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"The problem of the Pastorals is the problem of their origin" (P.N. Harrison). For almost two centuries the debate over these letters has centered on the question of authorship: were they written by Paul or a pseudo-Paul? But are these the only alternatives? Or is the problem of the Pastorals more complex than the traditional "Paul or a pseudo-Paul" formulation would lead us to think?

The thesis of this study is that the interpretation of the Pastorals rests not so much upon questions of authorship as upon questions of composition. The letters do not read easily as the writings of any single author, be it Paul or someone else. The debate over authorship is suspected of being a struggle between equally false alternatives.

Chapter one examines the literary peculiarities of the Pastorals and concludes that in their present form they are not easily attributed either to Paul or to a clever imitator. The abrupt and sudden changes in subject matter and the lack of development of thought makes it hard to assign these works to the mind of an author.

The second chapter is a detailed review of Jewish and early Christian literature with special focus given over to their compositional histories. The survey reveals that no single author can be held responsible for much of this material; the literature is frequently of a composite nature; sources have been blended, traditions woven together. This, it is noted, is the literary environment out of which the Pastorals emerged.

Chapters three, four and five involve a close examination of the text of the Pastorals in their canonical order. It is found that various literary features betray the traditional and fixed character of much that lies within these letters. Editorial "seams" linking previously independent materials together are often visible, suggesting that the Pastorals are composite documents that have had a long and complicated literary history.

The final chapter summarizes our findings and examines various theories as to how such documents might have been produced. The hypothesis put forward here suggests that the Pastorals are based upon brief, but genuine, Pauline notes written to Timothy and Titus. The notes were preserved within the community's "archives" and later became the literary vehicle upon which other traditional materials sacred to the community were "loaded."

Two appendices are included; Appendix A examines some of the arguments put forward by scholars who argue that the Pastorals are the coherent compositions of an author. Appendix B is a form analysis of the Pastorals, designed as an aid to give a "visual summary" of the various literary elements that have been incorporated into the Pastorals.
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CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

The Pastorals claim to be personal letters from the apostle Paul to his two co-workers, Timothy and Titus, but the problem of the Pastorals, in a sentence, is that they do not seem to be what they claim to be.

They claim to be written by Paul, but in their diction, writing style, and theological emphases, they are hardly Pauline. Significant differences are acknowledged even by those who defend the Pauline authorship of these letters; C.F.D. Moule, for example, commenting on I Timothy 1:8, remarks:

it is astonishing that anyone could seriously attribute to Paul at any stage of his life the definition thereof offered of where the goodness of the law lies.

The Pastorals appear to be letters, but they only loosely follow the conventional epistolary format of the day; I Timothy, for instance, omits the customary final greetings. And the opening thanksgiving, which normally follows directly upon the salutation, does not appear at all in I Timothy or Titus.

The letters claim to be written to two of Paul's closest co-workers, but the language is formal and distant, lacking the intimacy that one would expect among friends of long standing. The admonitions

---


3 The lack of personal greetings is unusual in a personal letter and deserves close attention; J.L. White ("The New Testament Epistolary Literature in the Framework of Ancient Epistolography," Aufstieg und Niedergang, II.25.2: 1753) observes that "the closing greetings are one of the marks of a real letter...." Compare the final greetings in the letters of Philemon and III John.

4 I 1:12-17 differs considerably from the typical Pauline thanksgiving; it does not immediately follow the salutation, makes no reference to the addressee, and focuses upon Paul's own conversion. See the discussion ad loc. in ch. 3 below. See also, M. Wolter, Die Pastoralbriefe als Paulustradition (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1988) pp.27ff.

5 Compare, for example, the formality of the salutation to Titus with that of Paul's letter to Philemon. J. Jeremias' suggestion (Die Briefe, pp.3-4) that I Timothy and Titus are "amtliche Schreiben" does not adequately explain this oddity; Paul's letter to Philemon also has an "official" character about it (the (Footnote Continued)
directed to Timothy and Titus do not seem to accord with the status of these two men as veterans within the Pauline circle. After years of faithful service under Paul's supervision, it is strange that Timothy would need to be cautioned to "flee the evil desires of youth" (II 2:22). And it is equally odd that at this stage in his ministry Timothy would require a letter giving basic instructions on how one ought to behave in the household of God (I 3:15). The general treatment of these two men is problematic throughout the Pastorals, given the special relationship that they had obviously enjoyed with Paul.

The Pastorals purport to be occasional letters, but what actually occasioned them is not easily determined. I Timothy appears to be written in response to a threat from false teachers (1:3), but this concern

---

(Footnote Continued)

letter is addressed both to Philemon and to his "house-church") but the language is far less formal than that of the Pastorals.

The elementary nature of the "church-order" instructions is surprising even if they are regarded as intended for "church leaders" in general; see, for example, the instructions on how to relate to various age groups within the congregation, I 5:1f.

The treatment of Timothy and Titus in the Pastorals caused A. Jülicher (An Introduction to the New Testament, ET by J. Ward [London: Smith, Elder and Co., 1904] p.186) to conclude that the Pauline authorship of these letters is "psychologically inconceivable." C.F.D. Moule ("The Problem of the Pastoral Epistles," 440) regards the treatment of Timothy in the Pastorals to be the greatest single difficulty facing those who seek to maintain the authenticity of these letters.
soon gives way to matters that appear far less urgent and mundane by comparison (cf. 2:1ff, the exhortation to make prayers for all men, kings etc.). The remarks at 3:15 suggest an altogether different motive behind the letter: to set right the conduct of the worshipping community. But the instructions given are hardly sufficient for such a task, and once again the letter trails off into other unrelated matters (cf. 4:1ff, concerns about the last days). The almost miscellaneous nature of the letter makes it difficult to determine what specific occasion (if any) gave rise to it. The epistolary motives behind II Timothy and Titus are equally difficult to specify.

The apparent discrepancies within the Pastorals are not easily explained on the assumption that these letters are authentic. Almost two centuries ago, F.D.E. Schleiermacher noted the literary oddities within I Timothy and concluded that the letter was nothing more than the patchwork of an imitator (a zusammentragenden Nachahmer). Schleiermacher's assessment was soon followed by J.G. Eichhorn's rejection in 1812 of all

---

three Pastorals. Detailed studies by F.C. Baur (1835), H.J. Holtzmann (1880), M. Dibelius (1913) and P.N. Harrison (1921) succeeded in convincing most scholars that the Pastorals are not authentic.

Defenders of Pauline authorship have consistently maintained that the literary peculiarities of these letters can be adequately accounted for by the special circumstances that surrounded their origin, among the most important of which are: a) Paul's advancing age (which influenced his thinking), b) Paul's long stay in the West (which affected his use of language), c) the

---


new concerns addressed in the Pastorals (which required a different vocabulary), and d) the employment of a new amanuensis (which altered the writing style).  

But such arguments have failed to convince most NT critics who believe the discrepancies within the Pastorals to be much more serious and pervasive than the traditional approach allows. The language, writing style, theology, literary character, and ecclesiastical concerns of these letters are all regarded as cumulative witnesses to the fact that the Pastorals are not Pauline, but stem from the hand of a pseudonymous author, who may (or may not) have had in his possession some genuine Pauline fragments.  

---

13 On the function and literary influence of an amanuensis, see especially, O. Roller, Das Formular der paulinischen Briefe, (Stuttgart: Kohlhammer, 1933) pp.20ff; Roller argues that Paul did not dictate the Pastorals but gave notes to an amanuensis who was responsible for the actual writing of these letters. W. Kümmel (Introduction to the New Testament, ET by H.C. Kee [London: S.C.M., 1973] pp.251, 373-4) rejects this view, noting that the frequent breaks in the language of the Pauline letters suggests the practice of dictation.  

14 E.F. Scott (The Pastoral Epistles [London: Hodder and Stoughton, 1936] p.xxi), for example, thinks that "at almost every point [the pseudonymous author] has misunderstood Paul....We cannot but feel that the mind at work in these Epistles is different, in its whole bent and outlook, from that of Paul."  

15 The "fragments hypothesis" advocated as early as 1836 by K.A. Credner, and with great effect by P.N. Harrison (The Problem of the Pastorals), is not really a mediating position in this debate since it also assumes that a pseudonymous author created a fictional letter (into which he placed genuine Pauline fragments). Cf. Dibelius-Conzelmann (The Pastoral Epistles (Footnote Continued)
This position (in its various forms) has become so well entrenched that contemporary scholarship often takes the pseudonymous authorship of these documents for granted. J.T. Sanders, for example, in his work, *Ethics in the New Testament*, reflects much current practice in his approach to these letters:

That Colossians, Ephesians, II Thessalonians, I and II Timothy, Titus and I Peter are pseudonymous and imitate Paul's style and thought is not to be debated here but rather accepted as an assured result of critical historical scholarship.

A similar starting point is taken by most contemporary students of the Pastorals. The acceptance of these letters as the product of a skilled pseudonymous author is increasingly being regarded as the *sine qua non* of Pastoral studies.

(Footnote Continued)


17 So, M. Wolter (Die Pastoralbriefe, p.11), for example, begins his study by stating: "Die Formulierung des Titels läßt erkennen, daß die folgende Untersuchung von der Voraussetzung ausgeht, daß die Pastoralbriefe nicht von Paulus geschrieben wurden, sondern aus einer späteren Zeit stammen, in der das Apostolische nur noch als Tradition präsent war." L. Donelson (Pseudepigraphy and Ethical Argument in the Pastoral Letters [Tübingen: J.C.B. Mohr (Paul Siebeck), 1986], pp.1-2) refers with approval to the "waning pressure to re-argue the question of authorship" and "the growing confidence that the author was not Paul."
But such a starting point is not easily defended. For although these letters contain anomalies that make it difficult to ascribe them to Paul, they present equally serious difficulties to the interpreter who presumes their pseudepigraphical character. Donelson surely overstates his case and undervalues the problems inherent in it when, in a chapter on the pseudepigraphical letter, he concludes that

the Pastorals conform beautifully to the pseudepigraphical letter genre. They hold no surprises in either form or function.

This sort of blanket endorsement of the Pastorals as pseudepigraphical suggests that the task of ascribing these letters to a pseudonymous author is virtually trouble free. But such is not the case.

Considerable evidence suggests that early Christian circles did not knowingly endorse pseudepigraphical writings as authoritative. Basic literary and

\[\text{Footnote Continued}\]
historical questions were addressed by the early church; Tertullian informs us, for example, that the authenticity of the book of Enoch was disputed by some who found it difficult to believe that the book (if written by Enoch) could have survived the great flood. Origen had doubts about the authenticity of Hebrews on the grounds of its peculiar literary style:

the verbal style of the epistle 'to the Hebrews' is not rude, like the language of the apostle [Paul].... The thoughts are those of the apostle, but the diction and phraseology are those of some one who remembered the apostolic teachings, and wrote down at his leisure what had been said by this teacher.

The Petrine authorship of II Peter was disputed, according to Jerome, by some who took account of the differences in style between this letter and I Peter.

That pseudepigraphical writings were not knowingly accepted by the early church is also indicated by

(Footnote Continued)

Tertullian responds to this early form of historical criticism by suggesting that Noah probably heard the teaching of Enoch from Methuselah and passed it on to posterity. See Tertullian, De Cultu fem., 1.3., as cited by B.M. Metzger, "Literary Forgeries and Canonical Pseudepigrapha," JBL, 91 (1972) 15.

Cited by Eusebius, Ecclesiastical History, 6.25.11-14 (Loeb edition).

Jerome, Lives of Illustrious Men 1, as cited by M. Rist, "Pseudepigraphy," p.87.
Tertullian's report of the censure and removal of the presbyter in Asia who was convicted of composing the Acts of Paul.\footnote{Tertullian's report of the censure and removal of the presbyter in Asia who was convicted of composing the Acts of Paul.23} Tertullian plainly rejects this document on theological grounds,\footnote{Tertullian opposed those who, pointing to Thecla as an example, maintained the right of women to teach and to baptize (De baptismo, 17).} but his report also indicates a certain disdain for documents that "falsely bear the name of Paul." The number of pseudepigrapha that failed to achieve recognition because of their dubious literary or theological character underscores the church's sensitivity on this point.\footnote{The Muratori Canon refers to the rejection of the epistles to the Laodiceans and the Alexandrians, "forged in Paul's name for the sect of Marcion, and several others, which cannot be received in the catholic Church; for it will not do to mix gall with honey" (lines 63-67).}

There is no convincing evidence to prove that pseudonymous authors aimed at versimilitude; but we do know, as J.S. Candlish has noted, that no known pseudepigraphical writing was every accepted as authoritative by the early church.\footnote{J.S. Candlish, "On the Moral Character of Pseudonymous Books," The Expositor, ser.4. (1891) p.103.} And if (as seems likely) pseudepigraphy was regarded as a "dishonorable device"\footnote{So L. Donelson, Pseudepigraphy, p.16: "We are}
within the early Christian community, it is reasonable to suppose that an author writing pseudapostolic letters would employ every device to ensure that his composition appeared authentic. He would naturally be concerned to provide a convincing *Sitz im Leben* for the letters, and would be cautious about deviating too widely from the epistolary style of the one in whose name he wrote.

Judging by such criteria, however, the Pastorals do not read easily as pseudonymous documents. They pose serious difficulties, in fact, to the interpreter who decides to treat them as pseudepigraphical writings.  

To promote the appearance of genuineness, a pseudonymous author might be expected to build upon well-known traditions. To a certain extent the Pastorals do reflect earlier Pauline traditions; for example, Paul's persecutions in Antioch, Iconium and

(Footnote Continued)
forced to admit that in Christian circles pseudonymity was considered a dishonorable device and, if discovered, the document was rejected and the author, if known, was excoriated."

28 See, especially, the objections made by F. Torm: *Die Psychologie der Pseudonymität.* Torm finds the theory of pseudonymity psychologically inconceivable: "Es scheint mir, daß diese Auffassung der Briefe, sie seien ein mit ethischen Zwecken und mit raffinierter Berechnung erdichtetes Drama in drei Akten, uns eine Verfasserpersönlichkeit vorführt, die psychologisch nicht faßbar ist. Ein so sonderbarer Mensch hat niemals gelebt" (p.52). Torm's objections have weight and have not received adequate attention.

29 The pseudepigraphical epistle to the Laodiceans, for example, builds upon the reference in Col. 4:16 to a letter from Laodicea.
Lystra are described in II Timothy 3:11, and specific reference to a number of his known companions is made (see II Tim. 4:1ff). But it is nonetheless remarkable that the Pastorals consistently refer to persons and events otherwise unknown within the NT; the names of sixteen persons appear in these letters who are mentioned nowhere else in the NT. Nor is there any hint within early Christian literature that Timothy ever served as the leader of the community in Ephesus, or that Titus ever worked in Crete. As a fictional sphere of ministry, Spain would seem the more sensible and compelling choice of a pseudonymous author, especially since Paul mentions it as his intended field of work (Rom.15:24).

And Demas, a man acknowledged and praised elsewhere in the Pauline corpus (Phlm.24; cf. Col.4:14), is portrayed by the author of the Pastorals as a forsaker who has abandoned the apostle and the Christian ministry.

30 These include the following: Hymenaeus (I 1:20; II 2:17); Alexander (I 1:20; II 4:14); Lois and Eunice (II 1:5); Phygellus and Hermogenes (II 1:15) Onesiphorus (II 1:16; 4:19), Philetus (II 2:17), Crescens, Carpus, Eubulus, Pudens, Linus, Claudia (II 4:10, 13, 21), Artemus and Zenas (Tit. 3:12ff).

31 It is possible, of course, that a pseudonymous author could have been relying upon traditions that knew that Paul did not visit Spain.
for the love of this present age" (II 4:14). Such disparaging remarks about a known disciple could raise questions that would not be in the interests of a pseudonymous author. The unfavorable picture of Timothy as young and inexperienced, needing to learn the basic principles of Christian service, seems equally difficult to ascribe to a pseudonymous author. The problem becomes even more pronounced if, as most commentators believe, the author of the Pastorals possessed an in-depth knowledge of Paul's other letters (and the book of Acts?). With the models of

32 It is not impossible that the Demas referred to here is a different one from that of Philemon 24 and Col. 4:14, but later tradition (see Epiphanius, Haer. 51) regards him both as Paul's colleague, and an apostate from the Christian faith.

33 See, for example, II 2:3, 7, 14, 22. T. Zahn (Introduction to the New Testament [Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1909] II: pp.88f) thinks it "inconceivable" that a pseudonymous author would paint such a portrait of Timothy.

34 P.N. Harrison (The Problem, p.8), for example, argues that "...the real author of the Pastorals was a devout, sincere, and earnest Paulinist....He knew and had studied deeply every one of our ten Paulines." Roland Schwarz (Bürgerliches Christentum im Neuen Testament? [Klosterneuburg: Österreichisches Katholisches Bibelwerk, 1983] p.26) builds his study on this assumption: "Der Autor der Schreiben [the Pastorals] ist höchstwahrscheinlich ein unmittelbarer Pauluschüler...." See also the extensive tabulations of Pauline-like phrases in the Pastorals: P.N. Harrison, The Problem, pp.167-175; A.E. Barnett's Paul Becomes a Literary Influence (Chicago: University Press, 1941) pp.251-277; A. Schlatter, Die Kirche der Griechen, p.15.

ten Pauline letters before him, and the concern to make his pseudepigraphic writings appear authentic, it is hard to understand why a pseudonymous author would not take more care in conforming the epistolary format of the Pastorals to that of the other Paulines. Why not include a conventional "thanksgiving" in I Timothy and Titus? Why omit the customary greetings at the end of I Timothy? Why deviate from the customary Pauline letter form by addressing the letters to individuals rather than to communities? Conforming the Pastorals to the style of the other Paulines would contribute, one would think, to the verisimilitude needed in a pseudonymous undertaking of this kind.

But the peculiarity of the Pastorals extends beyond their external forms. The internal organization of the letters has no parallel within the Pauline corpus; community rules, domestic codes, and non-parenctic

(Footnote Continued)

36 The personal nature of the Pastorals seems to have made their acceptance as authoritative community documents more difficult. The Muratorian Canon hints at this when it describes the Pastorals as "[written] out of goodwill and love, and yet held sacred to the glory of the catholic Church for the ordering of ecclesiastical discipline" (II.60-63, emphasis added).

37 See the analysis of the Pauline letter form by W. Doty, Contemporary New Testament Interpretation (Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1972) p.144. By comparing the internal organization of Paul's letters ("sequence analysis") Doty thinks a "more or less (Footnote Continued)
materials appear in odd juxtaposition. Unlike most other Pauline letters, the Pastorals have no specific parenetic section; instead, blocks of parenetic materials are scattered throughout the letters. Such differences, as W. Stenger has observed, "führt zu einem höchst bedeutsamen Unterschied zwischen den Pastoralbriefen, den echten Paulinen und den Deuteropaulinen." The Pastorals do not read like other pseudepigraphic letters; A.T. Hanson finds their lack of any central or developing theme a distinctive feature that has no parallel within pseudepigraphic circles; he regards them as sui generis.

On the assumption that the Pastorals are the product of a pseudonymous author, it is also difficult to explain their stark variations of pseudepigraphic

(Footnote Continued)
standard pattern" of a Pauline letter can be observed. Even if the specifics of Doty's "sequence analysis" are not accepted, it is hard to deny that the internal organization of the Pastorals is quite different from that of the other Paulines.


39 R. Karris ("The Function and Sitz im Leben of the Paraenetic Elements in the Pastoral Letters" [Ph.D. dissertation, Harvard: 1971] p.x) estimates that up to 75% of the Pastorals can be classified as parenesis.


41 A.T. Hanson, The Pastoral Epistles, p.27.
"dress." II Timothy is full of personalia and information specific to Paul, but I Timothy and Titus contain relatively little that serves to set the occasion of the letter or to add a personal touch. Consequently, II Timothy seems far more convincing as a personal letter. But if the Pastorals are all written by the same pseudonymous author, why the striking differences in pseudepigraphic style? Why do I Timothy and Titus lack the pseudepigraphic features that make II Timothy so compelling? Attempts to explain these differences in "dress" have been less than satisfactory; B.S. Easton, argues that the success of II Timothy and Titus (which, in his opinion, were published first) lessened the need for a convincing display of pseudepigraphic personalia in I Timothy. 42 But Easton can produce no evidence to support such a view. Equally unconvincing is A.T. Hanson's suggestion that "by the time the author came to write Titus he was beginning to run out of material." 43

---

42 Easton, The Pastoral Epistles, (London: SCM, 1948) p.19. "Finally in 1 Timothy the method was so well known that the pseudonymity is a bare convention; it is only in 1.3 (copied from Tit 1.5) that any attempt is made to put the situation back into the past."

43 A.T. Hanson, The Pastoral Epistles, p.47.
Summary:

From these preliminary observations, it is clear that in their present form the Pastorals are not easily attributed to the hand of any single author, be it Paul or a pseudo-Paul.

The arguments against the Pauline authorship of these letters do not need to be rehearsed here; they are well known and will be discussed at various points within this study. Suffice it to say that on literary, stylistic, historical, and linguistic grounds, the acceptance of the Pauline authorship of the Pastorals seems most untenable. This position gains support, we think, from the primary role that advocates of authenticity make the amanuensis play in the writing of these letters. ⁴⁴ Although the use of another secretary could account for some differences in the Pastorals' literary style vis à vis the other Pauline letters, it cannot adequately explain the basic changes in the thought and outlook that characterizes so much of the material within these letters. To attribute such differences to an amanuensis is to make him for all practical purposes the author of the documents.

But if the Pastorals are not easily ascribed to Paul, neither do they lend themselves readily to the

view that they are pseudepigraphic writings, composed by a sophisticated and skilled pseudonymous author. This is an aspect of the problem that has been too frequently overlooked by NT critics. It has been largely assumed that there is only one alternative to the theory of Pauline authorship, namely, that the Pastorals were written by a pseudo-Paul. But as we shall see, many of the same literary features that weigh against the Pauline authorship of these letters speak with equal force against theories that make them out to be crafted products of an imitator.

Perhaps the most telling of these is the peculiar lack of sustained argument and development of thought that characterizes these letters (see below); the juxtaposition of unrelated materials without logical connection raises fundamental questions about the composition of these letters that, in our thinking, are not resolvable on any theory of authorship, be it Paul or a pseudo-Paul. The differences in Pauline epistolary style and the stark variations among the three letters in their supposed use of pseudepigraphic devices (personal notices, greetings, etc.) raise further difficulties that must be more carefully examined.

But if we are right in suspecting that the Pastorals were written neither by Paul nor by a clever pseudonymous author, then the problem of their origin remains, and poses even more complicated hermeneutical questions to the interpreter. The complexity of the
issue, though frequently minimized by advocates on both sides of the authenticity debate, has long been recognized; over one hundred years ago, W.M.L. de Wette concluded his study of the Pastorals with the rather despairing refrain (repeated three times) that in their present form the letters are "weder geschichtlich noch exegetisch zu begreifen".\textsuperscript{45} A. von Harnack, likewise, noting the seriousness of the textual difficulties, suggested that the origin of the Pastorals is both an unresolved and an unresolvable puzzle.\textsuperscript{46} These assessments are sobering reminders of the massive historical and exegetical questions that confront any interpreter of these letters, and caution us against accepting facile solutions to the problems encountered here.

In order to understand the extent of the problems that surround the Pastorals, the text of these letters must be allowed to speak, as it were, on their own behalf. The letters are characterized by certain


literary features that can tell us much about their origin. It is to these features that we now turn.47

The diversity of literary forms:

One of the Pastorals' most striking features is their remarkable array of diverse (and apparently "fixed") literary forms. The fact that many of these formal materials have no apparent connection to their immediate context is puzzling and raises questions as to their origin and composition. Perhaps even more important for understanding these letters is the fact that many of these fixed elements appear within other Jewish and early Christian documents.

Even a casual survey of the Pastorals reveals the formal character of much contained therein.48 Among

47 In the pages that follow, a preliminary report of the writer's findings is presented. The central portion of the thesis (see chapters 3-5, below) is designed to substantiate in detail the general observations presented here.

48 M. Dibelius was instrumental in bringing the form-critical approach to bear on the study of the Pastorals; M. Dibelius, Die Briefe des Apostels Paulus an Timotheus I, II, an Titus (Tübingen: J.C.B. Mohr [Paul Siebeck], 1913) pp.136-139. More recently, see, A.T. Hanson's brief study of the Pastorals' "Technique of composition" in his commentary (The Pastoral Epistles., pp.42-47). Hanson finds nine distinct types of material that have been incorporated into the Pastorals.
other elements to be noted later in this study, the 
Pastorals include:

liturgical/credal/hymnic formulae
guidelines for selecting leaders
household rules
virtue/vice lists
polemical sayings
proverbial citations
apocalyptic warnings
admonitions
midrashic expositions
doxologies.

The arrangement of the materials

The presence of such literary diversity within 
personal letters is peculiar. But it is the loose 
arrangement of these materials that, more than anything 
else, presents the exegete of the Pastorals with major 
hermeneutical problems.

Throughout the Pastorals topics are addressed and 
left off, only to reappear later for no apparent reason; 
the instructions about community leaders in 1 Timothy 
3:1ff, for example, is picked up again in 5:17; the 
admonition regarding riches in 1 Timothy 6:9ff resumes 
unexpectedly two paragraphs later in 6:17. Paragraphs, 
and even sentences, are frequently strung together 
without any logical link, sometimes appearing like a 
disorganized collage; it is not easy to fit the concern 
of 1 Timothy 5:1f ("do not rebuke an elder") into its 
surrounding context; the unit just appears, without 
warning, and without apparent motive. The same is true, 
of the puzzling admonition at 1 Timothy 5:23 ("no longer 
drink only water").
The direction of thought in these letters is frequently broken by unexpected shifts in subject matter: see, for instance, the "plainly erratic boulders"[49] that appear at II 1:15-18 and 3:10-12. The letters lack any clear development of thought, sustained argument, or even unifying theme, and consequently defy any attempt at an orderly outline. This lack of order and cohesiveness is not confined to a small parenetic section of the letters (where one might expect it) but permeates the documents as a whole.

The content:

Another notable feature of the Pastorals is their unusually high concentration of parenetic materials;[50] Robert Karris has estimated that up to 75% of the Pastorals can be categorized as parenesis.[51] The letters are permeated with clusters of proverbial sayings,


ethical admonitions, and moral injunctions that in many respects show no specifically Christian features. 52

Personal remarks appear sporadically throughout I and II Timothy but seldom in a way that ties them closely to their immediate context. 53 The letter to Titus is devoid of any personal material except for the opening to the letter (1:1-5) and the conclusion (3:12-15).

The polemical passages are remarkable both for their intensity and their ambiguity. Reprobate members, denounced with a scathing vehemence, are the target of vilification throughout the letters. Yet the polemic itself seems fragmented and disjointed, presenting no clear picture of who these opponents are. They have been variously identified as 1) Jewish Christians; 54 2) Gnostics; 55 and Marcionites, 56 but the evidence permits no certainty. In fact, it is not even clear

52 See, for example, the discussion below at I 4:6-10; II 2:22-26; Tit. 2:1-10.

53 See, for example, I 1:20; 3:14-15; II 2:10-11.


55 So, for example, B.S. Easton, The Pastoral Epistles, pp.1-7.

56 The theory that the Pastorals were written in response to the Marcionite heresy was advocated by the

(Footnote Continued)
whether one or several heresies are targeted in these polemical passages.  

Fixed Expressions and Traditional Materials

The appearance of numerous fixed expressions and formulaic sayings is another striking feature of the Pastorals. While the most obvious of these is the "faithful word" formula, πιστὸς ὁ λόγος, other fixed phrases include,

οὖς δὲ ὅτι (1:8);
ἐὰς τοῦτο ὅτι (I:1:9; II 3:1);
ταῦτα ὑποτιθέμενος (...παράγγελε,
...δόθακε...μελέτα... ὑπομίμησεκ... λάληι... παρακάλει... φεῦγε; cf. I 4:6,
4:11, 6:11; II 2:14; Tit. 2:15);
σὺ δὲ (I 6:11; II 2:1, 3:10, 3:14, 4:5; Tit. 2:1);
ταῦτα φεῦγε, δίωκε δὲ (I 6:11; II 2:22);
διαμαρτύρομαι ἐνώπιον τοῦ θεοῦ (I 5:21; II
2:14, 4:1).

(Footnote Continued)


57 R. Karris, "The Background and Significance of the Polemic in the Pastorals," JBL 92 (1973) 549-64.

58 This formula occurs five times throughout the Pastorals: see I 1:15, 3:1a, 4:9; II 2:11; Tit. 3:8. On the critical questions see G.W. Knight, The Faithful Sayings in the Pastoral Letters (Grand Rapids, Mich.: Baker, 1979 [1968]) pp.4-30. See also the study by W. Nauck, Die Herkunft des Verfassers der Pastoralbriefe (Th.D.: Göttingen, 1950) pp.45-52.
The repetition of these and other fixed expressions in the Pastorals is puzzling, and raises questions regarding their literary function within the letters. Sometimes they appear to serve as mere connecting tools, linking sentences and paragraphs together. But frequently they occur at the beginning or the end of passages that read like set, probably pre-formed, pieces; in these cases, the fixed expressions act as "markers", signalling the inclusion of traditional pieces within the text.

The "faithful word" formula in the Pastorals, for instance, seems to be functioning in this way. The clearest example occurs at II Timothy 2:11 where the formula is immediately followed by a rhythmical (perhaps hymnic?) fragment of five lines., including two balanced couplets. The phrase πιστῶς ὁ λόγος sometimes appears at the beginning (I 1:15; II 2:11, and I 4:9?) and sometimes at the end (Tit.3:8 and perhaps I 3:1a) of such traditional material.

To take another example, the term ὁμολογομένως occurs only in the NT at I Timothy 3:16, where its

---

59 So A.T. Hanson, The Pastoral Epistles, pp.46-7.
60 The recognition that this formula marks the presence of pre-formed traditions goes back to at least 1852; see, W. Conybeare and J. Howson, The Life and Epistles of Paul (London: Longmann, Green, 1852) p.752n.
precise meaning is difficult to determine. It occurs, however, three times in IV Maccabees (6:31; 7:16; 16:1), each time introducing the set expression: "... devout reason is sovereign over the emotions." In I 3:16, it seems to be functioning in a similar way, introducing a fixed credal (hymnic?) fragment that, with its three rhythmically equal couplets, has long been suspected of having a pre-Pauline origin.

That the Pastorals contain some pre-formed materials has been widely recognized, both by those who affirm as well as those who deny their Pauline authorship. A. Seeberg, for instance, argued in 1903 that the Pastorals contain several fixed catechetical-like units, including a credal statement (II 2:8), an apocalyptic expression (II 4:1), and a hymn (I 3:16). Since then an increasing number of scholars have recognized the traditional character of these letters. J. Jeremias suggests that these letters "in großer Zahl festgeprägte Sprüche und Formeln anführen" and gives as examples, I Timothy 1:15, 2:5, 3:16, 6:13; II Timothy 2:8.

61 Alfred Seeberg, in Der Katechismus der Urchristenheit (Munich: Kaiser, 1966 [1903]) pp.112-13, discusses the problems involved in translating this term. The options he suggests are: "entsprechend groß," "bekenntnismäßig groß," "anerkanntermaßen groß".


63 J. Jeremias, Die Briefe, p.5.
But the extent to which these letters may incorporate pre-formed traditions has not, to my knowledge, ever been thoroughly examined. E.E. Ellis, who upholds the Pauline authorship of these letters, has recently written a remarkable article in which he argues that traditional materials make up at least 41% of I Timothy, 16% of II Timothy, and 46% of Titus.64

Although we cannot follow Ellis in his conclusions regarding the authenticity of the Pastorals, his insights into the formal characteristics of much of the material within the Pastorals corroborate, in significant ways, our own analysis of this material.

The Problem of literary origin

The problem of the Pastorals is compounded by the fact that these letters show points of contact with all sorts of literature, both Jewish and pagan. This accounts for the remarkably diverse conclusions that have been drawn regarding the origins of these documents. W. Nauck, for example, observing the similarities that exist between the Pastorals and certain Jewish literature, concludes that the author of the Pastorals must

64E.E. Ellis, "Traditions in the Pastoral Epistles," Early Jewish and Christian Exegesis: Studies in Memory of William Hugh Brownlee, ed. by Craig Evans and W. Stinespring (Atlanta: Scholars Press, 1987) pp. 237-253. Ellis acknowledges that his work is only introductory, and suggests that "a more intensive study could ...identify a number of other passages that consist of or rest upon pre-formed material" (248).
have been a converted Jew, rabbinically trained, and conversant from childhood with hellenistic thinking and lifestyle. S.G. Wilson compares various linguistic features within the Pastorals to those of Luke/Acts and finds sufficient evidence to argue for the Lucan authorship of the Pastorals.

On the grounds of the similarities between the Pastorals and Polycarp's letter to the Philippians, Hans von Campenhausen suggests that Polycarp might be responsible for the production of these letters.

L. Donelson evaluates the Pastorals in the light of various Greco-Roman writings, and concludes that the author was probably a Greek convert, skilled in Greco-Roman rhetoric, who wrote within literary circles.

---

65 W. Nauck, Die Herkunft; see especially his conclusions, pp. 103ff.


much closer to Athens than Jerusalem.\textsuperscript{68} B. Fiore compares the Pastorals to the pseudonymous Socratic letters, and concludes that both letter collections must have originated within similar groups and situations, and that the author of the Pastorals himself probably came from a Cynic background.\textsuperscript{69}

The sheer diversity of such opinion reflects the complexity of the problems posed by these letters, and cautions us against drawing hasty conclusions on the basis of literary parallels. As important as comparative analysis is (and it will play a vital role in this thesis), it is nonetheless a discipline that must always build upon, and not pre-empt, a close study of the text. This caution is especially important for the study of the Pastorals since these texts are characterized by compositional oddities and peculiar literary features.

Conclusions:

A close reading of the Pastorals suggests that these documents are not simple letters from Paul to Timothy and Titus. They contain all sorts of diverse

\textsuperscript{68} The extent to which Donelson holds to this view is evident from the almost complete neglect throughout his dissertation of extra-canonical Jewish literature. Only IV Macabbees receives any attention.
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literary materials such as household rules, creedal fragments, proverbial sayings, and liturgical pieces. The arrangement of these materials is without apparent order; they seem to be linked together only in the loosest sense; topics are picked up and abruptly left off, only to be returned to later; sudden shifts in subject matter abound. The letters are marked by numerous fixed expressions which often seem to function as "markers" of cited or pre-formed traditional materials.

Such literary oddities contribute to the massive hermeneutical problem posed by the Pastorals, and require some explanation if these letters are to make sense. A controlling assumption of the present thesis is that the problem of the Pastorals revolves not so much around questions of authorship as of composition. That is to say, the primary question to be asked of these documents is not, "Who wrote them?" but rather "Why do the letters read the way they do?"

This dissertation seeks above all else to answer that basic question. Many NT scholars have addressed the literary problems inherent in these letters. Their insights provide the foundation upon which much of this work is laid.

Yet, it is nonetheless true that the compositional history of these documents has received relatively little consideration. It is hoped that by focusing attention upon the oddities within the texts of the Pastorals, and upon the techniques which brought these
materials together, this study can contribute to a more adequate reading of these letters.

At the heart of this thesis is the belief that the Pastorals do not have a simple literary past; in their present form they are misread if understood as the product of one mind, be it that of Paul or a pseudo-Paul. The letters appear, rather, to be much more the work of a compiler than of an author. The texts of the Pastorals, it will be argued, bear all the marks of composite documents and, as such, must be read with an appreciation for their complex compositional history. To read the Pastorals in this way seems to accord best with both the internal evidence of the texts themselves, and with what we know of the surrounding literary environment.
CHAPTER TWO

RELIGIOUS WRITINGS AS COLLECTIONS

If we are right in thinking that the literary peculiarities of the Pastorals make it difficult to ascribe them either to Paul or to a pseudo-Paul, then the key to understanding these texts turns not so much upon the question of their authorship as upon the history of their redaction.

To pose the problem in this way is, of course, to enter a controversial arena within contemporary NT scholarship. Little agreement exists on the extent to which the NT epistles have been editorially re-worked;¹ the debate, in fact, reaches back to the very beginnings of modern NT historical-critical work when J.S. Semler

proposed that II Corinthians was composed of distinct Pauline fragments.\(^2\)

More recently, however, a widespread skepticism has taken root within NT scholarship against the view that the Pauline corpus has suffered from redactional alterations.\(^3\) Johannes Weiss lamented this development as long ago as 1910 when, in his commentary on I Corinthians, he wrote:

```
Da alle Zerlegungshypothesen von der heute herrschenden Stimmung mit dem größten Mißtrauen aufgenommen werden -- Jülicher nennt sie „abenteuerlich" --, so mögen die folgenden Erörterungen für jetzt wirkungslos bleiben und vielleicht erst in einer späteren Zeit diskutiert werden.\(^4\)
```

During the last fifty years scholarly opinion on the integrity of NT texts has moved considerably in the direction that Weiss had feared. The textual unity of

---

\(^2\)Semler's commentary on II Corinthians touched off a debate on the textual integrity of the Pauline corpus that continues to this day. See Gottfried Horning, Die Anfänge der historisch-kritischen Theologie: Johann Salomo Semlers Schrift-verständis und seine Stellung zu Luther (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Rupprecht, 1961).


the NT letters, in particular, is frequently taken for granted by contemporary NT scholars.\(^5\)

This is nowhere more apparent than in the study of the Pastorals. The literary integrity of these writings is seldom questioned by current scholars; most critics begin their work on the presumption that these letters were written by an individual author, at a specific time and place.

Such a starting point is not limited to those who think the Pastorals are genuine Pauline writings; scholars who regard the letters as essentially pseudonymous conduct their research on similar assumptions. The work of P.N. Harrison is a case in point; to his credit, Harrison recognizes the need to inquire into the literary origins of the Pastorals:

We speak of the 'author' in the singular. But whether these writings are all by the same author, whether they are each of them to be regarded as a unity or composite, and as the work of one mind or of more than one, may not be taken for granted, but is precisely one of the questions we have to investigate.\(^5\)

---

\(^5\)To mention only one example: David Aune never addresses the question of the integrity of the NT writings in his otherwise valuable book, *The New Testament and Its Literary Environment* (Philadelphia: Westminster Press, 1987). Except for II Corinthians, which he thinks may be a composite document consisting of between two and six separate compositions (cf. pp. 208ff.), Aune seems to assume the literary integrity of all other NT documents.

\(^6\)P.N. Harrison, *The Problem*, p.2.
But while affirming the legitimacy of such questions, Harrison never really investigates the possibility that the Pastorals may be something other than unified literary compositions. And although he argues that two of the letters (II Timothy and Titus) contain some genuine Pauline notes, thus implying that the Pastorals are in some sense the product of a redactor, he never examines the extent to which this redactional activity may have influenced the rest of the contents. His subsequent interpretation, consequently, remains governed by the assumption that the Pastorals are the work, not so much of a redactor, as of a creative author, writing "to meet the requirements of his own day."  

A.T. Hanson, likewise, tends to interpret the Pastorals as the composition of a single mind, even though he recognizes that the letters "are made up of a miscellaneous collection of material." The question of whether the title "author" or that of "editor" is more suitable to the one (or ones?) who compiled this material is one that Hanson, unfortunately, never considers.

---

7 Harrison revised his earlier findings (of five genuine notes) in later studies that appear in his book, Paulines and Pastorals (London: Villiers, 1964), pp.106-128. He divided the three notes as follows: 1) Tit.3:12-15; 2) II Tim. 4:9-15; 3) II Tim. 1:16-18, 3:10-11, 4:1, 2a, 5b-8, 16-19, 21b, 22a.


9 A.T. Hanson, The Pastoral Epistles, p.42.
These two critics typify the approach to the Pastorals followed by most current scholars; similarities among the three letters (in content and subject matter, literary style and vocabulary, shared grammatical peculiarities, etc.) are interpreted as evidence of common authorship. But the fact that two or more documents show close similarities in content, subject matter, vocabulary, etc., does not necessarily imply that they were written by the same author. Other equally plausible possibilities exist; it may mean, for example, as in the case of the Synoptic gospels, that various editors have been at work, drawing from the same storehouse of traditional materials. It may be that the Pastorals are the product of one author but, if so, this must be carefully demonstrated and not simply presumed on the basis of general literary parallels.

---


11 It is possible, as A.T. Hanson, E.E. Ellis and others argue, that the Pastorals are the product of a single author who made heavy use of traditional materials; the problem with this hypothesis, however, is that we would expect an author to link his miscellaneous materials together more smoothly, without the sort of abrupt transitions and logical discontinuities that Hanson admits characterizes these letters. The whole question of common authorship and continuity of thought within the Pastorals is treated more fully in Appendix A (below).
The problem is intensified by the fact that stylistic differences are also to be found among (and within!) the Pastorals. W. Lock, for example, finds II Timothy "more intricate in structure and often less clear in expression" than I Timothy or Titus. Such differences suggest that the stylistic witnesses within the Pastorals may not all be telling the same story. Nevertheless, students of the Pastorals have frequently accepted the verbal and stylistic similarities within these letters as proof of their common authorship and literary unity.

Such a starting point effectively eliminates the need to engage in any serious discussion of the compositional integrity of these documents. For if the Pastorals were originally written by one author in essentially the same form as we now possess them, then questions regarding their compositional history lose much, if not all, of their significance. As a result, the integrity of these letters has come to be regarded as a "given" upon which subsequent interpretation can be built.

---

12 W. Lock, *The Pastoral Epistles*, p.xxvii. Lock, however, thinks the differences may have been caused by "a difference of mood." J. Moffatt (Introduction, p.401) observes that I Tim. "is more discursive and miscellaneous than 2 Tim...."

13 So, for example, M. Wolter, *Die Pastoralbriefe*, p.17: "Die besondere Eigenart der Pastoralbriefe als Pseudepigrapha besteht nun gegenüber den anderen
But does the surviving literary evidence allow us to presume that we possess the Pastoral letters essentially in their original form? Or is there sufficient reason to suspect that these documents may have been editorially reworked in the course of their transcription?

In the concentrated study of the NT it is all too easy to treat these texts as though they were historically sui generis, somehow protected from the editorial vicissitudes that were so much a part of the literary world into which they were born. But the Pastorals were not transmitted within a literary vacuum. Like all other NT texts they were written and subsequently copied within literate religious circles that were responsible for the production, the preservation, and the transmission of an enormous mass of religious documents.

There is no reason to think that early Christian scribes treated the transmission of the Pastorals any differently from the way they treated other sacred and esteemed writings; for this reason, an examination of the editorial activity within these other writings can contribute much to our understanding of the likely scribal influences that were brought to bear upon the Pastorals themselves.

(Footnote Continued)

pseudepigraphischen Briefen des Neuen Testaments darin, daß wir es hier nicht nur mit einem einzelnen, sondern gleich mit drei fingierten Briefen aus der Feder eines einzigen Autors zu tun haben" (emphasis added).
The aim of the present chapter, then, is to provide a sketch of the wider literary context within which the Pastorals themselves were written and copied. Special attention in this survey is devoted to the literary integrity and the transcriptional histories of this literature. It will become clear from our investigation that the religious literature of Judaism and early Christianity share basic compositional features, the most important of which are presented below, and form the outline for the remainder of this chapter:

I. Substantial MS evidence suggests that most of the sacred literature of Judaism is composite, and has undergone extensive redaction during the course of transmission.

II. Texts were subjected to many forms of editorial re-working, the most notable of which are listed below:

A. Previously independent texts were sometimes "stitched" together, often without any indication that originally separate texts had been so joined.

B. Large blocks of pre-formed materials (including prayers, hymns, psalms, apocalyptic admonitions, etc.) were often incorporated into existing texts;

C. Brief proverbial sayings and short traditional pieces were frequently added by scribes to existing texts.

III. Similar editorial activity is apparent in the surviving MSS of "non-canonical" early Christian documents.

IV. The NT writings possess equally complex compositional histories.
I. The composite nature of sacred Jewish literature

It has become a canon of OT scholarship that the Hebrew scriptures underwent a long process of redactional development and growth; in every division of the OT (the Pentateuch, the Hexateuch, the historical writings, the prophetic books, the wisdom materials, apocalyptic writings, the psalms) editorial revision has occurred; traditional materials of diverse origins have been gathered and added to earlier writings. No one disputes that this vast library of Hebrew literature has been profoundly influenced by the work of compilers and redactors. Frequently this editorial work has resulted in alterations both in the form and in the contents of the original writings.

The LXX, likewise, testifies in a striking way to this ongoing process of textual growth and development; proverbial sayings, psalms, traditional stories, community "letters", etc. appear in the LXX that have no counterpart in the Hebrew Bible (see below). "New" materials have been added to "existing" texts, often with no indication that the original has been altered. Compiling, interpolating, appending, and pre-fixing --all of these editorial techniques are clearly visible

---

14See, for example, M.Noth A History of Pentateuchal Traditions (Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall, 1972)]. Noth emphasizes that the Hebrew Scriptures must be read as a growing complex of living traditions. See pp. 1, 3, 5, 54, et passim.
within the LXX, and were frequently and freely employed. Only by appreciating the redactional histories of these texts can a proper interpretation of their significance and meaning be attained.

The great body of "intertestamental" Jewish literature is also replete with composite writings of various kinds. Few documents within this circle of literature present uncomplicated textual histories; on the contrary, the pervasive work of scribal editors and redactors is clearly apparent throughout these writings. No literary genre was exempt from this activity; letters, poems, apocalypses, community manuals, wisdom writings, and the like, all show signs of having been subject to extensive editorial treatment.

II. An overview of the literature, showing various features of scribal redaction

The following literary survey builds upon the widely accepted findings of many scholars. The results, however, underscore a fact too often neglected by students of the NT: Jewish and early Christian communities regarded their sacred texts, not as copyrighted volumes, but as collections of living traditions; consequently, their scribes were not reluctant to weave previously independent materials together during transmission, believing that by this activity they were ensuring the preservation and wider circulation of the traditions they had been charged to maintain.
A. Previously independent documents have been "stitched" together.

It was not unusual for scribes to connect formerly independent documents together, often without giving any indication of the originally independent status of the texts so compiled.

