$Tb$^{III}_4$] and [Mn$^{III}_4$Dy$^{III}_4$] clusters with blocking temperatures in the temperature region below 1 K, and (b) poor performance of these clusters in terms of magnetic refrigeration. The [Mn(III)-C4] sub-unit is common to [Mn$^{III}_2$Mn$^{II}_2$] SMMs and the [Mn$^{III}_4$Ln$^{III}_4$] clusters reported here, and we are currently exploring the use of this moiety in the formation of alternative cluster assemblies through variation in reaction conditions. The substitution of other lanthanides in the [Mn$^{III}_4$Ln$^{III}_4$] cluster motif is underway with a view to fully characterising the magnetic properties of the entire series of analogous clusters.

In the final part of this Chapter we present our initial forays into the coordination chemistry of Cu(II) and discuss the syntheses and structures of the first poly-nuclear Cu$^{II}$ clusters to be isolated using any methylene bridged calix[$n$]arene - enneanuclear Cu$^{II}$ tri-capped trigonal prisms housed between three TBC4s. These assemblies are pseudo-trigonal planar in nature, and show versatility in solid state packing akin to that of the TBC4 solvates.

Reaction of CuCl$_2$·2H$_2$O, TBC4, NEt$_3$ and NH$_4$ClO$_4$ in a solvent mixture of EtOH/DMSO for three hours produces [Cu$^{II}_9$(OH)$_3$(TBC4)$_3$Cl$_2$(DMSO)$_6$](CuICl$_2$)·DMSO ($6$·DMSO) which crystallises as dark green blocks that are in the monoclinic space group C$2/c$ (Fig. 2.20B). The identical reaction with Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$·3H$_2$O produces the analogous complex [Cu$^{II}_9$(OH)$_3$(TBC4)$_3$(NO$_3$)$_2$(DMSO)$_6$](NO$_3$)· DMSO ($7$·DMSO) which crystallises as dark green blocks that are in the triclinic space group P$I$. Structural analysis of 6 shows the metallic core [which is common to both] to describe a tri-capped trigonal prism of Cu$^{II}$ ions; where Cu1, Cu3, Cu5 and symmetry equivalents Cu1*, Cu3* and Cu5* form the trigonal prism, with Cu2, Cu4 and Cu4* centres capping the square faces (Fig. 2.20B – 2.20D). The “upper” and “lower” triangular faces are each capped by a [“μ$_3$”]Cl$^-$ ligand (ClI and ClII*) that shows long contacts with Cu1, Cu3 and Cu5 of 2.818, 2.897 and 2.828 Å respectively. These anions reside within hydrophobic pockets generated by ligated solvent molecules on Cu1, Cu3 and Cu5 (and symmetry equivalents).
Figure 2.20 A) Structure of p-But-calix[4]arene. B) The molecular structure of the cation in 6, viewed down the triangular face of the prism (top). C) The magnetic core of the cation in 6 viewed perpendicular to the triangular face of the prism. Red spheres represent μ₂-OH⁻ ligands, while red sticks represent TBC4 lower-rim oxygen atoms. Contacts between Cl⁻ anions and Cu centres are shown as dashed lines. D) Orthogonal views of the tri-capped trigonal prismatic core of the cation in 6. Colour code: Cu(II) = light blue, O = red, Cl = yellow. Hydrogen atoms, both non-coordinating and coordinating DMSO ligands, and the CuICl₂ anion have been omitted for clarity. Figures not to scale.

Within the trigonal prism, the two triangular faces are linked to each other via three μ₂-OH⁻ ligands (O8, O11 and O8*) along each vertical edge of the prism.
The $\mu_5$-TBC4 ligands use all four $\mu_2$-O-atoms to chelate the face-capping Cu$^{II}$ ions (Cu2, Cu4 and Cu4*), further bridging to each of the Cu ions on the vertices of the square face of the prism (Fig. 2.20C). The Cu$^{II}$ ions defining the trigonal prism are 5-coordinate when considering the contacts with Cl$^-$ anions and the coordination of DMSO molecules. The face capping Cu$^{II}$ ions are square planar, being bonded only to the O-atoms of the TBC4 ligand. The cation in 6 carries an overall charge of 1+, and charge balance is provided by the linear [Cu$'$Cl$_2$]$^-$ anion (Fig. 2.21).$^{16}$

**Figure 2.21.** Cluster and the Cu$'$Cl$_2$counterion found in the single crystal structure of 6.

The cation in 7 is analogous to that of 6, the only difference being in the triangular face-capping anions. Two [$^{\eta^1, \mu_3}$] NO$_3^-$ anions, one of which is disordered over two positions, reside at the “upper” and “lower” triangular faces of the prism (Fig. 2.22 and 2.23).
**Figure. 2.22** A) The molecular structure of the cation in 7, viewed down the triangular face of the prism (top). B) The magnetic core of the cation in 7 viewed perpendicular to the triangular face of the prism. Additional contacts between NO$_3^-$ anions and Cu centres are shown as dashed lines. C) Tri-capped trigonal prismatic cores of the cations in 6 and 7 showing distortion due to nitrate anions. Colour code: Cu(II) = light blue, O = red, Cl = yellow, N = dark blue. Hydrogen atoms, both non-coordinating and coordinating solvent molecules and the additional NO$_3^-$ anion have been omitted for clarity. The disordered nitrate anion in the core of 7 is shown in only one position. Figures not to scale.
Detailed information relating to the coordination and long contacts associated with the disordered NO$_3^-$ anion in the cation found in 7.

The ordered nitrate anion coordinates to Cu9 with an N-O22-Cu bond length of 2.383 Å, and shows long contacts from O23 to Cu5 and Cu7 with distances of 2.669 and 2.625 Å respectively (Fig 2.22B). In one position, the second and disordered anion coordinates to Cu4 with a bond length of 2.337 Å, and shows long contacts from O18 to Cu6 and Cu8 with distances of 2.656 and 2.735 Å respectively (Fig 2.22B). Similar distances are observed in the second disordered position (Fig. 2.23). As in 6, the anions in 7 reside in hydrophobic pockets generated by ligated DMSO molecules on the Cu centres of the trigonal prism (Fig. 2.24).
The disordered anion within the cation in 7 lies near perpendicular to its ordered equivalent, and this “asymmetric bonding” of the face-capping NO$_3^-$ appears to cause a structural distortion of the metallic core skeleton relative to that observed in 6 (Fig. 2.22C). In this distortion, one of the triangular faces of the prism becomes more scalene and twisted with respect to the other. The cation in 7 also carries a +1 charge, and an additional (and disordered) NO$_3^-$ counter anion present in the crystal lattice provides charge balance.

Examination of the extended structures of both 6 and 7 show interesting features when compared with the DMSO solvate of TBC4 (Fig. 2.25). The solvate forms a commonly observed up-down bi-layer arrangement (Fig. 2.25A),$^{17a,b}$ where each TBC4 cavity lies opposite tBu groups from a neighbouring bi-layer, and is occupied by a disordered DMSO molecule.$^{17c}$ The calixarene cavities in both 6 and 7 are also found to be occupied by DMSO molecules, some of which are disordered. In addition, interstitial spaces are occupied by disordered DMSO molecules and Cu$^{+}$Cl$_2$ or disordered NO$_3^-$ anions in 6 and 7 respectively. Although the cationic fragments in 6 and 7 are similar in shape, these pack in rather different ways, both of which mimic the nature of TBC4 bi-layer formation within sheets.$^{17}$

As shown in Figure 2.25B, the cationic fragments in 6 pack so that each calixarene cavity is opposite a solvent occupied space between two cluster bound TBC4 molecules. This space itself is reminiscent of a calixarene cavity due to the angle between neighbouring TBC4 molecules within the fragment, thereby giving rise to bi-layer type character within a sheet of cations. The cationic fragments in 7 pack in such a way that three different types of solvent or anion occupied void are observed (Fig. 2.25C). In the central fragment shown in Figure 2.25C, two TBC4 cavities are opposite calixarene cavities of neighbouring clusters. The third TBC4 cavity within this fragment is opposite a space between two calixarenes in a neighbouring cluster, which is similar to the packing observed in 6. Finally, two spaces between neighbouring TBC4s in the central fragment in Figure 2.25C are found to be opposite spaces from neighbouring cations. These differences show that despite having very similar shapes, these species are capable of packing in markedly different manners to mimic the behaviour of TBC4 in bi-layer form.$^{17}$
Figure 2.25 A) The bi-layer arrangement found in the TBC4-DMSO solvate.\textsuperscript{17} B) Packing of pseudo-trigonal planar cation fragments in 6. C) Packing of pseudo-trigonal planar cation fragments in 7. Hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity. Anions and ligated solvent molecules are omitted in B and C for clarity. Figures not to scale.
Solid state dc magnetic susceptibility ($\chi_M$) data on dried 6 and 7 were collected in a 0.1 T field in the 5-300 K range. Since both show the same behaviour we describe 7 only.

The $\chi_M T$ value at 300 K of 4.25 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ (Figure 2.26) is near that expected for a [Cu$^{II}$]$^9$ unit with $g = 2.25$ (4.27 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$). As the temperature is decreased the value of the $\chi_M T$ product decreases rapidly to $\sim$2.6 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ at 100 K where it plateaus, before decreasing once more below $\sim$40 K. The data suggests relatively strong antiferromagnetic exchange between the Cu(II) ions with the low temperature plateau indicative of an $S = 3/2$ ground state [and the $<40$ K drop assigned to intermolecular interactions and/or Zeeman effects]. The value of the

Figure 2.26. Plot of reduced magnetisation ($M/N\mu_B$) versus $H/T$ measured in the 0.5 - 7 T field range between 2 - 7 K. The red lines represent the fit of the experimental data by a matrix diagonalization method employing the Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = D(S_z^2 - S(S + 1)/3) + \mu_B g H \hat{S}$. This gives an $S = 3/2$ ground state with $g = 2.02$ and $D = -0.1$ cm$^{-1}$. The inset shows a plot of $\chi_M T$ versus $T$ in the 300 - 5 K temperature range using an applied field of 0.1 T.
ground state is confirmed by magnetisation measurements (Figure 2.26) performed in various magnetic fields and in the 1.8-10 K temperature range which saturate at \( M/N\mu_B \approx 3 \). If one assumes that the larger Cu-O-Cu angles the stronger the AF exchange [Cu-O(H)-Cu > Cu-O(TBC4)-Cu], then a cartoon picture of the ground state is one of the Cu ions in the trigonal prism being “spin-up” and the face capping Cu ions being “spin-down”.

In conclusion we have synthesised and characterised a new enneanuclear tri-capped trigonal prismatic Cu(II) cluster that is supported by calixarene ligands. The facile exchange of anions in the cluster core suggests that the formation of this motif is highly favoured, and that the anion binding pocket on either side of the prism is capable of adapting to anions of markedly different nature. The clusters are pseudo-trigonal planar and show versatility in packing behaviour, mimicking aspects of the solid state behaviour of TBC4. Future studies will explore cluster versatility towards different anions, and exchange of DMSO ligands both on the Cu(II) centres around the trigonal faces of the prism, and within the cavities of the calixarenes. Such alteration may have profound effects on the assembly of the clusters in the solid state and the resulting magnetic properties of these new complexes.

**Syntheses**

All reactions were performed under aerobic conditions and all reagents and solvents were used as purchased.

\( \text{MnCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \) (0.1 g, 0.5 mmol) and TBC4 (0.1 g, 0.15 mmol) were dissolved in a mixture of DMF (10 ml) and MeOH (10 ml) and stirred for 1 hour. X-ray quality crystals were obtained in good yield (40%) after slow evaporation of the mother liquor. Elemental analysis (%) calculated for \( \text{C}_{106}\text{H}_{146}\text{Mn}_4\text{N}_6\text{O}_{16} \): C 64.30, H 7.43, N 4.24; Found: C 64.02, H 7.54, N 4.21.

**Crystal data for TBC4·DMF:** \( \text{C}_{47}\text{H}_{63}\text{N}_1\text{O}_5 \), \( M = 722.0 \), Colourless Block, 0.50 \( \times \) 0.42 \( \times \) 0.38 mm\(^3\), tetragonal, space group \( P4/n \) (No. 85), \( a = b = 12.6880(18), c = \ldots \)
13.001(2) Å, \( V = 2093.0(5) \text{ Å}^3 \), \( Z = 2 \), Bruker Nonius X8 Apex II diffractometer, Mo-K \( \) radiation, \( \lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å} \), \( T = 100(2) \text{K} \), \( 2 \) \( \text{max} = 49.8° \), 7253 reflections collected, 1825 unique (\( R_{\text{int}} = 0.0613 \)). Final \( GooF = 1.134 \), \( R_I = 0.0652 \), \( wR_2 = 0.1650 \), \( R \) indices based on 1340 reflections with \( I > 2 \sigma(I) \) (refinement on \( F^2 \)). A number of restraints were applied due to disorder in the DMF guest molecule and the \( ^1\text{Bu} \) groups of the TBC4. CCDC number: 741029.