The prophetic books of the OT provide clear examples of this. The book of Isaiah is a composite document consisting of various collections of prophetic oracles whose origins cannot be assigned to any one author and whose dates span several centuries (Isa. chs.1-39; 40-55; 56-66). The Hebrew text of Jeremiah, likewise, comprises a miscellany of traditional materials including various collections of prophetic oracles, the memoirs of Baruch (chs.26-35; 36-45), and a historical appendix (ch.52). As H. Cunliffe-Jones has correctly remarked:

The nearest analogy to the ordering of the material [in Jeremiah] is that of a library, in which the original collection had its own classification, but to which other collections of various sizes have been added, without their either conforming to the original classification or being given a new overall classification.

The LXX contains a number of traditional stories that have been "stitched" together during the course of translation (or transmission?). Two previously independent stories (Susannah, and Bel and the Dragon) have

been added, for example, to the Daniel material. These materials comprise some 174 verses which have no counterpart in the Hebrew Bible. According to G. Vermes and M. Goodman such additions

...appear to consist in an amalgam of different stories only loosely linked together, largely irrelevant to the story in Daniel, and probably complete before their insertion into the book. 16

Depending upon which MS one reads, the story of Susannah appears "stitched" to different contexts, none of which seems particularly suitable. In some MSS the story appears as a sort of preface to the book of Daniel (for example, in "Theodotion", the Old Latin, the Coptic, the Ethiopic, and the Arabic versions); in others it appears as the last chapter of the book (as in the LXX, the Vulgate, the Syro-Hexaplar).

The account of Bel and the Dragon shows a similar contextual fluidity: in "Theodotion" it follows immediately upon Daniel ch. 12, while in the LXX it appears after the story of Susanna. Its originally independent character is also attested by the fact that Daniel is introduced formally and fully to his readers in the Bel narrative (cf. vss.2ff. LXX), even though in its present context such information only duplicates what is said of Daniel elsewhere in the larger Daniel corpus.

Two complete letters addressed to Jews in Egypt have been connected at some stage of transmission to the beginning of II Maccabees (cf. 1:1-9; 1:10-2:18).

The book of I Enoch (Ethiopic) shows a similar redactional history. Evidence from Qumran indicates that the diverse materials presently contained within I Enoch were originally separate texts written at different times by different authors. In its present form the text comprises at least six distinct segments:

1. The book of the Watchers (1-36)
2. The book of Similitudes (37-71)
3. The book of Astronomical Writings (72-82)
4. The book of Dream Visions (83-90)
5. The book of Admonitions (the epistle of Enoch, 91-105).
6. Appendix from the book of Noah (106-107)

From MSS discovered at Qumran, it is clear that book 3 of I Enoch (the book of Astronomical Writings) existed independently in scrolls that contained no other section of the corpus.\(^{17}\)

The same can be said of the excerpt from the book of Noah that appears as an appendix at the end of Enoch (chs. 105-106); this addition is not included in the Qumran MSS of Enoch, but has been preserved separately in another Hebrew document found in cave I (IQ19).\(^{18}\)

---


\(^{18}\)On the evidence, see J.T. Milik, Ten Years of Discovery in the Wilderness of Judea (London: SCM, 1959) pp.33f.
Other evidence from Qumran indicates that books 2 and 3 of Enoch were not written on the same manuscripts as books 1 and 5; this could mean that some, if not all, of these sections originally circulated independently and were only later compiled into a larger collection of Enochic traditions.\(^1\)

The Syriac Apocalypse of Baruch (II Baruch) is likewise a fusion of pre-formed materials. In this case, a previously independent "letter" attributed to Baruch (chs. 78-86) appears to have been appended to the larger apocalypse.\(^2\)

The fusion of the Epistle of Jeremiah to I Baruch occurred much later in the textual history of Baruch, but shows how the process of compiling continued long into the Christian era. The epistle appears in the LXX as a separate book placed between Lamentations and Ezekiel.\(^3\)

\(^{1}\) See J.T. Milik, "Problèmes de la littérature Hénochique à las lumière des fragments araméens de Qumran," HTR 64 (1971) 333-378.

\(^{2}\) G. Sayler (Have the Promises Failed: A Literary Analysis of 2 Baruch [Chico, Calif.: Scholars Press, 1982] p.5) analyzes the disparate materials collected in II Baruch and notes that "Jewish authors of the Greco-Roman period frequently integrated a variety of sources and traditions into an individual document, with no attempt to harmonize different or even conflicting viewpoints." See also the still valuable treatment of the book by R.H. Charles (The Apocalypse of Baruch [London: Adam and Charles Black, 1896] p.23): "[Baruch] is a composite work put together about the close of the century, from at least five or six independent writings."

\(^{3}\) The epistle, as an independent text, has also (Footnote Continued)
But in some later Greek MSS it is appended without a break to the end of Baruch. And after the time of Jerome most texts show the epistle as ch. 6 of Baruch.

Complex literary histories also lay behind many of the Qumran writings. The Rule of the Community (1QS), for example, is clearly a composite work made up of various community source materials. There is good MS evidence to suggest that that the Rule was expanded during various stages of its transmission. Two originally separate documents, the Messianic Rule (IQSa) and the Blessings (IQSb), appear to have been added to the end of IQS at a later time.

The same sort of literary development is apparent in the Damascus Document. On the basis of breaks in the text, content, and vocabulary, A.M. Denis has identified two documents of diverse origin that have been incorporated within the present Damascus Document.

(Footnote Continued)


22 See the discussion in E. Schürer, The History of the Jewish People, III.1: 384. "The Community Rule belongs to a type of literature for which it is inappropriate to speak of a single author."


24 A. M. Denis, Les Thèmes de connaissance dans le Document de Damas (Louvain, 1967); See, also, his study entitled "Evolution de structures dans la secte de Qumran," in Aux Origines de l'Eglise, Recherches Bibliques VII, (Bruges 1964) 23-49. Denis argues that (Footnote Continued)
Murphy-O'Connor has argued a very similar thesis, suggesting that cols.2.14-6.1 was originally a missionary document. 25

Although the Mishnah is a much later document than those mentioned above, it seems appropriate to include it at the end of this brief survey since it illustrates the ongoing scribal tendency to gather together disparate materials into one document. Not only is the Mishnah a compilation of various sources, but each of the separate tractates it contains is composite too. Pirqe 'Aboth, for example, is a collection of sayings attributed to sixty or so rabbinic sages. 26 The earliest collection probably ended at 'Aboth 4:22. Chapter 5 contains a collection of numerical sayings (5:1-15) and other wise words which are not attributed to any rabbi or sage (except 5:20-23). Chapter 6 contains liturgical

25 J. Murphy-O'Connor, "An Essene Missionary Document? CD II,14-VI,1" Revue Biblique 77 (1970) 201. "Originally conceived as an instrument of conversion, CD II,14-VI,1 was later adapted to serve a different function by the addition of historical (I,1-II,1) and theological (II, 2-13) introductions. Moreover, within the missionary document in its present state various interpolations and glosses are to be detected."

materials which were probably not originally a part of the 'Aboth. 27

B. Blocks of pre-formed materials (including prayers, hymns, apocalyptic admonitions, farewell discourses, virtue/vice lists, etc.) were incorporated into existing texts.

Compilation within Jewish documents did not only involve the stitching together of previously independent documents. The insertion of pre-formed blocks of traditional materials into existing texts is also well attested throughout Jewish literature.

A previously independent prayer (the Prayer of Azariah) and a poem (the Song of the Three Young Men), have been added to the LXX text of Daniel (between 3:23 and 3:24). These two pre-formed units have been joined to each other (and to 3:23-24) by some brief narrative prose that functions to link them to the "received" religious text. The early use of these materials in a liturgical setting is likely since both the prayer and the hymn are found as separate Odes (8 and 9) in the appendix to the Psalms within the text of codex Alexandrinus.

The LXX text of Esther adds a variety of pre-formed materials that do not appear in the Hebrew Bible, including: two prayers (of Mordecai and Esther,

---

27 See Danby, The Mishnah, p.458, note 12. Danby regards ch.6 as "a very late gloss to the five chapters of Aboth."
biographical notes of Esther before the
king (15:1-16), two royal decrees (of Artaxerxes,
13:1-7; 16:1-24), an interpretation of a dream, a
conclusion, and a colophon (10:1-11:1). 28 It is unlikely that the translator of Esther composed any of these
additions himself; if he did, it is hard to understand
why he allowed various inconsistencies and contradic-
tions to remain between the additions and the main text
(cf. 11:3 and 12:1 against 2:21). 29

Various blocks of traditional elements have been
incorporated into I Baruch, including a prayer
(1:15-3:8) and two poems (3:9-4:4; 4:5-5:9) each of
which is probably the work of different authors. 30 At
some point the various materials were bound together
under the common theme of the Exile and the Return.

A sixteen verse psalm of thanksgiving has been added to the text of Sirach (following 51:12) in the
codex Vaticanus; the recurring refrain, "for his mercy

28These additions (about 107 verses in all) were
grouped together and placed at the end of Esther by
Jerome. They still appear in the KJV of the Bible (at
Esther 10:4-16:24), but modern translations have
relegated them to the Apocrypha.

29There is also reason to think that these
additions were not all inserted into the text at the
same time. See, E. Schürer, The History of the Jewish
People, III.2: pp. 719f.

30See C.A. Moore, Daniel, Esther and Jeremiah: The
Additions (Garden City, N.Y.: Doubleday & Co., 1977)
pp.256, 275.
endures forever," is reminiscent of that which recurs in Psalm 136.

The text of the Sibylline Oracles has been subject to frequent editorial interpolations sometimes involving large blocks of material.\(^\text{31}\) The group of \(\Psi\) MSS, for example, includes a long passage (cf. Sib.Or.2.56-148) that also appears in Pseudo-Phocylides (vss.5-79).\(^\text{32}\)

Codex Vaticanus incorporates an extensive parenetic section into the book of Tobit (4:7-18) that does not appear in the text of codex Sinaiticus. It is very unlikely that a scribe would intentionally omit such a passage; but it is equally hard to imagine how he could have overlooked such a lengthy text by mistake. It seems very probable that the traditional parenetic material was added to the text by a later scribe.

The writings of Qumran also reflect this scribal tendency to add blocks of traditional materials to existing texts. At some stage in the development of the Community Rule, a number of disparate elements were

\(^{31}\) Later Christian interpolations are obvious: see, for example, the teaching about the incarnation and career of Christ in 1.324-4001; in 2.238-51 an editor has added sayings about the coming of Christ in glory with his angels); see also, 2.311ff (on the intercession of the virgin), and 2.264 (a reference to presbyters and deacons).

\(^{32}\) See A.Kurfess, "Das Mahngedicht des sogenannten Phokylides im zweiten Buch der Oracula Sibyllina" ZNW 38 (1939) 171-81. See also the comments in P.W. van der Horst, The Sentences of Pseudo-Phocylides (Leiden: E.J. Brill, 1978), pp.84-85. It is worthy of note that the interpolation omits Ps.Phoc. vss. 70-75.
gathered together, including: an initiation liturgy (1:16-2:19), a processional order (2:19-25), a teaching of the two ways (3:13-4:26), and a hymn (10:9-11:22).

In one copy of the War scroll, 4Q491 (=4Q$m^a$), a hymn (of the archangel Michael?) appears that is not found in IQM (cf. 4Q491, II.8-18).$^{33}$

In the incomplete Psalm scroll at Qumran (11Q$Ps^a$) six "non-canonical" psalms have been added to the "canonical" collection.$^{34}$

C. Short traditional pieces have been inserted into "existing" documents

It is well-known that scribes often added short interpolations or glosses into existing texts. These additions were frequently motivated by the scribe's desire to complete an OT quotation, to explain an obscure phrase, or to fill out a well-known formula.

But an equally striking editorial feature of this literature is the frequent and sometimes sudden intrusion into the text of short sayings, often of a proverbial, liturgical or hymnic character.

---

$^{33}$So J.T. Milik, Ten Years of Discovery in the Wilderness of Judea, ET by J. Strugnell (London: S.C.M. 1958), p.39: "the work was variously expanded, especially in the hymnic sections."

The LXX offers numerous examples of how the sacred text could and did grow by the addition of small pre-formed traditional units. The LXX version of the Song of Moses, for example, adds a refrain not found in the MT:

Let the sons of God
worship him,
Rejoice, O nations,
with his people,
and let all the angels of God
magnify him.

The addition of small traditional sayings to existing texts is especially evident in the MS evidence that survives of the book of Sirach. Nearly 150 additional lines are added to Sirach in the MS group represented by Greek codices 55, 70, 106, 248, 253, and 254. The differences apparently reflect the early appearance of several Hebrew recensions, the later editions being enlarged to incorporate additional materials. 36

The earliest witnesses to the text of Sirach ch.11, for example, omit 11:15-16:

15) Wisdom, understanding, and knowledge of the law come from the Lord; affection and the ways of good works come from him.

16) Error and darkness were created with sinners; evil will grow old with those who take pride in malice.

35 See Deut.32:43 (LXX).

These two interpolations, and many others that appear within the text of Sirach, must be attributed to scribal activity during the course of transmission.\footnote{Sirach is filled with these obvious scribal additions: see, for example, Sir. 1:5, 7, 21; 3:19, 25; 10:21; 13:14; 16:15-16.}

Similar additions appear in the surviving MS witnesses of Pseudo-Phocylides.\footnote{For example, vss. 36, 116-7, 129, 144-6. See the commentary by P.W. van der Horst, The Sentences of Pseudo-Phocylides (Leiden: E.J.Brill, 1978).} Frequently, the scribal methods behind such interpolations can still be observed; v.36, for example, is a spurious sentence that seems to have been added and linked to v.35 by means of word association:\footnote{The verse is omitted by three important MSS: L, P, V.}

\begin{align*}
35) & \text{ἀγροὺ γείτονέντος ἄμοσχεο,} \\
& \text{μὴ δ' ἄρ' ὑπερβήσ.}
36) & \text{πάντων μέτρων ἄριστου, ὑπερβασία ἂν δ' ἄλεγειναι.}
\end{align*}

It seems likely that ὑπερβής in v.35 suggested to a scribe another proverbial saying (containing ὑπερβασία) which he then added to the text.

Other additions to Pseudo-Phocylides reveal similar scribal techniques. Vss. 116-117, for example, which
appear in only one MS (V), seem to have been inserted into their present context out of thematic considerations:

116) "Nobody knows what will be after tomorrow or after an hour."

117) "The death of mortals cannot be foreseen, and the future is uncertain."

The following verses (118-121) also treat various aspects of life's instability.

V.144 (only in MS V.) has apparently been added to the text to illustrate an aspect of the previous saying:

143) "Nip the evil in the bud and heal the wound."

144) "By a tiny spark a vast wood is set on fire."

We also see evidence in the Qumran MSS that very brief units, sometimes consisting of only a few words, were added by various editors of these documents. Referring to the apparent collaboration of scribes on the transcription of IQH, M. Martin observes:

In IQH we concluded [cf. I: p.62] that Scribe A finished his section, that Scribe B took up for some four lines and handed over then to Scribe C, and finally that, when Scribe C had finished his part and revised his own work and that of Scribe A, scribe B returned to the work and went over the work of both Scribes A and C. There is here enough evidence to warrant our speaking of a mutual collaboration and revision" (emphasis added).

---

Martin goes on to argue that the Qumran MS evidence suggests that scribes deliberately left lacunae in the MSS for later insertions which would be added by other scribes. Compilation, in his view, was a community project that sometimes involved MS additions (by various hands) of very small bits, as the document circulated throughout the scriptorium.

III. Non-canonical early Christian documents show similar marks of scribal editing and redaction.

The composite character of much Jewish literature is mirrored throughout ancient Christian writings. Few of these documents can be read as the product of a single author. Surviving MS evidence indicates that early Christian compilers were at work, collecting and combining traditional materials.

The Didache, for example, is a composite document that shows a complex literary history. Diverse blocks of pre-formed independent traditional materials can be discerned within this teaching manual. A version of

---

41 R.A. Kraft (The Apostolic Fathers, ed. by R. Grant, 6 vols. [New York: Thomas Nelson, 1965], vol.III, Barnabas and the Didache, pp.1-2, 64) regards the Didache as "evolved literature" and suggests that it is "as much the product of its sources as it is the work of any individual."

the "Two Ways" (1:1-6:2), for example, has at some stage been incorporated into the larger work. Also embedded within this document is a collection of three prayers (8:2-10:8, including the Lord's prayer), and several clusters of community instructions (on conduct toward church leaders, 11:3-12; on hospitality, 12:1-5, etc.).

The Epistle of Barnabas, likewise, exhibits certain marks of redaction; in Barn. 18:1, an editorial remark clearly reveals a literary seam: "Now let us pass on to another lesson (γνῶσιν) and teaching (διδαχήν)...." This remark is followed immediately by a version of the "Two Ways" (18:1-21:9) very similar to the one that appears in the Didache. Significantly, an ancient Latin version (L) of Barnabas only includes chs.1-17, omitting altogether the "Two Ways" material; such MS evidence supports the theory that the epistle once circulated in a much shortened form.

The different recensions of the Ignatian corpus provide considerable textual evidence for this process of editorial revision and expansion. Two facts emerge

---

43See the parallels to this material in the Qumran Community Rule (IQS 3:18) and Barnabas 18:1-21:9.

from the textual history of this corpus; 1) in the course of transmission, collections of letters could be enlarged by the "loading" of spurious letters onto the collection, and 2) genuine letters were sometimes subjected to massive scribal interpolation. The Ignatian recensions provide a unique opportunity to view something of the textual development and editorial processes of early Christian texts.

There is reason to doubt the literary integrity of Polycarp's letter to the Philippians. Commentators have suspected that this letter (as transmitted) is not a unity but consists, rather, of two formerly distinct documents. The suspicion arises primarily from internal grounds; in 9:1-2 Polycarp seems to regard Ignatius as already dead, but later in the same letter (13:2) he requests news about him as though Ignatius were still alive.

(Footnote Continued)

Ing.Antioch., Ign.Hero., Ign.Rom. The long recension (edited by R. Grosseteste in 1250) has seventeen letters: the twelve letters of the middle recension (with many alterations) plus an account of the martyrdom of Ignatius and four letters of correspondence between Ignatius, St. John and St. Mary.


46 So P.N. Harrison, Polycarp's Two Epistles to the Philippians (Cambridge: University Press, 1936),
The Shepherd of Hermas, likewise, appears to be a composite document that was enlarged during the course of transmission. Scholars have identified at least three separate stages of growth in which later writings were appended to earlier materials. 47 The Mandates and the Similitudes of the Shepherd appear to be based on older Jewish traditional materials. Mandates 2-10, 12, examine a series of virtues and vices, and the whole section is similar in many ways to the teaching of the Two-Ways (compare, Didache 1-6, Barnabas 18-20). The Similitudes, likewise, contain a collection of parables that most likely have a pre-Christian Jewish origin. 48

Considerable MS evidence suggests that the apocryphal Acts of Paul has been editorially enlarged through the addition of various traditions about the apostle. 49 The correspondence between Paul and Corinth,


47 See the discussion on the literary character of this document by M. Dibelius, Der Hirt des Hermas (Tübingen: J.C.B. Mohr [Paul Siebeck], 1923) pp.419-21.

48 See, for example, Sim.8, the parable of the willow tree (8.3.2-3, extolling the general validity of the Jewish law?) and its secondary Christian application (8.3.4-11).

for example, is in three parts:

1) a letter written to Paul from Corinth;
2) a narrative recounting the delivery of the letter to Paul in prison; and
3) a letter written by Paul to Corinth (III Corinthians).

The Latin text of this material is attested by 5 MSS, of which all but one (Z) omit part 2 (the narrative). Codex Z (which includes part 2) omits part 3. And codex P omits both parts 1 and 2. A third century Greek MS discovered among the Bodmer papyri (X) also omits part 2.

These omissions are significant for they indicate the likelihood that parts 1 and 3 at one time circulated independently, and were only later added to the Acts of Paul. The narrative, then, may serve in its present context as an editorial link between the two originally separate "letters".

The epistle to Diognetus is plainly a composite document consisting of two previously independent texts, the first of which ends with chapter 10. Chapters 11-12 have no connection with the preceding ones and it is generally recognized that they originally belonged to a different document. As J.B. Lightfoot has observed,

The two remaining chapters belong to some different work which has been accidentally attached to it, just as in most of the extant MSS the latter part of the Epistle of Polycarp is attached to the former part of the Epistle of Barnabas, so as to form in appearance one
work. Probably in this case also an archetypal MS had lost some leaves.

Conclusions:

No matter where one looks in the literary landscape of which the Pastoral letters are a part, the textual evidence reveals unmistakable signs of frequent and substantial editing. Many of these texts are clearly amalgamations of previously independent materials. Traditional materials have been gathered together and woven into sacred collections.

It is clear from the above overview that the vast majority of these Jewish and early Christian writings are misinterpreted if they are read as the product of one hand; no single author was responsible for them. The surviving MS evidence shows the remarkable extent to which scribes collected and compiled disparate materials together; the textual histories of every genre of literature (including historic narratives, prophetic and wisdom literature, apocalyptic writings, epistolary collections) show the marks of this scribal activity.

IV. The editorial re-working of NT texts

Form criticism has demonstrated that the editors of the gospels were fundamentally compilers of sayings and traditions about Jesus; the composite nature of the synoptic gospels, in fact, is an insight that has become the *sine qua non* of modern gospel interpretation. As M. Dibelius has put it:

> Das literarische Verständnis der Synoptiker beginnt mit der Erkenntnis, daß sie Sammelgut enthalten. Die Verfasser sind nur zum geringsten Teil Schriftsteller, in der Hauptsache Sammler, Tradenten, Redaktoren. Im Überliefern, Gruppieren und Bearbeiten des ihnen zugekommenen Materials besteht ihre Tätigkeit vor allem....

When the church's oral traditions were first written down is a matter of speculation; it is not unlikely, however, that both oral and written traditions exerted a strong formative influence on the shape taken by our present gospels. Paul’s references to the traditions he had received (cf. I Cor. 11:23; 15:3) seem to allow the possibility that written traditions circulated among the early Christian communities at a very early stage indeed. 52

---


If so, it is likely that Christian scribes were at work very early on, "stitching" together small pieces of traditional materials that came into their care;

Dibelius puts it this way:

Even before the Gospels in our sense arose, anonymous gatherers of tradition made beginnings of small collections which afterwards entered into the more inclusive works of the Evangelists and which, therefore, were no longer separately preserved. It was not the purpose of these collectors to write books, but to pass on tradition. Even the early Evangelists really intended nothing else.

As Bultmann and others have argued, these traditional materials probably circulated at first as isolated sayings or short stories which were slowly gathered into small and then larger collections; the editorial methods used to combine these materials often featured catch-word links that, not infrequently, tied sayings together that did not have any real integral relationship with one another. The Gospel of Thomas may represent an early collection of previously isolated Jesus sayings.

The Evangelists (and later editors) apparently continued this process of compilation. To call the Evangelists "compilers," however, does mean that the gospels are simply a rag-bag of traditions woven together without any sense of structure or desire for

---

coherence. There are signs of intentionality behind the formation of the gospels. But there is also considerable evidence to suggest that the gospel compilers did not function primarily as authors; they were servants of their collected traditions. Their aim was not so much to write anything "new" but to preserve their community's sacred traditions.

In addition to isolated sayings, the Evangelists seem to have had in their possession pre-formed collections of materials which they then incorporated into their own collections of traditions. The five "conflict-stories" of Mark 2:1-3:6 probably illustrate such a pre-formed collection. As M. Albertz has shown, these stories show a loosely-joined unity and structure, but it is very unlikely that the Evangelist wrote the section himself; the material has all the marks of a

54 The question of how the canonical gospels were compiled poses massive problems for NT scholarship; the evidence, however, indicates that the compositional histories behind these documents are probably far more complicated than either the traditional two-source or the four-source theories allow. A glance at K. Aland's Synopsis Quattuor Evangeliorum illustrates well something of the complexity of the problem: section 59 (pp.83-85), to take one example, presents various pericopes (from Matt., Lk., the Didache, Justin's Apology, etc.) dealing with the theme "loving one's enemies." Parallel sayings appear in each pericope, but the order in which these sayings are found within their respective collections differs considerably (as does the wording). That any one of these pericopes served as the source for the others must be considered very unlikely; it seems far more probable that the editors of these materials were drawing upon different collections of sayings that were circulating independently in their respective communities.
pre-formed literary unit and probably existed as a collection when it came into the hands of the gospel compiler. V. Taylor (following Albertz) notes:

What Mark does is to take over this complex, either from a document or from oral tradition; in a conservative spirit he weaves it into his Gospel (cf. II. 1 and 13), even though it does not entirely suit his plan.\(^55\)

The "sermons" on the mount (Mt.5:1-7:27) and the plain (Lk.6:17-49) may represent other early collections of prayers, beatitudes, parables, etc. that antedate both Matthew and Luke. H.D. Betz has recently proposed that the "sermon" appearing in Matt. 5-7 is a pre-Matthean source compiled around A.D. 55 by a redactor of Jesus' sayings.\(^56\) Betz's arguments on the origin of this material are not all equally convincing, but his suggestion that the evangelist had access to an independent written collection of Jesus sayings and incorporated it into his gospel seems very possible.

The "Little Apocalypse" of Mark 13 is a collection of dominical sayings that may also have had an independent textual history prior to its incorporation in the


Gospel. It is only loosely related to its context and forms an intelligible unity on its own.

But even after the first evangelists finished the compilation of the materials in their possession, their gospels continued to grow. Later scribes brought their own traditional materials and added them to the circulating gospels. It is clear, for example, that short traditional "units" were added to the texts of the gospels. Many of these "floating" traditions, some of very ancient origins, have been preserved through this editorial activity. A Jesus-saying, for example, has been inserted into the Western texts of Mt.20:28 (D and, with minor variations, Φ, it, syrC):

But seek to increase from that which is small and from the greater to become less. When you enter a house and are invited to dine, do not recline in the prominent places, lest perchance one more honorable than you comes in, and the host come and say to you, 'Go farther down'; and you will be put to shame. But if you recline in the lower place and one inferior to you comes in the host will say to you, 'Go farther up'; and this will be advantageous to you.


58 Compare with the shorter version of Lk.14:8-10.
Another later addition, this time a short Jesus saying, appears at Matthew 18:11:

For the son of man came to seek and to save the lost.

This saying is omitted by the earliest witnesses and has been relegated to the apparatus of Nestle/Aland's 26th edition. 59

The editor of codex Bezae has added a short Jesus saying to the text at Luke 6:5:

"On the same day [Jesus] saw a man working on the sabbath and said to him, "Man, if you know what you are doing you are blessed, but if you do not know, you are accursed and a transgressor of the law."

A traditional saying, attested by Aleph, B, C, L and others, has been incorporated into Matthew 27:49:

And another took a spear and pierced his side, and out came water and blood."

The tradition recording Jesus' anguished prayer in the garden, and the angel's ministry to him (Luke 22:43-44) is absent from such ancient and widely diversified witnesses as Papyri 69 and 75, aleph, A, B, T, W, syrS, copsa,bo, armmss, Marcion, Clement, and Origen. Family 13 adds these verses to Matthew's gospel (after 26:39). B.M. Metzger thinks the verses were "added from

---

59 The saying is omitted by codices Sinaiticus, Vaticanus, and other witnesses representing several textual types (Alexandrian, pre-Caesarean, Egyptian, Antiochian).
an early source, oral or written, of extra-canonical traditions concerning the life and passion of Jesus.\textsuperscript{60}

The fact that some of these isolated sayings were added to the gospels at a much later date is especially significant, for if scribes added to documents that had already achieved a certain "fixed" form (by their use within the churches), it is even more likely that they would have added to texts that had not yet received widespread attention!

The gospel MSS also reflect the scribal tendency to add larger blocks of traditional materials to a "received" text. The story of Jesus' encounter with the woman caught in adultery (John 7:53-8:11), for example, is a traditional Jesus story that was added to the text of John at a later date.\textsuperscript{61}

Similar scribal activity is evident in the textual witnesses to the longer endings to Mark's gospel (16:9-22).\textsuperscript{62} This ending was clearly not a part of the


\textsuperscript{61}The story is omitted by Papyri 66 and 75, codices Aleph and B, and numerous other early MSS. It is also lacking in the works of Tertullian and Origen. The same story appears in later manuscripts between John 7:36-37 (codex 225), after Jn.21:25 (f1), after Lk.21:38 (f13); after Lk.24:53 (codex 1333).

\textsuperscript{62}The earliest manuscripts end with Mk.16:8 (so Aleph, B, 304; others add 16:9-20 directly following 16:8 (so A,C,D,W,Θ, et al.); still others include an additional brief paragraph between between 16:8 and (Footnote Continued)
earliest record of this gospel. It may have originally functioned as a second-century summary giving grounds for belief in Jesus' resurrection. In any case, it is not surprising that a scribe would wish to preserve such valuable traditional material. To attach it to the end of Mark's gospel would secure its survival.

The literary difficulties presented by ch. 21 of John's gospel are considerable, and have led most commentators to conclude that the chapter is an appendix, probably added at a later stage in the transmission of the gospel. R. Bultmann has argued persuasively against the unity of the postscript itself, suggesting that it too is a compilation, consisting of an independent Easter story (21:1-14) and two conversations of Jesus with Peter (21:15-23).

These representative examples demonstrate how ancient Christian documents could and did grow. The MS

(Footnote Continued)
16:9-20 (so L, et al.). In one manuscript (k), 16:9-20 is lacking, but 16:8 is followed by the brief paragraph (mentioned above) that concludes the gospel.


evidence enables us, in the above cases, to observe something of the stages of growth to which the gospels were clearly subject. The gospels seem to have been treated by scribes as repositories of traditional materials. As W. Sanday has observed,

Possessors of copies did not hesitate to add little items of tradition, often oral, in some cases perhaps written, which reached them..."\(^{65}\)

But what about the remaining NT documents? Were they also subject to interpolation and expansion during transmission?

The textual history of the book of Acts certainly seems to reflect a complicated process of editorial activity. The additions as well as the "non-interpolations" of the Western recension (D, Old Latin, Old Syriac) throw considerable light on early scribal practices.\(^{66}\) Additions to the text seem to derive from a variety of traditional, apocryphal, or other non-biblical sources.

An additional "regulation", for example, appears in the Western version of the Apostolic Decree (see Acts


\(^{66}\)According to the reckoning by F.G. Kenyon [The Western Text in the Gospels and Acts, Proceedings of the British Academy, vol.xxiv (London: 1939), p.26] the Western text is 8.5% longer than the Alexandrian. But when the two recensions are compared it is evident (from the Western "non-interpolations") that the Alexandrian text has also been enlarged by scribal additions.
15:20 and 15:29); it takes the form of the negative golden rule:

"And whatever you would not wish to be done by others, do not do to another."

A confessional statement has been added to the Western version of Acts 8:37:

"Philip said, 'If you believe with all your heart, you may [be baptized].' The official answered, 'I believe that Jesus Christ is the son of God.'" 67

The texts of the Pauline letters also bear the marks of editorial activity. In the letter to the Romans, for example, there is unmistakable evidence that some textual alteration has occurred. A benediction ("the grace of our Lord Jesus Christ be with you all, amen") appears in various MSS in different contexts; some MSS (papyrus 46, aleph, B, 1881 et al.) place the benediction at Rom. 16:20b; others (D, G, itd, g, et al.) place it at Rom. 16:24, while in still others (P, 33, 104, et al.) it follows 16:27.

The doxology of Rom.15:25-27 also appears at various places within the MS tradition, and has been widely recognized as a non-Pauline addition to the text. 68

67 This material is not found in papyri 45 and 74, A, B, C, and other early witnesses.

68 The doxology also appears after Rom. 14:23 in a number of MSS (A, P, 5, 33), while papyrus 46 and a few others place it after Rom.15:33. According to W. Kümmel (Introduction, p.317) "...it should be regarded as (Footnote Continued)
The regulations concerning women's conduct that appear at 1 Cor. 14:33b-35 are marked by the kind of textual disturbance that suggests the presence of a later interpolation into the text, as C.K. Barrett and others have argued.

Other blocks of material in the Pauline letters appear to be scribal interpolations on the basis of internal considerations (such as their literary character, use of language, and contents). But the lack of hard textual evidence makes the non-Pauline authorship of such texts much more difficult to prove. J. Moffatt, however, cautions against a hasty dismissal of strong internal evidence in such cases:

Even where the extant text does not suggest any break, the possibility of interpolations cannot be denied outright; the distance between the oldest MSS, or even the oldest versions, and the date of composition, leaves ample room for changes to have taken place in the interval between the autograph and the earliest known text.

(Footnote Continued)

Certain that the doxology does not originate with Paul and was created originally as a conclusion following 14:23."

69 Some MSS (for example, D, F, G) place 1 Cor. 14:34-35 after verse 40. In codex Fuldensis the verses appear twice: in the margin after v.33 as well as in the text following v.40.


More than a little internal evidence suggests that Romans 13:1-7 has been added to Paul's original letter to the Romans.\(^72\) The text has a self-contained unity and appears to be both independent of, and interruptive of, its context.\(^73\) More importantly, the thoughts presented here are in tension with several basic Pauline ideas.\(^74\) And the fact that no Christian writer refers to Rom.13:1-7 prior to A.D. 150 (or perhaps even as late as A.D. 180) lends credence to the idea that the text was added to the letter by a later hand.\(^75\) J.C. O'Neill

\(^{72}\)This position is by no means universally accepted. C.E.B. Cranfield (Romans IX-XVI [Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1979] II: pp.651ff) argues against it, although he concedes that "the relation of 13:1-7 to its context remains for us to some extent problematical" (p.653). See also the arguments defending Paul's authorship of this text by M. Black, Romans (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1973) p.159.

\(^{73}\)So C.K. Barrett, A Commentary on the Epistle to the Romans (Adam and Charles Black: London, 1962 [1957]), p.244. Although Barrett does not think the passage an interpolation, he does recognize the problems it presents: "The new paragraph, a self-contained treatment of a special theme, appears at first to be introduced somewhat abruptly."

\(^{74}\)J. Kallas ["Romans XIII. 1-7: An Interpolation" NTS 11 (1964-5) 365-74] observes four basic contradictions running through Rom.13:1-7: 1) there is a lack of any sense of Jesus' immediate return; 2) the term ε̂γουσία is employed to denote earthly human powers whereas in Paul the term refers to spiritual powers; 3) the powers (ε̂γουσία) in this passage are regarded as loyal servants of God whereas in Paul they always depict evil cosmic figures; 4) the passage reflects the Pharisaic view of retribution -- quite different from Paul's view of the suffering of the innocents.

\(^{75}\)So, E. Barnikol, "Römer 13: Der nichtpaulinische Urprung der absoluten Obrigkeitsebahrung von Römer" (Footnote Continued)
regards the unit as a collection of eight injunctions that "was probably ancient at the time of its incorporation."\textsuperscript{76}

The Pauline authorship of II Cor. 6:14-7:1 has also been widely doubted on internal grounds.\textsuperscript{77} It is hard to deny that the passage fits poorly into its present context, or that 7:2 follows excellently upon 6:13.\textsuperscript{78} Differences in vocabulary are also evident; six words appearing in 6:14-7:1 do not appear elsewhere in the NT.\textsuperscript{79}


\textsuperscript{77} But, again, the authorship of this section is much debated. Its authenticity is upheld by many. See, for example, C.G. Moule, The Second Epistle to the Corinthians (London: Pickering and Inglis, Ltd., 1962); F.F. Bruce (I and II Corinthians [London: Marshall, Morgan and Scott, 1971] p.214) regards the section as a Pauline digression.

\textsuperscript{78} Many commentators think the section is an interpolation; some regard it as a non-Pauline (but Christian) writing, others think it has a pre-Christian (perhaps Qumranic?) origin. See the discussion by H.D. Betz, "2 Cor. 6:14-7:1: An anti-Pauline Fragment?" JBL 92 (1973) 88-108; J.A. Fitzmyer, "Qumran and the Interpolated Paragraph in 2 Cor. 6:14-7:1" CBQ 23 (1961) 271-280. R. Bultmann [Der zweite Brief an die Korinther (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1976) pp.181-2.] regards the text as "typisch jüdische Paränese", and compares it to the Testament of the Twelve Patriarchs (especially TLevi 19, TNaph.3). He wondered if it had been "christlich bearbeitet".

\textsuperscript{79} These are: έτεροςυγείν, βελιάρ, μετοχή, συμφώνησις, συγκατάθεσις, μολυσμός.
The literary relationship between the letter of Jude and II Peter (especially ch.2) is very complex and difficult to untangle. But the striking similarities between these two documents suggest that either a common document has been incorporated within both letters or that a dependent literary relationship exists between Jude and II Peter. In either case it is clear that pre-existing materials have been incorporated into at least one of these documents without any indication that such editorial activity has occurred.

The Apocalypse of John also reflects the marks of a composite document; in its present form it is made up of many diverse traditional elements (including letters,

---

80 Most modern scholars argue for the latter alternative, giving the priority to Jude. See W. Kümmel, Introduction, pp.428ff. See also the discussion on the question of literary dependence in C. Bigg's Commentary on the Epistles of St. Peter and St. Jude (Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1910) pp.216ff.

81 This is clearly to over-simplify a complex problem, for it seems likely that the compiled materials were also modified by the compiler. But even if this were the case, it would still represent a significant example of a compiler who added pre-existing materials (mutatis mutandis) into his text without any indication that such compilation had occurred.

82 J. Moffatt [The Historical NT (Edinburgh: T. & T. Clark, 1901) pp.677ff.] presents a detailed overview of earlier studies on this apocalypse. For Moffatt, "the composite character of the writing is no longer a hypothesis, it is a postulate, of critical study." See also the more cautious, but similar, conclusion of W. Kümmel, Introduction, p.464.
hymns, doxologies, prayers, etc).\textsuperscript{83} The seven letters of the Apocalypse (2:1-3:22) show marked differences in form, language, and theological ideas from the materials in chs.4-22. These distinctive features suggest the previously independent character of these letters.\textsuperscript{84}

The fact that NT texts were subjected to significant editorial re-working is not only revealed by a close examination of the MS evidence and of the internal features of the texts themselves. It is attested also by the frequent complaints of the church fathers that the sacred writings of the second-century church were being freely edited and manipulated.

Tertullian, for instance, complained that various heresies were tampering with the sacred texts, each one perverting them "with both additions and subtractions to

\textsuperscript{83}This is not to suggest that the various pre-formed traditions within Revelation have simply been thrown together without regard to order or structure. There is obvious structural unity but it is not of the kind that one would expect from an author; it seems, rather, to be a carefully combined compilation of disparate sources.

\textsuperscript{84}The literary integrity of Revelation in general, and of the seven letters in particular, is a matter of dispute. J. Massyngberde Ford (Revelation [Garden City, NY: Doubleday & Co., 1975] pp.43-45, 55-56) presents a detailed examination of the linguistic differences between chs.1-3, 22:16b, 20-21, and chs.4-22, and concludes that chs.1-3 must have been added by a later writer. Ramsay, Letters to the Seven Churches, argues that the letters circulated independently. But compare the objections to such theories in M. Kiddle's, The Revelation of St. John (London: Hodder and Stoughton, 1940).
suit its own teaching."\(^{85}\) Marcion's critical edition of the Pauline letters is probably the best known example of this. But it must be remembered that Marcion claimed to be restoring these letters to their original form; in seeking legitimacy for his work, he appealed to what he thought was a generally recognized fact: that the Pauline letters had been considerably edited during transmission. Since the church possessed no standardized NT text, Marcion's tendentious arguments were all the more difficult to refute.

Celsus also accused Christians of editing the sacred texts; Origen quotes him as saying that,

some believers, as though from a drinking bout, go so far as to oppose themselves and alter the original text of the gospel three or four times over, and they change its character to enable them to deny difficulties in face of criticism.\(^{86}\)

If the practice of interpolating texts had not been a widespread phenomenon in this period, such accusations would have carried little weight. As J. Moffatt has observed, such a charge "would not have been worth making unless the fact on which it rested had been at least a popular and highly credible habit...."\(^{87}\)

Eusebius reports that Tatian "ventured to paraphrase (μεταφράσεως) some words of the apostle [Paul], as

---

\(^{85}\)Tertullian, *Prescription Against Heretics*, 17.

\(^{86}\)Origin, *Contra Celsum*, II.27.

though correcting their style." Eusebius also records that Dionysius, the bishop of Corinth, complained that his own letters had been mutilated by "the apostles of the devil" who "have filled them with tares by tearing out some things and putting in others." Dionysius goes on to say,

"it is no wonder that some have gone about to falsify even the scriptures of the Lord when they have plotted against writings so inferior." To what these "scriptures of the Lord" refer is unclear, but it may be that in the mind of the earliest readers they included both the Gospels and the Pauline letters.

A great body of evidence, then, suggests that the earliest NT texts were liable to extensive interpolations, glosses, and other sorts of textual modifications. It would be surprising, in fact, if such were not the case for it is unlikely that scribes only began to gloss and interpolate the NT writings after the appearance of the church's published edition of collected Christian writings. The texts were undoubtedly more vulnerable to editorial reworking in the earliest period, before the general church editions became available and the scrutiny of the ecclesiastical

---

authorities could be brought to bear upon the shape of the text. 90

Conclusions and Relevance for the Pastorals:

The foregoing compositional survey covers an enormously diverse range of Jewish and early Christian writings; almost every major genre of religious literature is represented, including historical narratives, prophetic writings, wisdom collections, apocalyptic works, community manuals, epistolary writings, and gospel accounts. Chronologically, the dating of the various documents covers the equally expansive period of over one thousand years, from the age of Jeremiah (circa 750 B.C) to that of the redactor of the Ignatian corpus (A.D. 400?).

The selection of this mass of literary documents is open to immediate objections, especially since few of the writings examined seem to provide close literary parallels to the Pastorals. Many of the works have been

compiled over long periods of time; none of them seems to offer a precise parallel to the Pastorals.

In defending the selection of documents, it must first be conceded that not all of the above documents have an equal contribution to make to our understanding of the Pastorals. It is highly unlikely, for example, that the Pastorals have a compositional history at all like that of II Baruch or the Mishnah. Closer literary parallels to the Pastorals may be afforded by documents like the Didache and the longer recension of the Ignatian corpus. It is fair to say that such texts probably offer more clues about the origin of the Pastorals than, say, I Enoch or the Mishnah.

So why include the more "remote" literature in this survey? Why not collect only the documents that seem to offer the closest literary parallels to the Pastorals and examine them? There are several reasons; first of all, the primary aim of this investigation has not been so much to search for precise literary analogies to the Pastorals, but to provide a broad overview of the compositional histories of Jewish and early Christian literature --that is, to set the backdrop, as it were, of the literary environment out of which the Pastorals emerged. To achieve this aim, the types of literature examined have intentionally been kept very broad; no attempt has been made to focus upon any particular genre or form of writing, or to limit the survey to documents that show some "likeness" to the Pastorals. The most
important results of the survey depend in many respects upon the widespread and diverse selection of the materials examined.

Secondly, it cannot be assumed that documents showing close literary parallels share similar compositional histories. In fact, the above survey of the wider literature suggests that the literary history behind each Jewish and early Christian document is a unique one. Editors apparently did not follow any set schema as they went about their task of compilation. The additions to Isaiah's original oracles, for example, show some editorial parallels to those that appear in Jeremiah, but the differences in the way these materials were compiled are equally pronounced. Even among closely related Christian texts a remarkable diversity is apparent in the compositional techniques that were employed; The Gospel of Thomas, for example, like the canonical gospels, includes various collections of Jesus logia but, unlike those gospels, Thomas does not place the logia into any narrative framework.

This means that literary relatedness in genre, form, content, etc., is not necessarily all that important in the comparison of the compositional histories of documents. Certain editorial techniques employed by the redactor of Sirach may be reflected in the texts of other composite documents of very different genres and purposes. The Pastorals may not show many literary similarities to I Enoch, but this does not make the
compositional history of I Enoch irrelevant. For not only does I Enoch add its witness to the widespread practice of editorial compilation, but the text also shows certain literary marks (like awkward transitions and abrupt breaks in thought) that help clarify, together with the evidence from other documents, certain characteristic features of composite documents.

Consequently, the documents that may seem further removed from the Pastorals by virtue of their different genres, forms, times of origin, compositional histories, etc. still make an important contribution to this study by revealing the remarkable diversity of the editorial activity. In light of such diversity, it would be appear to be gross over-simplification of the compiling processes to suggest that the Pastorals (if composite) were compiled like the Didache, or like the Gospels, or like the Gospel of Thomas, or like the longer recension of the Ignatian corpus. Compilers seem to have followed no specific pattern; hence, the search for precise parallels may be a futile one.

Regarding the time factor, it is true that some of the documents examined above were compiled over hundreds of years, but this does not necessarily negate their relevance as witnesses to the compiling process. In fact, they provide important testimony for the fluidity of the ancient text --the original form of which was not regarded as sacrosanct by later editors. The Pastorals are often presumed to be precisely in the form that
Paul, or a pseudo-Paul, wrote them. But the evidence from ancient sacred documents, showing that they have been modified, enlarged, updated, etc. over time, may indicate that the Pastorals underwent a similar process.

It is possible, in fact, that the Pastorals themselves were compiled over a fairly long period of time consisting, perhaps, of over one hundred years. Such a conjecture cannot be ruled out and might help explain why the Pastorals were apparently so slow to gain recognition within the churches. C.K. Barrett observes in this regard that,

"Any account...that we may give of [the Pastorals'] origin must if possible explain the fact that up to the middle of the second century, or indeed somewhat later, they were by no means widely known...."

The relevance of the survey for the present study may, perhaps, best be presented by listing the significant features that are thrown into clear relief by this diverse collection of literary witnesses:

1. A massive array of religious documents held sacred by both Jews and Christians show complicated histories of compilation.

No matter where we look in the literary landscape of which the NT documents are a part, we find texts that

---

exhibit clear marks of frequent and substantial editing. In their present form, the majority of these documents cannot be attributed to any single author. Most are composite works, produced from diverse collections of various materials, and woven together by scribes acting as editors. The effects of this editorial activity can be seen across diverse genres of literature; prophetic books, wisdom collections, apocalyptic writings, community manuals, letters from religious leaders, etc., all show signs of significant editorial influence. It is clear from this survey that the literary circles within which the Pastorals were transcribed were engaged in the editorial redaction of sacred texts on a massive scale.

2. Judging by the ways in which the sacred texts were edited and compiled, it is evident that scribes did not regard their collections of traditional materials as permanently "fixed" or delimited.

Ancient texts grew. This fundamental fact is easily forgotten by modern NT students living in an age that prints books and protects the integrity of its literature through the application of rigid copyright laws. Jewish and early Christian scribes plainly did not hesitate to add collected traditions to pre-existing collections of traditions. From the books of Jeremiah to the Proverbs, from the Gospels to the letters of Ignatius, it is clear that scribes freely enlarged existing collections of materials by adding their own materials.
The motives behind such editorial activities were undoubtedly varied. But certain basic aims can be discerned: the scribal editors regarded themselves as guardians of the community's sacred traditions; as such, their chief task was to protect and preserve the literary heritage which had been passed down to them. Instructions to preserve the wisdom of the ancients is scattered throughout Jewish and early Christian writings; in the book of Sirach (39:1-2), for example, the man who is devoted to the study of the law is described as one "who will seek out the wisdom of all the ancients, and will be concerned with prophecies; he will preserve the discourse of notable men...." Such teaching spurred on the process of collecting and compiling traditional materials.

3. The editorial methods used in the preservation of these materials took many forms. Compiling, interpolating, appending, and pre-fixing -- all of these techniques were frequently and freely employed.

Our investigation into the literary histories of Jewish and early Christian texts reveals a remarkable diversity in the editorial methods that scribes, intent upon preserving traditional materials, employed.

Sometimes scribal editors simply "stitched" together formerly independent and loosely related documents. The composite book of I Enoch, the collection of Daniel stories, the fusion of the Epistle of Jeremiah to the
end of Baruch all illustrate this editorial method of compilation.

Frequently, large blocks of traditional materials were added to existing collections, often without any suggestion that such editorial activity had occurred. The Sibylline Oracles provide numerous instances of such interpolations, the clearest, perhaps, being the large parenetic section (an extract from Pseudo-Phocylides?) that has been inserted at 2:55ff. The inclusion of the Two-Ways material in the Didache and the Epistle of Barnabas offer other examples.

Small collections of traditional materials were also brought together and combined, resulting occasionally in much larger collections. Many of the prophetic books, for example, probably grew in this way. Regarding the book of Jeremiah, S.R. Driver remarks:

The large amount of variation between the LXX and the Massoretic text constitutes an independent ground for supposing that, in some cases, the writings composing the Book of Jer. circulated for a while in separate small collections, in which variations might more easily arise than after they were collected into a volume. 92

Collections of wisdom materials also provide clear examples of this editorial technique.

Existing documents were also enlarged by the inclusion of sometimes very short traditional pieces.

Isolated wisdom sayings, for example, have clearly been added to existing texts (cf. Sirach 1:5, 7, 21; Pseudo-Phocylides vv.116-117, 144; et al.). Similar evidence of this activity can be found in the Gospel MSS; isolated Jesus logia, for example, have been editorially added at Matt. 18:11 and Lk. 6:5. In all probability, a short regulation on women's conduct has been added by an editor following I Cor. 14:33a.

4. Scribes appear to have treated existing texts as repositories, or "collecting points," to which they brought their own storehouse of sacred traditions.

It is clear from our survey that existing documents frequently served as literary vehicles upon which scribes felt free to load other traditional materials. Documents were sometimes enlarged by the addition of similar materials; psalms, for example, were added to existing collections of psalms (11QPs^a); collections of wisdoms sayings were added to the LXX version of Proverbs (compare the MT).

Traditional materials were also collected and editorially combined out of interest in a common theme, or a great leader or religious hero. Non-canonical collections of Daniel stories, for instance, have been collected and added to the canonical Daniel traditions in the LXX. Several post-resurrection stories have been gathered together and added to the end of Mark's Gospel (and John's?) by a later editor.
5. In the compilation of traditional materials, scribes did not only add "like" to "like." Existing documents served as vehicles onto which diverse literary forms were added.

Compilers of sacred traditions were clearly not concerned about mixing formally unrelated materials. Pre-formed hymns, prayers, letters, wisdom sayings, apocalyptic warnings, farewell addresses, etc. were editorially imbedded within all sorts of literary genres. The book of Sirach, for instance, is a collection of wisdom sayings, but a sixteen-verse psalm has been added to the text (following 51:12) of the codex Vaticanus. A number of disparate elements have apparently been added at some stage to the Community Rule of Qumran, including a "Messianic Rule" (IQS^a) and a collection of blessings (IQS^b). On stylistic and linguistic grounds there is reason to believe that the seven "letters" imbedded within the Apocalypse of John were added to chapters 4-22 by a later editor. 93

6. The compilation of diverse literary elements frequently resulted in documents that are marked by abrupt transitions and logical discontinuities.

This assessment applies to many of the documents examined in the above survey. A lack of coherence and

logical progression is especially prominent in the collections of Wisdom materials such as Proverbs, the book of Wisdom, Sirach, etc. But many other Jewish and early Christian documents show similar marks of logical discontinuity. The miscellaneous exhortations that have been added to the book of Tobit (4:7-18) have only a loose connection with the surrounding narrative, and even less (except formally) with each other. The materials within the Didache and the Epistle of Barnabas show no sustained development of thought. Nor do the canonical gospels --or at least certain sections within the gospels; it is not easy, for example, to find any logical sequence in the collected instructions that appear in in Mark 9:42-50.

Literary coherence and development of thought may be important to an author, but it does not seem to have been the prime concern of compilers. What the compiler receives he transmits; his task is to preserve. Consequently, the flow of thought and logical progression within composite documents is often lost to view.

7. Scribes employed a variety of editorial techniques in the linking together of pre-formed traditions.

We have observed that compilers often connected sayings or collections together almost mechanically by means of verbal links (cf. Pseudo-Phocylides vv.35-36); this helps explain the lack of logical coherence that appears throughout many composite documents. The passage
alluded to (in § 6, above) from Mark's Gospel is clearly an artificial collection of sayings strung together on the basis of catch-words: (cf. 9:42, ἐνα τῷ μικρῶν, cf. 9:37; 9:48, πῦρι; 9:49, πῦρι; 9:49, ἀλωσθεται; 9:50: ἀλας; compare also the "seed" sayings in Mk. 4:26-32). There is reason to think that Mark took over these sayings as he found them in an already existing collection, with little or no attempt to provide them with a chronological or topographical setting.94

Editors also arranged materials together by topics or themes. Sirach 3:1-16, for example, presents us with a loosely connected group of sayings on "Honoring your father;" in 4:1-10 several groups of sayings have been gathered together around the topic, "On treating the less fortunate."

Occasionally compilers appear to have simply juxtaposed their sources, making little effort to smooth out the logical inconsistencies and abrupt transitions that naturally arise from such compilation. As R. Kraft has noted, this kind of editorial compilation is

---

94 On this point, V. Taylor (The Gospels: a short Introduction, p.32) remarks: "That we are justified in speaking of a 'collection,' and probably a written collection, is suggested by the fact that these sayings are often loosely strung together like similar sayings in Proverbs and other Jewish books."
pervasive throughout ancient Jewish and early Christian writings. 95

Editors frequently made a combined use of these and other methods in their compiling work. Most composite works show varying kinds of editorial redaction. 8. Authorial activity is frequently absent from composite documents.

It is a remarkable fact that most of the documents examined in the above survey show very little authorial activity. This is not to say that they lack intentionality, or purpose or even structure; the compilers clearly had aims in mind when they put disparate materials together, and they show varying degrees of interest in structuring their materials along certain lines. But, in spite of this, most composite documents read more like collections than compositions.

An author writes with a plan in mind and his writing is usually reflective of this; his paragraphs show logical progression and order; his various concerns are connected by carefully crafted transitional sentences; seldom does an author allow his writing to move forward without some driving train of thought. But as we

95 R. Kraft, The Apostolic Fathers: Didache and Barnabas, Vol. VIII (New York: Thomas Nelson and Sons, 1965), p.20. Kraft suggests that the awkward juxtapositions within many ancient Jewish and early Christian texts may indicate that the compilers worked from within "school" settings. This point is addressed more fully in chapter six of this thesis (below).
have seen, most composite literature reads very differently. This suggests that the compilers did not normally regard themselves as literary authors; they were not interested in writing anything novel. Nor did they function as mere copyists; they were principally collectors, protectors of tradition, editors, to whom fell the great responsibility of preserving the community traditions. 96

These conclusions, if valid, have a fundamental contribution to make to our study of the Pastorals. First of all, they serve to warn against a too hasty acceptance of the literary integrity or authorial unity of these letters. It is clear from the overview that no genre of literature was exempt from Jewish and early Christian scribal redaction; sacred documents of all sorts were treated as "collecting points" or vehicles upon which other cherished traditions were loaded; even

96 It must be granted, however, that compilers did at times engage in authorial activity. The Epistle of Aristeas is probably a composite work, the various materials of which have been woven carefully together and expanded by an author desiring to make a certain case. To an extent, the same can be said for such documents as the Testament of the Twelve Patriarchs and Luke-Acts. But the number of composite documents that show signs of having been written by self-conscious authors are few. And even in such documents many of the "seams" remain visible where pre-existing sources have clearly been taken over (without any apparent revision) and incorporated into the text. In the production of most composite documents, it seems right to conclude that scribes usually functioned "passively", as collectors, rather than "actively," as authors.
genuine epistles could function as depositories into which editors would add other materials (cf. the Ignatian letters).  

Secondly, the survey highlights certain literary features that are characteristic within composite documents, including: obscure and awkward transitions between topics or themes; the lack of sustained argument and logical development of thought; the appearance of ideas or arguments that have no connection with the immediate context; the presence of loosely related sayings, connected together by artificial catch-word devices. If an ancient document (like the Pastorals) exhibits features like these there is added reason to suspect that editorial compilation may have occurred.

Thirdly, the survey provides us with evidence that authentic documents were often enlarged by the addition

---

97 The long recension of the Ignatian letters was probably produced around A.D. 350, long after the emergence of the Pastorals. But the relevance of the Ignatian corpus for understanding the textual history of the Pastorals is not, for that reason, diminished. They conclusively show that the radical compilation of genuine epistles by scribes did occur; and if such widely circulated and highly valued letters like Ignatius (cf. Eusebius) could be redacted as late as the fourth century, then we must be open to the possibility (if not the likelihood) that the Pastorals were subject to a similar treatment -- especially given the fact that the Pastorals would have been redacted prior to the church's general acceptance (or canonization) of these documents!

98 An author, of course, could incorporate pre-existing materials into his text, but he would presumably re-fashion them to assure that their insertion would not break his flow of argument.
of related, but non-genuine, materials. The prophetic books provide the clearest examples of this. Most prophetic literature is composite; no one author can be ascribed to these prophecies in their present form; they are disparate collections. But a small core of authentic oracles probably lie imbedded within each book, and served as the original structure upon which other collections of related (though "inauthentic") materials were added. Authentic oracles of Jeremiah, for example, are preserved in the book that goes by his name; but his prophecies make up a relatively small portion of the text in its present form. Other oracles, written by different prophets, have been added, as well as other related materials. Still, the original ascription to Jeremiah has been retained by the religious community out of which it emerged.

In the same way, the canonical texts of Psalms and Proverbs contain genuine collections of Davidic psalms and Solomonic proverbs, to which later editors added psalms and proverbs composed by others and cherished by both Jews and early Christians. These original collections served as the core around which other materials were collected. The long Ignatian recension illustrates how a core of authentic letters could be similarly enlarged.

This information suggests that authentic texts frequently stand behind (or imbedded within!) composite documents. The question of whether a similar process occurred to the Pastorals is of obvious significance to
our study, and must be examined in more detail in the analysis which follows (chs.3-5).

Finally, the compositional histories of the above literature indicate that scribes treated these documents as living traditions, and the traditions were still being collected within the early Christian communities. As a scribe went about his work, he was strongly disinclined to allow any sacred traditions within his grasp to be lost. And the most effective way to preserve such materials was to add them to an existing text during the process of copying.

As J. Moffatt has observed,

...the conception of an early Christian writing as a necessarily inviolate, rigid, and rounded whole, is entirely misleading....It is plainly a matter for increased delicacy and exacter scrutiny to fix the period of composition for the substantial part of a writing which...may have been retouched and enlarged by the author, or...may have been subjected to revision by other and later hands.

The Pastorals are commonly read by commentators as an "inviolate, rigid, and rounded whole." Yet we have already observed (cf.ch.1) something of the difficulties they pose to interpreters who presume that they have a simple literary history.

The above examination suggests that the editorial re-working of sacred texts was common within early Christian communities, and that most Jewish and early Christian documents have complex compositional histories. It now remains to examine the texts of the Pastorals themselves to see what marks of editorial
activity may have survived in the texts as we now possess them.
CHAPTER THREE

I TIMOTHY: A COMPOSITIONAL ANALYSIS

1:1-2 Epistolary Salutation

This salutation (like those in II Tim. and Titus) follows the ancient Greek (and Pauline) epistolary form: A to B, greeting. The style of the greeting is in many respects similar to the other Pauline letters, but a number of oddities appear that make its authenticity doubtful. The formality and elaborateness of the salutation as well as the solemn emphasis on Paul's apostolic authority seem a surprising way to begin a personal letter, especially between two close and intimate friends.¹

¹The oddity of the salutation was noted by John Calvin [Commentary on the Epistles to Timothy and Titus, ed. by D. Torrance and T. Torrance (Edinburgh: Oliver and Boyd, 1964) p.187] who observed that Paul would have had "no need to set forth his titles and reassert his claims to apostleship, as he does here, for the name alone would certainly have been enough for Timothy." Calvin concluded that the Pastorals must have been intended for a wider audience than just Timothy. But if that were the case, one would expect Paul to include (Footnote Continued)
Several unPauline expressions appear in the salutation; the phrase κατ' ἐπιταγὴν θεοῦ σωτήρος ἡμῶν (1:1), for example, has only once close NT parallel (Tit.1:3): κατ' ἐπιταγὴν τοῦ σωτήρος ἡμῶν θεοῦ. Nowhere in Paul's letters is God referred to as the savior; σωτήρ, in fact, is a term Paul rarely employs; it is only found at Phil.3:20 (cf. Eph.5:23) where it refers to Jesus. In the Pastorals, however, the use of σωτήρ is common; it appears ten times, six referring to God and four to Jesus. That the phrase may have a liturgical origin is suggested by the use of a similar expression in the non-Pauline doxology at the end of Romans (16:26): κατ' ἐπιταγὴν τοῦ αἰωνίου θεοῦ. The same idea occurs in the doxology that concludes Jude 24: μόνῳ θεῷ σωτηρὶ ἡμῶν.

The phrase Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ τῆς ἐλπίδος ἡμῶν is another that finds no parallel in the NT. In Col.1:27 Jesus is called ἡ ἐλπὶς τῆς ὁμόθεσης but here in this salutation the term ἐλπὶς seems to function as a fixed title for Jesus. The use of such a title (outside of the Pastorals) is not attested in early Christian

(Footnote Continued)
Calvin concluded that the Pastorals must have been intended for a wider audience than just Timothy. But if that were the case, one would expect Paul to include some reference to the larger community in the salutation as he did, for example, in his semi-personal letter to Philemon (see 1:1).

2I 1:1; 2:3; 4:10; Tit. 1:3; 2:10; 3:4 refer to God as savior; II 1:10; Tit. 1:4; 2:13; and 3:6 link the term with Jesus.
literature before the Ignatian letters. A.T. Hanson regards it as a "mark of third-generation Christianity." If the formulaic expression "an apostle according to God our Savior, and Christ Jesus our hope" is removed, the salutation appears far less formal, and the major difficulties in ascribing it to Paul fall away. It may be that these fixed liturgical expressions were added at a later date to a brief but authentic Pauline salutation. We know that pious interpolations were added to many Jewish and early Christian texts; the Sibylline Oracles, for instance, were clearly "Christianized" by such additions. And if, as many scholars argue, the sixteen prayers scattered among books VII and VIII of the Apostolic Constitutions are of Jewish origin, then the process of "enhancing" the text with liturgical language is even more evident. The

---


4 A.T. Hanson, The Pastoral Epistles, p.55

5 Paul's original greeting may have begun simply: "Paul to Timothy, my true child in faith..." Compare the discussion at II 1:1-2, Titus 1:1-4.

same phrase, "through Christ Jesus our hope" (cf. I 1:1 above), has apparently been added to one of the
hellenistic synagogal prayers taken over by the early
church.7

The greeting at 1:2 is identical to that which
appears in II Tim.1:2, but it differs from the charac-
teristic form found in all the genuine Pauline letters.8
All of Paul's letters contain the two-part formula:
χάρις καὶ εἰρήνη. The addition here (and in II Tim.) of
ἔλεος is particularly striking, not only since Paul's
greetings are remarkably uniform,9 but also because he
so rarely uses the term ἔλεος.10 It seems very possi-
ble, therefore, that an original Pauline greeting has
been expanded, perhaps, as C.K. Barrett has suggested,
as a result of liturgical usage.11

7See the text, "Hellenistic Synagogal Prayers"
(3.27) in Charlesworth's OT Pseudepigrapha, II: p.680.

8The same triple formula also appears in II John 3.
Cf. Jude 2.

9There is verbatim agreement in the following
Pauline greetings: Rom.1:7; I Cor.1:3; II Cor.1:2;
Gal.1:3; Phil.1:2; II Thess.1:2; Phlmn. 3; [cf.
Eph.1:2]. The precise form of the greetings found in I
Thess. 1:1 and Col.1:2 is debated due to variations in
ancient MSS.

10Excluding the Pastorals, ἔλεος appears only x5 in
the Pauline corpus: Rom.9:23, 11:31, 15:9; Gal.6:16;
Eph.2:4. In the Pastorals it also occurs x5: I 1:2; II
1:2, 16, 18; Tit.3:5.

The original greeting may have read simply:

Paul to Timothy, my true child in faith. Grace and peace from God our Father and Christ Jesus our Lord.

1:3-7 Epistolary motive

Significant formal and literary problems emerge at this point. Instead of the customary Pauline thanksgiving, the letter moves immediately to a rehearsal of the commission given by Paul to Timothy.\(^{12}\) As we have seen (in ch. 1 above) this omission is a dramatic deviation from the Pauline letter-form and presents difficulties, not only for those who accept the authenticity of the letter in its present form, but also for those who argue that the letter was carefully crafted by a pseudonymous author imitating the Pauline style. Why would an imitator omit such a basic Pauline epistolary feature? The omission suggests that whoever put I Timothy in its present form was not concerned to imitate Paul's epistolary customs.\(^{13}\)

The grammatical construction of the larger paragraph (I 1:3-11) also poses problems. The extent of the difficulties is reflected in the remark by

\(^{12}\)Of all Paul's letters, only Galatians lacks a thanksgiving. Even Philemon, the most personal of Paul's letters, contains the customary thanksgiving.

\(^{13}\)Compare, for example, the imitation thanksgiving that appears in the pseudapostolic Epistle to the Laodiceans.
Blass-Debrunner-Funk that the construction is "reduced to utter chaos by interminable insertions and appended clauses."¹⁴ G. Holtz attributes the confusion to hurried speech or rapid dictation and compares Gal.3:6, but the problems here can scarcely be resolved by appealing to haste in dictation.¹⁵ The paragraph as a whole reflects little sequence of thought, and is characterized throughout by abrupt and puzzling transitions (see the analysis below). The difficulties have led some commentators to conjecture that 1:3-11 must be a later editorial interpolation.¹⁶ But it seems more likely that an original Pauline note has been expanded.

The authenticity of 1:3-4 has been doubted on the grounds that Timothy would not need to be told why he was to remain in Ephesus. But this argument can cut both ways; why would a pseudonymous author include such a sentence if it were so obviously inappropriate? It seems far more likely that 1:3-4 was part of Paul's original note.


functioning as an "official" reminder of an earlier agreed upon resolution. O. Roller has commented on the well-known style of this form of literary instruction:

Die Kontexteingänge (sc. von I Tim und Tit) mit ihrer Wiederholung der beiden Empfängern bereits mündlich gegebenen Aufträge...sind ganz rein amtlich...(und) waren im antiken Amtsstile wohl bekannt."

Paul is simply reminding Timothy that his earlier charge to remain in Ephesus and to direct the affairs there still stands. The remark may also serve as a sort of "authorization" note for Timothy, certifying that his special task in Ephesus (see below) has direct apostolic backing.

Paul's original note to Timothy began by instructing him to continue directing (παραγέλλω, 1:3) the leadership in Ephesus. No detailed instructions were required, since Paul's recent visit to Ephesus (1:3) would have enabled him to discuss at length with Timothy matters of specific concern facing the church there, and since Paul expected a speedy return (3:14). The lack of any thanksgiving is understandable as a Pauline omission, given the relatively informal nature of the note;

17 O. Roller, Das Formular der paulinischen Briefe (Stuttgart: Kohlhammer, 1933) p.148, compare also, 302. Roller only gives two examples of this popular form of epistolary address, but others have been adduced by M. Wolter, Die Pastoralbriefe, p.181.
it is not easy to see why a pseudonymous author would omit such an obvious Pauline epistolary characteristic.

Paul's major concern (1:3-7) has to do with the problem of false teachers who are threatening the stability of the church in (and around?) Ephesus. The information given was certainly not news to Timothy but, as the note was probably used to "authorize" his activity in Ephesus, it was no doubt useful to him, giving as it does apostolic identification of the community's problems.

1:8-11 Traditional sayings (On the Law)

It is difficult to identify any clear development of thought between 1:7 and that which follows. J. Calvin tried to make sense of the transition by suggesting that the apostle is here anticipating "a false accusation being brought against him."; J.N.D. Kelly regards the unit (1:8-11) as a "digression about the place and function of the law". 18 A logical connection, at any rate, is hard to see; it seems more likely that the mention of the νομοδιδάσκαλος in 1:7 suggested to a scribe a brief collection of material on the νόμος which he then included.

Two introductory formulas (οἶδαμεν δὲ ὅτι, εἶδος τοῦτο ὅτι) appear which, according to W. Bauer, are frequently employed to "introduce a well-known fact that is generally accepted." Such expressions seem to function throughout the Pastorals as "markers," signalling the presence of pre-formed traditional materials. Similar markers of pre-formed materials appear elsewhere in Jewish and early Christian literature; in Polycarp's letter to the Philippians (4:1), for example, the formula εἰδοτες ὅν ὅτι introduces the proverbial saying: "we brought nothing into the world and we can take nothing out of it" (cf. I Tim. 6:7). A similar formula (τοῦτο γὰρ ὅτε γινώσκοντες) precedes the vice list that appears in Eph. 5:5.

The lack of any logical connection between 1:8 and 1:9ff is a further indication that the two pieces were

---

19 A.T. Hanson (The Pastoral Epistles, p. 59) observes that 1:9 begins with an anacoluthon and suggests that the formula "understanding this" may be a "technique for introducing an already existing vice-list."

20 So K. Lake (The Apostolic Fathers, LCL [London: W. Heinemann, 1965] p. 291) on PolycarpPhil. 6:1: "The introductory formula 'knowing that' renders it probable that these words are a quotation, but the source is unknown." See also II Tim. 3:1 where it introduces a traditional apocalyptic piece; in Acts 2:30 it precedes an OT citation.

21 Paul, of course, could have used this formula himself, but the unPauline remarks about the law that follow in 1:8 make this unlikely (cf. the reference to C. Moule on p. 1 of this thesis).
at one time independent of one another. From the thrust of 1:8, one would naturally expect a further exposition on the meaning of the "lawful" use of the law; but, instead, another popular saying is quoted that leads in a very different direction. The resulting confusion has been noted by S. Wilson:

The argument seems to be a curious combination of a Pauline catch-phrase, and the Stoic view that the law is directed only at the lawless....There is something of a confusion between Torah and natural law or, at the least, the use of a Stoic principle as a means of understanding the purpose of the Torah.

Wilson attributes the confusion to the "Pastor" who "knew a Pauline phrase, perhaps from oral tradition, but did not fully understand the way Paul would have used it." It seems odd, however, that a disciple of the apostle would be confused on such a key Pauline issue. It is far more likely that a scribe, more concerned about the preservation of traditional material than about logical consistancy, has brought together two originally independent sayings on the law.

---

22 D-C (The Pastoral Epistles, p.22) recognize the tension between the two units: "V 9 introduces instead a further, well-known principle, which implies something entirely different from the preceding clause."


24 Ibid, p.92. For a similar assessment of the problem, see E.F. Scott, The Pastoral Epistles, p.11.
A catalogue of vices follows (1:9b-11), the traditional character of which has been widely recognized; some, in fact, who argue for the authenticity of the Pastorals allow that Paul may have taken over this material and incorporated it into his letter. But in light of the unPauline nature of 1:8, and the verbal link between 1:8 and 1:9ff, it seems better to attribute the insertion to a later editor. Two facts are especially significant: 1) of the fourteen terms which make up this list, only three (πόρνοι, ἄρσενοκοιταί, and ψεύσται) appear elsewhere in the NT; and 2) the list


26 On the traditional character of this list, J.N.D. Kelly (A Commentary on the Pastoral Epistles [London: Adam & Charles Black, 1963] p.49) writes: "the early Church soon amassed a substantial body of hortatory material which could be brought into play on the appropriate occasion." See also: E.E. Ellis ("Traditions in I Corinthians" NTS 32 [1986] 484): "the probability that these catalogues...were transmitted as fixed traditions is strengthened...by the presence of introductory formulas."

follows the order of the Decalogue. It is possible that the catalogue was taken over from a pre-existing hellenistic-Jewish source.

The bland proviso attached to the conclusion of the catalogue ("anything else contrary to sound doctrine") comes unexpectedly in light of the serious offences listed in the catalogue. D. Guthrie calls it a "surprise" and attributes it to "a transferrence of thought from teaching mainly designed for criminals to teaching intended as the normal rule of life." It seems more likely that the proviso signals a break in the traditional material, a "transferrence", not of thought, but of source; it may be a scribal gloss, added to cover all other deviations from the accepted teaching.

The patchwork nature of this collection of disparate materials is further evident from the expression that concludes the unit (1:11). C.K. Barrett observes that "it is not clear how v. 11 is attached to what precedes", and it cannot be denied that the connection seems rather odd. The phrase is grammatically

28 Excluding the fourth and the tenth commandments. See the detailed examination of the catalogue provided by W. Nauck, "Die Herkunft," pp.9ff.


awkward, and its meaning in the present context is obscure. It seems to function here almost as a summarizing formula, tying together the materials that have been gathered.

1:12-17 Autobiographical Material

This unit takes the form of an "autobiographical" account of Paul's conversion, the recounting of which would surely be unnecessary for the historical Timothy. The sudden outburst of thanksgiving comes unexpectedly at this point in the letter, following the extended vice-list of 1:9-11. The addition of καί (codex D, the majority text, et al.) at the beginning of 1:12 indicates that early editors also sensed the rough transition between this verse and 1:11.

Phrased in edifying and stylized language, the passage echoes the schema of the autobiographical

(Footnote Continued)

[Regensburg: Friedrich Pustet, 1969] p.108) notes the "stark formelhaft" character of the verse and adds that "die Auslegung der gedrangten Wortfolge ist nicht ganz einfach." Hesse (Die Entstehung der neutestamentlichen Hirtenbriefe [Halle: C.A. Kaemmerer, 1889] p.96) calls the link between 1:10 and 1:11 "ungenügend" and thinks it reflects a seam where materials have been added.

31 C.K. Barrett (The Pastoral Epistles, p.46) calls it a "personal digression;" so also, D. Guthrie, The Pastoral Epistles, p.63.

32 Regarding the addition of καί, H.J. Holtzmann (Die Pastoralbriefe, p. 298) writes: "...es wurde beigefügt (D), um Verbindung herzustellen."
discourses that appear frequently in Jewish literature.\textsuperscript{33} Such narrative materials often function paradigmatically, offering a patriarch's past experiences as an example to be followed or avoided.\textsuperscript{34}

The traditional character of this unit has been elucidated further by M. Wolter who compares its various elements to those that appear within the "thanksgiving hymns of Judaism:

Wolter finds the closest analogy to this material in the "Teacher-songs" from Qumran: (IQH 2.1-19; 2.20-30; 4.5-5.4; 5.5-19, 20-36; 7.6-25; 8.4-40).\textsuperscript{36}

In its present form the material in I Timothy 1:12-17 is clearly a unit, but this must not be taken to

\textsuperscript{33} See, for example, the T.Judah 19:1-5, in which the patriarch Judah gives a stylized account of his sinful past to which he adds: "But the God of my fathers, who is compassionate and merciful, pardoned me because I acted in ignorance." Compare a similar "confession" in the Assumption of Moses 12:7. Also, T.Reuben 1:5-10; T.Simeon 2:6-13; T.Issachar 3:1-8.

\textsuperscript{34} K. Baltzer (The Covenant Formulary, trans. by D. Green, [Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 1971] p.144) calls such pieces "biographical confessions or examples for admonition".

\textsuperscript{35} P. Wolter, Die Pastoralbriefe, p.63.

\textsuperscript{36} Ibid.
mean that originally it was the product of one hand. Various literary "seams", in fact, may still be seen within the passage, suggesting that it is made up of several previously independent pieces; the most obvious of these is the "faithful saying" which is formally introduced in 1:15. Even those who uphold the Pauline authorship of the Pastorals recognize the likelihood that traditional material is being cited here.\(^ {37} \)

Another "seam" is evident in 1:17, where a fixed, liturgically-styled doxology appears, the contents of which have little connection with the immediate context. The doxology contains no specifically Christian elements and most likely had its origins within the Jewish synagogue.\(^ {38} \)

In addition to these literary markers, the content of the passage also suggests its composite nature. It is not easy to reconcile the two portraits of Paul presented here, since one who received mercy because he

---

\(^ {37} \) E.K. Simpson (The Pastoral Epistles [London: Tyndale Press, 1954] pp.34-35), for example, thinks that Paul has taken over one of the λόγοι πνευματικοί which were circulating in his time. J.N.D. Kelly (The Pastoral Epistles, p.54) thinks the saying derives from "early catechetical or liturgical material."

\(^ {38} \) The expression "king of the age" appears frequently within Jewish writings; see, for example, Tobit 13:6,10. W. Lock (The Pastoral Epistles, p.17) suggests that the doxology "is probably a semi-quotation from some Jewish liturgical formula." D-C (The Pastoral Epistles, p.30) call it a "Jewish cultic formula."
"acted in ignorance (1:13) can hardly be considered "the chief of sinners" (1:15c). Perhaps two traditional accounts of Paul's conversion (1:12-15; and 1:15c-16) have been combined to form this Dankhymnus.

On the basis of such disparities in form and content, it seems right to conclude that 1:12-17 was not written by any single author, but is a collection of traditional materials dealing with Paul's conversion and the majesty of God's grace. The loose tie that 1:12-17 has to its context suggests that it was probably added as a pre-formed block of traditional material by the editor of the Pastorals.

1:18-20 An Apostolic Charge

This material seems to be part of the original Pauline note and probably followed originally on 1:7. In its present context the section presents many difficulties; the sudden reference to the charge (ταὸτην τὴν παραγγελίαν, 1:18) is confusing and seems out of place. Commentators who accept the unity of the text are divided on its meaning; some think the charge refers back to 1:3, while others follow Chrysostom in identifying the charge with what follows in 1:18 ("fight the

39 See, for example, B. Weiss, Die Briefe Pauli an Timotheus und Titus (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1902) p.100.
good fight";\textsuperscript{40} still others understand the charge to include the instructions within the epistle as a whole.\textsuperscript{41}

The lack of precision is brought about by the loose connection between 1:18-20 and its surrounding context. The passage does not follow smoothly after 1:17 nor does it provide an easy transition to 2:1. The discontinuity between 1:17 and 1:18 leads N. Brox to conjecture that 1:12-17 must be an interpolation.\textsuperscript{42}

2:1-3:16 Community Regulations

A remarkable change in the literary "atmosphere" is apparent in this section.\textsuperscript{43} The personal remarks to Timothy (1:18-20) give way to an unexpected collection of formal community regulations and duties (2:1-3:16).\textsuperscript{44}

\textsuperscript{40}\textsuperscript{40}C. Ellicott, Grammatical Commentary on the Pastorals, p.20

\textsuperscript{41}\textsuperscript{41}So D-C, The Pastoral Epistles, p.32. F. Gealy (Epistles to Timothy and Titus, p.393) thinks the "charge refers both backward and forward."

\textsuperscript{42}\textsuperscript{42}N. Brox, Die Pastoralbriefe, p.117.

\textsuperscript{43}\textsuperscript{43}The abrupt change in topic does not, in itself, prove that the author of 1:18-20 was not the author of 2:1, since we know that authors are capable of such transitions. But the arguments (see below) against the unity of authorship here are compelling and have a cumulative force.

\textsuperscript{44}\textsuperscript{44}H.J. Holtzmann (Die Pastoralbriefe, p.305), following Schleiermacher, notes the "unvermittelt" transition between 1:20 and 2:1.
The legislative nature of this material has often been noted,\textsuperscript{45} as has the discontinuous and sometimes illogical arrangement of the various regulations.\textsuperscript{46}

\textbf{2:1-7 On Prayer}

The previously independent character of this unit is clear from the way it is introduced. The introductory formula does not seem to fit the context; the function of οὗν (2:1), for example, is puzzling; to what does it refer? And what is meant by the unusual phrase πρῶτον πάντων (only here in the NT)? In its current context it is clearly not the first charge (see 1:3), nor is there any clear corresponding "second". Even if the phrase is taken to mean "most important of all," it is hard to see how the regulations of 2:1ff connect in any way with the concerns over false teaching that

\textsuperscript{45}H. W. Bartsch (Die Anfänge urchristlicher Rechtsbildungen, [Hamburg: H. Reich, 1965] pp.160ff.) thinks the Pastorals incorporate three kinds of community regulations: 1) rules for worship; 2) rules for community offices; and 3) General house rules (Haustafeln).

\textsuperscript{46}C.K. Barrett (The Pastoral Epistles, p.49) observes, for example, that "it is not always possible to find links between the paragraphs."
predominate in chapter one (1:3, 1:19-20). A. Hilgenfeld's recognition of this tension led him to conclude, correctly I think, that

wer II,1 schrieb...kann weder I,3 bis 11 noch I, 18-20 geschrieben haben."47

The transitions within the paragraph (2:1-7) are also hard to follow (cf.2:7); the discontinuities once again seem best attributed to the originally independent character of the materials included. Several traditional pieces appear to have been gathered together to make up this unit on prayer:

2:1-2 A regulation on prayer
2:3 A literary marker
2:4 Creedal fragment
2:5-6 Creedal fragment
2:7 Apostolic authorization

(2:1-2) Community rule: on prayer

The regulation on prayer (2:1-2) contains seven words found nowhere else in Paul's writings.48 The instruction to pray for "kings and all those in authority" with its appeal to self-interest ("that we might

47 A. Hilgenfeld, "Die Hirtenbriefe des Paulus" ZWT 40 (1897) p.17.

48 ἐντευξίας, ἡλέμος, ἡσύχιος, θεός, διάγω, εὐσέβεια, σωμότης.
lead a quiet and peaceful life") has a striking parallel in the counsel of Rabbi Hanina:

Pray for the peace of the kingdom; for except for the fear of that we should have swallowed up each his neighbor alive.  

The fixed character of this instruction can also be seen in the parallel that appears in Polycarp's letter to the Philippians (12:3):

Pray also for the Emperors, and for potentates, and princes....

It can scarcely be doubted that the regulation here has been incorporated from another source, be it a church order, or an ancient liturgy, or a Jewish prayer manual.  

(2:3) Literary marker

The conjunction γαρ appears in some MSS (aleph [corrected], D,F,G et al.) and was probably added by later editors who failed to see a sufficient logical connection between 2:3 and that which precedes.  

49 Pirque Aboth, 3.2. Prayer for authorities was regarded within Judaism as a duty. In Baruch 1:11ff, for example, an instruction is given to "pray for the life of Nebuchadnezzar king of Babylon...." See also: Ezra 6:10; I Macc. 7:33; Philo, In Flaccum 49.

50 See D-C's excursus (The Pastoral Letters, pp.37-39) on "Prayer for Pagan Authority."

51 E.K. Simpson (The Pastoral Epistles, p.41) is aware of the logical discontinuity here and observes that γαρ is "requisite to associate this verse with the preceding."
connection, in fact, is formal rather than logical.

The phrase is a formulaic "marker" of traditional materials, signalling here the inclusion of a community rule in 2:1-2, and declaring its validity. It seems to be functioning here in much the same way as the "faithful words" formula found elsewhere in the Pastorals (see I 1:15).

A similar link to traditional materials appears in I Clement 7:2-3, where a reference to the "venerable rule of our tradition" is followed by the exhortation:

Let us see what is good and pleasing and acceptable in the sight of our Maker.

Similar expressions occur in the LXX, suggesting that the phrase was not composed by the author of the Pastorals but is, instead, a formulaic saying that has been taken over from Judaism. The same phrase (with minor modifications) also occurs at I Timothy 5:4 where it signals the citation of a regulation on widows.

---

52 In I Clement the traditional material has been incorporated by an author, not a compiler. Why not here? The answer seems to be that throughout the larger context of I Tim. ch. 2, there is no real development of thought or logical progression -- a feature that points to the work of a compiler, not an author.

(2:4-6) Credal fragments

Two credal fragments follow, apparently linked to the preceding material on thematic grounds (that is, God's universal concern; cp. 2:1, "prayers for all men"; 2:4, "God desires all men to be saved"; 2:6 "a ransom on behalf of all"). The first fragment (2:4) consists of two lines which, if we replace the relative pronoun ὦς with ἦς (probably the original subject), are both twelve syllables in length.

The second fragment (2:5-6) is a balanced unit containing four rhythmic clauses; its compact literary style is notably different from that of the materials surrounding it. The fact that it is a set form is confirmed by its inclusion of ideas that appear unmotivated by the context (for example, the mention of monotheism, and the reference to Jesus' mediatorial role). C. Spicq, following F. Gealy, observes that the last phrase τὸ μαρτύριον καὶ ἰδίοις (2:6) is so elliptical as to be almost unintelligible. The obscurity of the phrase may derive, not so much from its condensed style (so E.K. Simpson) as from its lack of genuine (that is, original) context.

---

55 E.K. Simpson, The Pastoral Epistles, p.44.
Apostolic deposition

The fact that Paul never refers to himself as a preacher (κηρυξ) or a teacher (διδασκαλος) in his earlier letters counts against the genuineness of this text. On the other hand, it is equally difficult to explain why an imitator would set such a vehement apostolic assertion here in an otherwise impassive context.

The problem is lessened when the formal literary character of this passage is observed. The deposition seems to be functioning almost as a "seal of authorization," marking off a collection of traditional materials and affirming their continuing validity. The parallel in II 1:11 seems to confirm this reading of the text. There the saying (in an abbreviated but verbatim form) appears again at the end of a traditional credal or hymnic fragment.

Community rules: on conduct, dress, submission

A collection of traditional regulations regarding the conduct of men and women within the worshipping community follows. The previously independent character of the material is especially evident from the abrupt and sudden transitions that occur within the paragraph itself. The admonition to women (2:9), for example, is only loosely connected to 2:8 and presents significant translation problems owing to its lack of any main
verb. J.H. Bernard attributes the interpretive difficulties in 2:9 to a sudden change of mind by the author:

St. Paul, beginning his sentence...as if he were going to add directions about the public devotions of women, goes off in a different direction and supplies principles for their general deportment and dress.  

But no single author is to blame for such confusion; it is, rather, a genuine mark and a natural consequence of composite documents.

This cluster of regulations probably owes its place here in I Timothy to the catchword προσεύχεσθαι (2:8), and seems to be addressing the general issue of "Directions for Public Worship."  

The cluster includes four rules and a collection of haggadic-like sayings:

2:8 On the conduct of men  
2:9-10 On the dress of women  
2:11 On women's subordination  
2:12 On women's subordination  
2:13-15 A midrashic (haggadic) piece

---


58 So, N. Brox (Die Pastoralbriefe, p.129) who entitles the section (2:8-15): "Das Beten der Männer und das Verhalten der Frauen beim Gottesdienst."
(2:8) On the conduct of men

This regulation directed to men is formally introduced by the technical term, ἰδιομομαι; the term signals the presence here of legislative materials and affirms their continuing apostolic validity. The same phrase ἰδιομομαι οὐν appears in I 5:14 where it again introduces a regulation, this time pertaining to widows.

The duty to pray with uplifted hands was well known within Judaism (cp. Ps.141:2; 143:6; Lam.3:41; II Macc.14:34) and it is possible that this regulation has come from a pre-existing Jewish source. Clement shows an awareness of the same custom (and may employ the same source!) when he exhorts the Corinthians:

Let us then approach him in holiness of soul, raising pure and undefiled hands to him, loving our gracious and merciful father.... (I Cl.29:1)

(2:9-10) On the dress of women

A diverse collection of traditional regulations on women begins at this point. The first rule (2:9-10) seeks to limit women's devotion to fashion, and in so doing reflects a commonplace of the time; similar

59 See, for example, the letter from King Antiochus to an officer in Josephus, Antiquities 12.150. Compare the discussion by H-W. Bartsch, Die Anfänge, pp.47ff, and C. Spicq, Les Epitres Pastorales, p.372. Spicq notes that ἰδιομομαι was a technical term within hellenistic circles denoting a "décision du législateur, volonté du Souverain...."
instructions appear within Jewish, Christian, and pagan circles:

[The holy woman] must not wear any gold ornament, nor put on rouge, nor white paint, nor a wreath, nor braid her hair; nor put on shoes... 

The introductory ὥσαυτώς serves to link 2:9 with 2:8, but only in a formal (and not a consecutive) sense. That is, it must not be interpreted as somehow carrying over "to women all that has been said about men [in 2:8]." It is possible, of course, that ὥσαυτώς simply marks the transition (as it often does in tables of Haustafeln) between one group of sayings and another. But it may be functioning here as a literary device that serves to link together previously independent materials. The term appears at least five times in the Pastorals:

I 2:9 on women
I 3:8 on deacons,
I 3:11 on deaconesses,
Tit. 2:3 on aged women,
Tit. 2:6 on young men.

---

60 From the mystery inscriptions of Andania. See, Dittenberger, Orac.Syll. II., 736.22ff (cited by D-C, The Pastoral Epistles, p.46); see also, the references in Strack-Billerbeck, Kommentar zum NT, III: 645, 428ff.


62 It is noteworthy that ὥσαυτώς only appears twice in Paul's letters; see Rom. 8:26, I Cor. 11:25.
The synonym ἀνομία seems to function in a similar way to mark off traditional materials:

- I Pet. 3:1 on women,
- 3:7 on husbands,
- 5:5 on young men;
- Poly.Phil. 5:2 on deacons,
- 5:3 on young men.

(2:11) **On the subordination of women**

C. Spicq and others have noted the abrupt shift in thinking that occurs here. The discontinuity between 2:11 and that which precedes, in fact, led G. Holtz to conclude that in 2:11-15,

> begegnet uns der gewichtige Verdacht
einer späteren Zutat oder
Entstellung.

Holtz is correct in observing that the text here reads oddly but, in attributing the oddity to a later interpolator, he fails to take into consideration the

---

63 C. Spicq, *Les Epitres Pastorales*, p.379. Spicq tries to explain the abruptness by suggesting that the author is returning to the original subject of the section: "L'asynède montre que l'on passe à un autre plan." R. Karris (The Pastoral Epistles [Wilmington, Delaware: M. Glazier, 19842] p.66) observes that 2:11-12 "occur almost without warning."

64 G. Holtz, *Die Pastoralbriefe* (Berlin: Evangelische Verlagsanstalt, 1972) pp.72-3. Holtz gives three reasons for this suspicion: 1) that 2:11-15 breaks the flow of chs.2-3; 2) that the rigid attitude expressed here toward women parallels that of 1 Cor. 14:34-35 (which Holtz thinks is an interpolation), and 3) that the earliest sources suggest that women (far from being excluded) were very active in the worship and service of the church.
composite nature of this document. If the regulations collected in 2:9-15 derive, as we think, from different sources, then abrupt and unusual shifts in thinking and emphasis are to be expected.

Three features suggest that 2:11 originated within a different context from that of 2:9-10: 1) the differences in literary style between the two rules; 2) the sudden and unmotivated switch from the plural γυναικας (2:9) to the singular γυνη (2:11); and 3) the change from the infinitive (κοσμειν, 2:9) to the third person imperative (μανθανετω, 2:11).

(2:12) On the subordination of women

Another traditional regulation concerning the role of women in the community follows, this time using the authoritative first person singular ἐπιτρέπω. The phrase ἐν ἡσυχία in its present context seems unnecessary in light of its appearance in 2:11. In all likelihood this redundancy is due to the linking together (by word association?) of two formerly independent regulations on women.

---

65 The compact, epigrammatic style of 2:11 contrasts with the more elaborate style of 2:9-10.

66 ἐπιτρέπω was often used to introduce an authoritative decision: see Jn. 19:38 (of Pilate); Acts 21:40 (of a centurion); I Cor. 16:7 (of the Lord). Compare the use of ἐπιτρέπω in I Cor. 14:34-35.
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An haggadic-like collection of "sayings" on women (cf. Gen. 3:13, 16) concludes this unit of materials. The unit presents a number of exegetical difficulties; the context of 2:14 leads one to expect the aorist tense of γίνομαι instead of the perfect γέγονε ('has come to be in transgression') which seems to read awkwardly in the present context. The oddity led W. Lock to conjecture that the saying (ΑΔΩΜ γὰρ... γέγονε) is "a quotation from some Jewish Apocrypha, scornful of women...." Parallels are not difficult to find; the book of Sirach, for example, includes a number of collected sayings "on women," one of which incorporates the following:

From a woman sin had its beginning, and because of her we all die.