**Crystal data for 1:** \( C_{108}H_{154}Mn_4N_6O_{18} \), \( M = 2044.13 \), Purple Block, 0.44 0.38 0.20 mm\(^3\), monoclinic, space group \( P2_1/c \) (No. 14), \( a = 20.455(3) \), \( b = 11.2777(17) \), \( c = 24.513(4) \) Å, \( \beta = 111.871(2)^\circ \), \( V = 5247.8(13) \text{ Å}^3 \), \( Z = 2 \), Bruker Apex II CCD diffractometer, Synchrotron radiation, \( \lambda = 0.77490 \text{ Å} \), \( T = 100(2) \text{K} \), \( 2 \) \( \text{max} = 50.4° \), 42709 reflections collected, 7304 unique (\( R_{\text{int}} = 0.0868 \)). Final \( GooF = 1.018 \), \( R_I = 0.0551 \), \( wR_2 = 0.1418 \), \( R \) indices based on 5120 reflections with \( I > 2 \sigma(I) \) (refinement on \( F^2 \)). CCDC number: 741029.

**Unit cell parameters for partial structure of 2:** Monoclinic, space group \( P2_1/c \) (No. 14), \( a = 12.6152(23) \), \( b = 12.5692(22) \), \( c = 28.1584(54) \) Å, \( \beta = 105.859(3)^\circ \), Bruker Apex II CCD diffractometer, Synchrotron radiation, \( \lambda = 0.77490 \text{ Å} \), \( T = 100(2) \text{K} \). Crystal quality precluded full structural characterisation.

Mn(NO\(_3\))\(_2\)4H\(_2\)O (0.1 g, 0.39 mmol), Gd(NO\(_3\))\(_2\)6H\(_2\)O (0.1 g, 0.22 mmol) and C4 (0.1 g, 0.23 mmol) were dissolved in a mixture of DMF (10 cm\(^3\)) and MeOH (10 cm\(^3\)). Following 5 minutes of stirring, NEt\(_3\) (0.2 g, 1.97 mmol) was added dropwise, and the resulting purple solution was stirred for a further hour. X-ray quality crystals were obtained in good yield (40%) after slow evaporation of the mother liquor. Elemental analysis (%) calculated for (3), \( C_{130}H_{140}Mn_4Gd_4N_8O_{40} \): C 47.27, H 4.27, N 3.39; Found: C 47.02, H 4.14, N 3.27.

Complexes 4 and 5 were made in an analogous manner using Tb(NO\(_3\))\(_3\)6H\(_2\)O and Dy(NO\(_3\))\(_3\)6H\(_2\)O in place of Gd(NO\(_3\))\(_3\)6H\(_2\)O. Elemental analysis (%) calculated for (4), \( C_{135}H_{155}Mn_4Tb_4N_9O_{43} \): C 47.04, H 4.53, N 3.66; Found: C 46.98, H 4.24, N 3.59.

Elemental analysis (%) calculated for (5), \( C_{130}H_{140}Mn_4Dy_4N_8O_{40} \): C 46.97, H 4.24, N 3.37; Found: C 46.51, H 4.18, N 3.25.
General crystallographic details: Data for $3^{20c}$ and $4$ were collected on a Bruker Nonius X8 Apex II diffractometer operating with MoKα radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$) at $T = 100(2) \text{ K}$. Data for $5$ were collected on a Bruker Apex II CCD diffractometer operating with synchrotron radiation ($\lambda = 0.77490 \text{ Å}$) at $T = 100(2) \text{ K}$. The routine SQUEEZE was applied to the data for $3 – 5$ due to the presence of badly disordered solvent molecules. In all cases, this had the effect of dramatically improving the agreement indices. **Crystal data for $3^{20c}$**: $\text{C}_{130}\text{H}_{122}\text{Gd}_4\text{Mn}_4\text{N}_8\text{O}_{42}$, $M = 3317.12$, Black Block, $0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}^3$, monoclinic, space group $C2/c$ (No. 15), $a = 34.41(3)$, $b = 12.397(9)$, $c = 32.15(4) \text{ Å}$, $\beta = 98.14(3)^\circ$, $V = 13576(22) \text{ Å}^3$, $Z = 4$, $2\theta_{\text{max}} = 46.8^\circ$, 56018 reflections collected, 9621 unique ($R_{\text{int}} = 0.0831$). Final $\text{Goof} = 1.015$, $R1 = 0.0462$, $wR2 = 0.1217$, $R$ indices based on 7169 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ (refinement on $F^2$). **Crystal data for $4$**: $\text{C}_{135}\text{H}_{155}\text{Mn}_4\text{N}_9\text{O}_{43}\text{Tb}_4$, $M = 3447.12$, Black Block, $0.40 \times 0.32 \times 0.28 \text{ mm}^3$, triclinic, space group $P1$ (No. 2), $a = 17.87(5)$, $b = 19.62(5)$, $c = 23.80(7) \text{ Å}$, $\alpha = 102.46(4)$, $\beta = 104.83(4)$, $\gamma = 96.28(4)^\circ$, $V = 7754(37) \text{ Å}^3$, $Z = 2$, $2\theta_{\text{max}} = 46.5^\circ$, 143013 reflections collected, 21725 unique ($R_{\text{int}} = 0.0857$). Final $\text{Goof} = 0.977$, $R1 = 0.0507$, $wR2 = 0.1290$, $R$ indices based on 14442 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ (refinement on $F^2$). **Crystal data for $5$**: $\text{C}_{130}\text{H}_{140}\text{Dy}_4\text{Mn}_4\text{N}_8\text{O}_{40}$, $M = 3324.26$, Purple Plate, $0.20 \times 0.06 \times 0.02 \text{ mm}^3$, triclinic, space group $P1$ (No. 2), $a = 17.805(2)$, $b = 19.781(2)$, $c = 23.563(3) \text{ Å}$, $\alpha = 102.752(2)$, $\beta = 104.586(2)$, $\gamma = 96.203(2)^\circ$, $V = 7714.9(15) \text{ Å}^3$, $Z = 2$, $D_c = 1.431 \text{ g/cm}^3$, $F_{000} = 3320$, Bruker Apex II CCD Diffractometer, synchrotron radiation, $\lambda = 0.77490 \text{ Å}$, $T = 100(2) \text{ K}$, $2\theta_{\text{max}} = 51.1^\circ$, 64375 reflections collected, 22184 unique ($R_{\text{int}} = 0.0609$). Final $\text{Goof} = 0.921$, $R1 = 0.0511$, $wR2 = 0.1219$, $R$ indices based on 15071 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ (refinement on $F^2$), 1764 parameters, 147 restraints. Lp and absorption corrections applied, $\mu = 2.877 \text{ mm}^{-1}$.

$\text{CuCl}_2\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.2 g, 11 mmol), TBC4 (0.1 g, 0.15 mmol), NH$_4$ClO$_4$ (0.117 g, 1 mmol) and NEt$_3$ (0.2 g, 1.9 mmol) were dissolved in a mixture of 10 cm$^3$ of DMSO and 10 cm$^3$ of EtOH and stirred for 3 h. X-ray quality crystals of $6$ were obtained in good yield (~45%) after slow evaporation of the mother liquor. Elemental analysis (%) calculated (found) for $\text{C}_{144}\text{H}_{195}\text{Cu}_{10}\text{O}_{21}\text{S}_6\text{Cl}_4$: C, 53.52 (53.90), H 6.08 (5.96). The same procedure was employed for $7$, replacing $\text{CuCl}_2\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ with $\text{Cu(NO}_3)_2\cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.2
g, 8.2 mmol) and EtOH with MeOH. Elemental analysis (%) calculated (found) for $\text{C}_{144}\text{H}_{195}\text{Cu}_9\text{N}_3\text{O}_{30}\text{S}_6$: C, 53.84 (54.03), H 6.12 (6.09), N, 1.31 (1.25).
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Introduction

This chapter reports the synthesis and magnetic characterisation of a series of planar [M₇] (M = Ni II, Zn II) disc complexes [Ni₇(OH)₆(L₁)₆(NO₃)₂] (8), [Ni₇(OH)₆(L₁)₆(NO₃)₂.2MeOH (9), [Ni₇(OH)₆(L₁)₆(NO₃)₂.3MeNO₂ (10), [Ni₇(OH)₆(L₂)₆(NO₃)₂.2MeCN (11), [Zn₇(OH)₆(L₁)₆(NO₃)₂.2MeOH.H₂O (12) and [Zn₇(OH)₆(L₁)₆(NO₃)₂.3MeNO₂ (13) (where HL₁ = 2-iminomethyl-6-methoxy-phenol, HL₂ = 2-iminomethyl-4-bromo-6-methoxy-phenol). Each member exhibits a double-bowl pseudo metallocalix[6]arene topology whereby the individual [M₇] units form molecular host cavities which are able to accommodate various guest molecules (MeCN, MeNO₂ and MeOH). Magnetic susceptibility measurements carried out on complexes 8 and 11 indicate weak exchange between the Ni II centres.

Supramolecular chemistry has rapidly become a vast and multidisciplinary field with applications including catalysis,¹ anion sensing recognition,² gas sequestration / storage³ and species transportation towards drug delivery,⁴ and consequently is of interest to scientists of wide ranging disciplines. One particularly interesting facet of supramolecular chemistry concerns the expression of high degrees of local and extended topological control onto a molecule (e.g. a host unit) in terms of its partaking in intermolecular interactions with other species (e.g. a guest molecule). This is achieved via careful structural manipulation of these molecules (and molecular assemblies) giving rise to complex molecular architectures possessing targeted synergic chemical and physical properties. Although supramolecular host-guest chemistry has been readily exhibited and widely reported with a vast array of organic receptor moieties,⁵ the engagement of magnetically interesting inorganic host units is still relatively rare.⁶ In the previous chapter the fields of supramolecular chemistry and molecular magnetism were combined to produce tetranuclear [M₄] Single-Molecule Magnets⁷ and a [M₄Gd₄] magnetic cooler,⁸ each built entirely using bowl-like calix[4]arene ligands - a cyclophane synonymous with host-guest supramolecular chemistry.⁹ By
employing this particular ligand attempts have been made to purposefully exercise site specific cluster growth (i.e. the \{Mn_4\} and \{Mn_4Gd_4\} motifs can only be formed at the lower rim of the Calix[4]arene ligands) and spatial separation of the individual [Mn_4] units to promote magnetic dilution.\textsuperscript{10}

In this chapter the synthesis and characterization of a family of ferromagnetic planar disc [Ni_7] complexes which possess double-bowl metallocalix[6]arene topologies and themselves exhibit host-guest behaviour allowing direct comparison to supramolecular calix[n]arene behaviour is reported. The work described in detail below serves as an extension to our initial findings, namely the report on the founder members of this extended family.\textsuperscript{11}

\begin{center}
Scheme 3.1: Structure of the Schiff base ligands HL\textsubscript{1} and HL\textsubscript{2} utilised in this work

\textsuperscript{11}(R = H (HL\textsubscript{1}), Br (HL\textsubscript{2})).
\end{center}
Results and Discussion