The meaning of the second saying (2:15) is very obscure; contextual difficulties (among others) led C. Spicq to conclude that the verse must be a gloss. The ambiguity of the singular subject (to whom is "she"

---

68 Sir. 25:24. Compare the collections of material: Sir.25:16-26 ("on evil wives"); Sir. 26:1-4 ("on good wives"); Sir. 26:6-9 ("on evil wives"). Note the long addition in some MSS of another collection of sayings on women in Sir. 26:19-27.
69 C. Spicq, Les Epitres Pastorales, p.382. "Si la tradition diplomatique n'était unanime, on verrait dans ce v. une glose, tant il s'adapte mal au contexte...."
referring?) and the puzzling plural verb (μείωσις) are odd features, and become especially problematic if one author is assumed responsible for this document. It is easier to accept the difficulties as owing to the fact that the material is no longer in its original context; in fact, it may be that 15a and 15b have been combined from two different sources, thus resulting in the bizarre grammatical construction.70

(3:1a) Literary marker

If the "faithful word" formula signals a solemn citation,71 its appearance here is very odd. Neither that which precedes nor that which follows can easily be regarded as a citation. E.K. Simpson thinks the remark "looks somewhat superfluous" in its present context.72

It seems better to take the expression as a formulaic marker, signalling the end (or the beginning?) of a collection of traditional materials, and marking them off as authoritative within the community. The

70 So H-W. Bartsch, Die Anfänge, p.72.
71 So, for example, J. Calvin (Commentary on Timothy Titus, p.221) considers the expression as "a preface to a statement of some importance."
appearance of this marker at the end of traditional material occurs also in Tit. 3:8 (and perhaps I 4:9).  

3:1b-13 Qualities of Leaders

The transition from the preceding materials is once again sudden and abrupt. There is no development of thought that links 2:15 with 3:1b; another collection of traditional materials simply appears, this time introduced by a proverbial saying.

This block of materials (3:1b-13) is unified by its concern for establishing proper leadership standards within the community. No specific duties are listed; rather, catalogues of virtues and vices serve to describe the qualities required of an overseer (3:2-7), deacons (3:8-10, 12-13), and deaconnesses (3:11).

The qualities required of the leaders are remarkable for their general (that is, not specifically Christian) character. No exceptional virtues are

---

73 The variant reading πρὸς ἄνθρωπος (D* b m) is probably a scribal emendation of the "faithful word" formula, the change being made because 3:1b seemed an odd "faithful saying."

74 D. Guthrie (The Pastoral Epistles [Leicester: Inter-Varsity Press, 1957] p.80) finds the lack of specifically Christian standards "surprising" but tries to account for the difficulties by asserting that "the majority of converts probably came from a background of low moral ideals." It seems far more likely that general parenetic materials have been taken over here and put to new use.
required of these leaders; on the contrary, those aspiring to "Christian" leadership are told that they must not be drunkards, given to violence, or contentious (cf. 3:3, 8). These features suggest the likelihood that pre-existing (perhaps pre-Christian?) lists of leadership qualities have been taken over and employed here in a new context.

The varieties of literary style, the unusual vocabulary, and the odd arrangement of some of the materials (see below) indicate that more than one traditional source has been collected and incorporated here. The entire passage, in fact, seems to consist of loosely connected, conventional virtues relating to "the qualities of leadership." It is remarkable that, except for the concluding phrase, ἐν πίστει τῷ Ἑρωδίῳ (3:13), there is nothing particularly Christian within the entire collection. 75

The collection of materials includes:

3:1b An introductory proverbial saying
3:2-7 Lists of qualities of an overseer
3:8-13 Lists of qualities of a deacon/deaconess

75 The insertion of not specifically "Christian" sources into the Pastorals points to a compiler who drew from a wide collection of traditional materials.
(3:1b) Introductory maxim

The precise meaning and function of this proverb in its present context remains unclear. On the basis of the peculiar style and vocabulary of the saying, G. Knight (who affirms the authenticity of the Pastorals) concludes that the expression cannot have originated with Paul, but probably derives from a Christian community. T.Zahn is also aware of the alien character of this text, concluding that the saying must be "a proverb of rather broad significance and non-Christian origin." Whatever its origin, it is most likely that originally independent material has been taken over here. The general phrase εἰ τίς may indicate that a regulative source is being quoted.

---

76 See F. Gealy (Epistles to Timothy and Titus, pp.408-9) for a survey of five different attempts to clarify the meaning and function of this saying. Gealy himself thinks the saying "...is obviously a quotation. But what its original meaning was or just why it is quoted here is uncertain" (p.408).


78 T. Zahn, Introduction to the NT, II: p.124.

79 Compare E.E. Ellis, "Traditions," pp.244-45. Ellis suggests that the conditional sentence may serve as a marker of traditional materials in the Pastorals (cf.1 1:8; 5:4).
(3:2-7) Qualities required of an overseer

The use of δεῖ plus the infinitive may be a signal here (as in 3:7, Tit.1:7) that traditional materials have been taken over. The phrase introduces a virtue/vice list (3:2-3) comprised of twelve members, ten of which are found nowhere else in Paul's letters. The list is uniform in style, in clear contrast to the requirements that follow (3:4ff). Not only does the style change, but the content of 3:5ff is also markedly different from that which precedes. In Brox's words:

...in diesem Punkt wird nun ausdrücklich die Brücke von der allgemeinen Tugendregel zur speziellen Amts-Qualifikation geschlagen.

These changes in style and content suggest the likelihood that different sources have been combined here. The strange repetition of the phrase phrase ἐμπέσῃ τοῦ διαβόλου in 3:6 and 3:7 supports this conjecture.

(3:8-13) Qualities required of a deacon/deaconess

This cluster of material shows the same kind of sudden stylistic changes as does that of 3:1-7; it begins with a virtue/vice list comprised of four

__80__ So H-W. Bartsch (Die Anfänge, p.13). Other formal indicators noted by Bartsch include: third person imperatives; authoritative verbs (like θοῦλομαι and διαγάγωμαι); and conditional clauses beginning with εἰ or εἴ δέ.

__81__ N. Brox, Die Pastoralbriefe, p.144.
members, followed by a series of individual regulations directed to deacons and deaconesses. The introductory ἡσαύτῳς (3:8) signals the presence of traditional materials (cf.2:9) and serves to link this cluster to that which precedes.

The poor arrangement of the material does not indicate (pace Easton) "that it is the Pastor's composition." It is, in fact, just this kind of discontinuity that makes it difficult to ascribe this material to one author; development of thought is expected from an author. R. Falconer conjectures that the text has "suffered by displacement" and suggests that the correct order was 2:8,9,12,10,13,11, but such a desperate solution is not required; apparent displacement of materials is a hallmark of composite documents.

The confusion over the identity of the women referred to in 3:11 (wives of deacons/deaconesses?) is another indication that pre-existing materials have been taken over here and placed in "non-native" contexts. This conjecture gains support from the re-appearance of the formal ἡσαύτῳς in 3:11, which serves again to signal the introduction of a traditional virtue/vice list.

---

82 B.S. Easton, The Pastoral Epistles, p.133.
3:14-15 Epistolary motive

The community regulations are interrupted here by a puzzling autobiographical note. The abruptness of the transition was observed as long ago as 1807 by F. Schleiermacher, who noted the lack of any logical connection:

So sind wir denn räthlos über die Verbindung unseres Verses mit dem vorigen und folgenden, aber auch seine innere Anordnung is nicht besser.

It appears likely that this passage was part of the original Pauline note sent to Timothy; it is difficult to imagine why a pseudonymous author would include the remark on Paul's intended speedy return (3:14; cf.4:13) since such a scenario would run the risk of making the elaborate instructions of I Timothy seem unnecessary.

But if the passage is authentic, it can hardly be in its original context. The instructions given in chs.2-3 are too elementary to require Timothy's attention; and if they are intended for the sake of the larger Christian community (so Jeremias), it is difficult to understand why the expression "in order that you may know" is in the singular (Ἰνα εἰδῆς). In its present

---

84 F. Schleiermacher, "Über den sogenannten ersten Brief des Paulos an den Timotheos" in Sämtliche Werke, Vol.1, part 2 (Berlin: G. Reimer, 1836) p.304. Cp. F. Gealy (The Epistles to Timothy and Titus, p.418): "This passage is without grammatical or doctrinal connection with the material which immediately precedes or follows."
context, the passage fits awkwardly both in tone and subject matter to that which immediately precedes (on deacons) and that which follows (a confessional fragment).

If we accept the note's authenticity we find that it fits well enough following the previous cluster of genuine Pauline material (cf. 1:18-20). The remarks regarding "how one ought to behave in the church of God" (3:15) probably referred originally to the problem of the false teachers and insubordinate members within the church (cf. 1:3-7, 18-20).

3:16 Confessional Fragment

A formulaic saying (3:16a) introduces the credal or hymnic fragment of 3:16b. The term ὁμολογιαλόγουμένως appears as part of a fixed expression three times in IV Maccabees: "it must be admitted (ὁμολογιαλόγουμένως) that devout (εὐσεβεία) reason is sovereign over the emotions" (cf. 6:31; 7:16; 16:1). The fact that the term in IV Maccabees appears within a context of "piety" (as it does in I Tim. 3:16a) is striking, and leads A.T. Hanson to conclude that the author of the Pastorals is "deliberately copying 4 Maccabees."85 There is too little

evidence either to verify or to deny such a claim; an important thing to note, however, is that the term ὄμωλογουμένως appears as part of a formulaic saying in IV Maccabees, and serves there, as in I Timothy, to provide a transition to a new block of materials.

The independent and pre-formed character of the fragment has long been recognized: 86 The introductory ὄμωλογουμένως, the abruptness of ὦς (with its resulting variant readings), the three rythmically equal couplets, and the fact that the content of the passage occasionnally exceeds its immediate context, all suggest that this is cited material.

The origin of the fragment is unclear. The fact that there is no explicit reference to Christ's death or resurrection is very striking; its vagueness requires that we allow for the possibility that a pre-Christian hymn has been taken up here and applied to Christ. 87

---

86 The suggestion was put forward at least as early as 1817 by Rambach in his Anthologie christlicher Gesänge, I: p.33. Cited by H.J. Holtzmann, Die Pastoralbriefe p.329.

87 C.K. Barrett (The Pastoral Epistles, p.66) observes that "it is probable that the hymn rests to some degree on pre-Christian models."
4:1-5  Eschatological Polemic

An abrupt transition leads to a series of polemical sayings, framed in apocalyptic language (cp. II 3:1ff). The introductory expression (τὸ δὲ πνεῦμα ἡτῶς λέγει), and the (recitative?) ὅτι, suggests that some authoritative material is being cited;\(^{88}\) perhaps the material that follows was originally a floating collection of prophetic sayings.\(^{89}\) Similar collections are common within apocalyptic Judaism; the Testament of the Twelve Patriarchs, for example, contains such eschatological polemics:

I know, my children, that in the last times your sons will turn their backs on simplicity and become obsessed with greed; they will abandon innocence and resort to cunning; and forsaking the Lord's commands, they will attach themselves to Beliar. And they will give up farming and follow their own wicked inclination.

For I know that in the last days you will defect from the Lord....you will live by every evil deed, committing the revolting acts of the gentiles, chasing after wives of lawless men, and you are motivated to all wickedness by the spirits of deceit among you. (TNaph.5:4-5)

\(^{88}\) J. Calvin (Commentary on Timothy, Titus, p.236) calls the expression a word of "solemn assurance." D-C (The Pastoral Epistles, p.64) notes that ἡτῶς is found in prophecies, and cites Justin's Apology, 1.35.10; 63.10.

\(^{89}\) R. Falconer (The Pastoral Epistles, p.139) suggests that both this passage and II 3:1ff may pre-date the Pastorals.
The eschatological polemic in I Tim. 4:1-5 is not unlike these examples. The language is typically vague and broadly aimed; the opponents are only identified as "some" people (τινες, 4:1) who engage in a variety of clearly evil activities.

It is noteworthy that the false teachings identified in 4:1-3 (immorality and asceticism) are very different from the heresies mentioned earlier in 1:3b-7 (myths and genealogies). Such variations are not surprising if the various blocks of material derive from different sources.

4:6-10 Traditional Admonitions

"These things" (ταῦτα) occurs frequently in the Pastorals, but it is often difficult to ascertain its reach. Does it refer to the principles laid down in 4:4-5 (Bernard), or to the preceding paragraph (Scott), or to the material between 3:16 and 4:5 (Chrysostom), or to the entire first four chapters (Parry)? The term is equally vague in its other appearances within the Pastorals (cp. I 4:11; 6:2; II 2:14; Tit.2:15).

The imprecision suggests that ταῦτα may not be intended as a specific designation of reference; it may be serving, rather, as a formal literary marker, signalling the end of one collection of traditional materials and the beginning of another. This use of ταῦτα can be
seen in the letter of Barnabas where the term appears both at the beginning and at the end of the traditional "Two-Ways" material:

\[
\begin{align*}
17:2 & \text{ ταύτα μὲν οὕτως.} \\
21:1 & \text{ ὦ γὰρ ταύτα ποιῶν ἐν τῷ βασιλείᾳ τοῦ θεοῦ δοξασθήσεται.}
\end{align*}
\]

The poem of Pseudo-Phocylides begins with the word ταύτα,\(^{90}\) indicating that the term does not always refer to that which precedes, but can serve to mark off that which follows as well.\(^{91}\) Equally significant is the fact that ταύτα also brings this collection of proverbial sayings to a close.\(^{92}\)

A collection of traditional materials incorporated into the Sibylline Oracles (II 66-148) is also signalled by ταύτα:\(^{93}\)

\[
\begin{align*}
149f. & \text{oὗτος ἄγὼν, ταύτ' ἐστὶν ἄθλια, ταύτα βραβεῖα, τὸ ὦ το πῦλη καὶ ἑσθεὶς καὶ ἑσθεὶς ἄθανασίας...}
\end{align*}
\]

---

\(^{90}\) Ps.Phoc.1-2: "These counsels (ταύτα δίκησι) of God by his holy judgments Phocylides the wisest of men sets forth, gifts of blessing."

\(^{91}\) Pace E.E. Ellis ("Traditions in the Pastoral Letters," p.243f) who argues that ταύτα signals the conclusion of pre-formed materials.

\(^{92}\) Ps.Phoc.229-30: "These are the mysteries of righteousness (ταύτα δικαιοσύνης μυστηρία); living thus may you live out a good life, right up to the threshold of old age."

\(^{93}\) The material has been taken from Pseudo-Phocylides (see the discussion in ch. 2. above).
In the Pastorals the word is also linked to collections of traditional materials. Here at I Tim. 4:6, for example, it seems to signal the beginning of a cluster of miscellaneous admonitions and proverbial sayings. No thread of logic drives this unit along; only the loosest thematic link (training in godliness?) holds the diverse materials together.\(^{94}\)

The vocabulary is distinctive, including five words which occur nowhere else in the NT (outside of the Pastorals).\(^{95}\) The unit includes the following elements:

- **4:6** Introductory admonition to leaders
- **4:7a** Admonition to avoid tales
- **4:7b** Admonition to train for godliness
- **4:8** Proverb on physical/spiritual training
- **4:9** Faithful word formula
- **4:10** Concluding saying

The proverb-like quality of the whole section makes it difficult to determine with any precision what the "faithful saying" mentioned at 4:9 actually is.\(^{96}\) The lack of cohesiveness within the unit suggests that each of the admonitions and sayings could have originally

---

\(^{94}\) The seemingly unnecessary and abrupt appearance of 4:6-7a led R. Falconer (The Pastoral Epistles, p.142) to conclude that these vss. must be editorial insertions; but the composite nature of the material is sufficient to account for the apparent "interruption."

\(^{95}\) Εντρέψο, γραφής, γυμνασία, ωφέλιμος, ἀποδοχή.

\(^{96}\) See G. Knight, Faithful Sayings in the Pastoral Letters, p.62f. Knight notes the confusion and surveys the commentators' opinions: many think the "faithful saying" refers to 4:8, while some link it to 4:10, and others to 3:16.
been independent of one another. The reference to myths, for example, in the Letter of Aristeas (168) shows how the admonition in I Tim. 4:7a could have had a proverbial character within certain circles of Judaism:

Nothing absurd or mythical is related in our Scripture. (L.Arist. 168)

The two sayings of 4:7b and 4:8 are plainly linked by the reference to ἐνοεῖσθαι, but the logical transition is not nearly so clear. It may be that two formerly independent sayings touching on the same theme have been strung together here. The general epigrammatic quality of 4:8 is evident from the many Stoic parallels that can be adduced; in Ad Demonicum (21), for example, Pseudo-Isocrates writes:

Train yourself in self-imposed toils, that you may be able to endure those which others impose upon you.

The meaning of the opening phrase (εἰς τοῦτο γάρ) in 4:10 is obscure; it does not easily link up with that which precedes (4:8) or that which follows 4:10b. Calvin tries to clarify the development of thought by

---

97 F. Gealy (The Epistles to Timothy and Titus, p.429) notes the uncertain connection between I 4:7b and 4:8.

98 Cited by D-C (The Pastoral Epistles, p.68) who think 4:8 has the ring of a Greek aphorism that has been taken over by Jews or Christians.

99 Commentators have long debated the intended reference of 4:10a. See the discussion by C.J. Ellicott, Grammatical Commentary on the Pastorals, p.59.
suggesting that 4:10 anticipates an objection, but this is impossible to verify. It seems better to accept the miscellaneous nature of the material and take 4:10 as a formerly independent saying that has been incorporated from a different context.

4:11-16 Traditional Admonitions

Παράγγελλε ταῦτα signals another collection of loosely connected admonitions and sayings that seem to be strung (like beads on a string) around the theme of "commands to Timothy." The accumulation of ten imperatives, the vague use of ταῦτα (4:11, 15) and τοῦτο (4:16), and the lack of any internal cohesion, suggest that the material has been gathered from various sources.

A close parallel to this cluster of personal parenesis appears in Tobit 4:1-19 where, in the form of a testament, Tobit gives a collection of traditional admonitions to his son Tobias. The mixture of personal and general parenesis, the lack of continuity between

---

100 J. Calvin, Commentary on Timothy, Titus, p.245.

101 For example, the "personal" admonition in Tob. 4:14 ("Watch yourself, my son, in everything you do, and be disciplined in all your conduct") is followed by the negative golden rule in 4:15.
admonitions, the use of conventional language, the heaping up of imperatives, the emphasis upon personal discipline and fidelity to the traditions that have been handed down --all of these formal literary features appear both in Tobit 4:1-19 and I Timothy 4:11-16. The parallels reflect the use of a traditional schema that was frequently employed in the collection of parenetic materials.

Tobias' role as an exemplary Jew is clear. The personal admonitions directed to him, consequently, are not meant to have a limited validity; they are of value to anyone desiring to be a good Jew. The same sort of significance seems to have been given to the personal admonitions that appear in I 4:11-16. In this case, traditional Pauline teachings (4:12-14) may have been gathered together and incorporated with non-Pauline traditions (see 4:16b) in the belief that they were all of general validity for leaders within the community. The formulaic τῶντα in 4:15 may signal the inclusion of another block of previously independent (non-Pauline?)

102 Compare the conventional phrases: "Remember the Lord...my son" (Tob.4:4); "beware, my son" (Tob.4:12); watch yourself (προσέχετε), my son" (Tob.4:14c); "my son, remember" (Tob.4:19c); "do not be afraid, my son" (Tob.4:21).

103 See, for example, Tob. 4:5, 14, 19.
materials (4:15-16). Included in this collection of admonitions are:

4:11 Introductory marker of traditional materials
4:12 Admonition to be exemplary
4:13 Admonition to attend to traditional worship customs
4:14 Admonition to employ one's gift
4:15 Introductory marker of traditional materials
4:16a Admonition to attend to the traditions
4:16b Concluding promise to the faithful

5:1-22 Community Rules

This block of traditional materials is loosely held together by concern for the care of various groups within the community. That the collection derives from various sources is apparent from the discontinuity both among and within the collected traditions (see below).

(5:1-2) On the treatment of community members

Kelly observes that "at this point the tone and content of the letter change abruptly." The independent character of 5:1-2 can hardly be denied. The

104 The saying promises "salvation" to the one who faithfully obeys the traditional admonitions. A similar promise appears in Tobit 4:9: "So [by following the admonitions on charity] you will be laying up a good treasure for yourself against the day of necessity." See also the close parallels in II Clement 15:1; 19:1.

discussion on the proper treatment of members in the community appears unexpectedly and is just as suddenly left off. As a general "household rule" it seems to parallel, both in structure and content, traditional rules popular within pagan and Jewish circles.106

A faint sketch of this rule may be reflected in I Clement 1:3 where the Romans are commended for their treatment of the rulers and the aged (πρεσβύτερος); these remarks are then followed (1:3b) by regulations concerning the conduct of various groups within the community: the young (γέως), the wives, and the husbands. The passage closes with a mention of the "rule of obedience" (κανὼν τῆς ὑποταγῆς, 1:3c) which may indicate the use of a fixed catechetical source by the early church.

In its original setting, at any rate, the rule that appears at I Tim. 5:1-2 probably had its place among many others, in a context similar, perhaps, to the collection of "household rules" found in Sirach chs.7-8.107

106 A striking parallel appears in the Greek inscription cited by D-C (The Pastoral Epistles, p.72): "continually honoring older men as parents, peers as brothers, and younger men as sons."

107 The collection of rules on the treatment of community members in Sirach is remarkable for its diversity: it includes children (7:23), daughters (7:24), wives (7:26), fathers (7:27), mothers (7:27), (Footnote Continued)
(5:3-16) On the care of widows

This unit comprises a cluster of materials relating to the care of widows in the community. The frequently rough and obscure transitions within the passage give rise to major exegetical questions. The extent of the difficulties is reflected in R. Parry's suggestion that the "curiously ill-arranged" materials in 5:3-8 must have been displaced and should be read as follows: 3, 4, 8, 7, 5, 6. Other commentators find the placement of 5:16 problematic, and conjecture that it must have strayed from its original place (following 5:4 or 5:8?). B.S. Easton notes the apparent redundancies within the passage and attributes them to "anxiety of the writer."

There is considerable evidence, however, to suggest that the obscurities within this section are not due to

(Footnote Continued)

priests (7:29), the poor (7:32), the sick (7:35), the powerful (8:1), the rich (8:2), the ill-bred (8:4), the old (8:6).

108 R. Parry, The Pastoral Epistles (Cambridge: University Press, 1921) p.31. See, also, R. Falconer (The Pastoral Epistles, pp.147-8) who thinks that the confusion in the order "may have arisen from the process of additions to what was a church manual."

109 See, for example, E.F. Scott, The Pastoral Epistles, p.63; C. Spicq (Les Epitres Pastorales, 538) notes that in its context "la tonalité de cette exhortation surprend."

110 B.S. Easton, The Pastoral Epistles, p.151. Easton notes the repetition that occurs in 5:4 and 5:8.
displacement or editorial addition but to the fact that the unit is a collection of previously independent sayings on the care of widows. The formulaic τότε γὰρ ἐστὶν ἀπόδεκτον ἐνώπιον τοῦ Θεοῦ (5:4b, cp.2:3) signals, in all likelihood, that the regulation of 5:4a is a citation. Another "marker" indicating the likely presence of traditional materials appears in 5:7: καὶ ταῦτα παράγγελε.

The lack of linguistic precision also suggests that materials have been removed from their native context. The admonition at 5:4 begins with a singular subject ("if any widow," 5:4a) which changes abruptly to an unexpressed plural (μανθανέτωσαν, 5:4b). That subsequent copyists sensed the confusion is evident from the change in some MSS from the plural verb to the singular.111 The vulgate and Chrysostom take the subject to be the "widows", although "children" or "grandchildren" would appear to fit the present context better.

It seems best to attribute the confusion within the passage, not to a careless or inattentive author, but to the composite nature of this material. Once again, the

111 MSS 945 d f m et al. read μανθανέτω.
lack of "native" context contributes to the confusion that is all too apparent throughout this unit.

The sayings may be divided as follows:

5:3 Superscription on widows (cf.3:1)
5:4 On widows with families
5:5-7 On "real" widows
5:8 On the neglect of widows
5:9-10 On enrolling older widows
5:11-15 On young widows
5:16 On widows with families

(5:17-21) On Elders

This series of collected admonitions concerning elders may owe its placement here in the immediate context to the catchword τιμή (cp, 5:3, 6:1). The unit as a whole lacks any internal development of thought, and the disjointed sequence of the materials causes considerable exegetical difficulties. The present collection seems to incorporate the following regulations and sayings:

5:17 On the care of elders
5:18a Traditional citation (Deut.25:4; cp. I Cor.9:9)
5:18b Proverbial saying
5:19a On accusations against elders
5:19b Traditional citation (Deut.17:6, 19:15)
5:20 On discipline of elders
5:21 Summary marker of traditional materials

---

112 R. Falconer (The Pastoral Epistles, p.149) considers the possibility that materials have become displaced here, as in 5:3-16.

113 Compare Lk.10:7, Matt.10:10, Did.13:1-2. The fact that in I Tim. 5:18 no appeal is made to Jesus' authority suggests that the saying probably circulated as a common proverbial expression.
There is little logical development within this section, but a thematic thread is again visible: a number of diverse regulations pertaining to elders (some of them complete with stock proof-texts, cf. 5:17-18, 19) have been gathered together.

The sudden appearance of the solemn oath in 5:21 is surprising. Barrett suggests that it "shows the earnestness of the author's feelings," while Guthrie thinks it reflects the weak character of Timothy who needed "stiffening up." But such attempts to explain the psychological motives behind the oath miss the formulaic character of the expression; it is functioning here simply as a marker of the traditional materials which precede it (5:17ff).

Outside of the Pastorals the term δικασμομεναι and the fixed expression ταῦτα φυλάξῃς both serve to signal the presence and to underscore the importance of collected traditional sayings. In the LXX version of Deut.31:28, for example, δικασμομεναι occurs at the conclusion of a lengthy collection of traditional Mosaic teachings. In Deut.32:46 (LXX) the same word appears at the end of the pre-formed Song of Moses (32:1-43).

---

The ταύτα formula is linked in I Tim. 5:21 to the verb φυλάσσω, a term which has long been employed within Judaism to signify the transmission and preservation of religious traditions. 115

5:22-25 Miscellaneous Admonitions

The order of the following admonitions defies attempts at systemization and has given rise to numerous conjectures. H.J. Holtzmann, for example, puzzled by the seemingly unmotivated admonition to "keep yourself pure" in 5:22b, thinks the verse may be misplaced and suggests that it may have originally followed 5:2. 116 Similar doubts surround the peculiar admonition to drink some wine in 5:23; J. Calvin notes how it interrupts the train of thought and suggests that it may have been a marginal note that found its way into the text through a scribal error. 117

Such attempts to account for the discontinuity of this material reflect the seriousness of the problems facing the interpreter. How can such lack of order and coherence be explained?

115 See the frequent use of φυλάσσω in the Testament of the Twelve Patriarchs: TReub.3:9; TSim.3:1, 4:5; TJud.16:1, TIss.5:1; TZeb.5:1, 10:2 et al.


117 J. Calvin, Commentary on Timothy, Titus, p.266.
Many who assume that one author is responsible for this document are driven to the desperate expedient of postulating textual displacement. But such a conjecture is nothing less than a tacit admission that the text as we have it does not read like the product of a single mind. No "author" would write in this way.

It seems better to accept the composite nature of this material and thereby allow for the diversity and discontinuities that characterize it:

The collection of admonitions includes:

5:22a Admonition on the restoration of a penitent
5:22b Admonition on purity
5:23 Admonition on asceticism
5:24-25 Proverbial sayings on judgment

The lack of genuine context explains the difficulty in assessing the precise meaning of these admonitions. 5:22a, for example, may refer to the laying on of hands in ordination, or to the restoration of penitents to fellowship, but in the present context no clear decision between these two options is possible. The admonition on purity (5:22b) seems unmotivated by its


119 See, for example, Eusebius, Ecclesiastical History, vii.2 (Loeb): "It was the ancient custom that [repentant heretics] should receive only the laying on of hands with prayers." Cp., the Syriac Didascalia ii.41.
present context as does the instruction to "drink some wine" (5:23).

The general proverbial character of 5:24 is underscored by the double use of the indefinite pronoun τίς ("the sins of some men"). The idea that one's good deeds or evil works would "go before" (προάγω) him into judgment was a commonplace:

Each will receive according to his deeds. If he be good his righteousness will lead him, if he be evil the reward of iniquity is before him (Barn. 4:12).

The formulaic ὡςαύτως introduces another proverb (5:25) presenting a similar view.

6:1-2 On the conduct of slaves

Another sudden transition brings the reader without warning or introduction to a unit that deals with the proper conduct of slaves. A small cluster of admonitions on the treatment of slaves in Pseudo-Phocylides (223-227) shows how collections of previously unrelated

120See K. Weidinger, Die Haustafeln: ein Stück urchristlicher Paränese (Leipzig: Hinrichs, 1928). See also, H-W Bartsch, Die Anfänge, pp.144f. Bartsch thinks that a pre-existing document on the duties of Christian slaves to their owners lies behind this passage. Many parallels to this kind of Haustafel survive: compare, for example, Eph.6:5-9; Col. 3:22-4:1; I P.2:18-20; Tit. 2:9; Did. 4:10f; Barn. 19:7; Ign.Poly.4:3.
admonitions could be brought together, connected only by a general theme:

223: Provide your slave with the tribute he owes to his stomach.
224: Apportion to a slave what is appointed so that he will become as you wish.
225: Insult not your slave by branding him.
226: Do not hurt a slave by slandering him to his master.
227: Accept advice also from a kindly disposed slave.

These admonitions are concerned with the proper treatment of slaves by their masters; but there is evidence that the conduct of slaves toward their masters was also a part of Jewish Haustafeln. Perhaps the most striking parallel to the material on slaves in I Tim. 6:1-2 comes from the Mishnah tractate Aboth:

Antigonus of Soko received [the law] from Simeon the Just. He used to say: Be not like slaves that minister to the master for the sake of receiving a bounty, but be like slaves that minister to the master not for the sake of receiving a bounty; and let the fear of heaven be upon you. (1:3)

The placement of this domestic rule fragment at I Tim. 6:1-2 may be due to the catchwords τιμή τιμή (see 5:3;

---

121 See, for example, Philo's De Decalogo 165-167: "There are many other instructions given, to the young on courtesy to the old, to the old on taking care of the young, to subjects on obeying their rulers...to servants on rendering an affectionate loyalty to their masters...to masters on showing the gentleness and kindness by which inequality is equalized" (Loeb). The same concern is touched upon in the Sibylline Oracles (2.278) where servants who rise up against their masters are listed among the godless.
5:17). The regulative nature of this material is apparent from the third person plural imperatives ἴγε ὑσσαυ (6:1), καταφροετωσαυ (6:2), and δουλευτωσαυ (6:2).

The material is separated from the traditional instructions that follow by the formulaic: ταῦτα δίδασκε καὶ παρακάλει.

6:3-21 Miscellaneous Injunctions

It is no easy task to outline the remainder of chapter six. Most commentators simply regard the section as a miscellany of diverse materials. D. Guthrie, for example, observes that

the concluding portion of the Epistle contains no clear sequence of thought, and it is best therefore to deal with it in self-contained sections.122

This is a remarkable admission by Guthrie for it indicates that his attempt to read I Timothy as a typical letter has failed. He finds the sequence of thought in chapter six to be so disjointed as to necessitate a fundamental modification in his hermeneutical method: each unit of material must be dealt with separately, with little regard to its immediate or particular context.

---

It is, of course, precisely this understanding of the text that must be applied, not just to chapter six, but to the whole of the Pastorals.

(6:3-5) Polemic against false teachers

This section returns abruptly to the subject of the false teachers (cf. 1:3f; 4:1-5). It is unmotivated by what precedes and is followed by an apparently unrelated proverbial saying (6:6). The admonition consists of one long sentence, and incorporates a number of stock polemical expressions, a conventional vice list, and a cluster of rare and unique NT words.

The construction of the opening subordinate clause (ei followed by the negative μὴ) is odd, both because it appears so rarely in the NT, and because elsewhere in the Pastorals ei is followed by οὐ (cp. I 3:5, 5:8).

\[123\] R. Karris ["The Background and Significance of the Polemic of the Pastorals," JBL 92 (1973) 549-64] thinks a traditional polemical schema lies behind this collection of charges against false teachers. Compare, for example, Philo's stock criticisms against those who quibble with words (Congr. 53) and those whose actions are motivated by greed (Vit. Mos. 2.209-16; Migr.ab. 171).

\[124\] Five words within this sentence are NT hapax legomena: νοσεω, λογομαχΙα, υπόνοια, διαμαρατιβε, πορισομε. Six others appear nowhere else in Paul's writings: ἔτεροδιασκαλέω, προσέρχομαι, ψιλαίνω, συσέβεια, ἐπισταμαι, ζητησι.

This remarkable deviation can hardly be attributed to the whim of the author. Such grammatical constructions belong to the "tissue" of language and flow from an author's pen without thought. It is a stylistic feature. The fact that the style here contrasts with similar constructions elsewhere in the Pastorals may indicate the diverse origin of this material.

It is striking that here (as in 1:3f) the false teachers are never clearly identified; the content of their teaching is never described. The use of the indefinite pronoun τίς, the lack of any specific charges, and the conventional denunciations against those who deviate from the "sound teaching" give the admonition an almost universal validity.

A similar admonition appears in the Didache (6:1) at the end of the "Two-Ways" material:

"Όρα, μή τίς σε πλανήσῃ ἀπὸ ταύτης τῆς ὅδου τῆς διδαχῆς, ἐπεὶ παρεκτὸς θεοῦ σε διδάσκει.

There is no reason to think that this was directed towards any specific heretical group; it seems, rather, to be serving as a general warning, the aptness of which was limited neither by circumstances nor time. The

---

(Footnote Continued)

p.221 (#428). The construction (in 6:3) is regarded by Blass as a remnant of classical Greek. The only other NT occurrence appears in the Codex Bezae reading of Luke 6:4.
admonition is similar to that which appears in I Tim. 6:3-5 in two important respects: both are concerned with a falling away from "the way of the teaching" (= "the godly teaching," I 6:3); and both are notably vague in their references to the false teachers (employing the indefinite pronoun τίς).

It seems likely that the editor of I Timothy has incorporated at 6:3 a general warning against apostasy (similar to that which appears in the Didache). The link with 6:2c may be the catchword διδασκε (cf. ἐτεροδιδάσκαλεί, 6:3).

(6:6-10) Proverbial sayings

This cluster of loosely connected epigrammatic sayings on moderation is linked to 6:5 by the catchword πορισμός. Logically, however, 6:5 and 6:6 have little in common. In 6:5 πορισμός appears with σύνεσθε to describe the impure motives of the false teachers; in 6:6 the same words reappear but take on the opposite meaning, and the thought begun in 6:5 is suddenly discontinued.

That pre-existing materials have been taken over and incorporated here is indicated by the fact that the ideas introduced in 6:6-10 are essentially unrelated to the concerns of the immediate context; nothing is said about the false teachers (6:3) or their corruptible influences (6:4-5). The literary style also changes
suddenly, with short and pithy sayings replacing the flowing style of 6:3-5.

The sayings have a quasi-philosophical ring about them, and carry no specifically Christian message; A.T. Hanson suggests that the author has concentrated into this paragraph "more general maxims drawn from pagan philosophy than in any other passage in the three letters." But one need not turn to pagan philosophers for parallels in language and thought; Jewish writings offer equally striking parallels. For example:

(cp. 6:6)
You have great wealth if you fear God and refrain from every sin.... (Tobit 4:21)

(cp. 6:7)
Naked I came from my mother's womb, and naked I shall return. (Job 1:21; cf. Eccl.5:14 LXX)

(cp. 6:8)
The essentials for life are water and bread and clothing and a house to cover one's nakedness. (Sir. 29:21)

(cp. 6:9)
Those who amass gold and silver; they shall quickly be destroyed. Woe unto you, 0 rich people! For you have put your trust in your wealth. You shall ooze out of your riches.... (I Enoch 94:7b-8; cf. Ps-Phoc. 44-45)

(cp. 6:10)
The love of money is mother of all evil. (Ps-Phoc. 42; cp. Sib.Or. 2:111; TSim. 5:3; Philo, Spec.Leg. I 24.281)

---

126 A.T. Hanson, The Pastoral Epistles, p.107.
(6:11-16) A commissioning charge

The relation of this parenetical unit to its context is problematic. There is no logical connection either with that which precedes or that which follows. And the internal order of the unit itself is equally puzzling.

Both the content and the form of this unit suggest that it contains pre-formulated materials. The expression "man of God", for example, never appears in Paul's earlier letters, and seems a somewhat peculiar title by which to address a personal friend. The reference to Pilate in the credal fragment (6:13) is hardly prompted by the context; and the solemn adjuration (6:13-14) and rhythmic doxology (6:15-16) also appear better suited to a liturgical context than a personal letter.

More tellingly, the formulaic Εὐ θέ (with variations) often serves to introduce blocks of pre-formed materials within the Pastorals. In II Tim. 3:10, for example, it precedes a traditional virtue list; in II Tim. 4:5, οὐ θέ introduces a duty list, and in Tit.2:1...

---

127 Some commentators think it may be a later editorial addition: see, for example, D-C, The Pastoral Epistles, p.87. N. Brox, Die Pastoralbriefe, p.212.

128 The phrase only appears in the Pastorals within the NT (compare II 3:17). In both contexts it carries a conventional ring, designating in general terms: a leader.
the same expression precedes a collection of community rules.\textsuperscript{129}

The fixed expression ταῦτα φεῦγε, διώκε δὲ... also seems to signal the presence of traditional materials. The same phrase occurs again at II Tim. 2:22 where it serves to introduce a virtue list similar to that which appears in this unit (6:11).\textsuperscript{130} The personal "confession" of II Clem. 18:2 includes a reference to fleeing (φεῦγω) temptation and pursuing (διώκω) righteousness. In I Clem. 30:1 φεῦγω introduces a lengthy vice list and is followed by κολλάω and a virtue list. Similar injunctions to "flee" from evil and "pursue" or cling to the good are widespread within Judaism: in the TGad 5:2, for example, Gad says, "And these things (ταῦτα) I speak to you from experience, my children, that you may flee (φεῦγω) from hatred and cling (κολλάω) to the love of the Lord."\textsuperscript{131}

\textsuperscript{129}See also, II 2:1; 2:3 (v.1.); 3:14. Compare the use of a similar phrase (ὑμεῖς όὖν) in the Testament of the Twelve Patriarchs: for example, TBen. 8:1; TAsh. 3:1.

\textsuperscript{130}Three of the four virtues occurring in II 2:22 also appear in I 6:11: δικαιοσύνη, πίστις, αγάπη.

\textsuperscript{131}Compare TDan. 6:10; TBen 8:1, In TAsh. 3:2, note the variant reading φεῦγω for ἀποτρέχω.
Some commentators think this passage (I Tim. 6:11-16) draws upon an adult baptismal address; others suggest an ordination liturgy. The precise origins of the unit remain shrouded but there can be little doubt that this passage was not composed ad hoc by the author of this letter.

(6:17-19) Admonition to the Wealthy

This admonition is problematic for two reasons: it abruptly returns to the theme just addressed in 6:6-10, and it breaks any thread of continuity between 6:16 and 6:20. Commentators have offered all sorts of conjectures in hopes of resolving the apparent discontinuity; A. Harnack, for example, argues that it is an interpolation, added around A.D. 150, "nach den Bedürfnissen der Zeit." Others think the text must have been

accidentally displaced from its original context.\textsuperscript{135} Jeremias notes the difficulty and tries to account for it by labelling the piece a "postscript" (Nachtrag).\textsuperscript{136}

These proposed remedies are neither convincing nor necessary. They serve, however, to reveal anew the seriousness of the problems facing those who maintain that a single author is responsible for this document.

J.N.D. Kelly's suggestion that "a later editor would have surely chosen a more appropriate place for inserting new material of this kind" is clearly in danger of over-simplifying the editorial process.\textsuperscript{137} Composite documents, almost by definition, possess complicated editorial histories. The Didache, for example, owes its present form to the work of editors, but the materials it contains are not arranged in any clear and systematic order. Instructions on almsgiving, for instance, do not appear neatly grouped together, but are scattered throughout the manual in a less than "appropriate" fashion (cp.: Did. 1:5-6; 4:5-8; 11:12;

\textsuperscript{135}For example, W. Lock, The Pastoral Epistles, p.73.

\textsuperscript{136}J. Jeremias, Die Briefe, p.40.

\textsuperscript{137}J.N.D. Kelly, The Pastoral Epistles, p.147. Kelly's way out of the problem is to label 6:11-16 a Pauline "digression," but this fails to appreciate the extent of the differences in form, content, and style that separate 6:11-16 from its surrounding context.
The present form of the Didache (as well as that of the Pastorals) suggests that the earliest editors were more concerned about the preservation of traditional materials than they were about literary order.

It is hard to see how R. Falconer can assert that the source of the admonition in 6:17 "may be in the circle Luke-Acts." The saying here seems to have all the marks of a conventional piece of Jewish pseudepigrapha on the dangers of wealth. The connection between wealth, arrogance, the uncertainty of riches, and the need to trust in God, is traditional and can be paralleled in many Jewish writings; Philo, for example, commenting on Deut. 10:21, writes:

Let God alone be thy boast and thy chief glory, [Moses] continues, and pride thyself neither on riches nor on reputation nor dominion nor comeliness nor strength of body, nor any such thing, whereby the hearts of the empty minded are wont to be lifted up. Consider in the first place that these things have nothing in them of

---


the nature of the true good; secondly, how quickly comes the hour of their passing.\textsuperscript{140}

Other Jewish proverbial sayings parallel the admonitions in 6:18-19 to share one's wealth and lay up a good foundation (or "treasure") for the future. A striking parallel to these verses appears in the parenetical section of Tobit (4:8-9):

If you have many possessions, make your gift from them in proportion....So you will be laying up a good treasure for yourself against the day of necessity.

These parallels show the proverbial character of this admonition in I Timothy 6:17-19. On the basis of the unusual vocabulary (six NT \textit{hapax legomena}),\textsuperscript{141} and the awkward setting in the context, it is likely that the piece came "ready-made" to an editor of the Pastorals who incorporated it here into the letter.

\textsuperscript{140} Philo, \textit{Spec. Leg.} I.311 (Loeb); Cp. Philo, \textit{de Josepho} X.43; Jer. 9:23; Ps.52:7.

\textsuperscript{141}ὑπελοφονέω, ἀδηλότης, ἀγαθοεργεῖω, εἴμετάδωτος, κολυμβικός, ἀποθεσαυρίζω.
(6:20-21a) Concluding admonition

Paul's original note to Timothy ended with this concluding charge to "guard the tradition." The comment seems aptly directed to Timothy in light of the false teachings that are filtering into the Ephesian church. The lack of any personal greetings are not unusual in light of the brief and personal nature of the note.
1:1-2 Epistolary Salutation

The authoritative claim to apostleship that inaugurates this letter (ἀπόστολος Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ διὰ θελήματος θεοῦ) parallels verbatim that which occurs at the beginning of II Corinthians (cf., I Cor.1:1);¹ in the Corinthian correspondence, Paul's solemn claims to authority seem fitting in light of the serious disputes that had erupted over his apostleship. But here, at the beginning of a personal letter, the formality appears misplaced. The second phrase (κατ' ἐπαγγελίαν τῇ ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ), linked grammatically to ἀπόστολος, adds to the peculiarity by underscoring the aim and purpose of Paul's apostleship.²

¹Compare also the verbatim salutation of Col.1:1.
The formality of this salutation (like those of I Timothy and Titus) poses problems for those who wish to maintain the Pauline authorship of this letter.\(^3\) D. Guthrie, for example, observes that the letter opening "seems rather stiff when addressed to Paul's closest associate" but goes on to argue that Paul writes this way because "he can never forget the noble work to which he was so impressively called."\(^4\) The problem with this response is that Paul's salutations in Philippians, I and II Thessalonians, and Philemon make no mention of his apostleship and carry none of the solemnity that makes the opening of II Timothy appear so odd.

It seems better to argue either that the salutation is the product of an imitator, or that Paul's original salutation was much shorter than the solemn form that has come down to us. Although the latter conjecture is not supported by surviving textual evidence, it gains considerable credibility on other grounds. We know from the surviving recensions of the Ignatian corpus, for example, that expansion of epistolary salutations did occur. In the longer

\(^3\)B.S. Easton, *The Pastoral Epistles*, p.37, calls the salutation "unthinkable in a letter to Paul's tried and true lieutenant."

recension of Ignatius' letter to Polycarp an editorial
addition appears that was intended no doubt to complete
Ignatius's own self-designation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Short Recension</th>
<th>Long Recension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ignatius, who is also called</td>
<td>Ignatius, bishop of Antioch,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theophorus, to Polycarp....</td>
<td>and a witness for Jesus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Christ, to Polycarp....</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the phrases that serve to enhance Paul's apostolic authority in II Timothy are removed (beginning with ἀπὸστολος and ending at the final Ἰησοῦ (1:1), the difficulties surrounding this salutation are greatly lessened, and a warm and intimate greeting emerges:

Paul to Timothy, my beloved child. Grace and peace from God our Father and Christ Jesus our Lord.

Perhaps the solemn expressions of authority were added after Paul's death by an editor who wished to give the apostle his full due, or to enhance the official character of this apparently personal letter.

1:3-5 Thanksgiving

II Timothy is the only one of the Pastorals that includes a thanksgiving. But in its present form this "thanksgiving," consisting of one long and involved sentence, poses difficulties, both to those who think the Pastorals genuine, and to those who regard this

---

piece as a carefully crafted imitation. The material clearly echoes Paul's thought (cp. Rom. 1:8-11), but it does so in markedly unPauline language; χάριν ἐχω appears in the place of Paul's frequent εὐχαριστεῖ (Rom.1:8; Phil.1:3; I Thess.1:2, et al.); εχω τὴν περὶ σοῦ μνείαν occurs instead of the Pauline μνείαν ὑμῶν πολύματι (Rom.1:9); the usual Pauline ἐπὶ τῶν προσευχῶν μου (Rom. 1:10) is replaced by ἐν ταῖς δεήσειν μου; and instead of Paul's preferred adverb ἀδιάλειπτος (Rom. 1:9, I Th. 1:2) the thanksgiving in II Tim. employs the adjective ἀδιάλειπτος;

The construction of the text raises other difficulties; in Paul's thanksgivings the verb εὐχαριστεῖ is usually followed by a pronoun object phrase ("for you") and frequently by the causal conjunction ὅτι (see, for example, Rom. 1:8-11). All of these stylistic features are missing in II Tim. 1:3-5.

---


7 The seriousness of this deviation from the Pauline style is underscored by P. Schubert (Form and Function of the Pauline Thanksgiving [Berlin: A. Töpelmann, 1939] p.34): "The formal and functional homogeneity of the Pauline εὐχαριστεῖ thanksgivings is clearly demonstrated by the invariable occurrence of the initial principal clause in which εὐχαριστεῖ is the characterizing verb...."
Such linguistic and formal differences make it unlikely that Paul was the author of this material. But the dissimilarities also count against the hypothesis that a pseudonymous author, intent on imitating Paul, was responsible for this piece. The commonly held view that the author of II Timothy modelled his thanksgiving upon that of Romans (1:8-11) is especially vulnerable at this point. Why would a Paulinist diverge so widely, not only in language but also in form, from his Pauline model?

The thanksgiving also presents other difficulties. The grammar of the long sentence is jumbled and clumsy, the main verb being overburdened by a string of seven loosely connected subordinate clauses. No clear train of thought drives the sentence on (the object of the thanksgiving is never expressed!), and the connections

---

8 Advocates of this theory include, H.J. Holtzmann, Die Pastoralbriefe, p.378; D-C, The pastoral Epistles, p.98; N. Brox, Die Pastoralbriefe, p.225. For a more recent proponent, cp., M. Wolter (Die Pastoralbriefe als Paulustradition, p.204): "die Danksagung in 2.Tim 1,3 an die genannten paulinischen Texte [Rom 1:8-10; Eph.1:16; I Thess.1:2; Phlm. 4]...anknüpf t und Gepflogenheiten des paulinischen Briefstils nachahmen will...."

9 J.N.D. Kelly (The Pastoral Epistles, p.155) is only half correct in his assertion that "the structure of this passage strikingly resembles that of the normal Pauline thanksgiving, including in 4 a participial clause followed by a final clause." It is true that six of the seven syntactical units that make up the Pauline thanksgivings (cf.P. Schubert, Form and function, p.51) do occur here; nevertheless, the variations from the Pauline style within these units are even more striking than the similarities.
between the various clauses are not easily followed. The meaning and function of the adverb ὡς, for example, is not easily determined. Is it intended to have a causal sense here, or a temporal one, or some other? And what does ἀδιάλειπτον modify, ἔχω μνείαν or ἐπιποθῶν σε ἵδεῖν? 

Some commentators try to account for the cluttered syntax by appealing to Paul's strained emotional condition; others argue that 1:4 should be regarded as a parenthetical comment, and bracketed off from the rest of the sentence. But such explanations fail to take

---

10 Many commentators have noted the confused construction (for example, Holtzmann, Lock, Barrett; ad loc.). Few, however, attempt to explain the cause of the confusion. E.F. Scott (The Pastoral Epistles, p.88), for example, simply observes that "the sentence is never properly finished, and runs off into a digression...."

11 J. Chrysostom (Homilies on the Epistles of St. Paul to Timothy, Titus and Philemon), trans. by J. Tweed [Oxford: J.H. Parker, 1843] p.168) takes ὡς to mean οτι (cp. the Vulgate, AV), but this results in the rather strange idea that Paul's thanksgiving is due to his repeated prayers for Timothy. J. Calvin (Commentary on Timothy and Titus, p.290) gives the adverb a temporal meaning: "as often as I remember you in my prayers, as I do continually, I give thanks...." (cp.RSV). But there is no evidence that ὡς ever follows ἔχω in this way.

12 C.K. Barrett (The Pastoral Epistles, p.92) opts for the latter.

13 J.N.D. Kelly (The Pastoral Epistles, p.156), for example, attributes the break in thought to "Paul's pent-up affection." R. Parry (The Pastoral Epistles, p.48) suggests that the odd construction is due to Paul's anxiety and haste.
into consideration the peculiarities of the thanksgiving as a whole.

No completely satisfying solution to the problems within this thanksgiving is at hand. The material seems strangely fragmented and discontinuous; to use L. Houlden's expression, it almost seems like "a catena of allusions to personal, heartfelt passages in [the Pauline] letters."  

The diversity of the solutions put forward reflects something of the difficulties inherent in this unit of material:

1. the thanksgiving is a clumsy forgery by a writer recalling phrases from other Pauline letters;

2. the thanksgiving is genuine Pauline material (written by an amanuensis?); the apparent confusion derives from our lack of understanding the grammatical conventions.

3. the thanksgiving is a collapsing of two previously independent Pauline fragments

The peculiarity of the thanksgiving makes it hard to assess questions pertaining to its origin. Perhaps it is enough simply to highlight this unit as problematic by any reading of the letters.

---


15 For example, H.J. Holtzmann, Die Pastoralbriefe, pp.111ff

16 F. Hesse (Die Entstehung der neutestamentlichen Hirtenbriefe, p.175ff) argues that two Pauline letters are combined within II Timothy, and that II 1:3-5 is a composite block of two sayings that were wrongly put together by an editor: 1) I 1:3a, 5; and 2) I 3b, 4.
1:6-14 Miscellaneous admonitions to hold to the traditions

This section is loosely held together by its emphasis on the need to persevere and hold fast to the traditions. Traces of genuine Pauline instructions to Timothy may be incorporated here, but in its present form the unity of the text is suspect on several grounds: the miscellaneous and disjointed nature of the materials (see below); the sudden changes in literary style (cp.1:9-10); the inclusion of materials unmotivated by, and unrelated to, the context (see 1:9, ἐπὶ χρόνων αἰώνιων).

C.K. Barrett suggests that it is necessary to segment the section in order to follow its logic:

it is difficult to summarize this section under a title, for the writer passes from Paul to Timothy, and from summaries of the Gospel and its gifts to claims laid by the Gospel upon those who believe, and especially upon ministers. It must be followed from point to point.

The wisdom of Barrett's advice becomes evident to anyone who attempts an outline of this material. One looks in vain for any sustained development of thought; the material is constantly disrupted by changing subject-matter, variations in literary style, and the presence of seemingly unnecessary expressions. In short, it reads poorly as a literary unit.

The composite nature of the material is once again apparent. Traditional Pauline teaching has been combined with a number of community materials, including: a traditional saying (1:7), a credal/hymnic fragment (1:9-10) and three sayings on guarding the "good deposit" and keeping the sound words (1:12-14).

1:6 Admonition to an Ordinand

The phrase "for this cause" (δι’ ἡν αἵτιαν) is commonly taken as a connecting phrase, linking the thought of 1:6ff with that of the preceding thanksgiving. But the admonition given here does not readily follow from what is said in 1:3-5; there Timothy's heritage is extolled, here the topic is ordination. It appears that the link between the two sections may owe more to word association than to logic: compare ἀνομίανῆσκω (1:6 or the variant ὑπομιμνῆσκω?) and ὑπόμνησις (1:5).

If this be so, the function of the phrase δι’ ἡν αἵτιαν must be re-evaluated. Rather than serving as a logical connecting link between 1:5 and 1:6, the phrase may serve here to introduce traditional materials that have been collected from various sources; this conjecture gains credibility when it is observed that the same phrase introduces a catena of three citations in Heb. 2:11 (Ps.22:2; Isa.8:17; Isa. 8:18), while in Tit.1:13 it appears in close association with the citation about "all Cretans" (1:12). It may also be
functioning to introduce traditional materials in II Tim. 1:12 (see below).

The contrast between the picture of ordination portrayed here and that which appears in I 4:14 poses problems that cannot be easily dismissed; here the rite seems to be the responsibility of one man, but in I 4:14 the "laying on of hands" is clearly an act of the presbytery. P. Trummer thinks the tension arises from 


Although Trummer recognizes the tension, his solution is less than convincing; it is hard to see why a pseudonymous author would mix two concepts of ordination in this way. It seems more likely that the differences between the two passages stem from the composite nature of the Pastorals, and the fact that two distinct sources have been taken up and incorporated into the Pastoral corpus.

18 P. Trummer, Die Paulustradition der Pastoralbriefe, p.77. D-C (The Pastoral Epistles, p.71) suggest that the presbyteral emphasis in I 4:14 is due to the "congregational character" of I Timothy, while the personal touch in II 1:6 stems from the testamental character of II Timothy. Such an interpretation requires that the Pastorals be thought of as carefully designed, pseudepigraphical writings. Evidence of careful design, however, is precisely what the Pastorals lack.
The admonition cited in 1:6 may derive from a traditional account of Timothy's commissioning by the hands of Paul. The account is reminiscent of Joshua's commissioning by Moses (Num. 27:18-20):

And the Lord said to Moses, "Take Joshua the son of Nun, and lay your hand upon him; cause him to stand before Eleazar the priest and all the congregation, and you shall commission him in their sight. You shall invest him with some of your authority....

1:7 Proverbial saying

The conjunction γάρ loosely links 1:7 to 1:6. The connection is disrupted, however, by a number of seemingly unmotivated changes in the mode of address: the personal admonition in 1:6 takes on a generalized, almost proverbial, character; the three present tense verbs (ἀναμιμνῆσκω, ἀνακωπεῖν, ἐστιν, 1:6) give way to an aorist (ἐδωκεν, 1:7); and the second person singular pronoun becomes a first person plural.

E.K. Simpson attributes this oddity to "the apostle's penchant for generalizations" but this does not adequately explain the sudden change in thought or the non-Pauline language (πνεῦμα δειλίας, ...συνάμεως, ...ἀγάπης, ...σωφρονίσμον). The differences in language also count against Holtzmann's suggestion (Die Pastoralbriefe, p.112) that we have before us an "offenbare Umbildung von Röm. 8, 15."

---

20 The differences in language also count against Holtzmann's suggestion (Die Pastoralbriefe, p.112) that we have before us an "offenbare Umbildung von Röm. 8, 15."
It seems more likely that γάρ is serving here as an introductory conjunction, signalling the inclusion of a traditional saying. That γάρ can function in this manner is evident from its use elsewhere; in Romans 10:13, for example, it introduces a verbatim citation from Joel 3:2 (LXX). In Romans 13:9 it signals a citation from Deut. 5:17-21 (LXX).^21

The traditional piece cited here carries the ring of a wisdom saying. For the sense, compare Sirach 34:14: "He who fears the Lord will not be timid, nor play the coward (δειλιάω), for he is his hope."

1:8 Traditional Admonition

The opening phrase echoes the concern of Romans 1:16, but the verbal dissimilarities (τὸ εὐαγγέλιον, Rom. 1:16; τὸ μακτύριον here) argue against literary dependence. This admonition may be a genuine piece of Pauline teaching that was incorporated at an early stage into this traditional material on perseverance and holding fast to the traditions.

^21See also OT citations introduced by γάρ at I Cor. 2:16 (Isa.40:13), 10:26 (Ps.24:1), 15:27 (Ps.8:7). Cp. I Tim. 6:7,10; PolyPhil. 7:1 (I Jn.4:2-3). See the discussion by E.E. Ellis, "Traditions in the Pastoral Epistles," p.246, notes 54-55.
1:9-10 Credal/Hymnic Fragment

Here the style of the writing suddenly changes; the admonition of 1:8 gives way to a flowing, hymn-like passage. The rhythm and balance of these verses, as well as the fact that they contain elements unnecessary to the context, indicate that pre-formulated materials have been employed. Although commentators are divided as to the classification of this material, most believe that these verses constitute a pre-formed fragment of some kind.

The use of ἐνθαυσάμενον is striking here as it seems to contrast with the meaning of the word used elsewhere in the Pastorals. In all of the other NT occurrences (I 6:14; II 4:1,8; II Thess. 2:8) the word refers to Jesus' apocalyptic return, but here it clearly signifies his incarnation. It seems unlikely that the same

22 See the discussion on the formulaic characteristics of this fragment in E. Norden, Agnostos Theos: Untersuchungen zur Formengeschichte religiöser Rede (Leipzig: Teubner, 1913), pp.201ff., 381.

23 On the problem of categorizing this fragment, see E. Norden, Die antike Kunstprosa (Stuttgart: Teubner, 1958 [1909]) II: 852ff.

24 Compare, for example, the following suggestions: a eucharistic prayer (Hanson, The Pastoral Epistles, p.122); a Christian hymn (Easton, The Pastoral Epistles, p.40); a confession of faith (Dornier, p.189); a baptismal confession (Gealy, Epistles to Timothy, Titus, 467); a kerygmatic formula (D-C, The Pastoral Epistles, p.99).

25 The verb ἐνθαυσάμενον appears with a similar meaning at Titus 3:4, but there it is the "goodness and loving kindness" of the Lord that is said to appear.
writer would use a significant technical term in such contrasting ways without any mention of the fact. This may be another indication that the material has been taken from a different source.

1:11 Apostolic Authorization

B.S. Easton notes that for the historic Timothy "no assertion could be more superfluous" than this autobiographical comment. For the reasons discussed above (see above at I 2:7) the saying cannot be regarded as a genuine Pauline remark; it seems to be functioning, however, (both at I 2:7 and here) as a literary device, signalling the validity of traditional materials. In both contexts the expression follows a credal fragment.

1:12a A literary signal

The formulaic phrase δι' ἴνα αἰτίαν (see 1:6) may be functioning here to "bracket" the traditional materials collected within the section (1:6-1:11), or (and this appears more likely) it may be introducing the cluster of sayings which follow, all loosely tied to the theme of perseverance and fidelity to the "deposit." It is striking that the phrase both here and in

---

26 B.S. Easton, The Pastoral Epistles, p.44.
27 It is striking that the phrase both here and in (Footnote Continued)
1:12b-14 A confessional statement and two admonitions

These three verses have only a superficial connection to one another; they all refer in some way to the "deposit" (1:12, 14) or the need to hold to the "sound words" (1:13), but when they are examined more closely it becomes clear that in substance they have little in common.

Perhaps the most significant indication of this is the different meaning of the term παραθηκη in 1:12 and 1:14. Because most commentators assume the integrity of this passage, they think it necessary to harmonize the meaning of παραθηκη in II 1:12 with its other occurrences in the Pastorals (II 1:14 and I 6:20, where it plainly means the "sound words" given to Timothy to preach). Such harmonization is useful for it avoids the difficulty of the same word being used by the same author in the same passage to mean two quite different things. But the natural reading of 1:12 and 1:14 does

(Footnote Continued)
Hebrews is closely associated with the ideas of suffering (see παθημα, Heb.2:10), shame (ἐπαισχυνομαι, Heb. 2:11), and trust (πείθω, Heb.2:13).

28 See, for example, the remarks of C. Spicq (Les Epitres Pastorales, p.720): "Il est, en effet, difficile de penser qu'une locution aussi technique et exceptionnelle dans le Nouveau Testament que celle de la garde de la parathèkè change de signification à deux versets de distance."
not seem to allow such an interpretation. In 1:12 we have a confessional statement affirming that God will guard the παραθήκη (one's life?) entrusted to him. In 1:14 the term appears in a catechetical-like admonition and refers to the "deposit of the faith" entrusted to someone.

It seems better to accept that an inherent tension exists between these two texts, and to attribute the differences in meaning to the fact that both passages probably originated within different contexts; in all likelihood, they were gathered together because of their mutual reference to the παραθήκη.  

29 N.J.D. White ("The First and Second Epistles to Timothy and the Epistle to Titus," The Expositor's Greek Testament [London: Hodder and Stoughton 1910] p.158) notes that "nothing but a desire to give παραθήκη the same meaning wherever it occurs...could have made Chrys. explain it here as 'the faith, the preaching of the Gospel.'"

30 So the AV, JB translations. The parallel with II Maccabees 3:10ff gives the literal context to the metaphorical use of the term in II 1:12. Referring to the widow's and orphan's deposit left in the temple, the text reads: The priests prostrated themselves...and called toward heaven upon him who had given the law about deposits (παρακατάθηκη), that he should keep them safe (διαφυλάσσω) for those who had deposited them" (II Macc. 3:15).

31 R. Falconer (The Pastoral Epistles, p.78) thinks the different use of παραθήκη in 1:14, as well as the break in the connection between 1:12 and 1:14 "point to a later editorial insertion by the same hand as in I Tim. 1.18, 19, vi. 20, 21."
The shift from the catachetical-like admonition in 1:14 to this autobiographical section is sudden and unexpected; there is nothing in the immediate context that can be said to prompt the reference to apostasy (1:15) or the remarks about Onesiphorus (1:16-18). C.K. Barrett calls the passage a "digression" while J. Moffatt regards it as an "erratic boulder" in its present setting. The unit as a whole parts easily from its context, both in content and in form. The particularity of the section (with its references to individuals) contrasts with the general parenthetic nature of the materials that precede and follow (1:12-14; 2:1ff).

All of these features suggest the likelihood that this material did not originate within the present

---

Typical of attempts to show the relevance of this section in its present context is J.N.D. Kelly's suggestion (The Pastoral Epistles, p.168) that Paul's references to Phygelos and Hermogenes (1:15) are intended as "warning examples" to Timothy, while his description of Onesiphorus is meant as a "comfort example." N. Brox ("Zu den persönlichen Notizen der Pastoralbriefe," BZ 13 [1969] 83), arguing a slightly different line (and assuming a pseudonymous author) writes: "Der ganze Passus [1:15-18] gestaltet sich nämlich zu einer Illustration der Mahnung aus 1,8, die für Inhalt und Absicht in 2 Tim bezeichnend ist: 'schäme dich nicht des Zeugnisses für unseren Herrn noch meiner, seines Gefangenen.'" Such suggestions seem to attribute far too much subtlety to the author of the Pastorals.

context; but there is no compelling reason to deny its authenticity. P.N. Harrison argues persuasively for the genuineness of 1:16-18, but his exclusion of 1:15 is less compelling. 34 The whole block of personalia distinctively protrudes within its context (as elsewhere in the Pastorals) and must be recognized as a unit.

2:1-13 Collection of personal admonitions

The miscellaneous character of this section can scarcely be overlooked. C.K. Barrett simply observes that the material "spreads diffusely." 35 The unit is comprised of several diverse elements, including a collection of proverbial admonitions on discipline (2:3-7), a credal fragment (2:8) an autobiographical unit (2:9-10) and another credal fragment (2:11-13).

The composite character of this section is suggested not only by the abrupt changes in subject matter, but also by the various formulaic markers that appear (see below).

34 P.N. Harrison, The Problem of the Pastoral Epistles, p.125. Harrison apparently excludes 1:15 because the reference to Asia seems to fit poorly with the clearly Roman context of 1:16-18. But this assumes the unity of the fragment! Perhaps 1:15-18 is a collection of two formerly independent Pauline notes.

2:1-2 Personal admonitions

Commentators have put forward many suggestions as to how 2:1 (cf., oμα) links with the material which precedes, but the sheer diversity of their proposals reflects the obscurity of the connection. It seems better to take the particle oμα as a part of the formulaic expression Συ oμα, τεκνου μου and regard it as an introductory marker of traditional materials. We have already observed how the phrase συ δε can function in this way (see I 6:11; Tit.2:1), and συ oμα seems to be a variation of this formula.

The appearance of the formulaic τεκνου μου lends support to this interpretation. This phrase appears as an introductory marker of traditional materials within both Jewish and early Christian literature.

---

36 So, for example, C.J. Ellicott (An Official Critical and Grammatical Commentary on the Pastoral Epistles [London: J. Parker and Son, 1856] p.113) thinks the reference is to 1:15; B.S. Easton (The Pastoral Epistles, p.46) prefers 1:16-18; R. Falconer (The Pastoral Epistles, p.80) suggests that 2:1 resumes the thought of 1:6-7; while W. Lock (The Pastoral Epistles, p.93) thinks it takes up the concern of 1:14.

37 See the discussion in E. Nords, Agnostos Theos (Leipzig: Teubner, 1924) p.290f.

Its formulaic character is especially evident from its use in the Didache where it serves to introduce traditional proverbial admonitions:

3:1 My child, flee from every evil man...
3:3 My child, be not lustful...
3:4 My child, regard not omens
3:5 My child, be not a liar...
3:6 My child, be not a grumbler

These parallels suggest that the phrase σὺ οὖν, τέκνου μου (in II 2:1) may not intend to develop the thought of 1:16-18, or serve as an endearment from Paul to Timothy; rather, the phrase may be functioning as a formulaic marker of traditional materials.

The traditional character of what follows seems to confirm this view. II 2:1-2 incorporates ancient teaching on the importance of preserving the community’s traditions (παρατίθεμι, cp.I 1:18). Similar admonitions are frequent within Jewish testamental literature:

καὶ ἂν ἐκούσατε παρὰ τοῦ πατρὸς ὑμῶν, μετάδοτε καὶ ὑµείς τοῖς τέκνοις ὑµῶν (T.Dan.6:9).

In light of this, it seems best to take the opening phrase of 2:1 as an introductory marker of the whole collection of traditional teachings which follow.

2:3-6 Proverbial Admonitions

Three proverbial sayings (on soldiers, 2:4; on athletes, 2:5; on farmers 2:6) have been strung

[39] Compare also T.Sim. 7:3; T.Lev.4:5; 10:1.
together following the opening admonition: "suffer as a good soldier..." (2:3a). The loose connection among the sayings, and their lack of any specifically Christian content, suggest that they were not written ad hoc but have been taken over from another source. This is also indicated by the distinct vocabulary within this section.

The commonplace character of these sayings makes it risky to assert with A.T. Hanson that the author of this section "has in mind a number of passages from I C.9." All three images (soldier, athlete, farmer) are commonly utilized metaphors within ancient literature. R. Bultmann has shown how Greek rhetoricians

40 L. Donelson (Pseudepigraphy and Ethical Argument, p.89) struggles to find the continuity within this section. Using the categories defined in Aristotle’s Art of Rhetoric, Donelson argues that the three proverbial sayings of II 2:3-6 are functioning as the logical premises to the three imperatives in 2:1-2. He is forced to admit, however, that "there is no apparent direct correspondence between the three imperatives and the three maxims....the logic becomes very general and perhaps not all that easy for the reader to supply.

41 So N. Brox, Die Pastoralbriefe, p.241.

42 Eleven words appear that occur nowhere else in Paul’s letters: συνθακοπαθεω, πραγματεια, στρατολογεω, αθλεω, νομιμως, στρατιωτης, εμπλεκομαι, βιος, στεφανων, γεωργος, μεταλμαθαι.

43 A.T. Hanson, The Pastoral Epistles, p.128. The parallels in I Cor. 9 (cf. 9:7a, 9:7b; 9:24-27) are not close enough to show the kind of dependency that Hanson suggests.

44 See, for example: on soldiers, Epict., Diss., (Footnote Continued)
enjoyed piling up such metaphors. It is quite possible that these proverbial sayings were taken over as a unit from a pre-Christian source and inserted here. The fixed character of such proverbs would account for their less than perfect parallelism within II Timothy. And it would make sense of the scribal gloss in 2:4 where the phrase τῶ θεῷ has been added following στρατευόμενος. The gloss appears to be an attempt to make the proverbial saying more suitable to its present religious context.

2:7 Literary marker

The proverbial material is followed by the puzzling clause: νόει ὃ λέγω. J.N.D. Kelly calls the verse "almost a parenthesis," and its function here is not easy to determine. R. Harris's theory that the

(Footnote Continued)
I.14.15; on athletes, Philo, Abr. 35, Quod omn. prob. 26.110; Epict., Diss., III.10, III.15.2f, IV Macc. 6:10, 16:16, 17:15ff, on farmers, Deut. 20:6; Prov. 27:18; Sir. 6:19.

45 R. Bultmann, Der Stil der Paulinischen Predigt und Kynisch-Stoische Diatribe (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1910) p.38f.

46 The concern for the personal reward due the farmer, for example, does not strictly parallel the point of the other two proverbs. On this point, see F. Gealy's observations, Epistles to Timothy and to Titus, p. 480f.

47 τῶ θεῷ appears in F, G, the old Latin MSS, the Vulgate (corrected), Cyprian, Ambrosiaster.

phrase is a citation from the Greek poet Pindar lacks supportive evidence, but the fact that Pindar employs the same expression within a poetic work indicates that it may carry a formulaic sense. 49

The expression here may be serving to signal the end of the preceding collection of traditional materials (2:3-6). Could it be functioning in a formal way like the Hebrew selah? W. Lock compares it to the Latin tag, verbum sapienti. 50

The clause that follows has a proverbial ring similar to that of Proverbs 2:6: "For the Lord gives wisdom; from his mouth come knowledge and understanding (σύνεσις)." The traditional character of the saying here may be signalled by the presence of the introductory γάρ.

2:8 Credal Fragment

An unexpected summary of the gospel follows, unprompted by the immediate context. The balanced rhythmic style has a liturgical quality:

ŏνημόνευε Ἰησοῦν Χριστὸν
ἐγγευμένον ἐκ νεκρῶν,
ἐκ ὁπερματος Δαυίδ.

Other features also suggest that this is a credal or hymnic fragment that has been taken over from another

50 W. Lock, The Pastoral Epistles, p.94.
source; the order of the name "Jesus Christ" is very rare, if not unprecedented, in II Timothy. The Nestle-Aland text (26th ed.) accepts the order "Jesus Christ" only at 2:8.\(^{51}\) The reference to the seed of David seems irrelevant to the present context; the closest parallel to this phrase comes from another credal fragment that appears in Romans 1:3f.\(^{52}\)

2:9-10 Autobiographical material

The credal fragment is linked directly to an autobiographical unit that may include genuine Pauline traditions. A similar credal fragment follows the genuine material in I Tim. 3:14-16. The whole block of material (2:8-10) may have came to the editor as a single piece and was inserted here because of the reference to suffering in 2:9 (κακοπαθέω, cp.2:3, συγκακοπαθέω; 2:11, συναποθνέσκω).

2:11-13 Credal/Hymnic Fragment

\(^{51}\)Compare the following instances of the name: 1:1 (x2), 1:2, 1:9, 1:10, 1:13, 2:1, 2:3, 2:10, 3:12, 3:15, 4:1, and 4:22 (v.l.). Textual variants appear at 1:2, and 1:10.

\(^{52}\)Both ideas (Jesus' resurrection and his royal lineage) are also present in the Romans creed, but in reverse order. See, C.H. Dodd, The Epistle of Paul to the Romans (London, Hodder and Stoughton, 1932) pp.4-5. Compare, also: Ign.Smyr. 1:1; Ign.Eph. 18:2; Ign.Trall. 9:1.
The intended subject of this "faithful word" formula (πιστός ὁ λόγος) is disputed. Those who think that the formula always refers to a soteriological saying find the faithful word in 2:10. B. Weiss suggests that it refers back to 2:8-9, while N. White regards the expression as a confirmation of 2:4-11. Others argue that the formula points to the verses that follow (2:11-13).

The view taken here is that the formula simply marks the introduction, or the conclusion, of a collection of traditional materials. The autobiographical unit of 2:8-10 clearly gives way to a different source in 2:11; the singular pronoun (μου, 2:8) and the singular verbs (κακοπαθῶ, 2:9; ὑπομένω, 2:10) suddenly become plural; the symmetry and rhythmic balance of

---

53 See, for example, A. Schlatter, Die Kirche der Griechen im Urteil des Paulus (Stuttgart: Calwer Vereinsbuchhandlung, 1936) p.236. So also, W. Nauck, Die Herkunft des Verfassers der Pastoralbriefe (Göttingen: 1950) p.49f. Nauck thinks the "faithful word" expression is not a citation formula but a confirming word.

54 B. Weiss, Die Briefe Pauli an Timotheus und Paulus (Göttingen: Vandenhoek & Ruprecht, 1903) pp.270f; N. White, The Epistles to Timothy, to Titus (London: Hodder & Stoughton, 1910) p.163: "The teaching or saying referred to is 'the word of the cross' as set forth by simile and living example in the preceeding verses, 4-11."

2:11-13 is unmistakable and differs considerably in style from that which precedes or follows.  

Commentators have had difficulty in accounting for the γάρ at the beginning of this fragment (2:11; cp.I 4:9). Some argue that its occurrence here counts against the view that 2:11-13 is a fragment from a hymn or a creed. Others think the conjunction may have been part of the original fragment that was taken over.  

In light of the function of γάρ elsewhere in the Pastorals (cp.I 6:7,10), it seems better to understand it here as a literary device, serving to introduce the cited fragment that follows it.

2:14-26 Polemical admonitions

Once again, a formulaic expression (ταυτα ὑπομίνυικε, διαμαρτυρόμενος ἐνώπιον του θεού) introduces an abrupt change in subject matter as well as literary style (cf.I 5:21). C.K. Barrett remarks that "the connexion with the preceding paragraph is indeed not close." Not only is there no clear connection to

---

56 The text as printed in Nestle-Aland (26th ed.) clearly reveals this symmetry.

57 So, for example, C.J. Ellicott, Grammatical Commentary, p.120.


what precedes, but the logical progression within the passage itself is difficult to follow. This lack of internal cohesion is best attributed to the previously independent character of the materials; a concern over apostasy appears to be the thread that loosely holds these polemical admonitions and proverbial expressions together.

The language throughout is alien both to Paul's other letters and to the NT as a whole; sixteen words do not appear in the other Paulines, and five of these are NT hapax legomena. 60

2:14a Literary signal

The formulaic usage of ταῦτα has been noted several times (see especially I 4:6, 4:11); here it occurs at the close of a traditional citation (2:11-13), and seems to mark off once again the beginning of a new unit of material.

The expression διαμαρτυρόμενος ἐνώπιον τοῦ θεοῦ is closely linked (as in I 5:21) to the formulaic ταῦτα. 61

60 The NT hapax legomena are: λογομαχεῖ, ἡρασίμος, ἀνεπαίσχυντος, ἀρθοτομέω, ἀγγειανα, the other non-Pauline words include: ὑπομιμνήσκω, καταστροφή, βεβήλος, κενοφωνία, περιστημι, νομή, ἀστοχέω, ἀνατρέπω, μέντοι, στερεως, χρυσός, ἀργυρός, ἕλλινος, δεσπότης.

61 The expression in II 2:14, unlike I 5:21 and II 4:1, lacks any reference to Christ. This may reflect an earlier pre-Christian form of the saying; perhaps the literary device was taken over from Judaism (as the OT (Footnote Continued)
Here also the adjuration serves to mark off distinct collections of traditional materials.62

The variant readings for θεοῦ in 2:14 seem to be motivated by the desire to adapt fixed (and pre-Christian?) expressions to their new setting within the Christian community. Instead of the reading printed in our text ("charge them before God," 2:14), some MSS read "...before the Lord," while a few late MSS read "...before Christ."63

The Nestle-Aland text takes 2:14b as the continuation of 2:14a: "...charge [them] before the Lord to avoid disputing about words"; but on the basis of the parallel in II Timothy 4:1 it seems more likely that a colon should be supplied after θεοῦ, and an independent clause begun, either with μὴ λόγουαξήν (taking it as an imperative infinitive, cf., Lk. 9:23, Rom. 12:15, Phil. 3:16) or with the variant μὴ λόγουαξη.64 The resulting translation seems to clarify the "list"

(Footnote Continued)
parallels suggest, cf. Deut. 31:28; 32:46). W. Nauck (Die Herkunft, p.41f) notes that μαρτυρέω is never constructed with ἐκνυμίον in secular literature.

62 See the discussion at I 5:21 (above).

63 The variant "Lord" appears, for example, in A, D, Ψ, 048, and the majority text; a few minuscules read "Christ."

64 See the discussion on the imperative infinitive at I 1:3c (above). H.J. Holtzmann (Die Pastoralbriefe, p.415) cites Hoffmann and Ewald as two who take μὴ λόγουαξήν here as an imperative infinitive. The reading λόγουαξη appears in A, C (uncorrected), 048, 1175 and a few minuscules.
quality of the admonitions and sayings that follow: "remind [them] of these things, charging [them] before God: stop disputing about words...etc." 65

2:14b Admonition on word disputes

The first admonition in this cluster concerns disputes about words. The verb λογομαχεῖον occurs only here within the NT, but the noun form (λογομαχία) appears, significantly, in the middle of a similar block of polemical admonitions at I Timothy 6:4. 66

2:15 Wisdom saying on the approved workman

It is not easy to find a logical connection that ties this proverbial saying either to that which precedes or that which follows. 67 R. Falconer's conclusion that 2:15 is "probably displaced" reflects his despair in finding any thread of continuity within

65 It is true that this reading causes a somewhat awkward asyndeton, but the style here is not smooth by any reading.

66 See the discussion above on I 6:2b-5, and note the formulaic ταύτα διότι καὶ παρακάλει.

67 R. Karris (The Pastoral Epistles [Wilmington, Del.; Michael Glazier, 1979] p.25) notes the apparent lack of consecutive thought in this section but tries to minimize it by suggesting that "the author thinks by means of contrasts." The difficulty with this solution is that the intended contrast between 2:15 and 2:14 is not at all clear.
these three verses. His conjecture gains force if one assumes that a single author is at work here; under such an assumption, it is difficult to account for the logical discontinuity. But if the materials have been collected from disparate sources, the difficulties are greatly lessened. The proverb of 2:15 may not fit precisely with the sayings and citations around it, but such lack of continuity is not surprising given the pre-formed nature of the materials.

This helps explain why the Pastorals sometimes read like a hellenistic moral handbook; useful bits of advice and wisdom have been collected and put together, but it is a matter of secondary interest as to how well the materials cohere with one another.

It is probably not coincidental that the only other known occurrences of the word ὀφθαλμεύω are to be found within wisdom sayings from the book of Proverbs (3:6; 11:5). The expression here at 2:15 is proverbial, and incorporates ideas well-known to us from Jewish writings. In the T. Joseph (2:7), for example, Joseph

---


69 Compare, for example, the collection of gnomic wisdom and advice in the Enchiridion of Epictetus (Epictetus, trans. by W.A. Oldfather, LCL [London: W. Heinemann, 1928] II: 483ff); see also, a compilation of 451 ethical and religious aphorisms in the Sentences of Sextus, trans. by H. Chadwick (Cambridge: University Press, 1959.)
tells of being tested and approved (δόκιμος) by God. The figurative sense of ἔργατης as a workman for God appears in the T.Benj. (11:1, ἔργατης κυρίου).

It may be that the proverb in II 2:15 has been taken over from Jewish circles; there is nothing specifically Christian about it.

2:16-17a Admonition on profane babblings

The subject returns abruptly to the matter of empty words and profane babblings; the fixed character of the expression τὰς ὑπὲρ ὑπὸ λόγους κενοφωνίας is apparent from its previous occurrence in I 6:20. The verb περιστημένη occurs again at Tit.3:9 in a similarly polemical context.

The stock character of the admonition is clear from the many parallels that have been adduced. The passage, in R. Karris' words, has the character of a form-letter, the general contents of which can easily be shifted from one context to another.

70 A similar thought is preserved, for example, in Epictetus (Discourses, ii.17.8): ἥ κενος τὰς φωνὰς ταῦτας ἀπηχθέμεν. Compare R. Karris's charts showing the various polemical topoi with examples from Greek literature in The Function and Sitz im Leben of the Paraenetic elements in the Pastoral Letters (unpublished Th.D thesis: Harvard, 1971) p.21, 44.

71 R. Karris, "The Background and Significance of the Polemic of the Pastoral," JBL, 92 (1973) 555?
2:17b-18 Personalia

The sudden reference to specific individuals and their heresy is puzzling; it seems an odd intrusion into this cluster of otherwise general and commonplace admonitions. Perhaps it was coupled to the preceding admonition when the editor of the Pastorals incorporated it; but the reappearance of the phrase ὅ ν ἔστων to introduce the personalia raises doubts, for it is the same expression that introduces the mention of Hymenaeus and Alexander in I Timothy 1:20, and Phygelus and Hermogenes in II Timothy 1:15. In each of these passages, the reference to specific individuals seems detached from its context.

W. Lock may be right when he suggests that the personalia here and at I Timothy 1:20 are editorial interpolations; however, it may be that these references are genuine, and the materials surrounding them have been tagged on at a later date. In any case, it appears that the polemic and the personalia were not originally connected; when the personalia is removed, the general polemical character of the cluster is preserved.

72 See the remarks by W. Lock, The Pastoral Epistles, pp.xxxi., 99. Lock thinks the interpolations were designed to give "an illustration from [the editor's] own time."
2:19 Midrashic-like exposition (on election and apostasy)

The pre-formed character of this text is apparent from the difficulties that arise when attempting to interpret its meaning; commentators are not sure what the saying means, or what its immediate connection to the context is. Considerable obscurity surrounds the meaning of the terms ἡμέλαιος, and σφαγίς. 73 N. White, observes that the metaphor seems confused. 74 But the confusion is not easily ascribed to the work of an author; one would expect an author to clarify his meaning. It seems likely that the difficulties here stem from the fact that language from another context has been incorporated. 75

The unit itself is a small cluster of traditional sayings on election and apostasy. An opening saying introduces two quotations, both of which probably have

73 The meaning of ἡμέλαιος is disputed; does it, for example, refer to the facts of Christian belief (Parry), or to the church (Scott), or to faith (Dornier) or to God's election (Calvin), or to Christ himself (A.T. Hanson)? Depending on how one interprets ἡμέλαιος, the meaning of σφαγίς varies accordingly.

74 N. White, The Epistles to Timothy and Titus, p.167. White attributes the confusion to "a condensation of expression."

their origin within Judaism (cp. Num.16:5; 16:26; Isa.26:13).

The unit may have been added to the larger cluster of materials (on apostasy) because of its reference to Numbers 16, and the story of Korah's rebellion.

2:20-21 A proverb on useful vessels

Without warning the metaphor suddenly switches to vessels of honor and dishonor, prompting C.K. Barrett to remark that its "connexion with the context is not so clear." 76 Again, the abrupt change in subject matter is probably not due to the whim of an author, but rather, to the introduction of another pre-formed proverbial saying.

The pre-formed character of the saying is evident from the fact that its meaning does not really fit the tenor of the immediate context; instead of invective against those who have strayed from the truth, the proverb declares that all the "members" of a household are necessary, both the honorable and dishonorable. It is a point that must have been intended for a different purpose and context.77


77 Compare, for example, the close parallel that appears in Wisdom 15:7: "For when a potter kneads the soft earth and laboriously moulds each vessel for our service, he fashions out of the same clay both the vessels that serve clean uses and those for contrary (Footnote Continued)
The ambiguity of ταῦτα in verse 21 is again puzzling; does it refer to the false teachers, or their teachings, or did it have a different reference in its native context?

2:22 Admonition to flee vices and pursue virtues

The commentators note the difficulties in attributing this verse to Paul. C.F.D. Moule finds the verse particularly problematic in view of its portrayal of Timothy. The warning to "flee youthful lusts" is hardly one that Timothy, who would be nearing forty at the end of Paul's life, would seem to require.

But the origin of this text is better sought by observing its formal characteristics. A parallel to this admonition has already been discussed at I 6:10; the same set expression (ἀφεῖναι...διὸκες) occurs there, and is followed (as here) by a virtue list. The same pattern appears in I Clem. 30:1 and II Clem. 10:1. These formal features suggest that this text was not written ad hoc, but was a pre-formed piece of floating proverbial wisdom.

(Footnote Continued)
uses, making all in like manner...." See, also, Rom. 9:21, I Cor. 3:12.

2:23 Admonition against speculations

This stock denunciation of speculations makes a rough transition from the preceding admonition to "pursue righteousness...with those who call upon the Lord from a pure heart."

The language of this text strangely parallels that of admonitions found elsewhere within the Pastorals. The verb ἔρισθεν, for example, appears at I Timothy 4:7 and at Titus 3:10, both within strongly polemical contexts. The three terms ὑποστηθαι, μάχη, and κατημασία, are found linked together again in another admonition in Titus 3:9, while κατημασία and λογομαχία occur within a cluster of polemical admonitions at I Timothy 6:4. Such similarities suggest that the polemical clusters that appear throughout the Pastorals have been drawn from the same treasury of stock terms of derision.

2:24-26 On the conduct of God's servant

This text seems to be linked to that which precedes by the catchwords μάχη (2:23), μάχησθαι (2:24). But the ideas presented here contrast with other admonitions that appear in this cluster of sayings. Here the tone is lenient and conciliatory; the emphasis is on patience; and the hope expressed is that God might grant repentance to the opponents, that they might come to their senses. Such sentiments are very distant from the harsh denunciations that have gone before (cf. II 2:14, 16). The earlier admonitions did
not call for the "gentle instruction of the opponents," but for their exclusion from the community (2:21). The attitude also changes at the end of the following paragraph (3:5: "avoid such people!"). Such differences in temper seem to indicate the previously independent status of these various sayings.

3:1-5 Eschatalogical material

A traditional apocalyptic saying followed by an elaborate vice list is introduced by the imperatival phrase τοῦτο δὲ γίνωσκε, ὥτι. A.T. Hanson thinks the vice list (3:2-5) is modelled on Romans 1:29-31 but the dissimilarities in vocabulary between these two passages make that most unlikely. Eighteen words in this list are not found anywhere in Paul's writings, and seven of these are NT hapax legomena.

79 A similar expression, εἰδὼς τοῦτο, ὥτι, appears at I 1:9 (see above) where it introduces a lengthy vice list. In II Peter 3:8ff the imperatival phrase τοῦτο μὴ λανθάνετω...มูลi signals the beginning of what seems to be a pre-formed midrash. See also, T.Issachar 6:1; T.Levi 4:1.

80 A.T. Hanson, Op.cit., p.144. Hanson makes the interesting conjecture that the vices listed here may have been "smartened up by the author's acquaintance with Philo" and compares Philo, De Fuga et Inventione 81. But this assumes that the editor of the Pastorals was also an author, and it is precisely the touch of an author that is missing throughout these letters.

81 The hapax legomena include: φιλαυτος, ἀσπονδος, ἀκρατης, ἀνήμερος, ἀφιλάγαθος, φιλήδονος, φιλόθεος, ἀποτρέπω. The other non-Pauline words are: φιλαργυρος, βιλαφημος, ἀχριστος, ἀνόσιος, διδωλος, προδότης, προπετης, τυφόμαι, εὐσέβεια, ἀπεξαίμα.
The unit begins with the familiar apocalyptic expression: ἐν ἐσχάταις ἡμέραις ἐνστήσονται καὶ χάλεποι; this traditional saying was attributed at an early date to the apostles (see Jude 18; II P.3:3) but, in view of the many parallels from Jewish literature, it most probably derives from pre-Christian traditions. 82

The well-attested variant reading γινώσκετε (3:1, note the plural!) may go back to the original form of the prophecy, when it was directed to a wider audience. 83 A later editor, thinking that a plural verb seemed odd within a personal letter to Timothy, might have effected the change to the singular.

The formulaic phrase καὶ ταῦτα ἀποτρέπου signals the close of one pre-formed unit (3:1-5a) and the beginning of another. The frequently appearing ταῦτα seems to be serving again to mark off traditional materials. 84

82 See the discussion above on I 4:1ff, and compare the following parallels; Jer. 22:20; Isa. 2:2. Also, from the Testament of the Twelve Patriarchs: T.Iss. 6:1; T.Jud. 18:1; T.Zeb. 8:2; T.Dan. 5:4; T.Jos. 19:10.

83 The plural is read by codices A, F, G, 33, and a few other MSS.

84 E.E. Ellis ("Traditions in the Pastoral Epistles," p.243) regards this material as a "cited tradition" and suggests that the conclusion of the pre-formed material is signalled by the shift to an imperative (ἀποτρέπου) in 3:5c.
3:6-9 Polemic against false teachers

The change from the future tense in 3:1 to the present tense in 3:5b and 3:6 is problematic; if the text is assumed to be Pauline and read as a consecutive narrative, the implication must be that the vicious characters referred to in 3:1-5a are current church members. And those who argue for the pseudonymous authorship of this letter are obliged to suggest that in 3:6ff the "Pastor" must be describing "conditions of his own day." It seems more likely that the change in tense (and emphasis) has been caused by the introduction of a different source.

This unit consists of a another string of general invectives against opponents; the denunciation is stinging, but the polemic is completely nameless; that is, the opponents are impossible to identify. Almost any false teacher could fit the stock criticisms.

A distinctive feature within this cluster of materials is the unusual prediction on the future that it includes. The threat of the false teachers seems less than cataclysmic: "the opponents will not advance further" (οὐ προκόψουσιν ἐπὶ πλεῖον, 3:9). This

---

85 J. Calvin (Commentary on Timothy, Titus, p.323) notes this oddity: "it is surprising how men who have the great sins that Paul here mentions should be able to keep up an appearance of godliness, as he says they do."

86 So, for example, B.S. Easton, The Pastoral Epistles, p.64.
hopeful prediction is in clear contrast to the prophecies about the false teachers that appear elsewhere in II Timothy: in 2:16, for example, it is said about those who indulge in profane chatter that "they will advance further" (ἐπὶ πλεῖον προκόψουσιν) and "their word will spread like gangrene." And in 3:13 the prediction is that "evil men and sorcerers will advance from bad to worse" (προκόψουσιν ἐπὶ τὸ χεῖρον).

N. Brox recognizes the apparent contradiction, but thinks it can be resolved by noting the distinction between a "warning" (or parenetic) statement (2:17, 3:13) and a polemical statement (3:9):

Über die Aussichten der Ketzerei beispielsweise spricht er unterschiedlich je nachdem, ob er polemisch oder paränetisch redet, wie er auch fiktiv das ganze Phänomen der Haresie als Drohung in die Zukunft als Unheil in die Gegenwart verlegen kann.

Brox's argument relies heavily upon nuance, and his suggestion that 2:17 and 3:13 are of a different literary character than 3:6 is open to serious doubt. 88

But if it be accepted that this material is of a composite nature, the need to harmonize or explain away

87 N. Brox, Die Pastoralbriefe, p.256.

88 H.J. Holtzmann (Die Pastoralbriefe, p.430), in a characteristically candid assessment, simply says "der Widerspruch mit 2, 17. 3, 13 ist klar und nicht zu bemängeln...." He offers no solution. B.S. Easton (The Pastoral Epistles, p.64), however, suggests the statement in 3:13 arises from the Pastor's indignation that "makes him forget his apocalyptic role and predict improvement in place of decay."
the tensions vanishes. Collections of pre-formed materials are naturally prone to such tensions.

3:10-13 Personal Admonitions

There is no clear development of thought between 3:6-9 and the unit that follows (3:10-13). The connection seems purely formal, resting upon the references in both passages to the "advance" (προκόπτω, 3:9, 3:13) of evil men.

The new unit is introduced by the formulaic marker of traditional materials, σῦ δέ (see, I 6:11; II 3:14; 4:5; Tit. 2:1). A nine-member virtue list is linked to traditional references of Paul's sufferings in Asia Minor; two general sayings on persecution and the advance of evil men follow; the several pieces, then, that make up this cluster of traditions include:

3:10-11 Virtue list + autobiographical material,
3:12 Saying on persecution,
3:13 Apocalyptic saying.