The first complex of this series to be discovered was the heptanuclear complex [Ni$_7$(µ$_3$-OH)$_6$(L$_1$)$_6$](NO$_3$)$_2$ (8) which was obtained via the ethanolic reaction of Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O and HL$_1$ (Scheme 3.1) in the presence of NaOH. The green hexagonal crystals of 8 crystallize in the trigonal space group P-3c1 in approximately 30% yield (Fig. 3.1). The core in 8 is best described as a body centred hexagon whereby six Ni$^{II}$ ions surround a central Ni$^{II}$ centre to form a planar disc. Although topologically analogous [Mn$_7$]$^{12}$, [Fe$_7$]$^{13}$ and [Co$_7$]$^{14}$ complexes are known, the synthesis of 8 represents the first example for Ni$^{II}$. All Ni$^{II}$ centres exhibit distorted octahedral geometries. The six µ$_3$-bridging OH$^-$ ions (O1 and symmetry equivalent, s.e) link the central nickel (Ni1) to the six peripheral nickel ions (Ni2 and s.e.). The central Ni$^{II}$ ion is located at a site with imposed 3 symmetry with the NO$_3^-$ nitrogen atom (N2) sitting on a threefold axis. The remainder of the asymmetric unit comprises a second Ni$^{II}$ centre (Ni2) along with one L$^-$ unit and one hydroxy group (O1-H1) occupying general positions. Each of the six trigonal pyramidal OH$^-$ ions are situated alternately above and below the [Ni$_7$] plane (Figure 3.1). The six singly deprotonated (at the phenolate site) L$_1^-$ ligands bridge the peripheral Ni$^{II}$ centres via a µ-$\eta^1$-$\eta^2$-$\eta^1$ coordination mode. These ligands are situated alternately above and below the [Ni$_7$] plane which gives rise to a double-bowl conformation in which the [Ni$_7$] core is the basal plane, reminiscent of a metallocalix[6]arene concave unit (Figure 3.1). Full crystallographic parameters obtained for 8 (and all other members) are documented in Table 3.1.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Formula</strong></td>
<td>C₅₄H₆₆N₈O₂₄Ni₇</td>
<td>C₅₆H₆₆N₈O₂₆Ni₇</td>
<td>C₅₇H₇₅N₁₁O₃₀Ni₇</td>
<td>C₅₈H₆₆Br₆N₁₀O₂₄Ni₇</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>M&lt;sub&gt;W&lt;/sub&gt;</strong></td>
<td>1622.12</td>
<td>1678.14</td>
<td>1709.25</td>
<td>2177.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crystal System</strong></td>
<td>Trigonal</td>
<td>Trigonal</td>
<td>Trigonal</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Space group</strong></td>
<td>P-3c₁</td>
<td>P-3c₁</td>
<td>P-3c₁</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>a/Å</strong></td>
<td>13.806(2)</td>
<td>13.913(2)</td>
<td>13.93(2)</td>
<td>28.857(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>b/Å</strong></td>
<td>13.806(2)</td>
<td>13.913(2)</td>
<td>13.93(2)</td>
<td>11.135(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c/Å</strong></td>
<td>23.270(5)</td>
<td>23.141(5)</td>
<td>22.742(5)</td>
<td>27.4079(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>α/°</strong></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>β/°</strong></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>γ/°</strong></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>V/Å³</strong></td>
<td>3841.2(11)</td>
<td>3879.6(11)</td>
<td>3823.4(11)</td>
<td>8296.6(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Z</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T/K</strong></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>μ(Mo-Kα)/mm&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;</strong></td>
<td>0.71070</td>
<td>0.71070</td>
<td>0.71070</td>
<td>0.71070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D&lt;sub&gt;c/g cm&lt;sup&gt;-3&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;/sub&gt;</strong></td>
<td>1.402</td>
<td>1.437</td>
<td>1.485</td>
<td>1.743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Meas./indep.(R&lt;sub&gt;int&lt;/sub&gt;) refl.</strong></td>
<td>2306 / 1376 (0.0802)</td>
<td>2335 / 2034 (0.0679)</td>
<td>2333 / 1835 (0.0502)</td>
<td>7348 / 3779 (0.0511)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>wR2 (all data)</strong></td>
<td>0.2446</td>
<td>0.2155</td>
<td>0.1725</td>
<td>0.1325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goodness of fit on F&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</strong></td>
<td>1.091</td>
<td>1.047</td>
<td>1.191</td>
<td>0.852</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Includes guest molecules.  
* Mo-Kα radiation, graphite monochromator.  
* wR2 = \( \frac{\sum w(\|F_o^2\| - \|F_c^2\|)^2}{\sum w(F_o^2)^2} \)\(^{1/2}\).  
* For observed data.  
* R1 = \( \frac{\sum \|F_o\| - \|F_c\|}{\sum \|F_o\|} \).
Close scrutiny of the double-bowl conformation in 8 shows approximate bowl dimensions of $6.20 \times 4.21 \times 11.70 \, \text{Å}$ (base $\times$ depth $\times$ rim diameter). The [Ni$_7$] units in 8 stack on top of one another resulting in the formation of pseudo-superimposable 1D columns (Figure 3.1 bottom) whereby each moiety is spaced at a [Ni$_7$,plane]-[Ni$_7$,plane] distance of $11.64 \, \text{Å}$. Furthermore the unit cell in 8 possesses four such 1D columns, each unit linked by a 120° rotation (Figure 3.2).
Figure 3.2: (A) Crystal packing observed in 8 as viewed down the c axis of the unit cell respectively. Note that packing of 9 is identical to that of 8 minus disordered MeNO\textsubscript{2} guests. (B) View along a axis of the cell in 8. H-atoms omitted for clarity. Large spheres represent NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} counter anions.

The [Ni\textsubscript{7}] moieties are connected into 1D columnar arrays \textit{via} zig-zag shaped belts of NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} anions (each comprising six NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} ions), located above and below the individual [Ni\textsubscript{7}] units with C-H···O bonding interactions between the NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} oxygen atoms (one unique, O4) and protons (H1A and H5) of the L\textsubscript{1}\textsuperscript{-} ligands (H1A···O4 = 2.59 Å and H5···O4 = 2.44 Å). The NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} belts act as ‘molecular zips’ by pairing up individual [Ni\textsubscript{7}] complexes to form molecular cavites each of approximate volume \approx 265.9 Å\textsuperscript{3},\textsuperscript{15} formed by two juxtaposed pseudo metallocalix[6]arene [Ni\textsubscript{7}] bowl units (Figure 3.4 below). For complete molecular cavity dimensions in the crystal of 8 (and all other analogues) see Table 3.2.
Although void of guest moieties, the fascinating double bowl metallocalix[6]arene cavities observed in 8 led to their investigation as rare examples of paramagnetic host receptors. Initial work involved the replacement of EtOH (used in production of the empty host 8) with the smaller MeOH solvent in order to encourage guest occupancy. This was proved successful with the isolation of the host-guest complex $[\text{Ni}_7(\text{OH})_6(\text{L}_1)_6](\text{NO}_3)_2.2\text{MeOH}$ (9), which crystallises in the same trigonal $P-31c$ space group as 8 in $\sim$40% yield. This improved yield when compared to the preparation of 8 is presumably due to the increased solubility of NaOH in MeOH. Complex 9 also exhibits a central Ni$^{II}$ (Ni1) of imposed 3 symmetry and a N atom of the NO$_3^-$ counter anion (N2) located on a threefold rotation axis. The molecular cavities in 9 are similar to those in 8 ($6.20 \times 4.16 \times 11.81$ Å (base × depth × rim diameter)), while the [[Ni$_7$_plane]−[Ni$_7$_plane] distance of 11.57 Å is also comparable to that of 8 (Table 3.2 below). Complex 9 differs with respect to 8 only in that the H-bonded cavities in 9 (of calculated volume of $\sim$
293.7 Å³) are of the required dimensions to accommodate two guest MeOH molecules. When small molecules are located within such highly symmetrical molecular cavities, it is common to observe crystallographic disorder and the MeOH guest molecules in 9 are no exception.

The first disordered MeOH guest (atoms C20-O10) has only 1/6th occupancy and thus periodically occupies positions in between the NO₃⁻ counter anions (which form the aforementioned zig-zag belt) and partakes in H-bonding interactions (O4…O10 = 2.86 Å). The second MeOH guest (C21-O9) lies within the molecular cavity in 9 at the midpoint between the two [Ni₇] planes (possessing a two-fold axis along the C-O vertex) where it is also disordered over three sites with respect to the three fold rotation axis inherent to the cell (Figure 3.3). This central MeOH guest shows no significant signs of supramolecular interactions within or outwith its host cavity. This is not particularly surprising as this central MeOH guest moiety lies over 4 Å away from the nearest µ₃-OH⁻ protons (O1(H1)…O9 = 4.10 Å).

It was decided to attempt the encapsulation of a larger guest molecule which would invoke H-bonding interactions within the molecular cavity and promote affinity towards its host receptor. It was decided to utilise MeNO₂ unit as a potential guest on the assumption that its O-atoms would interact with the H atoms of the µ₃-OH⁻ bridges within the [Ni₇] host cavity units. This was achieved,
producing the complex \([\text{Ni}_7(\text{OH})_6(\text{L}_1)_6](\text{NO}_3)_2\cdot3\text{MeNO}_2\) (10), formed by dissolution and recrystallisation of 8 from MeNO\(_2\) in \(~\text{15\%}\) yield. In the crystals of 10 the host cavity has a calculated volume of 283.8 Å\(^3\) and is occupied by three MeNO\(_2\) guests which are related crystallographically via a three fold rotation. As observed in 9, the trigonal planar MeNO\(_2\) guests also experience disorder whereby the methyl carbon atoms (C10 and s.e) lie on a two fold axis. These orientations are most likely to exist in the up-down-up anti-parallel configuration with respect to the three fold rotation symmetry they share, as any other spatial arrangement would result in significant steric effects (Figure 3.4). As predicted the three interact within the cavity via hydrogen bonding interactions between their O-atoms (O5 and O6) and the nearby \(\mu_3-\text{OH}^-\) protons of the two [Ni\(_7\)] units which line the cavity floors (O1···O5 = 3.08 Å; O1···O6 = 3.25 Å).

In order to alter the interior size and shape of the molecular cavities highlighted in 8-10 towards subsequent modification and / or control of guest preference, it was decided to attempt to increase the bowl depth (in relation to complexes 8-10) by utilising the Br-analogue of HL\(_1\), namely the pro-ligand 2-iminomethyl-4-bromo-6-methoxy-phenol (HL\(_2\)). To this end an ethanolic solution of Ni(NO\(_3\))\(_2\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}\), the ligand HL\(_2\) and NaOH was left to stir for 4 h. The mother liquor was then left evaporate slowly, but no crystalline product was obtained. The subsequent green powder produced was redissolved in numerous potential guest solvents (MeOH, MeNO\(_2\), MeCN). Interestingly only the MeCN guest species was successfully incorporated in the form of the complex \([\text{Ni}_7(\text{OH})_6(\text{L}_2)_6](\text{NO}_3)_2\cdot2\text{MeCN}\) (11), which was formed in \(~\text{23\%}\) yield and crystallises in the monoclinic C2/c space group (cf. 8-10). In this case each cavity accommodates two MeCN molecules which exhibit a head-to-tail conformation (Figure 3.4 (right)). The MeCN guests are held in place via H-bonding between their N-atoms (N5) and a proton (H3A) of an \(\mu_3-\text{OH}^-\) bridging ion belonging to the neighbouring [Ni\(_7\)(OH)\(_6\)] core (N5···H3A(O3) = 2.36 Å).
Cavity dimensions (Å)  
(base × depth × rim)  
| 6.20 × 6.20 × 6.20 × 6.22 × |
| 4.21 × 4.16 × 4.08 × 6.18 × |
| 11.70 11.81 12.04 11.90 |

| 11.64 11.57 11.37 11.14 |

Table 3.2: Molecular Cavity dimensions observed in the crystals of 8-11

The central Ni$^{II}$ ion (Ni4) located at the centre of 11 lies on an inversion centre with the remaining three metal centres (Ni1-3) and all other atoms in the asymmetric unit occupying general positions. The employment of L$_2^-$ in the construction of 11 does indeed significantly alter the cavity size and shape, as the crystal structure shows the formation of a deeper bowl of dimensions 6.22 × 6.18 × 11.90 Å (base × depth × rim diameter). As observed in 8-10 the individual [Ni$_7$] units in 11 again arrange into superimposable 1D columns, which propagate along the $b$ direction of the unit cell. The stacking of the [Ni$_7$] units along $b$ is supported by two complementary O-H···Br interactions which involve one $\mu_3$-OH$^-$ (H1) of a [Ni$_7$] unit and the bromine atom (Br1) of a neighbouring [Ni$_7$] moiety (H1···Br1 = 2.82 Å). These hydrogen bonds direct molecular cavities which differ from those in 8-10 as here they are tilted with respect to the [Ni$_7$] planes and are interlocked in a staggered arrangement (Figure 3.4). The [Ni$_7$]plane-[Ni$_7$]plane distance inside the cavity is 11.14 Å and represents a cavity height reduction of ~0.4 Å cf. 8-10. We postulate that this is attributed to the significant H-bonding affinity of the pendant Br-atoms (Br1) in 11, producing a more tightly embraced cavity of approximate volume 265.9 Å$^3$, which is significantly smaller than those of 8 (265.9 Å$^3$), 9 (293.7 Å$^3$) and 10 (283.8 Å$^3$).

Efforts to encapsulate MeCN and MeNO$_2$ solvent guests inside the cavities of 9 and 11, respectively, were unsuccessful. These findings suggest that guest molecules can only be placed within such cavities if and when they are able to orientate themselves into certain topologies comprising symmetry elements.
compatible with their host lattices. This hypothesis is supported by the formation of complex 10 in which three MeOH guests, linked via a three-fold rotation axis, are accommodated inside the trigonal P-3c1 cell whereas attempts at producing a MeOH guest analogue to 11 (in a monoclinic C2/c cell) remain fruitless. It must be noted that the size and shape of the molecular cavities in such complexes will also reflect their resultant guests and must also be considered here. Attempts at encapsulating larger organic moieties (inc. fluorophores, amino acids and anions) have so far been unsuccessful. Indeed all attempts at such encapsulation simply resulted in the recrystallisation of complexes 8-11 (depending on the synthon employed). We may postulate that this is due to the ubiquitous nature of the solvent molecules present in our reaction mixtures which will inevitably become guests within our host [Ni7] discs.
Complexes 8-10 crystallise in the trigonal P-3c1 space group and only differ in terms of their guest occupancy and therefore are analogous in terms of their 3D connectivity. The [Ni7] columns in their unit cells are connected via H-bonds to adjacent 1D [Ni7] columns. These connections are manifested by a myriad of H-bonding interactions between the NO₃⁻ counter anions and the individual [Ni7] moieties. More specifically each [Ni7] is H-bonded to twelve NO₃⁻ counter anions which in turn connect to six other [Ni7] units thus creating a (6,12)-connected net with a (4₁₅)₂(4⁴₈.₆¹₈)-alb topology (Figure 3.6).¹⁶,¹⁷ As previously stated,
[Ni₇(OH)₆(L₂)₆(NO₃)₂.2MeCN (11) crystallises in the monoclinic C2/c space group and as such possesses a 3-D connectivity different to that of 8-10. The 1D columnar stacks of [Ni₇] units in 11 are linked by C-H···Br interactions through the Br atoms (Br2 and Br3) of the bridging L₂⁻ ligands and the –CH₃ protons (H18B and H27B) of adjacent [Ni₇] moieties (H18B···Br3 = 2.93 Å, H27B···Br2 = 2.70 Å and s.e). The result is a 3-D connectivity comprising a 10-connected net with a (3₁₂.4²⁸.5⁵)-bct topology (Figure 3.6).⁶,¹⁷

![Figure 3.6: The (6,12)-connected net with a (4¹⁵)₂(4⁴⁸.6¹⁸)-alb topology in 8-10. Blue spheres represent the 12-connected [Ni₇] units. Cyan spheres represent the 6-connected NO₃⁻.](image)

(bottom) The 10-connected (3¹².4²⁸.5⁵)-bct in 11 where the blue spheres represent the 10-connected [Ni₇] units.