89 Commentators have noted the peculiarity of all or part of 3:10-12. J. Moffat (Introduction, p.403) thinks the unit sits as an "erratic boulder" in it is present context. P.N. Harrison (The Problem of the Pastorals, p.124) notes the change in thought and language within this section, and concludes that 3:10-11 is an authentic Pauline note, probably written "on the eve of his martyrdom, or perhaps on the very day."
In its present form, the unit contains five non-Pauline words, two of which are NT hapax legomena.  

Transitions within this unit are rough; the personal style of 3:11 is abruptly left off at 3:12, giving way to a general saying on the inevitability of suffering. Perhaps the double mention of διώμος in 3:11a/c prompted an editor to tag on the traditional sayings that follow, the first of which involves the idea of persecution (διώκω, 3:12).

3:14-17 Personal Admonition (On loyalty to the tradition)

The thought of 3:13 is not developed; the subject, returns, rather, to the need for faithfulness to the

---

90 The hapax legomena are: ἀγωγή, and γόνις. The non-Pauline vocabulary includes: παρακολουθέω, εὐσεβῶς, χείρων.

91 H.J. Holtzmann (Die Pastoralbriefe, p. 432f.) notes the oddity of this saying in the present context: 'der ganze Vers steht ohne nothwendigen Zusammenhang...'

92 The idea that Godly living leads to persecution is commonplace within Judaism; See, IV Macc. passim, but especially, 17:7: "if it were possible for us to paint the history of your piety (εὐσεβεία) as an artist might, would not those who first beheld it have shuddered as they saw the mother of the seven children enduring their varied tortures to death for the sake of religion (εὐσεβεία)." Compare the connection of διώκω with εὐσεβία in TLеви 16:2f.
tradition (cf. 3:10). Included in this unit are two pre-formed pieces:

3:14-15 An admonition on loyalty to the tradition,

3:16-17 A saying on the role of Scripture.

Jewish teaching required that children be taught the sacred writings at an early age. But it is unlikely that the historical Timothy received such training. The fact that his mother Eunice was married to a Greek and had never had her son circumcised (cf., Acts 16:1-2) raises doubts about her allegiance to Judaism. It is not at all certain, then, that this cluster of materials was originally intended to describe Timothy's training. Perhaps the admonitions were drawn from a homily directed toward catechumens.

It is striking that two different terms, one plural and one singular, are used to refer to the Scriptures in 3:15 and 3:16. The phrase τὰ ἱερὰ γράμματα (3:15) appears only here in the NT, although it occurs frequently within the writings of Philo and

---

93 Again, H.J. Holtzmann (Die Pastoralbriefe, p. 433) observes the rough transition: "Eben so überraschend, wie im vorigen Vs. die Irrlehrer auftauchten, werden sie im Folgenden wieder scheinbar ignorirt...."

94 See, the evidence in Strack-Billerbeck (Kommentar zum NT) III: pp. 664ff.

95 G. Holtz (Die Pastoralbriefe, p. 186) thinks the material may derive from a "Taufunterweisung."
Josephus to describe the books of the Old Testament.\textsuperscript{96} The more usual NT term, γραφή follows in 3:16; commentators offer various explanations as to why the author chose the peculiar phrase in 3:15 and then reverted to the standard designation in 3:16. E.F. Scott thinks the two terms are intended to provide a contrast between sacred literature as a whole (including apocryphal and apocalyptic writings, τὰ ἑρὰ γράμματα) and the inspired writings in particular (γραφή). But such precise definitions are scarcely possible.\textsuperscript{97}

These attempts to resolve the puzzle all presume the integrity of the text. Perhaps it is better to view this unit (3:14-17) as a cluster of previously independent sayings on loyalty to the traditions that were gathered at some point by an editor. Two references to the Scriptures, originally unrelated, were thus joined.

4:1-5 Charge and Admonition

Another miscellaneous cluster of admonitions is introduced by the expression διαμαρτύρομαι ἐνώπιον τοῦ

\textsuperscript{96}See, for example, Philo, De Vita Mosis, III.39; Josephus, Antiq. Proem. 3, X.10.4.

\textsuperscript{97}N. White (Epistles to Timothy and Titus, p.174) thinks that "Paul here deliberately uses an ambiguous term in order to express vigorously the notion that Timothy's first lessons were in Holy Scripture." Unfortunately, we have no other evidence to corroborate White's conjecture.
The adjuration is elaborated here by fixed liturgical language. The cluster is comprised of the following elements:

- 4:1 Introductory charge
- 4:2 Admonitions (to ordinand?)
- 4:3-4 Polemic against false teachers
- 4:5 Admonitions (to ordinand?)

Apparent discontinuities within this passage caused P.N. Harrison to break it into various fragments, only parts of which he regarded as genuinely Pauline.\(^{98}\) R. Falconer also noted the internal disunity of the text, and argued that 4:3-4 must be editorial additions since they "break the connexion between vv.2 and 5" and contain a distinct vocabulary.\(^ {99}\)

Both writers highlight the problems involved in trying to read this text as if it were the product of one author. But neither seem to recognize that the section has a formal, if not logical, unity. The string of admonitions in 4:2 is linked to the polemic in 4:3-4 by the catchword διδαχή (4:2; compare διδακτικια in 4:3). Another string of personal admonitions (4:5), introduced by the formulaic οὖ δέ, has been added at the end of the unit.

---

\(^{98}\) P.N. Harrison (The Problem, p. 127) regards 4:1-2a, 4:5b as a last charge that Paul laid upon Timothy to complete his task.

\(^{99}\) R. Falconer, The Pastoral Epistles, p. 95. Falconer notes the presence of five non-Pauline words: ὑγιατίνω, ἐπισωρεύω, κηθῶ, μῦθος, ἐκτρέπω.
4:6-8 Autobiographical material

This unit consists of a personal confession and farewell that draws upon a Jewish understanding of the sacrificial value of a martyr's death. It is a well-ordered and coherent statement.

But the unit is oddly situated in the present context; as a final testimony and a confident farewell the passage would seem a fitting close to a letter; but in its present setting the confession only introduces an anti-climactic and rather puzzling mixture of personalia and reminiscings (4:9-22). Both the tone and the mood of the following unit differ considerably from that of 4:6-8. The concern over Paul's imminent death is abruptly left off, and the subject turns oddly to other less urgent affairs. 

---

100 See, for example, the words of the martyr Eleazar in IV Maccabees 6:28: "Be merciful to your people and let our punishment suffice for them. Make my blood their purification, and take my life in exchange for theirs." Cf. 17:21f. The concept may derive from such texts as Num. 28:7; See also, Phil.2:17; Ign.Rom. 2:2.

101 J. Calvin (Commentary on Timothy, Titus, p.341) notes the problem: "here someone will ask what Paul meant by asking for a cloak if he thought he was going to die immediately. This difficulty is another reason why I think that he means a chest [filled with books], but there may have been some other use for a cloak at that time, unknown to us today."
4:9-21 Personalia

There is no compelling reason to deny the authenticity of this material; although the tone of the passage seems odd in its present context, the unit as a whole carries all the marks of genuine composition, and is probably best read as a separate Pauline letter that at some point in transmission was fused onto the other materials that make up II Timothy.

4:22 Concluding Benediction

A more elaborate benediction than that of I Timothy or Titus concludes the letter. Two benedictions appear to have been joined together here, the first oddly enough in the singular (μετὰ τοῦ πνεύματός σου, 4:22a) and the second in the plural (ἡ χάρις μεθ' ὑμῶν, 4:22b). The form of the first benediction is similar but not identical to those found in Galatians (6:18), Philippians (4:23) and Philemon (25). In each of those benedictions (even Philemon!) the recipient of the blessing is denoted by the plural pronoun ("you all"). But none of Paul's recognized letters conclude with a

102For example, Paul's confident assertion that "the Lord will deliver me" (4:18) seems to contrast with the attitude of resignation to his fate reflected in 4:6-8.

103The view presented here is shared in part by W. Lock (The Pastoral Epistles, p.xxxii-iii) who conjectures that two letters have been combined in II Timothy, one pastoral and general (1:1-4:8, 22b), the other personal (4:9-22a).
singular benediction. This fact suggests that the benediction of II Timothy 2:22a may be part of an authentic, personal note from Paul to his co-worker.

Most commentators think the second greeting here is intended for the benefit of the congregation while the more personal (4:20a) is directed toward Timothy. If two originally separate letters are imbedded within II Timothy, however, it would not be surprising to find two benedictions of this sort.
CHAPTER FIVE

TITUS: A COMPOSITIONAL ANALYSIS

1:1-4 Epistolary Salutation

The sheer length of this letter’s salutation marks it as unusual. Not only are Paul’s formal apostolic credentials put forward as in the other Pastorals, but an elaborate credal statement appears as well (1:1b-3). The grammatical structure of the greeting, consisting of one long and involved sentence, is notoriously complicated and confusing.

---

1 Commentators who defend the authenticity of this letter suggest that the formal salutation is due to the "semi-official" character of the letter. See, for example, J. Calvin, Commentary on the Epistles to Timothy, Titus, p.351. The inclusion, however, of the slur against all Cretans (1:12) makes this unlikely, and leads D. Guthrie (The Pastoral Epistles, p.188) to conclude that the letter must have been intended as a private correspondence. But in that case, why the formal salutation?

2 Note, for example, the perplexing collection of prepositional phrases in 1:1-2. J. Jeremias (Die Brief an Timotheus und Titus, p.59) remarks on the "sehr gedrängter Sprache" of the salutation, while E.F. Scott (The Pastoral Epistles, p.149) observes that it is "so complicated in structure that the thought is difficult to follow."
The difficulties inherent in this salutation (its overloaded style, confusing grammar and unusual contents) are not easily explained. E.F. Scott argues that the peculiar features are partially due to the writer's "anxiety" over the possibility that in this mainly practical letter the religious basis of the Christian life might be forgotten; consequently, the author "has tried to crowd in a large number of Pauline ideas." \(^3\)

But this is surely to minimize the problems that the salutation presents. The sentence, with its compact phrases and rough transitions, does not read well together.

The closest parallel both in form and content to this greeting appears in the salutation to the Romans (1:1-7). It is widely acknowledged that Paul has included a pre-formed credal fragment in this his longest greeting. \(^4\) But, as J.C. O'Neill has observed, two factors count against the view that Paul was responsible for the inclusion of the fragment: first, it seems odd that Paul makes no further use of the terms of the creed in his subsequent argument, and second, the inclusion of

\(^3\)E.F. Scott, *The Pastoral Epistles*, p.149.

\(^4\)See, for example, C.H. Dodd, *Epistle to the Romans* (London: Hodder and Stoughton, 1932) pp.4-5.
this creed makes the salutation "a grammatical monstrosity, which no one writer would have perpetrated."\(^5\)

O'Neill suggests that the fragment looks more like an editorial insertion than a Pauline citation. And he finds striking support for his conjecture in the omission of the entire section (Rom.1:2-5) from codex G (Boerenerianus), the greeting of which simply reads:

Paul, servant of Jesus Christ, called an apostle among all the gentiles on his behalf.

The fact that this late (13th century) MS is the only one that preserves this reading of the text may argue against O'Neill's conjecture. But it is a scrap of evidence that must be considered. O'Neill remarks that "it is hard to imagine a scribe omitting such a long and important section, even by accident...." and concludes that the credal fragment must be a marginal comment or interpolation that found its way into the text of Romans at an early stage of transmission.\(^6\)

These observations touch upon many of the difficulties that appear within Titus 1:1-4. Like the Romans passage, the greeting to Titus also seems to be overloaded by a credal fragment that has no real place in the larger context. It is not unlikely that the


\(^6\)Ibid.
peculiarities of the salutation to Titus are due (as in Romans 1:1-7) to editorial expansion.

If Paul's apostolic credentials (unnecessary in a personal letter) and the pre-formed credal material are removed, a warm and intimate greeting from Paul to his colleague Titus remains.

The recognition of this material as a later editorial addition has the added advantage of absolving the author from what A.T. Hanson calls a "rather muddled soteriology" (referring to the double reference to God and Jesus as σωτήρ, 1:3, 1:4).  

1:5 Epistolary motive

The salutation leads immediately into the body of the letter; as in I Timothy, the lack of any epistolary thanksgiving is a striking omission and weighs against the view that this letter is a careful imitation of the Pauline epistolary style (see above, I 1:3).

Titus 1:5 provides the earliest evidence that Paul was involved in a missionary campaign on the isle of Crete. The historicity of such a mission can not be ruled out, and the general information presented here

---

7 A.T. Hanson, The Pastoral Epistles, p.171.

8 The reference to Crete in Acts 27:7f does not allow opportunity for active church planting by the apostle; consequently, if Paul evangelized Crete, he must have done so after the events recorded in Acts 28.
may well have been part of an authentic letter from Paul to Titus.

The sentence, whether genuine or not, serves the formal purpose of linking the salutation with the clearly traditional materials that follow; a similar sentence does the same thing in I Tim. 1:3.

1:6-9 Qualities required of a good leader

Although a thematic unity (on the qualities of a good leader) serves to tie this material together, there are clear signs that originally it was not the composition of one author; the transition, for example, from the plural πρεσβύτερος (1:5-6) to the singular ἐπίσκοπος (1:7-9) is abrupt and unexpected; the qualities required of elders in 1:6 (beginning with ἀνέγκλητος) are followed by the sudden appearance of a new list of qualities required of the overseer (also beginning with ἀνέγκλητος); and the form of the regulation presented in 1:6 (ἐν τίς) differs significantly from that of 1:7 (ὅσιμοι plus the infinitive). Such features have led some to conclude that 1:7-9 must be a

---

9 If the titles were precisely synonymous, one would expect the plural of "overseer" to match the plural of "elders." Hort (The Christian Ecclesia [London: Macmillan and Co., 1900] p.191) argues that πρεσβύτερος describes the official, while ἐπίσκοπος describes the function. See, however, the article on πρεσβύτερος by G. Bornkamm, TDNT, VI: 667.
The excision of this passage restores, they maintain, the original conjunction of ἀνυπότακτα in 1:6 with ἀνυπότακτοι in 1:10. But although this conjecture takes seriously the problems of the text's discontinuity, it seems very unlikely that an author writing continuous prose would end one sentence with ἀνυπότακτα and begin the next with ἀνυπότακτοι.

It seems better to attribute the abrupt breaks in form and content between these materials to the composite character of this document. It is probable that at least two previously independent pieces have been brought together here:

1:5-6 The qualities required of elders
1:7-9 The qualities required of an overseer

Word association again appears to be responsible for the linking together of these two units (cp. ἀνέγκλητος, 1:6; 1:7).

---

10 For example, A. von Harnack (Geschichte der altchristlichen Literatur bis Eusebius 2 parts in IV volumes [Leipzig Zentralantiquariat, 1958 (1893-1904) II [Chronologie] 1.482) recognized that Tit.1:7-9 was independent of its context, and considered it (along with I 3:1-13, and parts of I Tim. 5) to be interpolations taken from a church order around A.D. 130. Similarly, R. Falconer (The Pastoral Epistles, p.104); O. Ritschel, ThLZ, X. p.609

11 G. Bornkamm ("Πρεσβύτευρος," TDNT, VI: 667) remarks that the role of bishop is "a surprising point in the Pastorals" and concludes that "the passages about the bishop reflect a different constitutional principle from those about presbyters."
Not only do the variations in form suggest that each of these pieces have probably been taken over from different sources, but the original unity of 1:7-9 must also be doubted on the basis of its form. This block of materials seems to be comprised of at least two originally independent pieces. The seam becomes clear when the material is set out according to its form:

(1:7a) δει γαρ τον ἐπίσκοπον ἄνεγκλητον εἶναι ὡς ἑαυτοῦ οἰκονόμου...

(1:7b) μὴ αὕθαδη
μὴ ὀργίλον
μὴ πάροινον
μὴ πλήκτην
μὴ αἰσχροκερδὴ

(1:8) ἀλλὰ
φιλοξενον
φιλαγαθον
σιφφονα
δίκαιον
ὀσιον
ἐγκρατὴ

(1:9) ...ἀντεχομένον τοῦ κατὰ τὴν διδαχὴν πιστοῦ λόγου, ἵνα δυνατὸς ἦ καὶ παρακαλεῖν ἐν τῇ διδασκαλίᾳ τῇ ὑγιαινοῦσῃ καὶ τοὺς ἀντιλέγοντας ἐλέγχειν.

It seems likely that in this case a brief regulation concerning the overseer (1:7a, 9) was later expanded by the addition of a general vice/virtue list (1:7b, 8).12

---

12 The virtues and vices seem to draw upon a traditional schema. See, A. Vögtle, Tugend- und Lasterkataloge im Neuen Testament (Munster: Aschendorff, 1936) pp. 52; 239-42. Vögtle argues that the unspecified character of these qualities shows their secondary employment.
The scribal tendency to add traditional materials to an existing text is aptly illustrated by the lengthy addition (following 1:9) that appears in minuscule 460, a thirteenth-century Venetian MS. This cluster of regulations (see below) was loaded onto the received text with no indication that the original text had been modified:

Do not ordain those who have been twice married nor make them deacons; do not have wives from a second marriage, let (such people) not approach the altar for divine service. Rebuke as a servant of God the rulers who are unjust and swindlers and liars and merciless.  

1:10-16 Polemical Warnings

The instructions regarding church order (cp. 1:6-9, 2:1ff) are interrupted by a group of polemical statements directed against unspecified opponents (1:10-16); they may have been intentionally placed here by a compiler who wished to underscore the need for care to be exercised in the selection of church leaders. The unit is comprised of diverse elements, including:

1:10-11 Polemic against the opponents
1:12-13a A pagan citation
1:13b-14 Saying on how to deal with the opponents,
1:15-16 Polemic against the opponents

It is significant that in addition to the textual evidence (which in this case confirms the secondary character of this material), the change in literary form between 1:7-9 and this addition also indicates that they did not originally belong together: note, for example, the opening imperatival infinitive μὴ χειροτονεῖν διάδοχος (See A.T. Robertson, Grammar, pp.1092-3). Compare the discussion at I 1:3b.

The variant appears in full in the Nestle-Aland (26th ed.) text of the NT.
Although this block of material is unified by its polemical concerns, there is no real movement of thought between the various elements that comprise it; in fact the logical connections within the unit are often strained and obscure (see below).

The term ἀνυπότακτοι probably serves as the link-word connecting this material to that which precedes (see 1:6). But it seems strange that the "insubordinate" people in 1:6 are children of potential elders, while in 1:11 the "insubordinates" refer to the seditious opponents. It is hard to imagine an author using, in such close proximity, the same word to label two very different groups.

The charges of the first polemical saying (1:10-11) are for the most part purely conventional, the opponents remaining nameless and faceless (πολλοί; 1:10; οἵτινες, 1:11); however at 1:10b there is a very specific reference to "those of the circumcision" (οἱ ἐκ τῆς περιτομῆς). This specific allusion to the Jews (or Jewish practices) seems out of place in this otherwise vague and nondescript passage;\[^{14}\] it is especially

\[^{14}\] The phrase can refer to Jews (see Rom.4:12); D-C (The Pastoral Epistles, p.135) and B.S. Easton (The Pastoral Epistles, p.88) think the reference is to Jews. But the phrase can also describe Jewish Christians (cp. Acts 10:45, 11:2; Gal. 2:12; Col. 4:11); E.E. Ellis ("Those of the Circumcision" in Studia Evangelica IV [Berlin: 1968] 390-399) argues that it refers here to Jewish converts. J. Moffatt translates the phrase, "those who have come over from Judaism."
strange since the Pastorals as a whole do not emphasize the Jewish background of the opponents. The mention of "Jewish" myths in 1:14 is the only place in the Pastorals where the myths are so specified.

The reference to Jews (or Jewish converts) in 1:10 is made more problematic by the quotation of the hexameter by Epimenides that follows (1:12); the phrase introducing the citation ("one of their own prophets") must in the present context refer back to someone within "the circumcision" (1:10), but such a reading causes obvious difficulties since Epimenides was obviously not a Jew.

All of these difficulties vanish if the Jewish references within this unit (1:10, 14) are regarded as

---

15 J.B. Lightfoot ("The Date of the Pastoral Epistles," Biblical Essays [London: Macmillan, 1893] 411) thinks that I 1:7-8 and Tit. 3:9 also signal the Jewish origin of the heretics, but he interprets the meaning of both of these passages in the light of Tit. 1:10, 14.

16 Compare I 1:4, 4:7; II 4:4. See also, II Pet. 1:16. Scholars who assume the literary unity of the Pastorals allow this text to color their understanding of other polemical passages within the Pastorals. F. Buschel ("γενεσθε, γενεσιλογήσαι," TDNT I: 662-65), for example, argues in this way: "From I Tim. 1:4 we learn that γενεσιλογήσαι cannot be separated from μονοθεικοί. Tit. 1:14 mentions μονοθεικοί Ιουδαίκοι. It thus follows that the γενεσιλογήσαι, too, are Jewish in content."

17 C.K. Barrett (The Pastoral Epistles, p.131) notes the problem: "the introduction of the Cretans' is not easy to understand after the reference in v.10 to Jewish converts." But Barrett's solution is less than satisfactory: "Either the author has not fully thought through his material...or the Jews are to be thought of as in great measure assimilated to Cretan life...."
anti-Jewish glosses, and removed from the text; it is easy to imagine how a copyist, desiring to underscore Christianity's departure from all things Jewish, could be responsible for just such a gloss. When these references to the Jews are removed, the remaining polemical materials correspond to the kind of conventional language that we find elsewhere in the Pastorals.

Minuscule 460 adds another community rule following 1:11:

Silence the children who mistreat and beat their own parents; reprove and admonish them as a father to children.

This regulation hardly fits the surrounding context, but it is not logic that led a scribe to insert this text here. The admonition to silence (ἐπιστομίζειν) the insubordinate in 1:11 has clearly prompted a scribe to add another community admonition, this one emphasizing the need to silence (ἐπιστομίζε) the children.

2:1-10 Domestic rules: Qualities of good community members

The traditional character of this block of materials is clear from the parallels that exist within pagan,
Jewish and early Christian literature. It is introduced here by the formulaic οὐ δὲ (cp. I 6:11; II 3:10, 14), is marked by a distinct vocabulary, and is tied only loosely to the surrounding context, all of which suggest that it is a pre-formed independent unit. The qualities required of the various groups have little specifically Christian emphasis, a feature that may indicate the pre-Christian origin of the material.

The cluster of instructions include five (or six) different groups of people:

2:2 Old men
2:3 Old women
2:4-5 Young women
2:7a Young men

(continued)


19Ten hapax legomena appear within these ten verses: πρεσβυτής, κατάστημα, ἱεροπρεπὴς, καλοδιδάσκαλος, σωξαριστίς, φίλανθρος, φίλοτεκνος, οἰκουργός, ἀφθορία, ἀκατάγνωστος.

20K. Weidinger (Die Haustafeln, ein Stück urchritlichen Paränese [Leipzig: 1928] p.53) notes that the specifically Christian content of the house rules in Titus (2:1-10) is less than other NT domestic codes. It is especially interesting that the code here is grounded upon the teaching of "our savior God" (2:10) but lacks any reference to Jesus.
The form of the code here differs considerably from those which appear elsewhere in the NT; in this schema the community of the Pastorals is divided by age and sex (2:1-7), not by family relationships (wives, husbands, children, fathers, masters, slaves). It does not follow the pattern of "reciprocal" exhortations that appear in the Ephesian and Colossian Haustafeln.

J. Crouch suggests that the Haustafel schema (as viewed, for example, in Col. 3:18-4:1) has been modified in the Pastorals by "the ecclesiastical concerns of these works," but this assertion is very difficult to demonstrate; the form of Titus 2:1-10 cannot be explained in terms of specific ecclesiastical concerns. Like other parenetic units of material the domestic code here has a "casual" quality about it; no conclusions about the life of the church or of the community of the Pastorals can be drawn from its arrangement; the variations in the NT codes alone suggest that various schemata of domestic rules existed; there is no reason

---


22 Compare, for example, Eph. 5:22-6:9; Col. 3:18-4:1; 1 Pet. 2:18-3:7.

to think that the catalogue in Titus 2 has been adapted to fit the unique circumstances of the church (or the readership) of the Pastorals.

It seems far more likely that the materials in Titus came pre-formed into the hands of a compiler who inserted them unmodified into the text. The independent nature of this material helps explain why the terms used here for older men and women (πρεσβύτης, πρεσβύτις, 2:2,3) contrast with those that appear in I Timothy (πρεσβύτερος, πρεσβύτερα, 5:1,2). The differences in terminology stem from the differences in source materials that were incorporated into the Pastorals.

The double appearance of ἡσαύτως (2:3, 6) reflects the traditional character of these materials (see I 3:8, 3:11).

2:11-14 Credal fragment

The prosaic catalogue of rules for the community is followed by an elaborate confessional piece. Most commentators point to the connective γάρ in 2:11 and argue that 2:11-14 provides the theological foundation upon which the domestic rules are grounded. But such a logical progression is by no means clear; no explicit references are made within 2:11-14 to the preceding list of rules or to the concerns that may have prompted them. Nor does the reference to slaves in 2:10 lead one to expect the saying on "the epiphany of grace" (2:11) that follows. The liturgical material in 2:11-14, in fact,
interrupts the catalogue of rules that continues in 3:1-2 (cp. ὑποτάσσεσθαι, 2:9; 3:1). In the form that we have it, the transition is not smooth and the logical links are not readily apparent.

The discontinuity leads C.K. Barrett to regard the passage as "a digression" and to suggest that "the reference to God as Savior proves to be the point of departure." This latter remark is significant for it suggests that word association (rather than logic) is responsible for the change of subject matter here; Barrett, of course, thinks the digression is the work of an author; but the marked change in literary style, the distinct vocabulary, and the liturgical character of this material, suggest that the two units (2:1-10, 2:11-14) are not the products of a single hand.

The fixed nature of the language lends credence to the theory that this material was not written ad hoc but, on the contrary, came pre-formed as a traditional piece into the hands of a compiler, who incorporated it into Titus. Parallels to Titus 2:11-14, both in

---


25 The style shifts suddenly at 2:11 from catalogues of virtues to prose. The vocabulary includes three hapax legomena (σωτηρίους, σωφρόνως, περιούσιος) and five other non-Pauline words (ἐπιφαίνω, ἄρνεσμα, κοσμικός, εὐσεβῶς, λυτρώ). Illustrative of the liturgical character is the phrase: "...awaiting the blessed hope and the appearance of the glory of the great God..."

26 Compare, for example, Tit. 2:14 and Ps. 130 (Footnote Continued)
thought and language, appear in I Peter 1:13b-19, a text that has long been suspected of incorporating various pre-formed liturgical elements. Such parallels may reflect the use of common liturgical materials.

The mention of "God our savior (σωτήρος)" in 2:10 may be the link word that prompted the compiler to include 2:11-15 (cp. σωτήρος, 2:11); in any case, the passage reads like a confessional piece and should probably by regarded as a fragment from a hymn or a creed.

(Footnote Continued)
[129]: 1να λυτρώσηται ήμᾶς ἀπὸ πάσης ἀνομίας (Tit. 2:14); καὶ αὐτὸς λυτρώσεται τὸν Ἰσραήλ ἐκ πασῶν τῶν ἀνομίων αὐτοῦ (Ps.129:8 LXX).

27 Compare: Tit. 2:11 ("the epiphany of grace"), I P. 1:13b ("the grace that is coming to you"); Tit. 2:12 ("training us to renounce...worldly passions [ἐπιθυμίας]"); I P. 1:14 ("do not be conformed to the passions [ἐπιθυμίαις];" Tit. 2:14 ("He gave himself...to ransom [Ἰνα λυτρώσηται] us"), I P. 1:18 ("knowing that [εἴδοτες ὅτι] you were ransomed (ἐλυτρώθητε)."

28 See the detailed discussion in F.L. Cross, I Peter, a Paschal Liturgy (London, 1954); a number of fixed materials, both liturgical and parenetic appear in I Peter; see, for example, the apparent citations in 1:18-21; 2:21-25; the domestic code (2:13-3:6); the catalogue of vices (4:3-5), and virtues (4:7-11). On the liturgical character of Tit. 2:11-14, see A.T. Hanson, "Elements of a Baptismal Liturgy in Titus," Studies in the Pastoral Epistles (London: S.P.C.K., 1968) 78-96.

29 E.E. Ellis ("Traditions," pp.243-4) suggests that the unit has "all the earmarks of traditioned material," and regards it as a "confessional hymn."
2:15 Literary marker

The admonition to "speak these things" (2:15) seems odd in the present context since the material that immediately precedes is liturgical rather than parenetic. But the stereotyped nature of this admonition is clear from its use elsewhere (see the discussion at I 4:6); it seems likely that the phrase ταῦτα λάλει is functioning here as a literary marker, signalling the inclusion of the preceding traditional materials, including, perhaps, the entire section 2:1-14 (note the introductory σὺ δὲ λάλει, 2:1).

3:1-2 Domestic rule: submission to authorities

The subject reverts back to the concern for proper conduct within the community (cf. 2:1-10). The commonplace character of the admonition (on submission to authorities) is presupposed by the introductory phrase, "remind them..." In the present context,


31 The verb ὑπομιμηθῆσκω is often associated with cited or traditional materials. In II Tim. 2:14, for example, ὑπομιμηθῆσκω immediately follows the "faithful word" of II 2:11-13. In IV Maccabees 18:14, the same verb introduces a citation from Isaiah 43:2. In I Clem. 7:1 the phrase "we remind ourselves" is followed by a reference to "the glorious and venerable rule of our tradition" (τῆς παραδόσεως ἡμῶν κανόνα, 7:2).
however, it is not clear to whom the pronoun "them" is referring; the obscurity of the antecedent is puzzling, and may signal that this material has been lifted from a pre-existing source.

A close parallel to the regulation presented here occurs at the beginning of the domestic code in I Peter (2:13f). The emphasis on submission to authorities, the need to supplement this by good works (ἐργατὺς ἡγαθός, Tit. 3:1; ἄγαθος τολμῶν, I P. 2:15), and the admonition to show kindness (or honor) to all men (Tit. 3:2; I P. 2:17) appear in both regulations.

At the beginning of I Clement (1:3) a summary of a domestic code appears, many of the concerns of which can also be detected in Titus 2:1-10 and 3:1-2:

For you did all things without respect of persons, and walked in the laws of God,

obedient to your rulers, and paying all fitting honor to the older among you.

On the young, too, you enjoined temperate and seemly thoughts,
And to the women you gave instruction that they should do all things with a blameless and seemly and pure conscience, yielding a dutiful affection to their husbands.

And you taught them to remain in the rule of obedience and to manage their households with seemliness, in all circumspection. (emphasis added)

32 Compare also, I Tim. 2:1-2; Rom. 13:1-7. Philo (Spec. Leg., 226) suggests that "for those who take account of virtue," rulers are above subjects (that is, they deserve honor).
There does not appear to be any logical connection between 3:1-2 and the preceding liturgical material (2:11-14). The references, however, to "good works" (καλῶν ἐργῶν, 2:14) and "every good work" (πρὸς πᾶν ἐργον, 3:1) may provide the catchword that ties these two units of traditional materials together.33

3:3-8 Credal fragment

The traditional character of this section is marked by the faithful word formula that appears in 3:8a; virtually all commentators think the formula refers to this material rather than that which follows.34 But there is less agreement over how much of 3:3-8 is part of the citation.35

---


34 E.F. Scott (The Pastoral Epistles, p.178), however, thinks the "faithful word" formula refers to the sentence that follows ("those who have faith in God make a point of practising honorable occupations"), but his decision is clearly governed by the presupposition that the formula "invariably applies to some concise statement." Scott's line of argument here seems to beg the question.

35 The majority of scholars regard 2:4-7 as the citation (for example, Barrett, Simpson, Ellicott, Jeremias, Brox, Guthrie, White, Moffatt); a few commentators think the credal fragment comprises 3:5-7 or portions thereof (see Spicq, Easton, Lock, Kelly); D-C, and perhaps Leany, argue for the entire section 2:3-7.
There is no doubt that a striking change in literary style occurs between 3:3 (a seven-member vice-list) and 3:4-7 (a rhythmic, liturgical confession). This may signal that two previously separate pre-formed pieces have been joined here. But the two units cannot easily be detached from one another, for they combine to present a unified picture: 3:3 presenting a graphic account of the pre-conversion lifestyle, and 3:4-7 declaring the saving effects of God's epiphany of love and kindness. The appearance of the particle μορφε in 3:3 also seems to require the contrast provided by 3:4-7.

The traditional nature of this material is also evident from the many parallels to this schema that exist. The train of thought and language is especially close to that which appears in Ephesians 2:2ff. 36 A.T. Hanson argues that the similarities between Titus 3:3-8, Ephesians 2:1-10, and I Peter 1:3-5 suggest the respective authors drew upon the same pre-formed baptismal prayer or act of praise "which they adapted to their own use independently of each other" (p.86). 37

36 The common features shared by Titus 3:3-8 and Eph. 2:1-10 are noteworthy: both passages contrast present with past behavior; both allude to past "disobedience" and evil "passions"; both emphasize that salvation is by grace and not works; and both refer to regeneration and new life.

But Hanson's theory is weakened by the fact that Titus 3:3-8 shows no signs of being adapted to its present context. The first person plural pronouns (and verbs) abruptly appear at 3:3 and are left off at 3:8b. No explicit link is made between this material and that which precedes (3:1-2: submission to authorities) or follows (3:8c-11; miscellaneous admonitions). The transitions are not tightly drawn as we would expect them to be if an author were at work. Rather, the entire piece (3:3-8) protrudes distinctly from the surrounding context in a way that suggests it was placed there as a pre-formed piece, without modification or adaptation. The faithful word formula (3:8a) seems to confirm that this fragment is a citation (rather than a loose adaptation) from a traditional and well-known community liturgy.

The reference to "good works" (καλῶν ἔργων) in 3:8b may again be the link word responsible for the placement of this material here (cf. 3:1: πᾶν ἔργον ἀγαθῶν). The saying in 3:8c ("these are good and profitable to men") seems rather pointless. R. Falconer calls it "an editorial platitude," while A.T. Hanson remarks that

38 Note the use of the second person imperative (ὑμοίμαντες) at 3:1, and the first person indicative (βουλομαι) at 3:8b.

39 E.E. Ellis ("Traditions," p.245) regards ταῦτα as a marker of the traditional nature of 3:3-8, but it is not clear to which ταῦτα (3:8b or 3:8c) he is referring.
"nowhere does the author descend lower in mere banality than here." Not only does the sentence appear unnecessary, but its precise meaning is obscure as well. To what, for example, does the demonstrative pronoun ταώτα refer? Does it refer to the "good works" mentioned in 3:8b, or the preceding blocks of traditional materials (3:1-7), or to the admonitions within the letter as a whole?

It is likely that this saying is functioning here as a formal literary marker, signalling the end of another cluster of traditional materials.

3:9-11 Polemical admonitions: on dealing with participants

The appearance of this unit in its present context is sudden and unexpected; the catchword association ὠφελίμω and ἀνωφελείς may be the editorial link that brought these two units together.

The material may be genuine, charging Titus to beware of the sources of potential dissension within his community. If so, it has striking similarities to the

---


41 Some commentators think it refers to the entire message of the letter (so, for example, Kelly, Guthrie); others to the immediately preceding injunctions (Bernard).

42 J.N.D. Kelly (The Pastoral Epistles, p.255) regards this passage as a digression.
charge given to Timothy in I Tim. 1:1-7. Both passages treat the subject of how to deal with the opponents, and employ similar terms:

μωρᾶς ζητήσις (cf. I Tim. 1:4)
γενεαλογία (cf. I Tim. 1:4)
μάταιος (cf. I Tim. 1:6)

3:12-15 Personalia and greetings

Apart from the salutation, this unit provides the only personal remarks that appear within the whole of Titus. There is no compelling reason to doubt the authenticity of this material. The passage reads well together as a unit and may represent an authentic Pauline "core" around which the rest of the materials within Titus were gathered (see chapter six, below).

3:15c Benediction

The benediction that concludes the letter parallels closely those of I and II Timothy. It may have been added when the letters began to be used within the larger Christian community.
I. The problem of the Pastorals:

If the foregoing analysis of the Pastorals is anywhere near correct, then the problems that confront the interpreter of these letters are even more complex than most scholars have allowed. The letters do not reflect the sort of literary construction that one expects from the hand of an author writing narrative prose; the reader is seldom carried along by the development of thought, much less the force of argument; the letters have no driving concern, no consistent focus of interest; instead, they read like an anthology of traditions, many arranged mechanically together by topics, some simply juxtaposed.

It is precisely in the literary discontinuities, the flashes of different material, the lack of sustained argument, that the compositional integrity of the
Pastorals is most seriously called into question. The diversity of literary forms within these letters, the variations in content, the traditional character of much of the material, all converge to suggest that these documents are composite works, consisting of gathered collections of community traditions.

II. Theories of composition

But if the Pastorals are made up of various pre-formed elements, how did they come to take the shape they now have? What is to account for the puzzling mixture of materials within these documents? Among those who recognize that the Pastorals contain previously independent materials, a number of theories have been put forward to account for the literary peculiarity of these letters.

A) Collections of an imitator

M. Dibelius remains the most influential proponent of the view that the traditional materials within the Pastorals were collected and passed off as Pauline by a pseudonymous author. According to this theory, the epistolary framework of these documents is a carefully employed literary device designed to confer apostolic authority upon the traditional materials; the fictional "occasion" of the letters (that is, Paul writing to two
men in charge of whole provinces) was intended to ensure the widest possible reading of these materials.¹

This approach allows for a full appreciation of the diversity of the traditional materials that have been incorporated within the Pastorals. The pre-formed character of the domestic rules, church order regulations, liturgical pieces, and the like, is properly recognized and given its rightful place in the interpretation of the letters.

But in spite of its considerable strengths, Dibelius' approach (and the many variations that derive from it) founders on the assumption that these letters are the product of a skilled imitator, writing in Paul's name, and mimicking his epistolary style;² in too many respects these letters lack the Pauline stamp. It is hard to attribute, for example, the abrupt ending of I Timothy, and its lack of any closing greetings, to a Pauline imitator; and why would a pseudonymous author omit the customary thanksgivings in I Timothy and Titus? Paul's letters usually have a distinct parenetic section, but the Pastorals are permeated by parenetical

¹So, D-C, The Pastoral Epistles, pp.5-8.

²Dibelius does not claim any special craftiness on the part of the pseudonymous writer; nevertheless, his descriptions of the author's use of literary devices and pseudepigraphical techniques (see, The Pastoral Epistles, p.127) imply a considerable expertise and sophistication.
advice and instructions. In matters of literary style, language, and form, the Pastorals deviate too widely from the Pauline corpus to be regarded as carefully crafted imitations.

The internal ordering of the materials within the Pastorals also militates against the view that these documents are the product of one author. Organization and development of thought are expected from an author, but the Pastorals are characterized by a remarkable lack of both. Topics are abruptly left off only to reappear again later; even obviously related church order materials are detached from one another, their arrangement showing no clear logical sequence.

Equally problematic is the question: why three Pastorals? Or, more specifically, what would motivate a pseudonymous author to write both I Timothy and Titus, documents so similar in outlook, contents, and concerns as to seem tautologous? Dibelius' hypothesis suggests that I Timothy is intended for the leader of the congregation, while Titus is directed primarily toward the missionary. But this is surely to place a greater

---

3 W. Doty (Letters in Primitive Christianity [Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 1973] p.69) observes this oddity of the Pastorals: "I find it characteristic of [the Pastorals] that the Pauline paraenetic section is now lost to view formally...."

4 See, for example, the material on deacons (I 3:8-10, 12-13); church leaders (I 3:1-7; 5:17ff).

5 Ibid., p.154.
burden upon Titus 1:5 than it can bear, since there is no other evidence to support such a distinction.  

B) Collections of Paul

Another view that takes seriously the presence of pre-formed traditions argues that Paul himself collected and employed traditional materials in his writings.  

G.E. Cannon argues this case in his recently published dissertation, _Traditional Materials in Colossians_. Cannon begins by noting that the major problems in ascribing Colossians to Paul revolve around theological, lexical and stylistic matters; he then attempts to show that most of the controversial issues within these

---


7 E. Norden (Agnostos Theos [Berlin/Leipzig: B.G. Teubner: 1913] pp.250-54) was an early exponent of this view, arguing that Col. 1:15-20 was a liturgical piece. A.M. Hunter (Paul and his Predecessors [Philadelphia: Westminster Press, 1940]) argued that Paul's letters are punctuated by various pre-formed traditional materials (see especially, pp.136-46).

letters are directly related to the sections where traditional forms are present.

E.E. Ellis, as we observed earlier, argues a similar position in relation to the Pastorals. Following Harnack and Lightfoot, Ellis accepts a post-Acts 28 ministry of Paul, and suggests that during this period Paul

apparently found traditions, some with a soteriological and church order idiom and emphasis reminiscent of the Jerusalem church, which he incorporated into his letters to Timothy and Titus.

Ellis concludes by noting the genre-affinities of the Pastorals with the Qumran Manual of Discipline, and suggests that Paul's last three letters functioned as "virtual manuals of traditions and commentary" for the various congregations to whom they were circulated (via Timothy and Titus).

This theory provides a credible motive and Sitz im Leben for the Pastorals, and also makes sense of the many Pauline features present within these letters.

---


12 Ibid. pp.252-3.
But, nonetheless, the appeal to Pauline authorship is met with a host of serious difficulties. As we observed above, the Pastorals do not easily lend themselves to any theory of authorship; they do not read like the writings of a single author. But even assuming that Paul wrote these documents as we now have them, it is hard to understand what could have motivated such a remarkable change in his epistolary habits; by Ellis's own count, traditional materials occur within the Pastorals "in much greater abundance" than within the other Pauline letters, comprising 41% of I Timothy, 16% of II Timothy, and 46% of Titus. To what can we attribute this marked increase in the inclusion of traditional materials?

And if Paul is responsible for the collection of these materials, why did he incorporate elements that seem at crosspurposes with some of his most deeply held theological convictions? The references to the law, for example, in I Timothy 1:8-11, are hardly in keeping with Paul's statements on the subject elsewhere in his letters; it is hard to imagine Paul affirming the idea that "the law is not laid down for the just, but for the

---

lawless...(1:9) Ellis correctly identifies 1 Timothy 1:9-11 as a pre-Pauline unit, but if Paul himself were the collector of these traditions, why would he include this piece?

C) Collections of a Pauline school

The Pastorals may be the product, not of an individual (be it Paul or a pseudo-Paul), but of a "school"—that is, a group of editors charged with the preservation and circulation of Pauline teaching and traditions. The existence of such schools is suggested by analogy from the known organized teaching practices within contemporary pagan circles and those of the Hellenistic synagogue. Allusions to the presence of prophetic schools are also contained within the OT itself. The view that organized schools existed

14 C.K. Barrett (The Pastoral Epistles, pp.42-3) finds this text in tension with the Pauline understanding of the law as presented in Rom. 3:19: "Now we know that whatever the law says it speaks to those who are under the law, so that every mouth may be stopped."

15 For example, the Pythagoreans, Epicureans, Stoics, and Essenes probably all had some kind of "school" community during the first century. For a thorough canvassing of both pagan and Jewish "schools," see the dissertation by R.A. Culpepper, The Johannine School: An evaluation of the Johannine-School Hypothesis based on an Investigation of the Nature of Ancient Schools, SBL Dissertation Series, 26 (Missoula, Montana: Scholars Press, 1974). Culpepper's definition of a school consists of nine characteristics, one of which includes an emphasis on "teaching, learning, studying, and writing" (p.259).

16 See, II Kings 6:1; I Sam. 10:10. Compare the (Footnote Continued)
within early Christian communities has been frequently advanced, notably by K. Stendahl on the Matthean school and O. Cullmann on the Johannine "circle."\(^{17}\) The idea that Paul had disciples who functioned during his lifetime and after his death as collectors and editors of his teaching has much to commend it. The distinctive features of the deuto-Pauline literature are well attributed to the work of a school.\(^ {18}\) And the peculiar characteristics of the Pastorals, in particular, seem to lend themselves to such an explanation; the diversity of forms and the sudden changes in subject matter suggest that collectors were at work.

But this theory is also confronted by a massive problem; if the Pastorals are the products of a Pauline

(Footnote Continued)


school, why do they deviate so considerably from the teachings of the master? Major Pauline concerns find little or no place in these letters: the work of the Spirit is rarely discussed (I 4:1; II 1:14; Tit.3:5); Paul's rich use of the formula "in Christ" is almost completely lost to view;\(^{19}\) the Pauline use of "faith" as the justifying principle never appears;\(^{20}\) and perhaps most surprisingly of all, no explicit mention is made of the cross, or of Jesus' resurrection.

The theological orientation of the Pastorals is likewise in tension with Pauline teaching at a number of points. We have already noted the non-Pauline treatment of the law in I Timothy 1:8-11. But other tensions exist; the increased identification of faith ("the faith") with the contents of the gospel seems to reflect a strange transformation of the customary Pauline

\(^{19}\) The expression "in Christ" occurs nine times in the Pastorals (I 1:14, 3:13; II 1:1, 9, 13; 2:1, 10; 3:13, 15). J.A. Allan ("The 'In-Christ' Formula in the Pastoral Epistles," *NTS* 10 [1963] 115-121) notes that "as compared with Paul, the Pastorals show a very great impoverishment of expression. The formula survives only in a single stereotyped form." (p.118)

\(^{20}\) See the remarks by B.S. Easton, *The Pastoral Epistles*, p.203. I.H. Marhsall ("Faith and Works in the Pastoral Epistles," *SNTU A*, 9 [1984] p.207) takes issue with Easton, arguing that Paul does not always link the concepts of faith and justification (cf. Rom. 3:20; 9:11f); Marshall's point is well taken, but it does not diminish the striking fact that no explicit reference to faith as the means of salvation appears in the Pastorals.
understanding of the term;\textsuperscript{21} the tendency to link πίστις with other virtues (see, for example, the virtue lists at I 2:15, 4:12, 6:11, II 2:22, II 3:10; Tit. 2:2) also marks a movement away from the Pauline usage.\textsuperscript{22}

More significantly, non-Pauline elements appear in the Christology (Christologies?)\textsuperscript{23} of the Pastorals; H. Windisch is probably right in seeing some pre-Pauline Christological ideas within certain passages of these letters (especially I 2:5-6); but other passages seem to reflect an equally unPauline "epiphany" Christology (I 3:16; II 1:10; Tit. 2:11, 3:4).

The Pastorals may be the product of a school, but the lack of emphasis of key Pauline ideas, and the tensions caused by the appearance of non-Pauline

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{21}The objective meaning of πίστις occurs only x4 in the earlier Pauline letters (I Cor. 16:13; II Cor. 13:5; Gal. 1:23; Phil. 1:27; [Col. 1:23; 2:7]); in the Pastorals the word has this meaning x17 times (I 1:2, 19; 3:9, 13; 4:1, 6; 5:8; 6:10, 12, 21; II 2:18; 3:8; 4:7; Tit. 1:1, 4, 13; 2:2. See the discussion by O. von Merk, "Glaube und Tat in den Pastoralbriefen," \textit{ZNW} 66 (1975) 91-112.
\item \textsuperscript{22}The combination of faith with other Christian virtues appears also in Paul (for example, Gal.5:22), but the frequency of the lists within the Pastorals is striking. I.H. Marshall ("Faith and Works in the Pastoral Epistles," \textit{Studien zum Neuen Testament und seiner Umwelt} A, 9 (1984) regards such linking as "the most characteristic feature of the Pastorals"; he notes 14 instances (p.214).
\item \textsuperscript{23}According to H. Windisch ("Zur Christologie der Pastoralbriefe," \textit{ZNW} 34 [1935] 213-238) the Pastorals show no unified Christology, "sondern Christuslehre in Form von 'Sprüchen,' 'Formeln,' und 'Hymnen,' die aus verschiedenen Lehrkreisen und Lehrstufen stammen."
\end{itemize}
theological positions, make it unlikely that the letters are the product of a specifically Pauline school.

None of the above hypotheses seems adequate to explain the literary peculiarities of the Pastorals. Before we offer our own theory of the origin of these letters, it may be helpful to re-examine the various features that make the interpretation of these documents so difficult:

1. the letters claim to be by Paul, and contain what appears to be genuine Pauline material;

2. the letters do not closely "mimic" the Pauline letters in form or style;

3. all three letters are made up of a miscellany of pre-formed materials;

4. some of the material appears to be non-Pauline,

5. the materials are not arranged in any logical order;

6. the traditional character of the materials is very pronounced.
III. The origin of the Pastorals

The peculiar features of the Pastorals suggest that, in their present form at least, they cannot be attributed to the work of any one man, writing at a specific time and place, in response to a particular situation or request. But if this assessment be correct, then what kind of documents are they? How did they come together, and what can we say about their origin?

The documents read like composite works, and appear to possess complicated compositional histories.

In light of our review of the complex compositional histories underlying other Jewish and early Christian texts (see chapter two), such conclusions come as no surprise. The composite character of the Pastorals simply reflects the widespread practices of the literary environment out of which they emerged.  

There is good reason to believe that the present text of the Pastorals incorporates genuine Pauline notes that were written to Timothy and Titus at some time during Paul's missionary activity. The presence of

24 Some textual evidence still survives that indicates how easily the Pastorals lent themselves to additions and expansion; in Codex 460, two lengthy "regulations" have been added to the text at Titus 1:9 and 1:11; in II Timothy 3:11, the sufferings that Paul underwent in Antioch, Iconium, and Lystra (II 3:11) are attributed to Thecla (from the Acts of Paul and Thecla) in Codex 181; the same MS adds the names of three persons to the greetings in II 4:19 (also taken from the Acts of Paul and Thecla).
authentic material within the Pastorals has been advocated since 1836, but the greatest proponent of the theory remains P.N. Harrison. On literary, historical, and doctrinal grounds, Harrison advocates the presence of three genuine notes in the Pastorals: 1) Tit. 3:12-15; 2) II Tim. 4:9-15, 20-21a, 22b; and 3) II Tim. 1:16-18; 3:10f; 4:1, 2a, 5b-8, 16-19, 21b, 22a.25 His unique dissection and re-combination of these notes is open to debate, but his argument for accepting the presence of some genuine material within the Pastorals seems compelling.

C.K. Barrett affirms the basic thrust of Harrison's position, and suggests that by the acceptance of this theory "both the Pauline characteristics of certain verses, and the non-Pauline characteristics of the Epistles as a whole, receive their due."26

But the major drawback of the "fragments" hypothesis, as Harrison and Barrett propound it, is that it provides no convincing explanation as to how or why splintered bits from various Pauline letters were preserved prior to their being collected and placed in the artificial framework of the Pastorals. This is the

---

problem that led A.T. Hanson to abandon the "fragments" theory and treat the Pastorals as wholly pseudonymous.\textsuperscript{27}

Hanson's reservations are justified; the likelihood of such nondescript fragments surviving seems remote. But the difficulties are greatly lessened if the fragments are regarded, not as miscellaneous scraps of worn-out Pauline letters, but as the original Pastoral letters themselves; that is, each of our three Pastorals originated as an authentic note written by the apostle to Timothy and Titus. These three notes were read by the recipients, who then handed them over to the scribes responsible for preserving the community's sacred writings. Over the course of transmission, the notes were expanded by the addition of other sacred community traditions; the expansion was not intended to make the letters "look" Pauline; rather, it was motivated by the community's desire to preserve the traditions and to be instructed by them.

This theory, of course, involves considerable speculation, but it is not without significant supporting evidence; the book of Jeremiah, for example, in its present form, cannot be attributed to any one man; it is clearly made up of a variety of traditional materials.

\textsuperscript{27}Hanson defends the "fragments" hypothesis in his commentary on the Pastorals in the Cambridge Bible Commentary Series published in 1966. His change of opinion is discussed in his later commentary, The Pastoral Epistles, p.11.
But there is little doubt that the book originated as a collection of genuine prophetic oracles from the great Jeremiah himself. Other materials were added later during the process of transmission. Similar literary histories are shared by most of the prophetic books.

If the Pastorals originated in this way, the question, "why three Pastorals?" no longer presents difficulties; we possess three letters because three Pauline notes were written and preserved. If these original notes were expanded by editorial activity, it becomes easier to understand why the Pastorals in their present form are characterized by abrupt and sudden shifts in subject matter and literary form. The lack of organization and development of thought is likewise clarified, and the letters can be read for what they are, not as the carefully honed products of an author, but as anthologies of traditional materials brought together by editors.

But if an original Pauline note is embedded within each of the Pastorals, can it still be identified? The early proponents of this theory often responded affirmatively to this question and sought to extricate each of
the three notes from its respective matrix. But the sheer variety of their conclusions cautions one against such an attempt. It is probably no longer possible to determine the shape or the limit of the original Pauline letters with exact precision. Barrett rightly regards Harrison's neat distinctions with skepticism.

It seems better to present what appears to be the "core" of the original notes, without making any attempt to delimit them further. Additional study may show that other passages, regarded here as later traditions on Paul's life and ministry should, in fact, be added to this authentic "core" (for example, I Tim. 1:12-17; 4:12-14; II Tim.1 12b-14; 2:8-10, 17b-18).

I Timothy
1:1-7 Salutation and occasion
1:18-20 Charges to Timothy (and current news)
3:14-15 " " " " "
6:20-21 Concluding charge and benediction

When these five passages are read consecutively, a plausible Pauline note emerges that instructs Timothy to...


29 C.K. Barrett, The Pastoral Epistles, p.11.
remain in Ephesus because of serious disturbances that have arisen in that region of the Pauline mission. If traditions contained in Paul's farewell speech to the Ephesian elders are reliable (Acts 20:17-35), there is reason to believe that difficulties similar to those addressed in I Timothy were, in fact, encountered in Ephesus:

"I know that after my departure fierce wolves will come in among you, not sparing the flock; and from among your own selves will arise men speaking perverse things, to draw away the disciples after them" (Acts 20:29-30).

The dating and occasion of the note cannot be established; very little can be determined about Paul's situation. It is plain that he had recently been in Ephesus, leaving Timothy behind as he travelled on to Macedonia (I Tim. 1:3); at the time the letter was written Paul was clearly at liberty and was hoping to return soon to Ephesus (I Tim. 1:3; 3:14).

The situation described in the note could fit within the framework of the Ephesian ministry as described in Acts. As K. and S. Lake have noted:

Modern scholars are increasingly aware that a comparison of I Corinthians with Acts shows that Luke left out much which one would have

---

thought he must have known concerning Paul's life, and his account of what happened to Paul in Ephesus is probably quite as sketchy as his picture of the Corinthian church.

C.K. Barrett also thinks it possible to fit I Timothy into Acts, although in his view "the evidence is too slight to warrant any decisive judgment." 32

Since we do not know the outcome of Paul's trial in Rome (cf. Acts 28), it is possible that I Timothy was written by Paul sometime after the events described by Luke. That Paul was released from his Roman imprisonment and conducted other missionary campaigns (including Crete?) is very probable, as J.B. Lightfoot's summary of the evidence has shown. 33

The purposes behind the note (as we have identified it) appear to have included the following:

1. to confirm previous instructions
2. to provide Timothy with written apostolic authorization in support of his work;
3. to highlight the problem of false teachers in Ephesus;
4. to provide current news (1:19-20; 3:14);
5. to encourage Timothy in his charge.

Like the authentic letter embedded within I Timothy, an original Pauline "core" can also be detected within Titus; it, too, has been splintered by the inclusion of various traditional materials:

Titus 1:1-5 Salutation and occasion
3:9-11 Charge to Titus
3:12-15 Current news and greetings
3:15c Benediction

The precise dating and occasion of this note are equally obscure. It is unlikely, however, that the writer of Acts would omit a major missionary campaign of the kind alluded to here; this may tilt the evidence in favor of a post-Acts 28 dating.

The note has a structure very much like that of I Timothy, a fact that suggests that its purposes may also have been similar. Again, the following concerns are discernible:

1. to confirm previous instructions
2. to provide Titus with written apostolic authorization for his reforms
3. to highlight the problems of church order and dissension in Crete (1:5; 3:9ff);
4. to provide current news (3:12);
5. to encourage Titus in his charge.

At least one, and possible two (see below), Pauline notes (hereafter called II Tim. "A", II Tim. "B") appear embedded within II Timothy:

II Tim. "A"
II Tim. 1:1-2 Salutation and occasion
(1:3-5 Thanksgiving?)
1:15-18 Personalia and current news
4:6-8 Paul's situation
4:22a Closing benediction

Unlike the Pauline notes to Timothy (I Tim.) and Titus (above), II Tim. "A" was not intended to provide an
apostolic authorization for Timothy's work. It is, rather, a brief farewell exhortation from Paul to Timothy, and contains a moving account of Paul's circumstances and expected fate (4:6-8) as a prisoner (1:8) in Rome (1:17). From Paul's remarks in 4:6-8, it seems likely that the note was written shortly before his execution.

There is no compelling reason to deny the authenticity (or the unity) of II Tim. 4:9-21, although a number of difficulties make it unlikely that this passage was originally a part of the letter outlined above. The "routine" remarks and casual greetings that appear in 4:9ff hardly seem appropriate following Paul's moving description of his "finished course" in 4:6-8; the abrupt break in thought and tone between II Tim. 4:8 and 4:9 could scarcely be more pronounced. Paul's clear resignation to his fate in 4:6-8 is difficult to square with his confident assertion that "the Lord will deliver me" in 4:18. In light of such incongruities, it seems best to regard II Tim. 4:9-21, 22b as another genuine Pauline note (II Tim. "B") that at some point was editorially fused onto the letter outlined above; 34 that

34 A similar solution to the literary difficulties of II Tim. 4:9-22 has been offered by W. Lock, The Pastoral Epistles, p.xxxiii: "It is therefore most probable that an earlier note, or perhaps more than one earlier note, from Paul to Timothy, has been, whether intentionally or unintentionally, added to the main letter at the end, as apparently Rom. 16 was added to 1-15."
independent documents of considerable length could be appended onto existing texts in this way (without any editorial acknowledgement of the fact) is clear from the evidence examined in chapter two of this thesis. The original salutation of this note was most likely omitted when the note was appended to the end of II Tim. "A". The note addresses the following concerns:

II Tim. "B"

II Tim. 4:9  Occasion
4:10-18 Current news and requests
4:19-21 Greetings
4:22b  Closing benediction

Each of these four Pauline notes is plausible as a complete and independent letter. The fact that the notes are very brief, relatively innocuous, and directed to individuals rather than communities, might raise doubts about the church's interest in preserving them. But there are good reasons for thinking that these notes would have been treasured by at least some early Christian communities.

The notes embedded within the letters of I Timothy and Titus were probably used by Timothy and Titus as seals of apostolic "authorization," providing written certification of the tasks they had been called upon to fulfil. Later, the churches may have preserved the notes, using to good effect the apostle's general warning of the threat of false teachers.

The personal note to Timothy (II Tim. "A") was probably immediately cherished by early Christians in
Pauline circles, both for its record of Paul's final days and its moving farewell testimonial.

Although the reasons for preserving II Tim. "B" are not as obvious as those for the other three letters, at least two possible motives present themselves: 1) the note provides significant information on the whereabouts of other co-workers within the Pauline circle; this could explain Timothy's initial desire to retain the note; 2) but more significantly, the note identifies Alexander the coppersmith (4:14) as an enemy to Paul and his circle; the identification of a Pauline opponent by the great apostle himself would have been of great importance to early Christian communities seeking to defend themselves against such opponents and their teachings. The polemical weight that such a note could carry is obvious. It is no surprise that such a note would be preserved.

In each of the above letters (except II Tim. "B") the original Pauline notes have been splintered; blocks of non-Pauline materials have been editorially sandwiched between genuine Pauline elements with no indication that redactional activity has taken place. Such editorial license, as we observed in ch. 2, was commonplace within the literary circles out of which the Pastorals emerged.

The process of splintering genuine letters by the inclusion of other materials is roughly paralleled in the long recension of the Ignatius corpus. Admittedly, the Ignatian additions were inserted much later (A.D. 256
into non-apostolic letters and, consequently, do not precisely parallel the redactional situation that we encounter in the Pastorals. But the fact that genuine letters could be enlarged and splintered by editorial additions is surely confirmed by the Ignatian evidence.

But if the Pastoral letters originated in the way we have conjectured, what kind of community must we envisage that could effectively collect, transcribe and circulate these sacred materials? Several fundamental requirements immediately present themselves; such a community would need to possess:

1. a zeal to preserve the sacred traditions;
2. some sort of communal "library" or archives where the sacred traditions could be preserved;
3. a facility where the copying and collecting could take place;
4. a group of trained scribes;
5. an organizational scheme whereby the basic needs of the scribes would be provided.

The existence of such facilities within Christian communities is a matter of speculation; considerable evidence, however, suggests that organized and highly trained religious groups did exist, dedicated to preserving and passing on sacred traditions. Perhaps the most notable example is that of the Qumran community. As J. van der Ploeg has noted:

At Qumran, men busied themselves with writing, reading and studying. The first we know, apart from other proofs, from the ink-wells which have been found in the remains of the building and those of the secondary buildings at Ain Feshkha, the second from the many manuscripts.
in the neighboring caves, the third from the prescriptions of the Rules.

If, as seems likely, the Jewish sect known as the Essenes and the Qumran community were one and the same, then we have additional testimony from Josephus of the "extraordinary interest" that the members displayed in "the writings of the ancients," each novitiate being required to swear "carefully to preserve the books of the sect." Archaeological evidence also suggests that a

\[\text{35} \text{J. van der Ploeg, The Excavations at Qumran: a Survey of the Judean Brotherhood and its Ideals, ET by K. Smyth (London: Longmans, Green & Co., 1958) p.150. On the prescriptions, see IQS 6.7-8: "And the congregation shall watch in community for a third of every night of the year, to read the Book and to study Law and to pray together" (Vermes' translation).}

\[\text{36} \text{This is the verdict rendered by the majority of scholars; See the evidence offered by A. DuPont-Summer, The Essene Writings from Qumran, ET by G. Vermes (Oxford: Basis Blackwell, 1961) pp.39-67. See also, G. Vermes, The Dead Sea Scrolls: Qumran in Perspective (London: S.C.M., 1982) pp. 116-36.}

\[\text{37} \text{Josephus, The Jewish War, 136, 142 (Loeb). See also, Philo's accounts of the Essenes in Every Good Man is Free (75-91) and Hypothetica (11.1-18).}
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"scriptorium" existed at Qumran in which the compiling and copying of sacred MSS took place.\(^{38}\) Concerning the tables and ink-wells found in the long room at Khirbet Qumran, R. de Vaux remarks:

Is it not reasonable to regard these tables and inkwells as the furniture of a room where writing was carried on, a scriptorium in the sense in which this term later came to be applied to similar rooms in monasteries in the Middle Ages?\(^{39}\)

A zeal to preserve sacred traditions would naturally lead to the development of such a facility. The seriousness with which the Qumran community regarded its task to transmit the community's traditions is reflected not only in the enormous collection of MSS that was discovered in the nearby caves, but also in the apparent

\(^{38}\) That such a facility existed at Qumran has been widely accepted. See, for example, F.M. Cross, The Ancient Library of Qumran and Modern Biblical Studies (New York, Scribners, 1958) p. 67; J.T. Milik, Ten Years of Discovery in the Wilderness of Judea (London, S.C.M., 1958) p.22; B.M. Metzger, "The furniture in the Scriptorium at Qumran," Revue de Qumran 1 (1958-9) 509-515. But see, also, the objections of G.R. Driver, who maintains that the room thought to be the scriptorium at Qumran was actually a refectory ("Myths of Qumran," Annual of Leeds University Oriental Society VI (1966-68) 23-27.

\(^{39}\) R. de Vaux, Archaeology of the Dead Sea Scrolls (London: Oxford University Press, 1973) pp.29-30; de Vaux argues that MSS were both copied and composed at Qumran (cf. p.104).
emphasis that was placed upon the training of scribes. The quality of the Qumran MSS leads Dupont-Sommer to conclude that

the Qumran scribes could not have acquired such mastery of the art of writing as seen in the discovered manuscripts without a long apprenticeship.  

Other evidence uncovered at Qumran also suggests that scribal apprentices were trained there. A potsherd, for example, found among the ruins, appears to have served as an exercise tablet for a trainee scribe. The letters of the Hebrew alphabet are inscribed upon the piece, and these letters correspond exactly to those of some of the scrolls found in the caves; some of the letters on the potsherd have been corrected, suggesting that it was the work of an apprentice.

If this reading of the evidence be correct, we have in Qumran a clear first-century illustration of the kind of religious community that could produce documents like the Pastorals -- a monastic community zealous to preserve the sacred traditions, furnished with trained scribes, and equipped with adequate facilities for the transcription of such documents. This is not, of course, to say that the Pastorals were written at Qumran! The discoveries at Qumran, however, are of prime importance for our study for they provide

convincing evidence that the sort of community as we have imagined necessary for the production of the Pastorals did, in fact, exist.

But how widespread were such communities? Is there any reason to think that Qumran-like organizations were banded together elsewhere? We know from a number of sources that various Essene communities existed, though the members' lifestyle in the "camps" seems to have varied considerably from that of the monastic setting of Qumran. But there is also evidence that non-Essene communities dedicated to the sanctified life and the preservation of sacred traditions were also thriving. Philo tells us of the Therapeutae, another Jewish group which zealously guarded the sacred traditions:

They read the Holy Scriptures... They also have writings of men of old, the founders of their way of thinking.

Philo describes the Therapeutae in terms that carry some of the marks of later monasticism, an institution which is nowhere else described within the literature of the

41 The existence of various "camps" is attested in the Damascus Document (XII.19,23). In spite of his tendency to eulogize the Essenes, there is no compelling reason to deny Philo's report that "they live in many cities of Judea and in many villages and grouped in great societies of many members (Hypothetica, 11.1).

42 Philo, The Contemplative Life (hereafter, CL), 28-29 (Loeb).
The members of this community lived in simple huts clustered closely enough together to provide opportunities for fellowship as well as to protect against robbers (CL: 24). In each of the huts was a consecrated room called a μοναστήριον in which the Therapeutae would meditate upon the sacred texts and the mysteries of the sanctified life:

They take nothing into it, either drink or food or any other of the things necessary for the needs of the body, but laws and oracles delivered through the mouth of prophets, and psalms and anything else which fosters and perfects knowledge and piety. (CL: 25)

It is clear from Philo's account that members of this community were also involved, like the Essenes, in the composition of sacred texts:

And so they do not confine themselves to contemplation but also compose hymns and psalms to God in all sorts of metres and melodies which they wrote down.... (CL: 29)

It is not clear what meaning is to be derived from Philo's cryptic remark that "this kind [of community] exists in many places in the inhabited world." His reference to the widespread diffusion of these groups may be referring, not to the Therapeutae specifically,
but to diverse and independent groups of religious enthusiasts who banded together in communities for the purpose of achieving a common goal. Such a reading would suggest that other religious communities similar in some respects to those of the Therapeutae and the Essenes were in existence at the time the Pastorals were produced.

Given the existence of the above religious communities, it must be regarded as possible, if not likely, that some early Christian groups banded together in a like fashion in order to preserve and circulate their own sacred writings.

But even if this be granted, major questions still remain regarding the production of a corpus like the Pastorals by such a community. How did such an editorial process occur? What kind of community would preserve such brief Pauline notes, enlarge them by the incorporation of a variety of traditional materials, and eventually circulate them to the churches? What purposes would motivate such extensive editorial activity? And what could account for the inclusion within the documents of sometimes very tiny fragments of traditional materials?

Because of the lack of evidence, we find ourselves in a quandary of conjecture. Few questions regarding the compositional history of ancient texts can be easily or confidently answered. Scholars are equally bereft of information that might explain precisely how, for
example, the composite book of Jeremiah was produced, and by what kind of scribal community? Even the redaction- and form-critics do not help us here; little work has been done (can be done?) on the actual scribal practices that brought into existence the sacred Jewish and Christian literature. To go forward at this point, we must rely heavily upon historical imagination and plausible conjecture.

In this spirit, a few tentative proposals can be put forward that may throw some light on how the compositional process, as we have envisioned it for the Pastorals, may have actually occurred.

The community that produced the Pastorals clearly revered Paul and his teachings. His letters were cherished as part of the larger sacred tradition which the community felt under obligation both to preserve and to circulate. The community must have had a scriptorium-like setting in which the work of transcription and compilation took place. They must also have had access to an extensive archive room in which the collections of sacred texts were preserved. 45

45 The existence of such "libraries" is well known. See the study by E.C. Richardson, Biblical Libraries: A Sketch of Library History from 3400 B.C. to A.D. 150 (Princeton, University Press, 1914) p.183: "...during the period say between the birth of Jesus and the death of the last of those who figure in the New Testament, one may distinguish at least six kinds or classes of libraries known to have existed in Palestine; the temple libraries, a public central archives in Jerusalem, local (Footnote Continued)
It is not unlikely that such a community would be patterned after the prophetic schools within Judaism. J. Lindblom describes such schools in these words:

> Every prophet had a circle of disciples who transmitted the utterances of their master, various communications made by him, and, in addition, what they themselves remembered of the lives of the prophets. Generally speaking the task of the collectors was to bring this material together in larger or smaller collections which then became the groundwork of the prophetic books.\(^{46}\)

A similar kind of collecting process seems to have been behind the production of the Pastorals as we now possess them. But the community out of which the Pastorals emerged was not only devoted to Paul and his teachings. It saw itself as a guardian of all the church's sacred traditions and, consequently, felt free to mix and blend sources together according to their particular needs and aims. This helps explain why the Pastorals seem to deviate from time to time from Paul's teaching (as we know it elsewhere in his letters; cf. I Tim. 1:8).

Apart from the general desire to preserve sacred traditions, did the community have a particular aim in mind in compiling the materials that currently appear

---

(Footnote Continued)

public archives in many and various places outside Jerusalem, a probable public Greek library, the monastic libraries of the Essenes in considerable numbers, hundreds and probably thousands of synagogue and other school libraries, and a great number of private libraries."

within the Pastorals? It seems very likely. The letters, in their own miscellaneous and rambling way, address concerns that would have been of great practical interest and benefit to Pastors and other leaders within the early church. They are a treasure-house of information on how to deal with various situations that arise in the church.

It is possible, then, that the school from which the Pastorals emerged was especially interested in the training and care of church leaders. The authentic Pauline letters to the two "Pastors," Timothy and Titus, which the community possessed, were perfectly suited as the framework upon which other materials appropriate to Pastors might be added.

The process of compiling such manuals for church leaders can be readily imagined. Traditional materials offering general instruction and guidance on, say, "leadership" would be collected from the archives. These would be sewn together and added to the Pauline notes. Other more specific matters of concern to church leaders would be similarly treated; for example, collections of instructions would be gathered on such issues as: the care and maintenance of widows, proper conduct in worship, the discipline of elders, the importance of prayer, the dangers of false teachings, the preservation of the traditions, guidelines for upright living, proper conduct toward outsiders, etc.
The compilation of such materials may also help explain the appearance of very short isolated sayings within the Pastorals. We know from the work of the form-critics that independent units of material, some of them very short, were circulating among, and being collected by, the early churches. The Gospel of Thomas may well represent such a collection of previously independent sayings by Jesus.

A scribal community dedicated to the preservation of sacred traditions, and equipped with an archive, might have possessed many such collections of previously independent sayings. In the compilation of these "letters for Pastors," however, only the sayings that were considered relevant would be added in. This would account for the appearance within the Pastorals of very small isolated units that do not fit easily within their present context.

It must not be thought that the compilation of these materials, or that the production of the Pastorals, was accomplished at any one specific time. It was most probably a lengthy process that went on for a considerable period; the documents may have gone through many stages of development, with additional materials being added as they came into the community's possession. Similar developmental processes are visible throughout the literature, within, for example, the documents produced by the prophetic schools and wisdom
schools, and even within such documents as the Didache and the Epistle of Barnabas. 47

The origin of the Pastorals remains shrouded in mystery. In this study we have only begun to explore, as with eyes blinded, the literary environment out of which these letters emerged. The more scholarship can learn about ancient scribal communities and their organization, about the methods used to preserve and circulate the sacred traditions, --the more confident and less speculative will be our answers to these most tantalizing questions.

47 See the remarks on the growth of prophetic literature in J. Lindblom, Prophecy in Ancient Literature (Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1973) p.279: "There are countless examples of additions, enlargements and comments, which show that the text was not regarded as in any way sacrosanct, but was subjected to alterations in accordance with the taste and the needs of later times."
Compare the similar observations on Wisdom literature in G. Fuhrer, Introduction to the OT (New York: Abingdon, 1968), pp.316ff.
THE PASTORALS: COMPOSITIONS OR COLLECTIONS?

Are the Pastorals, in their present form, the product of an author or the collections of a compiler? This, of course, is to put the question too simply, since ancient authors often functioned as compilers, drawing from and incorporating pre-existing sources into their own work. The features, consequently, that distinguish an "author" from a "compiler" are admittedly blurred.

But granting the inherent difficulties in making such judgments, the question of composition versus collection remains central to a proper interpretation of any document. How one understands the book of Isaiah, for example, is largely determined by whether one reads it as the product of one author, or as a collection of various prophetic voices. The interpretation of the Pastorals is equally affected by such questions. The importance of correctly understanding the compositional method by which these letters were produced can scarcely be overstated.
If the Pastorals are the product of an author, one presumably could expect them to show some evidence of structure, a certain unity of thought, and a basic progression of argument.

Most commentators on the Pastorals do not address the question of literary structure within these letters. The documents are usually regarded (by scholars on both sides of the authenticity question) as loosely structured according to the form of ancient letters. Beyond that very little is said as to any authorial intent behind the structuring of these documents. It appears to be generally assumed that the epistolary genre in general, and the Pauline letter form in particular, was responsible for the structural forms that are revealed within these letters.

To some extent this judgment is true; the Pastorals do generally follow an epistolary format. But the structure that the letters take often deviate from that of the other Paulines—a difficulty both for those who claim Paul or a Pauline imitator as the author. Why would an author intentionally change the internal structure of the "Pauline" letters? Why deviate, for example, from Paul's customary habit of placing the parenetic section at the end of the document? Why break the parenesis up and distribute it throughout the letters? It is possible that Paul dramatically changed his writing style, but it does not seem likely.
A.T. Hanson suggests that a pseudonymous author carefully structured the diverse blocks of material within these letters so that the writings would not look "too much like a manual of church order, or an exposition of Paul, or a book of worship, and [the pseudonymous author] wanted to give the impression he was writing letters."¹ But by arguing this, Hanson attributes the very features that make the Pastorals read so oddly (as Pauline letters) to a pseudonymous author wishing to imitate Paul!

Other larger questions of the Pastorals' literary structure, such as "why three Pastorals?" are also rarely discussed by the commentators. L. Houlden tries to make sense of the external structure of the letters by suggesting that the Pastorals were designed as a triptych, I Timothy and Titus written specifically to admonish and instruct, while II Timothy, the middle section, was intended to appeal to the reader's loyalty and sympathy.² Houlden is right in recognizing that the Pastorals seem to be treating similar matters, but it is not clear from this whether the similarity is the result of authorial intention or the collection of related materials by a compiler.

¹A.T. Hanson, The Pastoral Letters, p.46.
²L. Houlden, The Pastoral Epistles, pp.18ff.
Both the internal and the external structure of the Pastorals, then, does not seem to yield any firm results regarding clear authorial intent in their design.

Whether the Pastorals show a clear unity of thought continues to be debated. C.K. Barrett includes in his commentary a brief, but detailed, section entitled "Theology and Practice" in which he presents the thinking of the Pastorals on such questions as "Man and Sin," "Salvation: Eschatology," etc. Barrett's method, like many other commentators, is to collect isolated passages on related subjects and view them as a whole. By so doing he makes a reasonable case for the basic harmony of thought within the Pastorals, although he finds the collection of passages on "Ethics" more difficult to hold together:

Paul himself was deeply concerned about the moral behaviour of his churches, but he always makes clear the theological and Christocentric basis of the moral demands that he makes. It cannot be said that the Pastorals always do this. Lists of moral duties are laid down, and sometimes at least appear to exist in their own right, and as ends in themselves.

Other scholars have read the evidence differently from Barrett. H. Windisch, for example, finds conflicting Christologies within the Pastorals as does

---

3 Ibid., pp.25-26.
A.T. Hanson who occasionally tends (as here) to make the author of the Pastorals a rather passive compiler:

"[The author] does not have any doctrine of his own, but makes use of whatever comes to him in the sources he uses....The consequence is that we find several different ways of expressing the significance of Christ in the Pastorals, not all consistent with each other."

We must conclude that the evidence for unity of thought in the Pastorals is ambiguous.

The question of logical progression within the Pastorals remains. B. S. Easton echoes a widely accepted view on these letters when he observes that they show "no sustained thought beyond the limits of the separate paragraphs; from paragraph to paragraph --and sometimes even within paragraphs-- the topic changes without preparation and sometimes apparently without motive."5

Easton, of course, is not arguing here that the Pastorals should be read as the collections of a compiler. Rather, he suggests that the logical discontinuity of the materials within the letters is due

---


to the fact that the author was an unsystematic thinker who took little interest in organizing the sources he employed; It is this authorial idiosyncrasy that accounts for the lack of clear logical progression between the various literary elements within the letters. 6

Recently, however, this reading of the Pastorals has been vigorously disputed; a number of studies have been published that seek to refute the view that these letters lack continuity of thought and logical progression. The author of the Pastorals, it is argued, far from being uninterested in organization and continuous argument, has taken considerable care in the organization and presentation of his materials. Since this line of argument runs directly counter to that maintained in this thesis, an account of these studies must be considered here in some detail.

Robert Karris's commentary, The Pastoral Epistles, briefly addresses the question of how the materials within the Pastorals are ordered. 7 The brevity of this commentary restricted the extent to which Karris could pursue the issue, but it is clear throughout the work that he believes the Pastorals are carefully constructed letters written by one pseudonymous author.

6See, for example, N. Brox, Die Pastoralbriefe, pp. 49-55.

In commenting on II Tim. 2:14-26, for example, Karris entitles a section, "How the Author Thinks," which begins with the sentence: "The author of the Pastorals thinks quite differently from most of us." Karris goes on to argue that the apparent oddities are due to the fact that II Timothy is an "exhortatory" letter and, as such, follows the customs and norms of such letters.

In treating the difficult passage I Tim. 1:3-20, Karris provides a note entitled, "How the Author Argues in this Section." Here he not only emphasizes the exhortatory nature of the letter, but adds the suggestion that the author of the Pastorals "argues by means of link words."

Karris' commentary is noteworthy since it attempts to demonstrate, albeit briefly, that logic and continuity of thought are not alien to the Pastorals. His suggestion that the "Pastor" argues by means of "contrasts" and "link-words" is worthy of further consideration.

Another recent study that argues for cogency and development of thought within the Pastorals is D.

---

8 Ibid., p.25.
9 Ibid. See Karris' brief remarks on exhortatory letters on pp. 7-10.
10 Ibid., pp.53f.
Verner offers a "sociological" reading of the Pastorals that seeks to show "the way in which the author has used the traditional Haustafel schema and traditional materials to express his concept of the household of God."  

Verner investigates the general nature of parenesis and concludes (pace Dibelius) that parenetic discourse can take the form of developed moral argumentation. He defends this view by citing at some length the results of H. Cancik's study on the structure of parenetic discourse in Seneca's Epistulae morales. In her study, Cancik seeks to demonstrate that the dia-tribe-style of argumentation in the epistles of Seneca is logically coherent. Verner finds Cancik's arguments convincing and suggests that her insights may be helpful in clarifying the kind of argumentation that is found within the Pastorals.

---


12 Ibid., p.25. This concern is addressed most directly in the excursus on Paraenetic Discourse (pp.112-125).

13 Verner, like L. Donelson (see below), relies heavily upon the results of H. Cancik's research into the parenesis of Seneca's Epistulae morales.
B. Fiore's recent monograph, *The Function of Personal Example in the Socratic and Pastoral Epistles*, is another significant contribution to the debate over method (or methods) of argumentation within the Pastorals.\(^4\) Fiore's study is of limited value, however, in understanding the reasoning of the Pastorals as a whole since he is primarily concerned with the function of personal example within the letters. Consequently, many puzzling passages within the Pastorals are not addressed in his work.

Nonetheless, Fiore makes a strong case that these letters should be read as the product of one author who carefully structured and organized his material. Fiore does this by examining the hortatory role of personal example in Greco-Roman literature, giving pride of place to the Socratic letters which he thinks present the best literary parallels to the Pastorals:

"The editor of the [Socratic] corpus, who is also the pseudonymous author of a good part of it, has moulded and arranged his disparate sources into a collection of harmonious, if not uniform, letters."\(^5\)


\(^5\) Ibid., p.107. In ch. 6 (pp.101-63) Fiore presents a detailed examination of "personal example" in the Socratic letters.
Although Fiore thinks that personal example is the principal hortatory device within the Pastorals, he allows that the author has utilized other rhetorical devices as well. He suggests, for example, that the argumentation of the Pastorals may occasionally reflect the influence of the developed "chria." Elsewhere he remarks that "the tension of opposites provides what loose, overall structure there is in the [Pastoral] letters." 

Fiore's monograph is complemented in many respects by L. Donelson's study entitled, Pseudepigraphy and Ethical Argument in the Pastoral Letters, published in the same year (1986). Donelson's monograph provides the most comprehensive analysis yet available of the rhetorical methods of argumentation within the Pastorals. In a recent review of the work, R. Karris suggests that it may provide "major clues to solving the mystery of the Pastoral Epistles." 

16 Ibid., See, especially, pp.95-100.
17 Ibid., p.21.
19 R. Karris, review of Pseudepigraphy and Ethical (Footnote Continued)
In the second chapter (pp. 67-113), entitled "Forms of Argument," Donelson begins by suggesting that the Pastorals must be read as documents that "present carefully structured arguments which follow the parenetic canons of their day." He seeks to demonstrate that the style of argumentation within the Pastorals follows closely that which appears within ancient rhetorical handbooks, especially as presented in Aristotle's Art of Rhetoric. When the Pastorals are evaluated according to the canons of ancient rhetoric it becomes clear, according to Donelson, that the author argues rhetorically by employing a method of deduction called enthymeme and a method of induction called paradigm.

Considerable space (pp. 81-90) is given over to a comprehensive examination of the enthymemes that appear within the Pastorals. Donelson begins, for example,

(Footnote Continued)


20 L. Donelson, Pseudepigraphy and Ethical Argument, p. 69.

21 Donelson's introduction to Aristotle's use of these terms is clearly presented on pp. 70-81. On the meaning of enthymeme and paradigm, he cites Aristotle: "I call an enthymeme a rhetorical syllogism, and a paradigm a rhetorical induction" (p. 75).
with I Tim. 1:15 in which he finds the syllogistic argument:

A) Major premise: Jesus saves sinners.
B) Minor premise: I am a sinner.
C) Conclusion: Thus Jesus saved me.

The author of the Pastorals does not actually state the conclusion (C) but, according to Donelson, this is intentional for it allows the reader (following the principles of enthymemematic rhetoric) to draw it himself.

Donelson then examines inductive and illustrative paradigms (pp. 90 to 108). He argues that Paul is the source of the inductive paradigms in the Pastorals in a way analogous to the use of Socrates as a paradigm in the Socratic epistles, Seneca, and Epictetus. By Donelson's count the Pastorals contain six of these paradigms (all consisting of Pauline biographical material), four of which appear in I Timothy and two in II Timothy.

---

22 Donelson's conclusions about the use of paradigms in Aristotle, Epictetus, Seneca and the Cynic epistles are given on p. 100. Regarding the terms themselves, he writes: "In Aristotle, inductive paradigms are self-sufficient proofs used independently of enthymemematic argument, and illustrative paradigms are witnesses or epilogues used to prove what has been deduced through enthymemes" (Ibid.).

Similarly, Donelson finds numerous illustrative paradigms in the Pastorals.\textsuperscript{24} Most of these, he notes, offer a negative "portrait" of certain people and are usually followed in the Pastorals by a positive description of some sort. Donelson maintains that this method of argument is "reminiscent of the use of positive and negative paradigms in Seneca and Epictetus."\textsuperscript{25}

In chapter three (pp.115-98), entitled "The Cosmological and Ethical System," Donelson attempts to show that the author of the Pastorals has put forward a cogent and consistent ethical system." In order to give this system warrant and authority, "the author uses the pseudepigraphical letter to rewrite the history of the church, so that his own faction and vision can enjoy apostolic support."\textsuperscript{26}

Donelson's work is well-researched and his conclusions require close attention for they clearly present a major challenge to those (including the present writer) who argue that the Pastorals lack both continuity of thought and sustained argument. His work deserves much

\textsuperscript{24}Ibid., pp.106ff. Donelson groups the illustrative paradigms into two groups: those in which the person or persons are named (I 1:19-20, II 1:15-17; II 2:17-18; II 4:9-15) and those in which no names appear (I 1:3-4, 6-7; 6:3-5; II 3:1-9; II 4:3-4; Tit. 1:10-16).

\textsuperscript{25}Ibid., p.108.

\textsuperscript{26}Ibid., 198.
closer scrutiny than can be given in this brief excursion. But some brief comments will not be out of place.

A Critical Response

In one sense the above works complement and supplement each other; they all find, for instance, that the Pastorals are best understood within the matrix of Greco-Roman pseudepigraphical literature, and are all in agreement that the Pastorals have been carefully designed by one pseudonymous author.

Each of the works, however, presents a different case for the unity and cohesion that they claim to find in the Pastorals. The flow of argument that Verner finds, for example, in the material on widows in I Tim. 5:3-16 is quite different from the enthymemetic schema that Donelson detects. Karris argues that the author of II Tim. 2:14-16 is arguing by means of contrasts, but Donelson suggests that the continuity lies in another enthymeme. These differences in detecting the order within this material does not invalidate any one of the above approaches. Each must be examined on its own


28 Karris, The Pastoral Epistles, p.25; Donelson, Pseudepigraphy and Ethical Argument, p.87.
merits. The disparity does, however, considerably lessen the cumulative impact that these studies might otherwise have had on the question of coherent argumentation within the Pastorals. The reader is left wondering why, if there is such order within these letters, the experts can not seem to agree among themselves as to what it is.

Another disturbing element within each of the above works is the almost complete neglect of Jewish sources. Donelson's reasons for omitting these writings derive from his belief that Christian pseudepigraphic letters most likely originated within a Greco-Roman and not a Jewish milieu.29 This may or may not be true (A. Meyer among others strongly argues the contrary)30, but in either case it only has significance for us if the Pastorals are pseudepigraphic and it is precisely here that Donelson's work seems to beg the question. His study builds, and in many respects depends, upon the presupposition that the Pastorals are pseudonymous documents.31 The possibility that authentic Pauline letters might lie embedded within the Pastorals is never

29 Donelson (Ibid.) draws heavily upon M. Smith; see his remarks on pp. 13-15.


31 Ibid., p.8
considered. Fiore's study also ignores Jewish literature but at least he recognizes the relevance of such literature to a proper understanding of the Pastorals. 33

In summary, it may be said that the arguments presented here (for the presence of coherent and logical thinking within the Pastorals) must be judged to be far from conclusive. This is not to say that the writings reviewed here do not have much to contribute to the study of the Pastorals. Donelson's approach, in fact, gives some force to the notion that the more atomistic reading of certain portions of the Pastorals (as proposed in this thesis) may have to be revised so as to permit more readily the occurrence of small groups of sentences which belong structurally together.

32 Even if one were to grant the pseudonymity of the Pastorals, Donelson's presumption that Greco-Roman pseudepigraphical letters provide the best matrix within which to understand the Pastorals would have to be challenged. See, especially, D. Meade's recent monograph, Pseudonymity and Canon: An Investigation into the Relationship of Authorship and Authority in Jewish and Earliest Christian Tradition (Tübingen: J.C.B Mohr [P.Siebeck], 1986).

33 At the close of chapter two (on "personal example") Fiore remarks in a footnote: "A study of example in the literature of the Jewish milieu is important for a complete understanding of the device in the Pastoral Epistles. The scope of this study, however, does not permit such an examination within these pages" (footnote 67).
If the Pastorals are made up of diverse and pre-formed elements, one would expect to find variations of style and form within these letters. The strophic divisions within our modern editions of the NT suggest that such is, indeed, the case; the Nestle-Aland edition\(^1\) for example, sets apart (by various forms of indentation) no fewer than eight passages within the Pastorals.\(^2\) In the opinion of the editors these passages show significant differences in form and literary


\(^2\)See, for example, I 2:5-6; 3:16; 6:7-8, 11-12, 15b-16; II 1:9-10; 2:11-13; Titus 3:4-7.
style from the surrounding materials. The paragraph and strophic divisions, consequently, have been created by the editors to aid the reader's understanding of the writings by clarifying their structure, e.g., in the Gospels distinguishing the primitive units.

The purpose of the following analysis is to give the reader a kind of visual image of the various literary elements and forms which, in our view, permeate these letters. The advantage of viewing the Pastorals according their respective formal elements is two-fold:

1) the "clustering" of materials according to topics or by word-association stands out in clear relief;
2) the pre-formed elements (virtue/vice lists; community rules, etc.) protrude within their context by virtue of their distinctive forms.

The form analysis presented below attempts to separate the various formal and pre-formed elements that have been editorially joined together. It is remarkable, how often these materials seem to fall neatly into independent "clusters" of related materials or forms. We have tried to highlight the various independent elements within the letters by setting the first words of the new "cluster" to the left of the rest of the material. So, for example, on the first page, distinctive blocks of material begin, we think, at I Timothy 1:1, 1:3c, 1:8, 1:9. Our analysis also seeks to highlight the presence

---

3Novum Testamentum Graece, p.44 (introduction).
of formal literary "signals" or "markers" that often appear at the beginning or at the end of pre-formed traditions within the Pastorals. See, for example, 

οἶδαμεν ὅν ὁτί (I 1:8); εἴδως τούτο, ὁτί (I 1:9).
1 Timothy

1:1 Παῦλος

διδήστολος Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ Κατ' Ἕπιταγὴν θεοῦ ὁ σωτήρ ἡμῶν καὶ Χριστὸς Ἰησοῦ τῆς ἐλπίδος ἡμῶν

1:2 Τιμοθέῳ γυναικὶ τέκνῳ ἐν πίστει, χάρις, ξέλεος, εἰρήνῃ ἀπὸ θεοῦ πατρὸς καὶ Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ τοῦ κυρίου ἡμῶν. Καθὼς παρεκάλεσά σε προσμετέχη ἐν Ἐφέσῳ πορευόμενος εἰς Μακεδονίαν, ἕνα παραγγελλός τις εἶναι

1:3 αὕτη εὐεργεσίας καὶ τῆς γενεαλογίας ἀπεράντως, αἱ ἐκκλησίας ἀπεράντως παρέχουσιν μᾶλλον ἢ οἰκονομεῖαν θεοῦ τὴν ἐν πίστει. διὸ τὸ τέλος τῆς παραγγελίας ἡ ἀναπαύσις ἐκ καθαρᾶς καρδίας καὶ συνελθήσεως ἀναστήσεις καὶ πίστεως ἀνακάμπτως, διὶ τῆς ἀποκαταστάσεως ἐκείνης ἑαυτῶν καθάρας, ἡ ὁμοσπονδαλαὶ, ἡ πίστεις ὑπὲρ τῶν διαβεβαιοῦνται.

1:8 Οἶδαμεν δὲ δι', καλὸς δ ὁ νόμος εάν τις αὐτῷ νομίμως γρήγορα,

1:9 Εἰς ὅτι τοῦτο, διὸ δικαίως νόμος οὗ κεῖται, ἀνόμοις δὲ καὶ ἀνυποτάκτοις, ἀσεβείς καὶ ἄφιλοις, ἄνοσίας καὶ μεθυσίαις, ἀνδροφόνοις, πόρναις, ἀρσενοκοιταῖς, ἀνδροφόινοις, ἰδιομητησίας, ἐπιδρακτοῖς,

καὶ εἰ τι ἄνερθον τῇ ὑγιαινοῦσῃ διδάσκαλῳ ἀντίκειται,

1:11 κατὰ τὸ εὐαγγέλιον τῆς δόξης τοῦ μακαρίου θεοῦ, δ ἐπιστεύθην ἔγω.
1:12 Χάριν εξω τῷ ἐνδυναμώσαντί με Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ τῷ κυρίῳ ἡμῶν, ὅτι πιστῶν με ἡγοσαθε δέμενος εἰς διακονέαν, τῷ πρότερον διὰτα βλάσφημων καὶ διώκτην καὶ ὁβριστήν.