IR spectroscopic studies on the host complexes 9, 10 and 11 were performed to ascertain whether their guest molecules remained within their respective H-bonded cavities on drying. CHN analysis on samples of complexes 9-11 were consistent with guest residency. The IR spectrum of [Ni₇(OH)₆(L₁)₆(NO₃)₂.2MeOH showed broad OH stretching bands (centred at 3416 cm⁻¹) attributed to both the μ₃-OH⁻ bridges and MeOH guest solvent molecules. However there was also a possibility that such bands were due to adsorbed MeOH solvent molecules and therefore the
same sample was subsequently dried under vacuum for 2 h prior to re-analysis of its IR spectrum. It was found that the initial broad OH stretching band had lost intensity on drying and was now centred at 3406 cm$^{-1}$ (Figure 3.7).

![Infra-Red spectrum](image)

**Figure 3.7:** Infra-Red spectrum obtained from (top) a sample of $[\text{Ni}_7(\text{OH})_6(\text{L}_1)_6](\text{NO}_3)_2\cdot2\text{MeOH}$ (9) and (bottom) the same sample of 9 dried under vacuum for 3 h prior to re-analysis.

Subsequent elemental analysis on this same sample analysed as the empty $[\text{Ni}_7(\text{OH})_6(\text{L}_1)_6](\text{NO}_3)_2$ (9) complex. Similarly the IR spectrum of 10 gave peaks at 1337 and 1555 cm$^{-1}$ which are characteristic for the asymmetric and symmetric NO stretching of the guest MeNO$_2$ molecules respectively. Subsequent vacuum drying of 10 (for 2 h) resulted in the loss of these vibrational resonances indicating egress of the MeNO$_2$ guests which was also subsequently confirmed by elemental analysis. The facile removal of the MeOH and MeNO$_2$ guests in 9 and 10 respectively is consistent with their crystal structures and more specifically in the way that their host cavities are held only via weak hydrogen bonding interactions and by no means form tightly bound enclosures.

The IR spectrum of $[\text{Ni}_7(\text{OH})_6(\text{L}_2)_6](\text{NO})_3\cdot2\text{MeCN}$ (11) exhibits a weak resonance at 2256 cm$^{-1}$ corresponding to a CN stretch indicative of the enclosed MeCN guest.
molecules. Interestingly and unlike complexes 9 and 10 this peak at 2256 cm$^{-1}$ is not lost upon substantial vacuum drying (Figure 3.8), while no significant change is seen in its subsequent CHN microanalysis.

![Infra-Red spectrum](image)

**Figure 3.8:** Infra-Red spectrum obtained from (top) a sample of [Ni$_7$(OH)$_6$(L$_2$)$_6$(NO$_3$)$_2$·2MeCN (11) and (bottom) the same sample of 11 dried under vacuum for 3 h prior to re-analysis.

This observation suggests the MeCN guests cannot readily exit the host cavities in 11 which may be attributed to its distinct (cf. 8-10) and more tightly locked double-bowl enclosures formed via the H-bonding pendant Br-groups (vide infra).

In order to further probe potential affinities of our guest solvent molecules for their [Ni$_7$] hosts using NMR techniques the diamagnetic [Zn$_7$] analogues [Zn$_7$(OH)$_6$(L$_1$)$_6$(NO$_3$)$_2$·2MeOH.H$_2$O (12) (Figure 3.9) and [Zn$_7$(OH)$_6$(L$_1$)$_6$(NO$_3$)$_2$·3MeNO$_2$ (13) (Figure 3.10) were synthesized (for crystallographic information see Table 3.3
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula</td>
<td>C$<em>{56}$H$</em>{60}$N$<em>{10}$O$</em>{27}$Zn$_7$</td>
<td>C$<em>{57}$H$</em>{60}$N$<em>{11}$O$</em>{30}$Zn$_7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula Weight</td>
<td>1740.76</td>
<td>1842.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Trigonal</td>
<td>Trigonal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P-3c1</td>
<td>P-3c1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a [Å]</td>
<td>13.902(2)</td>
<td>14.082(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b [Å]</td>
<td>13.902(2)</td>
<td>14.082(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c [Å]</td>
<td>23.353(5)</td>
<td>22.759(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α, β, γ (°)</td>
<td>90, 90, 120</td>
<td>90, 90, 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V [Å$^3$]</td>
<td>3908.9(11)</td>
<td>3908.2(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D$_c$ (g cm$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>1.479</td>
<td>1.566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ (mm$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>2.187</td>
<td>2.196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections</td>
<td>2361</td>
<td>2338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflections</td>
<td>1766</td>
<td>2059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOF on F$^2$</td>
<td>1.139</td>
<td>1.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R$_{int}$</td>
<td>0.0628</td>
<td>0.0713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1 [I$&gt;$2σ(I)]</td>
<td>0.0838</td>
<td>0.0769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wR2 (all data)</td>
<td>0.1999</td>
<td>0.2035</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.3: Crystal refinement data obtained from [Zn$_7$] complexes 12 and 13**

**Figure 3.9:** Crystal structure observed in 12 (minus MeOH guest molecules) as viewed perpendicular (top) and parallel (bottom) to the [Zn$_7$] plane.

The structure of [Zn$_7$(OH)$_6$(L$_1$)$_3$](NO$_3$)$_2$.3MeNO$_2$ (13) is analogous to that of its Ni$^{II}$ analogue (complex 10) with a slightly larger cavity volume of 295.4 Å$^3$;
however the connectivity in [Zn\textsubscript{7}(OH)\textsubscript{6}(L\textsubscript{1})\textsubscript{6}](NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}.2MeOH.H\textsubscript{2}O (12) differs slightly to that of its counter part [Ni\textsubscript{7}(OH)\textsubscript{6}(L\textsubscript{1})\textsubscript{6}](NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}.2MeOH (9). The [Zn\textsubscript{7}] units in (12) are held in 2D layers running parallel to the \textit{ab} plane \textit{via} the NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} anions, which sit above and below the individual heptanuclear complexes with C-H···O bonding interactions between the NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} oxygen atoms (one unique, O4) and protons (one unique, H5) of the L\textsubscript{1}\textsuperscript{-} ligands (H5···O4 = 2.46 Å). In this arrangement, each [Zn\textsubscript{7}] is H-bonded to six NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} with the latter being connected to three [Zn\textsubscript{7}] units thus creating a (3,6) layer. Although the NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} ions do not hold the [Zn\textsubscript{7}] moieties of neighbouring layers, the (3,6) layers stack with the [Zn\textsubscript{7}] forming columnar arrays like those found in complexes 8, 9, 11 and 12, giving rise to molecular cavities (each of approximate volume ~280.4 Å\textsuperscript{3} with a [Zn\textsubscript{7}]\_plane-[Zn\textsubscript{7}]\_plane distance of 11.68 Å), formed by two juxtaposed pseudo metallocalix[6]arene [Zn\textsubscript{7}] bowl units. Those cavities are of the required size and shape to accommodate two guest MeOH and one H\textsubscript{2}O solvent molecules. These are related crystallographically via a three fold rotation and a mirror plane.

![Figure 3.10: Crystal structure of 13 as viewed along a 1D column of [Zn\textsubscript{7}] units. Large space-fill spheres represent the three guest MeNO\textsubscript{2} moieties.](image)

By direct crystallographic comparison it becomes clear that the cavities of 8 (265.9 Å\textsuperscript{3}), 9 (293.7 Å\textsuperscript{3}), 10 (283.8 Å\textsuperscript{3}), 12 (280.4 Å\textsuperscript{3}) and 13 (295.4 Å\textsuperscript{3}) are very similar. The rather small differences are due to the slightly different orientations of the [M\textsubscript{7}] molecules in the crystals. From this we may draw the conclusion that the guests and / or the H-bonding with the NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} do not play an important role in the resultant cavity size.
**Solution studies**

Despite the rather poor solubility of this class of compound UV-vis studies on MeOH and MeCN solutions of $[\text{Ni}_7(\text{OH})_6(\text{L}_1)_6](\text{NO}_3)_2\cdot2\text{MeOH}$ (9) were successfully performed (Figure 3.11).

![UV-vis spectra](image)

Figure 3.11: UV-vis spectra obtained from MeOH (top) and MeCN (bottom) solutions of $[\text{Ni}_7(\text{OH})_6(\text{L}_1)_6](\text{NO}_3)_2\cdot2\text{MeOH}$ (9).

Both solutions showed similar absorptions at approximately 230, 270 and 356 nm. A fourth sharp transition in the methanolic solution of 9 is observed at 205 nm which has been cut off in the analogous MeCN solution spectrum. The transitions at ∼205,
230 and 270 nm are as a result of \( \pi \rightarrow \pi^* \) excitations (\( \varepsilon \) values ranging from 42.8-110.2 \( \times 10^3 \) dm\(^3\) mol\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\)), while the absorptions at 356 nm correspond to the \( n \rightarrow \pi^* \) excitations occurring in both solutions of 9. No absorptions indicative of d-d transitions are observed and if present are presumably masked by the presence of L\(_1^-\) in 9. This assumption is supported by the UV-vis spectra of MeOH and MeCN solutions of the Zn\(^{II}\) sibling \([\text{Zn}_7(\text{OH})_6(L_1)_6](\text{NO}_3)_2\cdot2\text{MeOH}.\text{H}_2\text{O}\) (12), whose absorptions are analogous to those observed in 9 (Figure. 3.12) with no possibility of any significant d-d transitions.

Furthermore the UV-vis spectra obtained from MeOH and MeCN solution of HL\(_1\) and HL\(_2\) (Figure 3.11 and Figure 3.12 respectively) showed similar absorptions to those of [Ni\(_7\)] (9) and [Zn\(_7\)] (12), although on comparison appearing less defined presumably due to their more symmetric nature when incorporated into the [M\(_7\)] complex.
Magnetic Susceptibility Studies

Magnetic susceptibility measurements were obtained on crystalline samples of [Ni_7(OH)_6(L_1)_6](NO_3)_2 (8) and [Ni_7(OH)_6(L_2)_6](NO_3)_2.2MeCN (11) in the 300 - 5 K temperature range (Figure 3.13).

Figure 3.13: Plot of $\chi_{mT}$ vs T for complex 8(Δ) and 11 (○) in the 300-5 K temperature range (measured in an applied field of 0.1 T). (Inset) Plot of M (NµB) vs H (G) carried out on complexes 8 in the 2-7 K temperature range and 0.5 – 7 T magnetic field range.

The room temperature $\chi_{mT}$ values of 7.76 and 7.90 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ K respectively are slightly larger than the value expected for seven non interacting Ni$^{II}$ ions (~ 7.7 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ K assuming $g = ~2.1$). As the temperature is decreased the values of $\chi_{mT}$ increase slowly, reaching maximum values of ~8.5 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ at 40 K for 8 and ~10 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ at 25 K for 11, before decreasing below these temperatures to minimum values at 5 K of ~5.5 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ (1) and ~7.9 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ (11). This behaviour is suggestive of very weak ferro- or ferrimagnetic intra-molecular exchange between the Ni$^{II}$ ions in both complexes, with their low temperature (T < 40 K) decreases in $\chi_{mT}$ ascribed to relatively strong inter-molecular antiferromagnetic exchange, consistent with the extensive H-bonding observed in the crystals of 8 and 11. The maxima in $\chi_{mT}$ for 8 and 11 are well below that expected for an isolated $S = 7$ spin ground state which would give a $\chi_{mT}$ value of approximately 31 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ (assuming $g = 2.10$). Fitting of the $1/\chi_{m}$ versus $T$ data to the Curie-Weiss law using only the 300-50 K data.
affords Weiss constants ($\Theta$) of $+18.7$ K (8) and $+29.0$ K (11) (Figure 3.14), suggestive of weak ferromagnetic exchange.

Several factors proclude the fitting or simulation of the susceptibility data: a) the presence of numerous different exchange interactions; b) the presence of relatively strong intermolecular interactions; c) the weak exchange between the metal centres and the likelihood that $J$ will be comparable to the single ion zfs (weak exchange limit) and thus the presence of multiple low lying states that cannot properly be described as total $S$ states. This scenario is supported by the magnetisation versus field data carried out in the $2 - 7$ K temperature and $0.5 - 7.0$ T magnetic field ranges (Figure 3.13 (above) (8) and Figure 3.15 (11)).
For an isolated spin ground state one would expect a rapid initial increase in $M$, with saturation of the magnetisation achieved for relatively low fields. However for both 8 and 11 $M$ increases only slowly with $H$, indicative of the population of low lying levels with smaller magnetic moment, which only become depopulated with the application of larger fields. Our ability to make the analogous Zn$^{II}$ complexes will therefore prove important for future studies. Doping experiments in which we make highly diluted [Ni$^7$] clusters in [Zn$^7$] matrices and study their behaviour by both SQUID magnetometry and EPR spectroscopy are currently in progress and will reported later.
Conclusions

This work has reported the syntheses, structures and solid and solution state characterisation of a family of [Ni$_7$] and [Zn$_7$] planar hexagonal disc complexes. This family may also be described as *pseudo* metallocalix[6]arene complexes due to their double-bowl topologies which have shown to act as host cavities accommodating numerous guest solvent molecules. The magnetic behaviour of the Ni$^{II}$ discs is complicated by the presence of relatively strong intermolecular interactions and the weak intramolecular exchange and thus the isolation of the diamagnetic Zn$^{II}$ analogues and the examination of the diluted [Ni$_7$] discs promises to afford much useful information.

Further progress of the work will include the functionalisation of the ligands HL$_1$ and HL$_2$ at their upper rim positions (R group in Scheme 1) in order to a) preferentially attract specific guest species and b) increase their solubility towards future $^1$H NMR host-guest titration studies. Solid state NMR studies will also be investigated.

Experimental Section

Infra-red spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer FT-IR *Spectrum One* spectrometer equipped with a Universal ATR Sampling accessory (NUI Galway). UV-visible studies were carried out on a Cary 100 Scan (Varian) spectrophotometer.

Elemental analysis was carried out by Patrick McNaughton of the School of Chemistry microanalysis service at NUI Galway. Variable-temperature, solid-state direct current (dc) magnetic susceptibility data down to 1.8 K were collected on a Quantum Design MPMS-XL SQUID magnetometer equipped with a 7 T dc magnet. Diamagnetic corrections were applied to the observed paramagnetic susceptibilities using Pascal’s constants.
Syntheses

All reactions were performed under aerobic conditions and all reagents and solvents were used as purchased.

**Synthesis of \([\text{Ni}_7(\mu_3-\text{OH})_6(\text{L}_1)_6](\text{NO}_3)_2\) (8)**

Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$.6H$_2$O (0.25 g, 0.85 mmol), HL$_1$ (0.14 g, 0.85 mmol) and NaOH (0.034 g, 0.85 mmol) were dissolved in 30 cm$^3$ EtOH and stirred for 4 h. The resultant green solution was then filtered and allowed to stand. X-ray quality crystals of 1 were obtained in 30% yield upon slow evaporation. Elemental analysis calculated (%) for C$_{54}$H$_{66}$N$_8$O$_{24}$Ni$_7$ (1): C, 39.98; H, 4.10; N, 6.91; Found: C, 40.44; H, 4.91; N, 7.02. FT-IR (cm$^{-1}$): 3415 (w), 2968 (w), 2932 (w), 1627 (s), 1602 (w), 1559 (w), 1459 (m), 1406 (w), 1315 (s), 1221 (s), 1171 (w), 1148 (w), 1072 (m), 1044 (w), 1018 (w), 963 (m), 864 (m), 828 (w), 793 (m), 743 (s).

**[Ni$_7$(OH)$_6$(L$_1$)$_6$](NO$_3$)$_2$.2MeOH (9)**

Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$.6H$_2$O (0.25 g, 0.86 mmol), HL$_1$ (0.145 g, 0.86 mmol) and NaOH (0.04 g, 1.00 mmol) were dissolved in 30 cm$^3$ MeOH and stirred for 3 h. The resultant green solution was then filtered and allowed to stand. X-ray quality hexagonal crystals of 2 were obtained in 40% yield upon slow evaporation of the mother liquor. Elemental analysis on 'wet' sample of 2 calculated (%) for C$_{56}$H$_{74}$N$_8$O$_{26}$Ni$_7$ (2.2MeOH): C, 39.95; H, 4.10; N, 6.90; Found: C, 40.44; H, 4.91; N, 7.02. Elemental analysis on vacuum dried sample of 2 calculated (%) as C$_{54}$H$_{66}$N$_8$O$_{24}$Ni$_7$ (2): C, 39.99; H, 4.10; N, 6.91; Found: C, 39.63; H, 4.37; N, 6.15. FT-IR (cm$^{-1}$): 3402 (b), 2932 (w), 2817 (w), 1627 (s), 1603 (w), 1561 (w), 1459 (m), 1436(m), 1407 (w), 1338(m), 1314 (s), 1240(w), 1222 (s), 1169 (w), 1147 (w), 1073 (m), 1042 (w), 1018 (w), 965 (m), 864 (m), 828 (w), 789 (m), 741 (s). UV/vis (MeOH): $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ [nm] ($\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$ 10$^3$ dm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$): 205 (84.6), 231 (108.5), 268 (46.3), 356 (21.4). (MeCN): $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ [nm] ($\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$ 10$^3$ dm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$): 230 (110.2), 268 (42.8), 356 (15.8).

**[Ni$_7$(OH)$_6$(L$_2$)$_6$](NO$_3$)$_2$.3MeNO$_2$ (10)**

The reaction mixture obtained from 1 was filtered and the filtrate left to evaporate to dryness. The resultant green solid was then redissolved in 10 cm$^3$ MeNO$_2$ whereby green hexagonal crystals of 3 were obtained in 10% yield upon slow Et$_2$O diffusion. Elemental analysis calculated (%) for C$_{57}$H$_{75}$N$_{11}$O$_{30}$Ni$_7$ (3.3MeNO$_2$): C, 37.93; H, 4.19; N, 8.54; Found: C, 38.31; H, 4.59; N, 8.29. FT-IR (cm$^{-1}$): 3625(w), 2931(w), 1628(s),
[\text{Ni}_7(\mu_3-\text{OH})_6(L_2)_6](\text{NO}_3)_2.2\text{MeCN} \quad \text{(11)}

\text{Ni(NO}_3)_2.6\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \text{(0.25 g, 0.85 mmol)}, \quad \text{HL}_2 \quad \text{(0.21 g, 0.85 mmol)} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{NaOH} \quad \text{(0.034 g, 0.85 mmol)} \quad \text{were dissolved in 30 cm}^3 \text{EtOH and stirred for 4 h. The resultant green precipitous solution was then filtered and evaporated to dryness. The green solid was then redissolved in MeCN and from which crystals of 4 were obtained upon Et}_2\text{O diffusion in 23% yield.}

\text{Elemental analysis calculated (\%)} \quad \text{for C}_{58}\text{H}_{66}\text{N}_{10}\text{O}_{24}\text{Br}_{6}\text{Ni}_7 \quad \text{(4.2MeCN): C, 31.99; H, 3.06; N, 6.43; Found: C, 31.38; H, 3.34; N, 6.64. FT-IR (cm}^{-1})): \quad 3620\text{(w)}, \quad 3261\text{(wb)}, \quad 2927\text{(w)}, \quad 2256\text{(w)}, \quad 1629\text{(s)}, \quad 1592\text{(w)}, \quad 1543\text{(w)}, \quad 1457\text{(m)}, \quad 1457\text{(m)}, \quad 1393\text{(m)}, \quad 1352\text{(m)}, \quad 1236\text{(m)}, \quad 1212\text{(m)}, \quad 1150\text{(w)}, \quad 1093\text{(w)}, \quad 1040\text{(w)}, \quad 1018\text{(w)}, \quad 968\text{(w)}, \quad 956\text{(w)}, \quad 857\text{(w)}, \quad 842\text{(w)}, \quad 827\text{(w)}, \quad 790\text{(w)}, \quad 754\text{(w)}, \quad 713\text{(w)}, \quad 690\text{(w)}.}

[\text{Zn}_7(\text{OH})_6(L_1)_6](\text{NO}_3)_2.2\text{MeOH.1H}_2\text{O} \quad \text{(12)}

\text{Zn(NO}_3)_2.6\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \text{(0.25 g, 0.85 mmol)}, \quad \text{HL}_1 \quad \text{(0.14 g, 0.85 mmol)} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{NaOH} \quad \text{(0.034 g, 0.85 mmol)} \quad \text{were dissolved in 30 cm}^3 \text{MeOH and stirred for 2 h. The resultant yellow solution was then filtered and X-ray quality crystals of 5 were obtained in 35\% upon slow evaporation. Elemental analysis calculated (\%)} \quad \text{for C}_{56}\text{H}_{74}\text{N}_8\text{O}_{26}\text{Zn}_7 \quad \text{(5): C, 38.81; H, 4.30; N, 6.47; Found: C, 38.87; H, 4.65; N, 6.61. FT-IR (cm}^{-1})): \quad 3406\text{ (b)}, \quad 2931\text{ (w)}, \quad 2823\text{(w)}, \quad 1636\text{(s)}, \quad 1602\text{(w)}, \quad 1561\text{(w)}, \quad 1459\text{(m)}, \quad 1408\text{ (w)}, \quad 1368\text{(m)}, \quad 1330\text{(m)}, \quad 1308\text{(s)}, \quad 1221\text{(s)}, \quad 1173\text{(w)}, \quad 1148\text{(w)}, \quad 1093\text{(m)}, \quad 1077\text{(w)}, \quad 1013\text{(w)}, \quad 967\text{(m)}, \quad 860\text{(m)}, \quad 828\text{(w)}, \quad 793\text{(m)}, \quad 743\text{(s)}. \quad \text{UV/vis (MeOH):} \quad \lambda_{\text{max}} \quad \text{[nm]} \quad (\epsilon_{\text{max}} \quad 10^3 \quad \text{dm}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}): \quad 202\text{ (108.3),} \quad 226.9\text{ (146.4),} \quad 267\text{(71.1),} \quad 350\text{(27.9).} \quad \text{(MeCN):} \quad \lambda_{\text{max}} \quad \text{[nm]} \quad (\epsilon_{\text{max}} \quad 10^3 \quad \text{dm}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}): \quad 227\text{(242.6),} \quad 267\text{(103.6),} \quad 350\text{(44.0)}.}

[\text{Zn}_7(\mu_3-\text{OH})_6(L_1)_6](\text{NO}_3)_2.3\text{MeNO}_2 \quad \text{(13)}

\text{Zn(NO}_3)_2.6\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \text{(0.25 g, 0.85 mmol)}, \quad \text{HL}_1 \quad \text{(0.14 g, 0.85 mmol)} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{NaOH} \quad \text{(0.034 g, 0.85 mmol)} \quad \text{were dissolved in 30 cm}^3 \text{EtOH and stirred for 3 h. The resultant yellow solution was then filtered and evaporated to dryness. The resultant solid}
was then redissolved in MeNO₂ from which X-ray quality crystals of 6 were obtained in ~ 10%. Elemental analysis calculated (%) for C₅₇H₇₅N₁₁O₃₀Zn₇ (6): C, 36.97; H, 4.08; N, 8.32; Found: C, 37.02; H, 4.17; N, 8.44. FT-IR (cm⁻¹): 3418 (w), 2967 (w), 2930 (w), 1625 (s), 1601 (w), 1561 (w), 1459 (m), 1405 (w), 1319 (s), 1220 (s), 1168 (w), 1149 (w), 1072 (m), 1041 (w), 1016 (w), 960 (m), 860 (m), 829 (w), 789 (m), 743 (s).

References


15. L. J. Barbour, MCAVITY: Program for calculating the molecular volume of closed capsules, University of Missouri-Columbia, Columbia, MO, USA, 2003, http://www.x-seed.net/cavity.html. The free volume within the cavities of 1-4 was calculated in the absence of guests.


Chapter 4: Polymetallic clusters of manganese with N,N Bis(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine (heedH₂)

Introduction

The specific design and synthesis of tetranuclear Mn clusters, although of interest from a spin frustration perspective, has mainly been pursued in order to make models for the complex responsible for the oxidation of water in the oxygen evolving centre (OEC) of Photosystem II (PSII) in green plants and cyanobacteria. Recent crystallographic and polarised EXAFS studies on the PSII reaction centre of Thermosynechococcus elongatus confirm the cluster to be an oxide-bridged [Mn₄Ca] complex with (mainly) peripheral carboxylate ligation.

The most common [Mn₄] complexes in the literature possess [Mn₄O₂] ‘butterfly’ cores which are best described as consisting of two fused or vertex-sharing [Mn₃(µ₃-O)] triangles (Figure 4.1). Less common is the [Mn₄O₄] cubane topology where the Mn centres occupy the four alternate corners of a cube (Fig. 4.1); and less common still are those with a linear zig-zag arrangement which may take either a cis or (much rarer) trans conformation (Figure 4.2).

For some years the Brechin group have been building SMMs with tripodal alcohol ligands of general formula R-C(CH₂OH)₃ (where R = H, CH₃, Et etc) and their many derivatives (Scheme 4.1). A natural extension to these studies is the investigation of the coordination chemistry of analogous organic molecules in which one (or more) of the alcohol ‘arms’ is replaced by an alternative functional group(s), for example an amine group. While each alkoxide arm has the potential to bridge up to three metals (and thus a maximum of seven metals per tripodal ligand), the -NH₂ ‘arm’ is likely, if bonded, to act solely as a monodentate/terminal capping unit and should give rise to a number of related, yet different, structural topologies. We herein report our initial investigations into the reactivity of N,N-Bis(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylenediamine (heedH₂); a relatively unexplored ligand whose coordination chemistry is thus far restricted to the
dimeric complexes \([\text{Cu}_2(\text{heedH})_2](\text{PF}_6)_2\)^9 and \([\text{Zn}_2(\mu_2-\text{O})(\text{heedH}_2)](\text{BF}_4)_2\).^{10}

Figure 4.1: Schematic representation of PSII (top) and the common tetranuclear \([\text{Mn}_4\text{O}_2]\) ‘butterfly’ (i); \([\text{M}_4\text{O}_4]\) cubane (ii) and linear trans zig-zag (iii) topologies.
Reaction of Mn$^{II}$X$_2$ salts (X = Br, Cl, ClO$_4$) in the presence of the tripodal ligand heedH$_2$ in suitable solvents (MeOH, EtOH or MeCN) has produced the family of tetranuclear mixed valent Mn complexes: [Mn$^{II}_2$Mn$^{IV}_2$O$_2$(heed)$_2$(EtOH)$_6$Br$_2$]Br$_2$ (14), [Mn$^{II}_2$Mn$^{IV}_2$O$_2$(heed)$_2$(H$_2$O)$_2$Cl$_4$] (15),
\[\text{[Mn}^{II}\text{Mn}^{IV}\text{O}_2(\text{heed})_2(\text{heedH}_2)_2]\text{(ClO}_4\text{)}_4 \] (16),
\[\text{[Mn}^{II}\text{Mn}^{IV}\text{O}_2(\text{heed})_2(\text{MeCN})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\text{bpy})_2]\text{(ClO}_4\text{)}_4 \] (17),
\[\text{[Mn}^{II}\text{Mn}^{IV}\text{O}_2(\text{heed})_2(\text{bpy})_2\text{Br}_4\text{]} \] (18); and the 2-D network of tetranuclear Mn\text{II/IV} clusters \{[\text{Mn}^{II}\text{Mn}^{IV}\text{O}_2(\text{heed})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\text{MeOH})_2(\text{dca})_2\text{Br}_2\text{]}_n \} (19). This family represents only the third example of Mn complexes exhibiting the linear zig-zag \textit{trans} topology first seen in the compounds \[\text{[Mn}^{IV}\text{O}_6(\text{bipy})_6]\text{(ClO}_4\text{)}_4 \] and \[\text{[Mn}^{II}\text{Mn}^{IV}(\text{H}_2\text{L}3)_2(\text{OAc})_2(\text{py})_5\text{]} \] (where H\text{2L}3 = 2-hydroxy-1,3-bis[3-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-3-oxopropionyl]benzene) and are the first to exhibit a \[\text{[Mn}^{II}\text{Mn}^{IV}\text{]}\] mixed-valent charge distribution. 14-19 are isostructural, comprising identical \{Mn\text{O}_6\} cores and differ only in their terminal ligation at the peripheral Mn\text{II} sites. For this reason we will restrict our discussion to complex 14, highlighting any differences. Crystallographic data are collected in Table 1 with a complete list of bond lengths and angles in the Appendix.

Figure 4.3. Molecular structure of \[\text{[Mn}_4\text{O}_2(\text{heed})_2(\text{EtOH})_6\text{Br}_2\text{]}\text{Br}_2 \] (14, top). H-atoms and Br\textsuperscript{-} counter anions omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å): Mn1-O1 = 1.816(2), Mn1 – N11 = 2.089(2), Mn1-N231 = 2.053(2), Mn2-Br1 = 2.5716(5), Mn2-O331 = 2.189(2), Mn2-O431 = 2.142(2). The packing of the layers in 14 demonstrating the brickwall arrangement of the [Mn\text{IV}4] clusters (bottom). Colour code: Mn = purple, O = red, N = blue, C = grey, Br = yellow.
Table 4.1 Crystallography data obtained for 14-19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15-2EtOH·H₂O</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18·2MeOH</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>formula</td>
<td>C₂₁H₅₀N₈O₁₀Br₄Mn₄</td>
<td>C₁₉H₄₆N₄O₉Cl₂Mn₂</td>
<td>C₂₇H₅₈N₁₄O₂₀Cl₄Mn₄</td>
<td>C₁₆H₄₄N₈O₁₀Cl₄Mn₄</td>
<td>C₂₅H₅₂N₈O₈Br₄Mn₄</td>
<td>C₁₉H₃₈N₈O₈Br₄Mn₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fw (g mol⁻¹)</td>
<td>1140.12</td>
<td>1112.48</td>
<td>1238.30</td>
<td>1431.46</td>
<td>1240.16</td>
<td>500.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal System</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>Trigonal</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>space group</td>
<td>P 1 21/c 1</td>
<td>R-3</td>
<td>P 1 21/c 1</td>
<td>P -1</td>
<td>P -1</td>
<td>P 1 21/c 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
<td>13.6721(3)</td>
<td>23.5608(3)</td>
<td>11.1558(10)</td>
<td>9.8751(3)</td>
<td>9.1916(3)</td>
<td>14.6719(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (Å)</td>
<td>9.1130(2)</td>
<td>23.5608 (3)</td>
<td>10.9531(10)</td>
<td>13.9986(4)</td>
<td>9.4296(3)</td>
<td>10.8780(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
<td>17.2367(4)</td>
<td>15.5896(6)</td>
<td>18.8477(10)</td>
<td>14.1558(4)</td>
<td>14.1811(5)</td>
<td>14.9952(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α (deg)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>63.722(2)</td>
<td>103.468(2)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β (deg)</td>
<td>99.485</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>100.282(10)</td>
<td>73.229(2)</td>
<td>90.430(2)</td>
<td>113.4910(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ (deg)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>78.714(2)</td>
<td>111.896(2)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V (Å³)</td>
<td>2118.23(8)</td>
<td>7494.6(3)</td>
<td>2266.0(3)</td>
<td>1674.73(9)</td>
<td>1103.01(7)</td>
<td>2194.90(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ (g cm⁻³)</td>
<td>1.787</td>
<td>1.497</td>
<td>1.815</td>
<td>1.361</td>
<td>1.867</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T (K)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ (Å)</td>
<td>0.7103</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ (mm⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.991</td>
<td>1.854</td>
<td>1.422</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td>4.798</td>
<td>3.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meas/indep (Robs)</td>
<td>25247/5196(0.043)</td>
<td>33942/4268 (0.025)</td>
<td>55249/ 13087(0.039)</td>
<td>21077/ 9231(0.043)</td>
<td>24353/6465(0.036)</td>
<td>26611/5814(0.033)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsd reflns [ I&gt;4σ (I) ]</td>
<td>3655</td>
<td>3261</td>
<td>11011</td>
<td>6997</td>
<td>5134</td>
<td>4369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>0.0308</td>
<td>0.0378</td>
<td>0.0460</td>
<td>0.0701</td>
<td>0.0317</td>
<td>0.0483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wR²</td>
<td>0.0699</td>
<td>0.1062</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>0.1755</td>
<td>0.0800</td>
<td>0.1389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOF on F²</td>
<td>0.7442</td>
<td>0.9935</td>
<td>0.9051</td>
<td>0.9925</td>
<td>0.9266</td>
<td>0.9159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δρmax,min, e Å⁻³</td>
<td>1.23-0.91</td>
<td>1.04-0.53</td>
<td>1.46-1.54</td>
<td>1.22-0.94</td>
<td>1.05-0.93</td>
<td>3.27-0.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.2 Bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for 14-19.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mn1-O1</td>
<td>Mn1-N11</td>
<td>Mn1-O422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn1-N11</td>
<td>Mn1-O12</td>
<td>Mn1-O422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn1-N231</td>
<td>Mn1-O21</td>
<td>Mn1-O411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn1-O431</td>
<td>Mn1-O41</td>
<td>Mn1-O421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn1-O51</td>
<td>Mn1-O12</td>
<td>Mn1-N421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn2-O13</td>
<td>Mn2-O41</td>
<td>Mn2-O1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn2-O14</td>
<td>Mn2-O61</td>
<td>Mn2-O1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn2-O12</td>
<td>Mn2-O13</td>
<td>Mn2-O422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn2-B1</td>
<td>Mn2-C14</td>
<td>Mn2-O412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn2-O431</td>
<td>Mn2-O15</td>
<td>Mn2-N12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn2-C331</td>
<td>1.877(18)</td>
<td>Mn2-N32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn1-O1-Mn1</td>
<td>56.18(8)</td>
<td>Mn2-O61-Mn1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn1-O431-Mn1</td>
<td>102.79(8)</td>
<td>Mn2-O41-Mn1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mn1-O9</td>
<td>Mn1-O23</td>
<td>Mn1-O13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn1-O6</td>
<td>Mn1-O27</td>
<td>Mn1-O6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn1-O29</td>
<td>Mn1-O21</td>
<td>Mn1-O39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn1-O29</td>
<td>Mn1-O27</td>
<td>Mn1-O13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn1-N5</td>
<td>Mn1-N17</td>
<td>Mn1-N3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn1-N12</td>
<td>Mn1-N26</td>
<td>Mn1-N12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn2-O28</td>
<td>Mn2-B13</td>
<td>Mn2-O14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn2-N14</td>
<td>Mn2-N44</td>
<td>Mn2-N16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn2-N24</td>
<td>Mn2-N10</td>
<td>Mn2-N23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn2-N25</td>
<td>Mn2-N12</td>
<td>Mn2-N18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn2-N25</td>
<td>Mn2-N12</td>
<td>Mn2-N18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn1-O29-Mn1</td>
<td>96.15(11)</td>
<td>Mn1-O27-Mn1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn2-O6-Mn1</td>
<td>102.93(12)</td>
<td>Mn2-O20-Mn1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn2-O9-Mn1</td>
<td>101.42(12)</td>
<td>Mn2-O23-Mn1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.3. Bond Valence Sum calculations for complexes 14-19.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Atom</th>
<th>Mn (II)</th>
<th>Mn (III)</th>
<th>Mn (IV)</th>
<th>Ox.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Mn1</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>(IV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mn2</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>(II)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Mn1</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>(IV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mn2</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>(II)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Mn1</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>(II)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mn2</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>(IV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Mn1</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>(IV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mn2</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>(II)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Mn1</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>(IV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mn2</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>(II)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Mn1</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>(IV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mn2</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>(II)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reaction of anhydrous MnBr₂ with heedH₂ in EtOH produces the complex \([\text{Mn}^{II}_2\text{Mn}^{IV}_2\text{O}_2(\text{heed})_2(\text{EtOH})_6\text{Br}_2]\) \(14\) which crystallises in the monoclinic space group \(P2_1/c\) (Table 1). The core of the complex consists of a linear zig-zag arrangement of four Mn ions (Fig. 4.3), with charge balance considerations, Analysis of the bond lengths and Bond Valence Sum (BVS) calculations (Tables 4.2 and 4.3) showing that the two outer Mn ions (Mn2 and s.e) are in the II⁺ oxidation state and the two central Mn ions (Mn1 and s.e) are in the IV⁺ oxidation state. The two central Mn⁴⁺ ions are linked via two symmetry equivalent \(\mu\)-O⁻² ions (O1) - an inversion centre lying at the midpoint between O1 and O1’ (Fig. 4.3). The tripodal heed²⁻ ligands are doubly deprotonated lying above and below the Mn1…Mn1’ plane, bonding terminally to the central Mn⁴⁺ centres through their N-atoms (Mn1-N11 = 2.089(2), Mn1-N231 = 2.053(2) Å), while the two alkoxide arms (O331, O431) bridge the central Mn²⁺ ions to the outer Mn⁴⁺ ions in a \(\eta^1:\eta^1:\mu\)-fashion. Each metal centre exhibits distorted octahedral geometry with the coordination spheres at the peripheral Mn²⁺ sites completed by terminal Br⁻ ions (Br1) and EtOH molecules. The resultant \([\text{Mn}^{II}_2\text{Mn}^{IV}_2\text{O}_2(\text{heed})_2(\text{EtOH})_6\text{Br}_2]\)²⁺ cation is charge balanced by the presence of two Br⁻ anions (Br2 and s.e) which “link” the [Mn₄] clusters through H-bonding to their –NH₂ protons (N231-H23···Br2 = 3.483 Å, N231-H4···Br2 [1-x, 0.5+y, 1.5-z] = 3.491 Å) and terminal EtOH ligands (O14-H28···Br2 [x, 1.5-y, -0.5+z] = 3.275 Å) to form layers running parallel to the \(bc\) plane (Figure 4.4).
Those layers are further bridged through two complementary H-bonds that involve the second EtOH molecule and the terminal Br$^-$ ions (O13-H24···Br1 [2-x, 2-y, 1-z] = 3.234 Å). The third EtOH molecule forms an intra-molecular H-bond with one µ-O$^{2-}$ ion. The (numerous) inter-molecular H-bonding interactions lead to the individual [Mn$_4$] clusters packing in the common brickwall formation in the crystal (Fig. 4.3).
The same reaction using MnCl$_2$·6H$_2$O produces the analogous complex $[\text{Mn}^{II}_2\text{Mn}^{IV}_2(\text{heed})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\text{Cl}_4] \cdot 2\text{EtOH} \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ (2·2EtOH·H$_2$O). 15 (Figure 4.5) crystallises in the rhombohedral R-3 space group and differs from 14 only in that
it contains four terminally bound Cl\(^-\) ions and two H\(_2\)O molecules on the peripheral Mn\(^{II}\) ions, instead of two halides and six solvent molecules. The result is a neutral complex. Numerous H-bonding interactions are observed between the discrete [Mn\(_4\)] molecules, primarily forged by the four terminal Cl\(^-\) ligands (Cl\(_3\) and Cl\(_4\)). Both interact with protons from two nearby heed\(^2\) (NH\(_2\)) protons (N21-H21\(\cdot\cdot\cdot\)Cl13 \([1/3+y, 2/3-x+y, 2/3-z]\) = 3.308, N21-H22\(\cdot\cdot\cdot\)Cl14 \([1/3-x+y, 2/3-x, -1/3+z]\) = 3.315 Å) on two neighbouring [Mn\(_4\)] units. A strong H-bond is also formed between the \(\mu\)-bridging O\(^{3-}\) ion (O12 and s.e) situated at the centre of the [Mn\(^{II}\)\(_2\)Mn\(^{IV}\)\(_2\)O\(_6\)] core and the terminal H\(_2\)O molecule of an adjacent cluster (O15-H15\(\cdot\cdot\cdot\)O12 \([1/3+x-y, -1/3+x, 2/3-z]\) = 2.601 Å), which in turn is also H-bonded to a neighbouring Cl\(^-\) ligand (O15-H16\(\cdot\cdot\cdot\)Cl14 \([1/3-x+y, 2/3-x, -1/3+z]\) = 3.140 Å).

In this arrangement each [Mn\(_4\)] unit is H-bonded to four other [Mn\(_4\)] units via 16 H-bonds (Figure 4.6) giving rise to a three-dimensional (3D) framework which adopts the sod topology\(^{13-15}\) (Fig. 4.7) with Schläfli symbol 4\(^2\).6\(^4\). Hexagonal channels with ca. 9Å diameter running along the c axis are formed within the 3D framework of 15 (Fig. 4.7).

Figure 4.6. The H-bonding around 15. Most hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity
Figure 4.7. R-3 packing motif in 15 shown down the c-axis. H atoms have been omitted for clarity and the \{MnO₄N₂\} polyhedra are shaded in purple (top). The sod network adopted by 15 (middle) and the ideal sod net (bottom).
Using Mn\(^{II}\)(ClO\(_4\))·6H\(_2\)O removes the availability of terminal ligands (i.e. Hal\(^-\)) and gives rise to the formation of the complex [Mn\(^{II}\)\(_2\)Mn\(^{IV}\)\(_2\)O\(_2\)(heed)\(_2\)(heedH\(_2\))\(_2\)](ClO\(_4\))\(_4\) (16, Figure 4.5). Although the \{Mn\(^{II}\)\(_2\)Mn\(^{IV}\)\(_2\)O\(_6\)\} core remains identical, the peripheral ligation is markedly different. The four heed\(^{2-}\) ligands (two crystallographically unique pairs) in 16 appear in two different levels of deprotonation, which leads to different bonding motifs. As in 14 and 15, two doubly deprotonated heed\(^{2-}\) ligands sit above and below the central Mn\(^{IV}\)···Mn\(^{IV}\) plane using their −N−CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\)O\(\bar{\text{O}}\) arms to bridge the outer Mn\(^{II}\) ions to the central Mn\(^{IV}\) centres. The second type remain neutral (heedH\(_2\)) and face-cap the outer Mn\(^{II}\) ions through N-atoms (N431 and N11) and their alcohol arms (O411 and O421) (Fig. 4). The [Mn\(^{II}\)\(_2\)Mn\(^{IV}\)\(_2\)O\(_2\)(heed)\(_2\)(heedH\(_2\))\(_2\)]\(^{4+}\) complex is charge balanced by four ClO\(_4\)\(^-\) counter ions (two symmetry related pairs) linked to the tetranuclear cluster via H-bonding interactions with the −OH and −NH\(_2\) protons on the capping heedH\(_2\) and bridging heed\(^{2-}\) arms of the tripodal ligands. This inter-molecular H-bonding between the clusters and the perchlorates in 16 creates a 2D layer running parallel to the \(ab\) plane. The layers are connected to the third dimension via weaker H-bonds that involve the perchlorate oxygen atoms and alkyl protons of heed\(^{2-}\) ligands on adjacent [Mn\(_4\)] moieties.

Introduction of the chelating ligand 2,2-bipyridine (bpy) to the general reaction scheme, expands this family further with the formation of the similar complexes [Mn\(^{II}\)\(_2\)Mn\(^{IV}\)\(_2\)O\(_2\)(bpy)\(_2\)(MeCN)\(_2\)(H\(_2\)O)\(_2\)](ClO\(_4\))\(_4\) (17) and [Mn\(^{II}\)\(_2\)Mn\(^{IV}\)\(_2\)O\(_2\)(bpy)\(_2\)Br\(_4\)] (18, Figure 4.9) which crystallize in the same crystal system and space group (see table 4.1).

The \{Mn\(^{II}\)\(_2\)Mn\(^{IV}\)\(_2\)O\(_6\)\} core remains intact in both cases and differ to their siblings only in the presence of two chelating 2,2-bpy ligands capping the ends of the [Mn\(_4\)] complexes at the Mn\(^{II}\) sites. The remaining coordinating sites at the Mn\(^{II}\) ions are occupied by terminal MeCN and H\(_2\)O ligands in 17, and four terminal Br\(^-\) ions in 18.
The clusters of 17 form H-bonded chains along the $a$ axis which involve one hydrogen atom of the terminal H$_2$O molecules, one $-\text{NH}_2$ proton of the heed$^2-$ ligand and one of the two crystallographically independent ClO$_4^-$ anions (O28-H282···O39 = 2.966 Å, N12-H122···O38 [1+x,y,z] = 3.027 Å) (Figure 4.8).

Figure 4.8. The H-bonded chain in 17 along the $a$ axis. Most hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

The second crystallographically independent ClO$_4^-$ anion is H-bonded to a [Mn$_4$] cluster via a single H-bond. These linear chains of 17 pack in parallel rows along the $b$ axis due to intermolecular $\pi$-$\pi$ stacking interactions ($\pi_{\text{centroid}}$-$\pi_{\text{centroid}}$ = 3.605 Å and 3.775 Å) between the chelating bpy ligands forming a 2D layer parallel to the $ab$ plane.
The $[\text{Mn}_4]$ clusters in 18 are also arranged in H-bonded chains running along the $b$ axis which involve the terminal Br$^-$ ions (Br3) and the hydrogen atoms of the –NH$_2$ groups of the heed$^{2-}$ ligands of adjacent $[\text{Mn}_4]$ clusters (N26-H261···Br3 = 3.567 Å, N26-H262···Br3 [-x,2-y,1-z] = 3.521 Å), forming $R_2^2(8)$ rings (Figure...
The MeOH solvent molecule of crystallisation in 18 hydrogen bonds to the other terminal Br⁻ ion (Br4) at a distance of 3.276 Å (O29-H291···Br4). Akin to 17, the [Mn₄] chains in 18 interact through π-π stacking interactions (π_{centroid}···π_{centroid} = 3.789 Å) to form a layer parallel to the bc plane. Weaker H-bonding interactions stabilise the packing of the molecules in the crystal. Those interactions involve the Br⁻ ions and both the aromatic bpy protons and the aliphatic protons of the alkyl arms of the heed²⁻ ligands on neighbouring [Mn₄] clusters.

Figure 4.10. The H-bonded chain in 18 along the b axis. Most hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

The presence of terminal halide ions and solvent molecules in complexes 14, 15 and 18 alerted us to the possibility of replacing these with (linear) connector ligands in order to introduce 1-, 2- or 3-dimensionality. The linking of paramagnetic clusters into such arrays may provide new and important insights into the transition from purely molecular magnetic properties to long range 1, 2 and 3-D bulk magnetic order. Furthermore, the ordering or templating of such paramagnetic systems may eventually form the basis for commercial fabrication of arrays of such magnetic materials. To this end reaction of anhydrous MnBr₂,
heedH₂ and sodium dicyanamide (Na(dca); NaN(CN)₂⁻) produces $[\{\text{Mn}^{II}_2\text{Mn}^{IV}_2\text{O}_2(\text{heed})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\text{MeOH})_2(\text{dca})_2\}_2\text{Br}_2]_n$ (19, Figures 4.11-4.13). The $[\text{Mn}^{II}_2\text{Mn}^{IV}_2\text{O}_6]$ core in 19 is isostructural to its siblings and coordination at the peripheral Mn II sites (Mn2) is completed by two H₂O and EtOH ligands and four dca⁻ ligands which occupy two equatorial positions at each Mn II centre (Figure 4.11).

Figure 4.11 Structure of the $[\text{Mn}^{II}_2\text{Mn}^{IV}_2\text{O}_2(\text{heed})_2(\text{EtOH})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\text{dca})_2\}_2\text{Br}_2$ building block in 19. Br⁻ ions and H-atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Figure 4.12 H-bonding interactions (dashed lines) linking the 2-D sheets in 19. Colour code: Mn = purple, O = red.
Figure 4.13 (a) Schematic illustrating (a) the common herring-bone 2-D topology built from 3-connector ‘T’ shaped nodes (inset). (b) The much rarer version of this topology comprising our \([\text{Mn}^{II}2\text{Mn}^{IV}2]\) building blocks (inset). (c) Crystal structure of the actual 2-D herring-bone topology observed in 19.
As observed in numerous dca-based 1, 2- and 3-D extended networks comprising monometallic nodes, each dca$$^-$ ligand then bridges to another $[\text{Mn}^{II}_2\text{Mn}^{IV}_2]$ cluster to form a 2-D sheet with a [4, 4] grid-like topology (Figure 4.13c). More specifically the 2-D network in 19 joins a small family of extended networks possessing a rare form of the 2-D herring bone topology (Fig. 4.13a). The more familiar 2-D herring bone motif comprises 3-connector nodes linked by linear spacer ligands (Fig. 4.13a), however we may best describe the connectivity in 19 by thinking of the $[\text{Mn}^{II}_2\text{Mn}^{IV}_2\text{O}_6]$ moieties as two fused ‘T’-shaped 3-connector nodes which link to give our 2-D extended network (Figure 4.13b and 4.13c).

The 2-D sheets in 19 lie in the $ab$ plane and propagate along the $c$ axis to form an angle of approximately 30° with the $ab$ plane, with respect to the cell origin. These planar sheets then stack in an off-set fashion along the $c$ axis. The Br$$^-$$ anions sit in between the 2-D sheets (Figure 4.12) and are “locked” there by three H-bonding interactions. Two H-bonds arise from the lower 2-D layer via a hydroxyl proton from a terminal MeOH ligand (O14-H142···Br24 [-x,1/2+y,1/2-z] = 3.250 Å) and a NH$_2$ proton from one amine arm of a heed$^2$ ligand (N12-N12-H122···Br24 [-x,1/2+y,1/2-z] = 3.570 Å). The third and final H-bond is formed via a NH$_2$ proton from a symmetrically equivalent heed$^2$ arm belonging to the upper 2-D sheet (N12-H121···Br24 = 3.621 Å). The second MeOH molecule forms an intra-molecular H-bond with one µ-O$$^{2-}$$ ion (O16-H162···O13 [-x,2-y,1-z] = 2.736 Å). Each $[\text{Mn}_4]$ is H-bonded to two Br$$^-$$ ions below the layer and to two Br$$^-$$ ions above the layer. Therefore, each $[\text{Mn}_4]$ connects to four other $[\text{Mn}_4]$ moieties via the Br$$^-$$ links. In this arrangement each $[\text{Mn}_4]$, which is connected to four other $[\text{Mn}_4]$ clusters through the dca$$^-$$ anions, is also attached to four other $[\text{Mn}_4]$ clusters via the H-bonded Br$$^-$$ links. Therefore, each $[\text{Mn}_4]$ cluster serves as an 8-connected node within the resultant framework which adopts the beu topology$^{14,15,22}$ with Schlaflsi symbol $4^{24}.6^4$ (Figure 4.14).
Figure 4.14. The 3D 8-connected bcu net adopted by 19. Solid lines represent the connections through the dca⁻ anions while dashed lines represent the H-bonded links.
Magnetic studies

Dc magnetic susceptibility studies were carried out on crystalline samples of 14-19 in the 300-4 K temperature range in an applied field of 0.1 T. The behaviour of 14-19 is essentially identical (within this temperature range) and so here we limit our discussion to complex 14. The room temperature value of $\chi_mT$ in 14 (Figure 4.15) is slightly lower (10.67 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ K) than the expected value of $\sim$12.5 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ K for four non-interacting Mn ions ($2 \times$ Mn$^{II}$, $2 \times$ Mn$^{IV}$), indicative of dominant antiferromagnetic exchange between the metal centres. $\chi_mT$ then slowly decreases with temperature before dropping more abruptly at approximately 125 K, reaching a value of 3.36 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ K at 4 K. Fitting of these data using the 2-$J$ model described in Scheme 1 and spin-Hamiltonian (1) afforded the parameters $S = 0$, $g = 1.98$, $J_1 = -1.50$ cm$^{-1}$ and $J_2 = -11.80$ cm$^{-1}$. The 1st ($S = 1$) and 2nd ($S = 2$) excited states lie just 0.32 and 1.01 cm$^{-1}$ above the $S = 0$ ground state, explaining the relatively large value of 3.36 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ K observed at 4 K.

$$\mathcal{H} = -2J_1(\hat{S}_1 \cdot \hat{S}_2 + \hat{S}_3 \cdot \hat{S}_4) - 2J_2(\hat{S}_2 \cdot \hat{S}_3) \quad (1)$$

$J_1 = -1.50$ cm$^{-1}$
$J_2 = -11.8$ cm$^{-1}$
$g = 1.98$

Figure 4.15 Plot of $\chi_mT$ vs. T obtained for 14 ($\Delta$) along with the best-fit (solid red line) affording the spin-Hamiltonian parameters $J_1 = -1.50$ cm$^{-1}$, $J_2 = -11.8$ cm$^{-1}$ and $g = 1.98$. 
Detailed field-dependent heat capacity experiments were conducted for 19 (Figure 4.16). The first striking feature is a $\lambda$-type anomaly observed at $T = 3$ K, having a relative height of $\sim 1.2 R$. Because its position and height are insensitive to the applied-field (Figure 4.17.a) and there is no counterpart in the magnetic susceptibility (Figure 4.15), we conclude this anomaly is of non-magnetic origin, and likely associated with a structural transition. At much lower temperatures, a second sharp peak occurring at $T_N = 0.72$ K reveals the onset of a phase transition, whose magnetic origin is proven by the fact that it is completely removed by applying fields of sufficient intensity (Fig. 4.17.a). One can notice though that it is hardly affected by the relatively large field $B_0 = 1$ T, suggesting the transition is to an antiferromagnetically ordered state. To get a deeper understanding of the magnetic ordering, we estimate the magnetic contribution $C_m$. Noting that fields higher than $\sim 5$ T are enough to shift any magnetic contribution well above 2 K (Fig. 4.17.a), we obtain $C_m$ by subtracting the experimental specific heat measured for $B_0 = 7$ T to the total zero-field specific heat $C$. The result is depicted in Fig. 4.17.b, together with the curve obtained similarly for $B_0 = 1$ T.
The long tail above $T_N$ reflects the presence of magnetic correlations, probably existing within the 2-D extended networks. At the lowest temperatures, the experimental specific heat displays a $T^2$-dependence, $C_m \propto AT^2$ with $A \approx 23$ mJ K$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$, suggesting the existence of 2-D spin waves with a linear dispersion relation. Finally, the estimate of the zero-field magnetic entropy content $\Delta S_m/R = \int C_m/(RT) dT$ provides a curve tending at the higher-$T$ to the value of $\sim 1.5\ R$. The latter is in fair agreement with the magnetic entropy expected, $R \ln(2S+1) = 1.61\ R$, provided the spin value is $S = 2$; in agreement with the dc susceptibility data that showed both $S = 1$ and $S = 2$ states within 1 cm$^{-1}$ of the $S = 0$ ground state.

Figure 4.17a and 4.17b (a): Plot of the experimental specific heat $C$ normalized to the gas constant $R$ in the indicated temperature and field ranges. (b) Low-temperature magnetic contribution $C_m$ to the specific heat. Solid line is the calculated contribution associated with spin-wave excitations.
Conclusions

Initial investigations into the coordination chemistry of the unexplored tripodal ligand N,N-Bis(2-hydroxyethyl)ethylene diamine (heedH2) has produced a series of mixed-valent MnII/IV complexes [MnII2MnIV2O2(heed)2(EtOH)6Br2]Br2 (14), [MnII2MnIV2O2(heed)2(H2O)2Cl4].2EtOH.H2O (15), [MnII2MnIV2O2(heed)2(heedH2)2](ClO4)4 (16), [MnII2MnIV2O2(heed)2(MeCN)2(H2O)2(bpy)2](ClO4)4 (17) and [MnII2MnIV2O2(heed)2(bpy)2Br4].2MeOH (18). Clusters 14-18 represent rare examples of tetranuclear [Mn4O6] complexes exhibiting the linear zig-zag (trans) topology. Replacement of the terminal ligands (Br-, Cl-) with the linear connector dicyanamide (dca−) anion results in the formation of the [4, 4] grid-like [{MnII2MnIV2O2(heed)2(H2O)2(EtOH)2(dca)2}]Br2)n (19). The structure in 19 comprises a rare form of the 2-D sheet-like ‘herring-bone’ topology made up of two fused T-shaped 3-connector units, as opposed to the more common T-shaped 3-connector moieties observed in standard 2-D herring-bone architectures.

Experimental Section

All manipulations were performed under aerobic conditions, using materials as received. CAUTION! Although no problems were encountered in this work, care should be taken when using the potentially explosive perchlorate anion.

[Mn4O2 (heed)2(EtOH)6Br2]Br2 (14)

Anhydrous MnBr2 (0.5 g, 2.3 mmol) and heedH2 (0.34 g, 2.3 mmol) were dissolved in 25 cm³ of EtOH and stirred for 1 h. The solution was then filtered and X-ray quality crystals of 14 were obtained upon slow Et2O diffusion into the mother liquor in ~42% yield. Elemental analysis (%) calculated for C24H64N4O12Br4Mn4: C 25.28, H 5.66, N 4.91; Found: 24.92, H 5.39, N 4.53.

[Mn4O2(heed)2(H2O)2(Cl)4] (15)

MnCl2·4H2O (0.4 g, 2 mmol) and heedH2 (0.29 g, 2 mmol) were dissolved in 25
cm$^3$ of EtOH and stirred for 1 h. X-ray quality crystals of 15 were obtained in good yield (35%) after slow evaporation of the mother liquor. Elemental analysis (%) calculated for C$_{12}$H$_{32}$N$_4$O$_8$Cl$_4$Mn$_4$: C 19.96, H 4.47, N 7.76; Found: C 19.20, H 4.90, N 7.35.

$[\text{Mn}_4\text{O}_2(\text{heed})_2(\text{heedH}_2)_2](\text{ClO}_4)_4$ (16)
Mn(ClO$_4$)$_2$.4H$_2$O (0.72 g, 2.8 mmol) and heedH$_2$ (0.4 g, 2.8 mmol) were dissolved in 25 cm$^3$ of MeCN and stirred for 1 h. Upon filtration and Et$_2$O diffusion, black crystals of 16 were formed in ~40% yield after 2 days. Elemental analysis (%) calculated for C$_{24}$H$_{60}$N$_8$O$_{26}$Cl$_4$Mn$_4$: C 23.28, H 4.88, N 9.05; Found: C 23.75, H 4.73, N 9.76.

$[\text{Mn}_4\text{O}_2(\text{heed})_2(\text{MeCN})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\text{bpy})_2](\text{ClO}_4)_4$ (17)
Mn(ClO$_4$)$_2$.6H$_2$O (0.35 g, 1.38 mmol), heedH$_2$ (0.2 g, 1.38 mmol) and 2,2-bipyridyl (0.215 g, 1.38 mmol) were dissolved in 25 cm$^3$ of MeCN and stirred for 1 h. The solution was then filtered and diffused slowly with Et$_2$O. After 3 days black crystals of 17 were obtained in ~40% yield. Elemental analysis (%) calculated for C$_{36}$H$_{54}$N$_{10}$O$_{26}$Cl$_4$Mn$_4$: C 31.51, H 3.97, N 4.21; Found: C 31.43, H 4.22, N 4.36.

Synthesis of $[\text{Mn}_4\text{O}_2(\text{heed})_2(\text{bpy})_2\text{Br}_4]$ (18)
Anhydrous MnBr$_2$ (0.30 g, 1.38 mmol), heedH$_2$ (0.20 g, 1.38 mmol) and 2,2-bipyridyl (0.215 g, 1.38 mmol) were dissolved in MeOH (25 cm$^3$) and stirred for 1 h. X-ray quantity crystals of 18 were obtained in good yield (~40%) after slow evaporation of the mother liquor. Elemental analysis (%) calculated for C$_{32}$H$_{44}$N$_{10}$O$_{6}$Br$_4$Mn$_4$: C 32.68, H 3.77, N 9.53; Found: C 33.11, H 3.91, N 9.87.

$[[\text{Mn}^{II}_2\text{Mn}^{IV}_2\text{O}_2(\text{heed})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2(\text{MeOH})_2(\text{dca})_2]\text{Br}_2]_n$ (19)
Anhydrous MnBr$_2$ (0.30 g, 1.38 mmol), heedH$_2$ (0.20 g, 1.38 mmol) and Na(dca) (0.13 g, 1.38 mmol) were dissolved in MeOH (25 cm$^3$) and stirred for 2 h. X-ray quality crystals of 19 were obtained upon slow evaporation of the mother liquor in ~42% yield after 3 days. Elemental analysis (%) calculated for
X-ray Crystallography

Diffraction data were collected at 150 K on a Bruker Smart Apex CCDC diffractometer, equipped with an Oxford Cryosystems LT device, using Mo radiation. The structures 14-19 were solved by direct methods (SIR92) and refined by full matrix least-squares against F^2 (CRYSTALS). See Table 4.1

(14): Hydrogen atoms attached to carbon were placed geometrically. All hydrogens on oxygen and nitrogen were located in a difference map. Hydrogens were refined subject to geometric contraints on bond lengths and angles before finally being contrained to ride on their host atoms.

(15): Disordered solvent was dealt with using Platon SQUEEZE and amounted to 2EtOH and 1H2O per formula unit (see CIF for full details).

(16): The data obtained from 16 were integrated as a twin with 2 domains. Structure solution and initial refinement were carried out using data from only domain 1. Refinement was then carried out using all data from both domains. Hydrogens attached to carbon were placed geometrically. Hydrogens on nitrogen were located in a difference map and refined subject to geometric restraints. The hydroxy hydrogen atoms were placed along H-bonding interactions as the difference map indicated they were disordered due to 2 possible H-bonding interactions. Hydrogens were placed along both iterations with occupancy of 0.5. The occupancy was not refined. All H-atoms were constrained to ride on their host atoms.

(17) and (18): The hydrogen atoms were all located in a difference map, but those attached to carbon atoms were repositioned geometrically. The H atoms were initially refined with soft restraints on the bond lengths and angles to regularise their geometry (C---H in the range 0.93--0.98, N---H in the range 0.86--0.89, N---H to 0.86, O---H = 0.82 \%A) and U_{iso}(H) (in the range 1.2-1.5 times U_{eq} of the parent atom), after which the positions were refined with riding constraints.

(19): The structure in 19 is disordered with two Mn coordination sites (Mn^{II} ions) being part occupied by MeOH and water, refined to give a total occupancy of one.
for each coordinating molecule. The central nitrogen on the dca ligand is also disordered and here the occupancy of the two atomic sites has been fixed at 0.5. There is also disordered solvent which has been dealt with using Platon SQUEEZE (see refinement details). Hydrogen atoms on the disordered groups were positioned geometrically. Other H-atoms were located in a difference map, and those attached to carbon atoms were repositioned geometrically. The H atoms were initially refined with soft restraints on the bond lengths and angles to regularise their geometry (C---H in the range 0.93--0.98, N---H in the range 0.86-0.89, N---H to 0.86 O---H = 0.82 ) and U~iso~(H) (in the range 1.2-1.5 times U_{eq} of the parent atom), after which the positions were refined with riding constraints.

Data collection parameters and structure solution and refinement details are listed in Table 1. Full details can be found in the CIF files provided in the supporting information and CCDC.
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