1:15 πιστῶς δὲ λόγος καὶ πάσης ἀποδοχῆς δεξιος, ὅτι

Χριστός Ἰησοῦς ἠλθεν εἰς τὸν κόσμον ἀμαρτωλοῦς σώσαι· διὸ πρῶτος εἰμὶ ἐγώ,

1:16 ἀλλὰ διὰ τοῦτο ἠλεήθη, ἦν ἐν ἐμοὶ πρῶτῳ ἐνδείκνυσιν Ἰησοῦς τῇ ἡμέρᾳ μακροθυμίαν, πρὸς ὑποτυπώσειν τῶν μελλόντων πιστεύειν ἐπ᾽ αὐτῷ εἰς ζωὴν αἰῶνιον.

1:17 τῷ δὲ βασιλεῖ τῶν αἰώνων,

ἀριθμότω, ἀστάτω,

μόνω θεό, τιμή καὶ δόξα εἰς τοὺς αἰώνας τῶν αἰώνων· ὁμήν.

1:18 Ταυτὴν τὴν παραγγελίαν παρατέθηκεν σοι, τέκνον Τιμίθεε, κατὰ τὰς προσαγούσας ἐπὶ σὲ προφητείας, ἦν στρατεύθη ἐν αὐτὰς τῇ καλῇ στρατευσί, ἐξων πίστιν καὶ ἀγαθὴν συνείδησιν, ἵνα τινὲς ὑποδομοῦνει περὶ τὴν πίστιν ἐναυάγησαν, ὅν ἐστὶν Ὑμέναος καὶ Ἀλεξανδρός, οὗς παρέδωκα τῷ Ἑσανάν ἦν παιδευθῶσαν μὴ βλάσφημοιν.

2:1 Παρακαλῶ οὖν πρῶτον πάντων ποιεῖσθαι

δεῦρες,

προσευχας,

ἐντεῦξες,

εὐχαριστίας,

ὑπὲρ πάντων ἀνθρώπων,

ὑπὲρ βασιλέων καὶ πάντων τῶν ἐν ὑπεροχὴ

ὅταν, ἔνα ἱεροῦ καὶ ἱερείου βίων διάγωμεν ἐν πάσῃ εὐσεβείᾳ καὶ αἰματίᾳ.

2:3 τοῦτο καλῶν καὶ ὠπὸδεκτον ἐνώπιον τοῦ σωτήρος ἡμῶν θεοῦ,

2:4 ὅς πάντας ἀνθρώπους θέλει σωθῆναι καὶ εἰς ἐπιγνώσιν ἀληθείας ἐλθεῖν.
2:5 εἰς γὰρ θεός, εἰς καὶ μεσίτης θεοῦ καὶ ἀνθρώπων, ἀνθρωπὸς Χριστός Ἰησοῦς, ὁ δοῦς ἐαυτὸν ἀντίλυτρον ὑπὲρ πάντων, τὸ μαρτύριον καιροῖς ἰδίοις:

2:7 εἰς δὲ ἐτέθην ἐνώ κῆρυξ καὶ ἀπόστολος ἅλθειον λέγω, οὐ ψεύδομαι διδάσκαλος ἐθνῶν ἐν πίστει καὶ ἅλθεια.

2:8 Βούλομαι οὖν

προσευχοθαί τούς ἀνδράς ἐν παντὶ τόπῳ, ἐπαύρωντας δούλους χειρᾶς χωρίς όρνης καὶ διαλογισμοῦ.

2:9 ὡσαύτως καὶ γυναῖκας ἐν καταστολῇ κοσμῷ μετὰ αἴδους καὶ σωκρασύνης κοιμεῖν ἑαυτὰς, µὴ ἐν πλέγμασιν καὶ χρυσῷ καὶ μαργαρίταις καὶ λιμνηθήνῃ πολυτελεῖ, ἀλλ' ὃ πρέπει γυναικεῖς ἐπαγγελλομέναις θεοσέβειην, δι' ἐργῶν ἁγιῶν.

2:11 γυνὴ ἐν ἡσυχίᾳ μανθανέτο ἐν πάσῃ ὑποτονῇ.

2:12 διδάσκαλος δὲ γυναῖκι οὔκ ἐπιτρέπω, οὔδὲ αὐθεντεῖν ἄνδρός, ἀλλ' εἶναι ἐν ἡσυχίᾳ.

2:13 Ἄδαμ γὰρ πρῶτος ἐπλάσθη, εἶτα ἐγὼ καὶ Ἄδαμ οὐκ ἤπατήθη, ἢ δὲ γυνὴ ἐξαπατήθη ἐν παραβάσει γέγονεν. αὐθεύεται δὲ διὰ τῆς τεκνογονίας, ἐὰν μείνασην ἐν πίστει καὶ ἁγίασῃ καὶ ἁγιασώμετα σωκρασύνης.

3:1a Πιστὸς δὲ λόγος.

3:1b εἴ τις ἐπισκοπῆς ὁρέγεται, καλοῦ ἔργου ἐπιθυμεῖ.

3:2 δει οὖν τὸν ἐπίσκοπον ἀνεπίληπτον εἶναι, μίας γυναικὸς ἄνδρα, νηφάλλον, σῶρον, κόσμημον, φιλεξενον, διδακτικὸν,
3:3 μὴ πάροινον,
μὴ πλήκτην,
ἄλλα ἐπεικῆ,
διαγον,
ἀφιλάργυρων,

3:4 τοῦ ἱδίου οἴκου καλὸς προϊστάμενον,
tékna ἔχοντα ἐν ὑποταγῇ μετὰ
πάσης σεμνότητος.

3:5 (εἰ δὲ τις τοῦ ἱδίου οἴκου προ-
οστήνα αὐτὸν ὁδὸν, πῶς ἐκκλησίας θεοῦ
ἐπιμελήσεται;)

3:6 μὴ νεόφυτον,
Ἐνα μὴ τυφωθεὶς εἰς κρίμα ἐμπέση τοῦ
διαβόλου.

3:7 δεῖ δὲ καὶ μαρτύριαν καλὴν ἔχειν ἀπὸ τῶν
ἔξωθεν,
Ἐνα μὴ εἰς ὀνειδισμὸν ἐμπέση καὶ παγίδα τοῦ
διαβόλου.

3:8 Διακόνους ὀφαύτως
σεμνούς,
μὴ διλόνους,
μὴ οἶνον πολλὰ προσέχοντας,
μὴ αἰσχροκερδεῖς,
ἔχοντας τὸ μυστήριον τῆς πίστεως ἐν καθαρᾷ
συνειδήσει.
καὶ οὕτω δὲ δοκιμαζέσθωσαν πρώτον, εἶτα
διακονεῖσθωσαν ὀνέγκλητοι ἄντεσ.

3:11 γυναίκας ὀφαύτως
σεμνάς,
μὴ διαβόλους,
νηφαλίους,
πιστάς ἐν πάσην.

3:12 Διάκονοι ἔστωσαν
μείζας γυναίκας ἄνδρες,
tékwn káloýn προϊστάμενοι καὶ τῶν ἱδίων οἴκων·
οί γὰρ καλῶς διακονήσαντες βαθμὸν
ἐστωτοῖς καλὸν περιποιοῦντοι καὶ πολλὰν παρρησιάν ἐν
πίστει τῇ ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ.

3:14 Ταῦτα οἱ γράφω, ἐλπίζων ἐλθεῖν πρός σε τάχιον·
ἐάν δὲ δρασάνω, ἔνα εἰδές πῶς δεῖ ἐν οἴκῳ θεοῦ
ἀναστρέφεσθαι, ἥτις ἐστὶν ἐκκλησία θεοῦ ζωντος, ὀτιλός
καὶ ἐδρατώμα τῆς ἀληθείας.
3:16 καὶ διόλουςμένως μέγα εστὶν
tὸ τῆς εὐσεβείας μυστήριον·

"Θες ἐσανερώθη ἐν σαρκὶ,
ἐξικαλώθη ἐν πνεύματι,
διάθη ἀγγελίας,
ἐκηρύχθη ἐν θεωσιν,
ἐπιστεύθη ἐν κόσμῳ,
ἀνελήμφθη ἐν δόξῃ.

4:1 Τὸ δὲ πνεῦμα ἰητὸς λέγει ὅτι

ἐν ὑστέροις καιροῖς ἀποστήσασθαί τινες τῆς πίστεως,
προσέχοντες πνεύμασιν πλάνοις
καὶ διδασκαλίας δαιμόνιον,
ἐν ὑποκρίσει ψευδολόγοιν, κεκαυπτισμένοιν τὴν ἱδίαν
συνεδρίαν,
κολυβοῦντων γαμεῖν,
ἀπεχθασθαὶ βρομάτων ἢ ὁ θεὸς ἔκτισεν εἰς μετόλημπιν
μετὰ εὐχαριστίας τοῖς πιστοῖς καὶ
ἐπεγνωκόν τὴν ἄλθειαν.
ὅτι πᾶν κτίσμα θεοῦ καλὸν,
καὶ οὐδὲν ἀνάβλητον μετὰ εὐχαριστίας λαμβανόμενον,
ἀγιάζεται γὰρ διὰ λόγου θεοῦ καὶ ἐνετείξεως.

4:6 Ταῦτα ὑποτιθέμενος τοῖς ἄδελφοῖς καλὸς ἔση διὰ
cονοὺς Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦν, ἐντρεφόμενος τοῖς λόγοις τῆς
πίστεως καὶ τῆς καλῆς διδασκαλίας ἢ παρηκολούθηκας·

4:7a τοὺς δὲ βεβήλους καὶ γραώδεις μύθους παρατίθοι.

4:7b γύμναζε δὲ σεαυτὸν πρὸς εὐσεβείαν·

4:8 ἢ γὰρ σωματικὴ γυμνασία πρὸς ὀλίγον ἐστὶν ὄφελιμος,
ἢ δὲ εὐσεβεία πρὸς πάντα ὄφελιμος ἐστὶν, ἐπαγγελέαν
ἔχουσα ζωῆς τῆς νῦν καὶ τῆς μελλούσης.

4:9 πιστὸς ὁ λόγος καὶ πάσης ἁπαθοχῆς δείκος·

 eius τοῦτο γὰρ κοπιῶμεν καὶ ἀγονιζόμεθα,
ὅτι ἡλικιαμέν ἐπὶ θεῶ ζῶστε,
δεὶς ἐστὶν αὐτῷ πάντων ἀνθρώπων, μάλιστα πιστῶν.
3:16 καὶ ὁμολογομένως μέγα εστίν τὸ τῆς εὐσεβείας μυστήριον.

"Ὁς ἐφανερώθη ἐν σαρκί, ἐξικαθώθη ἐν πνεύματι, ἀφθιν ὄγνεύωρος, ἐκπρόσθη ἐν ἐννέαν, ἐπιστευθῆ ἐν κόσμῳ, ἀνελήμφθη ἐν δόξῃ.

4:1 Τὸ δὲ πνεύμα ἡτῶς λέγει ὅτι

ἐν ὑστέροις καυροῖς ἀποστήσοντας τινες τῆς πίστεως, προσέχοντες πνεύμασιν πλάνοις καὶ διδασκαλίας δαιμόνιοι, ἐν ὑποκρίσει μεσωδόμων, κεκαυστηριασμένων τὴν ἰδίαν συνείδησιν, κωλυόντων γαμεῖν, ἀπείρωσαν βρωμάτων ὁ ὁ θεὸς ἔκτισεν εἰς μετάλημπιν μετὰ εὐχαριστίας τοῖς πιστοῖς καὶ ἐπεννικοῦσι τὴν ἀλήθειαν.

ὅτι πᾶν κτίσμα θεοῦ καλὸν, καὶ οὐδὲν ἀπόβλητον μετὰ εὐχαριστίας λαμβανόμενον, ἀγιάζεται γὰρ οὐλόμος θεοῦ καὶ ἐνετείλετος.

4:6 Ταῦτα ὑποτιθέμενοι τοῖς ὁδελφοῖς καλὸς ἔσται διὸ—κονος Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ, ἐντρεφόμενος τοῖς λόγοις τῆς πίστεως καὶ τῆς καλῆς διδασκαλίας ἡ παρθικολούθηκας.

4:7a τοὺς δὲ βεβηλουσί καὶ γραφεῖς μύθους παρατίθεν.

4:7b γυμναζὲ δὲ σεαυτόν πρὸς εὐσεβείαν.

4:8 ὃς ὁμοιοί νεαστικὴ γυμνασία πρὸς ὅλον ἐστὶν ὕφελμος, ὃς δὲ εὐσεβεία πρὸς πάντα ὕφελμος ἐστὶν, ἐπανειλέον ἔχουσα ἡμᾶς τῆς νῦν καὶ τῆς μελλούσης.

4:9 πιστὸς ὁ λόγος καὶ πάσης ὁποδοχῆς ἄξιος.

 eius τοῦτο γὰρ κοινῶμεν καὶ ἀγωνιζόμεθα, ὅτι ἡλπίκομεν ἐπὶ θεῷ ἣντι, διὸ ἐστὶν αὐτῷ πάντων ἀνθρώπων, μάλιστα πιστῶν.
4:11 παράγγελλε ταύτα καὶ δίδασκε.

4:12 μηδείς σοι τῆς νεότητος καταφρονεῖτω, ἀλλὰ τύπως γένου τῶν πιστῶν ἐν λόγῳ, ἐν ἀναστροφῇ, ἐν ἀγάπῃ, ἐν πίστει, ἐν ἀγνείᾳ.

4:13 ἐως ἐφομαι πρόσεχε τῇ ἀναγνώσει, τῇ παρακλήσει, τῇ δίδασκαλίᾳ.

4:14 μή ᾠμέλει τού ἐν σοὶ γαρίσματος, ὁ δὲ δώδεκα σοὶ δίλα προφητείας μετὰ ἐπιθέσεως τῶν χειρῶν τοῦ πρεσβυτερίου.

4:15 ταύτα μελέτα, ἐν τούτοις ἔσθι, ἵνα σοι ὁ προκοπὴ φανερὰ ἢ πᾶσιν.

4:16a ἐπεγε σεαυτῷ καὶ τῇ δίδασκαλίᾳ· ἐπίμενε αὐτοῖς.

4:16b τούτο γὰρ ποιῶν καὶ σεαυτὸν ὀψαίς καὶ τοὺς ἀκούοντάς σοι.

5:1-2 Πρεσβυτέρω μὴ ἐπιπλήξῃς, ἀλλὰ παρακάλει ὡς πατέρα, νεωτέρους ὡς ἀδελφοὺς, πρεσβυτέρας ὡς μητέρας, νεωτέρας ὡς ἀδελφὰς ἐν πάσῃ ἀγνείᾳ.

5:3 Χήρας τίμα τάς ὑπὸς χήρας.

5:4 εἶ δὲ τις χήρα τέκνα ή ἐκνον ἔχει, μανθανεῖςαν πρῶτον τῶν ἑδίον σῶν εὐσεβεῖν καὶ ἁμοιβάς ἀποδιδόναι τοῖς προγόνοις,

5:4c τοῦτο γὰρ ἐστὶν ἁπαθετοῦν ἐνώπιον τοῦ θεοῦ.

5:5 ἡ δὲ δντος χήρα καὶ μεμονωμένη ἠλπικεν ἐπὶ θεοῦ καὶ προσέμενε ταῖς δεήσεις καὶ ταῖς προσευχαῖς νυκτὸς καὶ ἡμέρας· ἡ δὲ οπατολογία ζῶσα τέθυηκεν.

5:7 καὶ ταύτα παράγγελλε, ἵνα ἀνεπιληπτοὶ ὅσιν.
5:8 εἰ δὲ τίς τῶν ἐξύναι καὶ μᾶλλον οἷκεῖν
οὐ προνοεῖτοι, τὴν πίστιν ἤρνηται καὶ ἔστιν ἄπιστου χειρών.

5:9 Χήρα καταλεγέσθω
μή ἔλαττον ἔτι ξέκοντα γεγονεῖ, ἐνός ἄνδρός ὑπή, ἔν έργοις καλοῖς μαρτυρομένη,
εἰ ἐτεκνοτρόφησαν,
εἰ ἐξενοδόχησαν,
εἰ ἄγιων πόδας ένυσεν,
εἰ θλιβομένοις ἐπήρκεσαν,
εἰ παντὶ ἔργῳ ἀναθῇ ἐπηκολούθησαν.

5:11 νεωτέρας δὲ χήρας παραιτοῦ·
διὰν γὰρ κατασκηνιάσωσιν τοῦ Χριστοῦ,
γαμεῖν θέλουσιν,
έγουσαι κρίμα ὅτι τὴν πρώτην πίστιν ἤδετησαν· ἄμα δὲ καὶ ἄργαι μανυάνουσιν,
περιερχόμεναι τὰς οἰκίας, οὐ μόνον δὲ ἄργαι ἄλλα
καὶ φίλως καὶ περίεργοι,
χαλύσασα τὰ μὴ δέοντα.

5:14 βούλουσι δὲν
νεωτέρας γαμεῖν,
τεκνογονεῖν,
οἰκοδεσποτεῖν,
μηδεμίαν ἀφορμὴν διδάναι τῷ ἀντικειμένῳ
λοιπορίας γάρ γε·
ηδὴ γὰρ τινὲς ἐξετράπησαν ὁπίσω
tοῦ Σατανᾶ.

5:16 εἰ τίς πιστὴ ἤχει χήρας,
ἐπαρκεῖτα ἀὑταῖς,
καὶ μὴ βαρείᾳ ἢ ἐκκιλταία,
Ἐνα ταῖς δύτως χήραις ἐπαρκέσαν.

5:17 Οἱ καλῶς προεστότες πρεσβύτεροι διηλῆς τιμῆς
διελούθωσιν, μᾶλλον οἱ κοπλώτες εἰν λόγῳ καὶ διδασκαλία.

5:18a λέγει γὰρ ἢ γραφή,
Βοῦν ὄλοντα οὐ φιλοσελληκαί,
καὶ,
"Ἄλογος ὁ ἐργάτης τοῦ μισθοῦ ἀὑτοῦ.

5:18b κατὰ πρεσβυτέρου κατηγορίαν ἢ παραδέχου,
ἐκτὸς εἰ μὴ ἐπὶ δύο ἢ τριῶν μαρτύρων.
5:8 εἰ δὲ τῶν ἰδίων καὶ μᾶλλον οἰκείων
οὗ προνοεῖται, τὴν πίστιν
κρυφίζει καὶ έστιν ἄπιστου χείρων.

5:9 Χήρα καταλεγέσθω
μὴ ἔλαττον ἔτων ἐξήκοντα γεγονοῦσα,
ἐνός ἄνδρας γυνή,
ἐν ἐργοῖς καλοῖς μαρτυρομένην,
eἰ τεκνοτρόφησεν,
eἰ ἐξενοθήχησεν,
eἰ ἀνίβων πόδας ἐνυψεν,
eἰ θλυμένοις ἐπήρκεσεν,
eἰ παντὶ ἔργῳ ἀγάθῳ ἐπηκολούθησεν.

5:11 νεωτέρας δὲ χήρας παραίτοι·
ὅταν γὰρ καταστρηνίσωσιν τοῦ Χριστοῦ,
γαμεῖν θέλουσιν,
ἐξουσιών κρίμα ὅτι τὴν πρώτην πίστιν ἤθετησαν·
ἂν δὲ καὶ ἀργαὶ μανθάνουσιν,
περιερχόμεναι τὰς οἰκίας, οὕτων δὲ ἀργαὶ ἄλλα
καὶ φλύαροι,
καὶ περίεργοι,
λαλοῦσαι τὰ μὴ δέοντα.

5:14 Βούλομαι οὖν
νεωτέρας γαμεῖν,
τεκνογονεῖν,
οἰκείωσθαι,
μηδέμιαν ἀφορμὴν διδόναι τῷ ἀντικειμένῳ
λοιδορίας χάριν·
ἣν γὰρ τινες ἐξετράπησαν ὑπὸ σω
tοῦ Ἑσαμνᾶ.

5:16 εἰ τις πιστὴ ἔχει χήρας,
ἐπαρκεῖτω αὐταῖς,
καὶ μὴ βαρείσθω ἡ ἐκκλησία,
ἐνα ταῖς οὖσις χήρας ἐπαρκέσθη.

5:17 Οἱ καλῶς προσευκτόνες πρεσβύτεροι διπλῆς τιμῆς
ἀξιοῦσθωσιν, μᾶλλον οἱ κοπιῶντες ἐν λόγῳ καὶ διδασκαλίᾳ.

5:18α λέγει γὰρ ἢ γραφή,
Βοῦν ἀλοῦντα οὐ φιμώσεις·
καὶ,
5:18b "Αἶλος ὁ ἐργάτης τοῦ μισθοῦ αὐτοῦ.

5:19α κατὰ πρεσβυτέρου κατηγοροῖαν μὴ παραδέχοις,
ἐκτὸς εἰ μὴ ἐπὶ δύο ἢ τριῶν μαρτύρων.
5:20 Τούς ἁμαρτάνοντας ἐνώπιον πάντων ἔλεγχε,
ἐνα καὶ οἱ λοιποὶ φόβον ἔχοιλν.

5:21 Διαμαρτύρομαι ἐνώπιον τοῦ Θεοῦ
καὶ Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ
καὶ τῶν ἐκκλησίων ἁγγέλων,
ἐνα ταύτα φυλάξεις γαρίς προκρίματος,
μηδὲν ποιῶν κατά πρόσκλησιν.

5:22a Χείρας ταχέως μηδὲν ἐπιτίθει,
μηδὲ κολλάνει ἁμαρτίας ἄλλοτρίας.

5:22b σεαυτὸν ἄγνον τήρει.

5:23 Μηκέτι ὑδροπάτει, ἀλλὰ οἶνῳ ὀλίγῳ κρά
διὰ τὸν στόμαχον καὶ τὰς πυκνὰς σου
ἀθενείας.

5:24 Τινὸν ἀνθρώπων αἱ ἁμαρτίαι πρόδηλοι εἰσίν,
προάγουσα εἰς κρίσιν,
tισὶν δὲ καὶ ἐπακολουθοῦσιν·
όσαυτος
καὶ τὰ ἔρνα τὰ καλὰ πρόδηλα,
καὶ τὰ ἄλλας ἔχοντα κρυβήναι οὔ δύνανται.

6:1 "Οὐσι εἰσίν ὑπὸ τοῦ ὑμῶν δούλοι,
τοὺς ἱείους δεσπότας
πάσης τιμῆς άξιῶς ἡγεῖσθαι,
ἐνα μὴ τὸ ὄνομα τοῦ Θεοῦ καὶ ἡ διδασκαλία βλασφημήται.
οὶ δὲ πιστοὶς ἔχοντες δεσπότας
μὴ καταφρονεῖτωσαν,
ὅτι ἄδελφοί εἰσίν·
ἀλλὰ μᾶλλον δουλεύετωσαν,
ὅτι πιστοὶ εἰσίν καὶ ἄγοντοι
οὶ τῆς εὐεργεσίας ἀντιλαμβανόμενοι.

6:2c Ταῦτα διδάσκει καὶ παρακάλει.

6:3 εἰ τις ἑτεροδιδασκαλεῖ καὶ μὴ προσέρχεται
ὕγιανοὺσιν λόγοις, τοῖς τοῦ κυρίου ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦ
Χριστοῦ,
καὶ τῇ κατ’ εἰσεθεῖσιν διδασκαλία,
τετύφωται,
μηδὲν ἐπιλατάμενος,

(passage continued)
6:4b ἀλλὰ νοοῦν περὶ ζητήσεις καὶ λογομαχίας,
εἰς ἄν γένεται
φθόνος,
ἔρις,
βλασφημία,
ὑπὸνοιαν πονηραῖ,
διαπαρατριβαὶ διεφθαρμένων ἀνθρώπων τῶν νοῶν καὶ
ἀπεστερημένων τῆς ἀλήθειας,
νομιζόντων πορισμὸν εἶναι τὴν εὐσέβειαν.

6:6 ἐστιν δὲ πορισμὸς μέγας ἡ εὐσέβεια μετὰ αὐταρκείας.

6:7 οὐδὲν γὰρ εἰληφέρκαμεν εἰς τῶν κόσμων, ὅτι οὐδὲ
ἐξενεγκείν τι δυνάμεθα.

6:8 ἔχοντες δὲ διαστοφᾶς καὶ
οἰκεώσματα, τούτοις ἄρκεσθηομόμεθα.

6:9 οὐ δὲ θουλύμενον πλούτειν ἐμπίπτουσιν
εἰς πειρασμὸν καὶ παγίδα
καὶ ἐπιθυμίας πολλὰς ἀνοητοὺς καὶ βλαβέρας,
διπλωμείς μυθίζουσιν τοὺς ἀνθρώπους
εἰς ὅλεθρον καὶ ἀπώλειαν.

6:10 ὅτα πάντων τῶν κακῶν ἐστὶν ἡ φιλαργυρία,
ἢ τινες ὀρεγόμενοι ὀπεπλανήθησαν ἀπὸ τῆς πίστεως
καὶ ἐαυτοὺς περιεκπειρὸν ὅξωναις πολλαῖς.

6:11 Εὖ δὲ, ὡς ἄνθρωπε Θεοῦ,
ταῦτα φεύγε· δεῦκε δὲ
δικαλούμην,
εὐσέβειαν,
πίστιν,
ἀγάπην,
ὑπομονήν,
προμπαθείαν.

6:12 ἄγωνίζον τὸν καλὸν ἁγάνα τῆς πίστεως,
ἐπιλαβοῦ τῆς αἰωνίου ζωῆς,
εἰς ἣν ἐκλήθης καὶ ὁμολόγησας τὴν καλὴν ὁμολογίαν
ἐνώπιον πολλῶν μαρτύρων.

6:13 παραγγέλλω [σαί]
ἐνώπιον τοῦ Θεοῦ τοῦ ζωογονοῦντος τὰ πάντα
καὶ Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ τοῦ μαρτυρήσαντος
ἐπὶ Ποντίου Πιλάτου τὴν καλὴν ὁμολογίαν,
τηρήσας ὑπὲρ τὴν ἐντολὴν δοσιλον ἀνεπίλημπτον

(passage continued)
6:14 μέχρι τῆς ἐπιφανείας τοῦ κυρίου ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦ
Χριστοῦ, ἢν καρποῖς ἱδίοις δεῖξει ὁ μακάριος καὶ μόνος ὑπόστατς,

6:15b ὁ βασιλεύς τῶν βασιλευόντων καὶ κύριος τῶν κυριεύοντων, ὁ μόνος ἐγὼν ἀθανασίαν, φῶς οἴκων ἀπρόσιτον,
ἀν εἴδην οὐδεὶς ἀνθρώπων οὐδεὶς ἱδείν δύναται· ὃ τιμῇ καὶ κράτος αἰώνιοι· ἀμήν.

6:17 Τοῖς πλουσίοις ἐν τῷ νῦν αἰῶνι παράγγειλε μὴ ὑπελαφρονεῖν ἡμᾶς ἕλπικέναι ἐπὶ πλούτου ἀδηλώτητι, ἀλλ' ἐπὶ θεῷ τῷ παρέχοντι ἡμῖν πάντα πλούσιος εἰς ἀπόλαυσιν, ἀγαθοεργεῖν, πλουτεῖν ἐν ἐργοῖς καλοῖς, εὐμεταδότους εἶναι, κολυμνικοῖς, ἀποθησαυρίζοντας ἑαυτοῖς θεμέλιον καλὸν εἰς τὸ μέλλον, ἕνα ἐπιλύβωντας τῆς ὄντως ζωῆς.

6:20 Ὁ Τιμόθεε, τὴν παραθήκην φύλαξον, ἐκτρεπόμενος τὰς βεβήλους κενοφωνίας καὶ ἀντιθέσεις τῆς
ψευδονύμου γνώσεως, ἢν τινες ἐπανγελλόμενοι περὶ τὴν πίστιν ἠστόχησαν.

6:21 Ἡ χάρις μεθ' ὕμών.
II Timothy

1:1 Παῦλος

ἀπόστολος Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ διὰ θελήματος θεοῦ κατ’ ἐπαγγελίαν ζωῆς τῆς ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ

Τιμοθέου ἀγαπητῷ τέκνῳ, γὰρ, ἐλεοὺς, εἰρήνη ἀπὸ θεοῦ πατρὸς καὶ Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ τοῦ κυρίου ἡμῶν.

1:3 Χάριν ἐγὼ τῷ θεῷ, τῷ λατρεύω ἀπὸ προγόνων ἐν καθαρᾷ συνείδησιν, ὡς διδάσκαλος ἐγὼ τὴν περί σοι μνείαν ἐν ταῖς δεησεσίν μου νυκτὸς καὶ ημέρας, ἐπιποθῶν σε ἰδεῖν, μεμνημένος σου τῶν δικρῶν, ἣν χαρὰς πληρώθη, ὑπάρχειν λαβὼν τῆς ἐν σοὶ ἀνυπόκριτος πέισεσθε, ἦτες ἐνφίκασθε πρῶτον ἐν τῇ μάμμῃ σου Λυδίᾳ καὶ τῇ μητρί σου Ἐυνίκῃ, πέπεισμαι δὲ ὅτι καὶ ἐν σοί.

1:6 δι' ᾧ αἰτίαν ἀναμιμνήσκω σε ἀναζωπυρέων τὸ γάρμα τοῦ θεοῦ, ὃ ἐστιν ἐν σοὶ διὰ τῆς ἐπιθέσεως τῶν χειρῶν μου.

1:7 οὐ γὰρ ἐθάληκεν ἡμῖν ὁ θεός πνεύμα δελλίος, ἀλλὰ δυνάμεως καὶ ἀγάπης καὶ σωφρονισμοῦ.

1:8 μὴ οὖν ἐπαυξομνῆσθε τὸ μαρτύριον τοῦ κυρίου ἡμῶν μηδὲ ἐμὲ τὸν δέσμιον αὐτοῦ, ἀλλὰ συγκακοπόστησον τὸ εὐαγγελίῳ κατὰ δύναμιν θεοῦ,

1:9 τοῦ οὐσαστοῦ ἡμῶν καὶ καλεσάντος κλησει ἄγια, οὐ κατὰ τὰ ἐργα ἡμῶν ἀλλὰ κατὰ ἰδίαν πρόθεσιν καὶ χάριν, τὴν δοθέεσάν ἡμῖν ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ πρὸ χρόνων αἰωνίων, φανερωθείσαι δὲ νῦν διὰ τῆς ἐπιφανείας τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ, καταργήσαντος μὲν τὸν θάνατον φωτεῖσάν τον σώματι καὶ ἀφθοροῦν διὰ τοῦ εὐαγγελίου,

1:11 εἰς δὲ ἐτέθην ἐνὸς κῆρυξ καὶ ἀπόστολος καὶ διδάσκαλος, δι' ᾧ αἰτίαν καὶ τούτα πάσα, ἀλλ' οὐκ ἐπαισχύνομαι,
1:12b οἱ δὲ γὰρ ὁ πεπίστευκα, καὶ πέπεισμαι ὅτι δυνατός ἦστιν τὴν παραθήκην μου φυλάξαι εἰς ἔκεινην τὴν ἡμέραν.

1:13 ὑποτύπωσαν ἔξε ὑγιαινώντων λόγων ἃν παρ' ἐμοὶ ἡκουσας ἐν πίστει καὶ ἀγάπῃ τῇ ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ.

1:14 τῇν καλὴν παραθήκην φύλαξον διὰ πνεύματος ἁγίου τοῦ ἐνοικοῦντος ἐν ἡμῖν.

1:15 οἴδας ταῦτα, ὅτι ἀπεστράφησαν με πάντες οἱ ἐν τῇ Ἀσίᾳ, ἐν ἐστίν ψυχείς καὶ ἔρμογένης.

δόθη ἔλεος τὸ κύριος τῷ ὁνεισιφόρου οἶκῳ, ὅτι πολλάκις με ἀνέψυξεν καὶ τὴν ἁμηνίαν μου οὐκ ἐπαισχύνης,

ἀλλὰ γενόμενος ἐν ἑαυτῇ σαφεῖς ἑξήτησαν με καὶ εὑρεν δόθη αὐτῷ τὸ κύριος εὐρεῖν ἔλεος παρὰ κυρίου ἐν ἔκεινη τῇ ἡμέρα καὶ ὅσα ἐν ἑφέσῳ διηκόνησεν, βέλτιον οὐ γινώσκεις.

2:1 Σὺ οὖν, τέκνων μου,

ἕνδυναμοῦ ἐν τῇ χάριτι τῇ ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ,

2:2 καὶ ὁ ἡκουσας παρ' ἐμοὶ διὰ πολλῶν μαρτύρων, ταῦτα παράδοθο πιστοῖς ἀνθρώποις,

οὕτως ἰκανοὶ ἔσχονται καὶ ἐτέρους διδάξατε.

2:3 συγκακοπάθησαν ὡς καλὸς στρατιώτης Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ.

οὕδεις στρατευόμενος ἐμπλέκεται ταῖς τοῦ βίου πραγματείαις,

ἐν τῷ στρατολογῆσαντι ὁρέας.

2:5 εἶν εἰ καὶ ἄθλη τῆς,

οὐ στεφανοῦται εἰν αἱ νομίμως ἀθλήσι.

2:6 τὸν κοπιῶντα γεωργόν δεί πρῶτον τῶν καρπῶν μεταλαμβάνειν.

2:7 νῦν δὲ λέγω,

διὸ τὰς γάρ σοι τὸ κύριος σύνεσιν ἐν πάση.

2:8 Μνημόνευε Ἰησοῦν Χριστὸν ἐνγερμένον ἐκ νεκρῶν,

ἐκ σπέρματος δαμάδ,
κατά τὸ εὐαγγέλιον μου·
Ἐν ὁ̈ κακοπαθῶς μέχρι δεσμῶν ὡς κακοῦργος,
ἀλλὰ ὁ λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ οὐ δέδεται.

διὰ τοῦτο πάντα ὑπομένω διὰ τοὺς ἐκλεκτοὺς,
ἐνα καὶ αὐτοὶ σωτηρίας τύχωσιν τῆς
ἐν κρίσιν ἱησοῦ μετὰ δόξης αἰώνιοι.

πιστός ὁ λόγος·
εἰ γὰρ συναπεθάνουμεν, καὶ συζήσομεν·
εἰ ὑπομένουμεν, καὶ συμβασιλεύσουμεν·
εἰ ἀρνησόμεθα, κάκεῖνος ἀρνηταί χαμᾶς·
εἰ ἀπίστωμεν, ἐκεῖνος πιστὸς μένει,
ἀρνηθεὶς γὰρ ἐστῶν οὐ δύναται.

Ταῦτα ὑπομένσηκε,
διαμαρτυρόμενος ἐνώπιον τοῦ θεοῦ
μὴ λογομαχεῖν, ἐπ' οὖν ὁμοίως ἱρήσιμον, ἐπὶ κατὰ-
στροφὴ τῶν ἀκουόντων.

σπούδασον σεαυτὸν δόκιμον παραστῆσαι τῷ θεῷ,
ἐργάσθην ἁνεπαλύγνυτον,
ὄρθοτομοῦντα τὸν λόγον τῆς ἀληθείας.

τὰς δὲ βεβήλους κενοφωνίας περιτοσασίᾳ·
ἐπὶ πλεῖον γὰρ προκόψεως ἀσεβείας,
καὶ ὁ λόγος αὐτῶν ὡς γάγγραινα νομίμη

ἐγὼ ἐστιν ὑμέναις καὶ φήλτος,
οἴτινες περὶ τὴν ἀλήθειαν ἡτοχύτην,
λέγοντες [τὴν] ἀνόστασιν ἢδη γεγονέναι,
καὶ ἀνατρέπωσιν τὴν των πέπτων.

ὁ μέντοι στερεὸς θεμέλιος τοῦ θεοῦ ἑστηκεν,
ἐχὼν τὴν αφρονίδα ταῦτα·

'Ἐγγῷ κύριος τούς ὄντας αὐτοῦ,
καὶ,
'Αποστάτησαν ὁποῖο ὀδηγεῖτες πᾶς ὁ ὄνομαξων
tὸ ὄνομα κυρίου.

Ἐν μεγάλῃ δὲ ζωή πᾶς ἦστιν μόνον οἰκείᾳ
χρυσᾷ καὶ ἀργυρᾷ
ἀλλὰ καὶ
ξύλινα καὶ ὀστράκινα, καὶ
ἀ μὲν εἰς τιμὴν ἢ δὲ εἰς ὀτιμήν·

(passage continued)
2:21 ἐὰν οὖν τις ἐκκαθάρῃ ἑαυτὸν ἀπὸ τούτων, ἐστιν σκέφως εἰς τιμῆν, ἡγιασμένον, εὐχάριστον τῷ δειαστῇ, εἰς πᾶν ἔργον ἁγαθὸν ἠτοιμασμένον.

2:22 τὰς δὲ νεωτερικὰς ἐπιθυμίας φεύγε, δίωκε δὲ δικαιοσύνην, πίστιν, ἀγάπην, εἰρήνην μετὰ τῶν ἐπικαλουμένων τὸν κύριον ἐκ καθαρᾶς καρδίας.

2:23 τὰς δὲ μοράς καὶ ἀπαιδεύτους ζητήσεις παραίτού, εἰδὸς δὲ γενόσαιν μάχας.

2:24 δοῦλον δὲ κυρίου οὗ δεῖ μάχασθαι, ἄλλα ἡπὶον εἶναι πρὸς πάντας, διδακτικῶν, ἀνεξίκακων, ἐν πραύτητι παιδεύοντα τοὺς ἀντιδιατιθεμένους, μήπως δὴ αὐτοῖς ὁ θεὸς μετάνοιαν εἰς ἐπίγνωσιν ἀληθείας, καὶ ἀνανθήσων ἐκ τῆς τοῦ διαβόλου παγίδος, ἐξωρημένωι ὑπ' αὐτοῦ εἰς τὸ ἐκεῖνον θέλημα.

3:1 Τοῦτο δὲ γίνομαι, δὴ ἐν ἐσχάταις ἡμέραις ἐνστήσονται καιροὶ χαλέπιοι· ἐσονται γὰρ οἱ ἄνθρωποι θεῖοι, θελείοι, φίλουσι, φίλαργυροι, ἀλαζόνες, ὑπερήφανοι, βλάσφημοι, γονεᾶσιν ἀπειθεῖς, ἀγάριστοι, ἄνδικοι, ἄστοργοι, ἄσπονδοι, διάβολοι, ἀκρατεῖς, ἀνήμεροι, ἀφιλάγαθοι, προδόται, προπετεῖς, πετυμομένοι, φιλήδονοι μᾶλλον ἢ φιλόθεοι, ἔχοντες μόρφωσιν εὐσεβείας τήν δὲ δύναμιν αὐτῆς ἤρνησαν.
καὶ τούτους ἀποτρέπου.

ἐκ τούτων γὰρ εἰσὶν οἱ ἐνδόνοντες εἰς τὰς οἰκίας καὶ αἰχμαλωτίζοντες γυναικάρια σεσωφρομένα ἁμαρτίας, ἀγάμενα ἐπιθυμίαις ποικίλαις, πάντοτε μανθάνοντα καὶ μηδέποτε εἰς ἐπίγνωσιν ἀληθείας ἐλθεῖν δυνάμενα.

δὲν τρόπον δὲ Ἰάννης καὶ Ἱαμβρῆς ἀντέστησαν Μωϋσέι, οὕτως καὶ οὕτωι ἀνθίζονται τῇ ἀληθείᾳ, ἀνθρωποὶ κατεφθαρμένοι τῶν νοῦν, ὅδεκιμοι περὶ τὴν πίστιν.

ἀλλ' οὗ προκώμουσιν ἐπὶ πλεῖον, ἢ γὰρ ἀνοία αὐτῶν ἐκδηλὰς ἔσται πᾶσιν, ὡς καὶ ἢ ἐκεῖνων ἐγένετο.

Εὐ δὲ

παρηκολούθησας μου τῇ διδασκαλίᾳ,

τῇ ἁγωνίᾳ,

τῇ προθέσει,

τῇ πίστει,

τῇ μακροθυμίᾳ,

τῇ ἁγάμῃ,

τῇ ὑπομονῇ,

τοῖς διαγωμοῖς,

τοῖς παθήμασιν,

οἷὰ μοι ἐγένετο ἐν Ἀντιλοχείᾳ,

ἐν Ἰκόνιῳ,

ἐν Ἀὐστροῖς,

οἷὰς διαγωμούς ὑπῆνεγκα·

καὶ ἐκ πάντων με ἐπεκύριο, δό κύριος.

καὶ πάντες δὲ οἱ θέλοντες ἐν καὶ ἑισεβέσι ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ διωκόμενοι.

πονηροὶ δὲ ἀνθρώποι καὶ γόνης προκώμουσιν ἐπὶ τὸ κείρον, πλανῶντες καὶ πλαναμένοι.

οὐ δὲ

μένε ἐν οἷς ἐμαθεῖς καὶ ἐπιστῶθης,

εἰδὼς παρὰ τῶν ἐμαθεῖς,

(passage continued)
3:15 καὶ δὴ ὁπλοβρέφους [τᾶ] ίερὰ γράμματα οἶδας, τὰ δυνάμενα σε σφῆσας εἰς σωτηρίαν διὰ πέστεως τῆς ἐν Χριστῷ Ἰησοῦ.

3:16 πάσα γραφὴ θεόνευστος καὶ ἀφέλιμος πρὸς διδασκαλίαν, πρὸς ἐλεγμόν, πρὸς ἐπανόρθωσιν, πρὸς παιδείαν τὴν ἐν δικαιοσύνῃ, ἔνα ἀρτιός ὢ τοῦ θεοῦ ἀνύφος, πρὸς πᾶν ἔργον ἀγαθόν ἐξηρτισμένος.

4:1 Διαμαρτύρομαι ἐνώπιον τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ, τοῦ μέλλοντος κρίνειν ζάντας καὶ νεκροὺς, καὶ τὴν ἐπιφάνειαν αὐτοῦ καὶ τὴν βασιλείαν αὐτοῦ.

4:2 κήρυξον τὸν λόγον, ἐπιστήθη εὐκαίρως ἀκαίρως, ἐλέγξον, ἐπιτίμησον, παρακάλεσον, ἐν πάσῃ μακροθυμίᾳ καὶ διδαχῇ.

4:3 ἦσται γὰρ καιρὸς ὅτε τῆς ὑγιαινοῦσης διδασκαλίας οὐκ ἀνέζωσται, ἀλλὰ κατὰ τὰς ἱδίας ἐπιθυμίας ἑαυτοῖς ἐπισωφυσάμενοι διδασκάλους κνηθόμενοι τὴν ἁκολούθησιν, καὶ ἀπὸ μὲν τῆς ἀληθείας τὴν ἁκολούθωσιν, ἐπὶ δὲ τοὺς μύθους ἐκτραπήσονται.

4:5 οὐ δὲ νῆφε ἐν πᾶσιν, κακοπάθησον, ἔργον ποιήσον εὐαγγελιστῷ, τὴν διακονίαν σου πληροφόρησον.

4:6 Ἐγὼ γὰρ ἦδη οπένδυμαι, καὶ ὁ καιρὸς τῆς ἀναλύσεως μου ἔφεστήκεν. τὸν καλὸν ἁγιὰν ἡγώνισμαι, τὸν δρόμον τετέλεκα, τὴν πέτων τετήρηκα· λοιπὸν ἀπὸτελείαν μοι ὁ τῆς δικαιοσύνης στέφανος, δὲν ὀποδώσει μοι ὁ κύριος ἐν ἐκείνῃ τῇ ἡμέρᾳ, ὁ δίκαιος κρίτης, οὐ μόνον δὲ ἐμοὶ ἀλλὰ καὶ πᾶσι τοῖς ἡγαπηκόοις τὴν ἐπιφάνειαν αὐτοῦ.
4:9 Σπούδασον ἐλθεῖν πρὸς με ταχέως·
Δημιός γὰρ μὲ ἐγκατέλιπεν ἄγαπήσας τὸν νῦν αἴωνα,
καὶ ἐπορεύθη εἰς Θεσσαλονίκην,
Κρῆσκης εἰς Γαλατιᾶν,
Τίττος εἰς Δαλματίαν·
Αουκάς ἔστιν μόνος μετ' ἐμοῦ.
Μάρκον ἁναλαβὼν ὕψε μετὰ σεαυτοῦ, ἔστιν γάρ μοι εὐχρηστος
eἰς διακονίαν.
Τυχικάν δὲ ἀπέστειλα εἰς Ἐφεσον.

4:13 τὸν φαιλόνην δὲ ἀπέλιπον ἐν Τριφάδι παρὰ Κάρνηφ
ἐρχόμενος φέρε,
καὶ τὰ βιβλία, μᾶλλον τὰς μεμβράνας.

4:14 Ἀλέξανδρος ὁ γαλκεύς πολλά μοι κακὰ ἐνεδείξατο·
ἁπαθῶς αὐτῷ ὁ κύριος κατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτοῦ·
δὲ καὶ αὐ φιλόσαου, λίγον γὰρ ἀντέτησε τοῖς ἤμετέροις
λόγοις.

4:16 Ἐν τῇ πρώτῃ μου ἁπολογία οὕδες μοι παρεγένετο,
ἀλλὰ πάντες με ἐγκατέλιπον·
μὴ αὐτοῖς λογοθετέω.
ὁ δὲ κύριος μοι παρέστη καὶ ἐνεδυνάμωσεν με,
ἐνα δὲ ἐμοὶ τὸ κήρυγμα πληροφορηθή
c καὶ ἀκούσαν πάντα τὰ ἔθνη,
καὶ ἔφρωθην ἐκ στόματος λέοντος.

4:18 ὑσεταί με ὁ κύριος
ἀπὸ παντὸς ἔργου πολεμοῦ
καὶ σώζει εἰς τὴν βασιλείαν αὐτοῦ τὴν ἐπουράνιον,
φῶς ἐν δὲ εἰς τοὺς αἰώνας τῶν αἰώνων· ὅμην.

4:19 Ἀσπασάι Πρέσκαν καὶ Ἀκύλαν καὶ τῶν Ὀνησί-φόρου οἶκον.
Ἑραστοῦ ἔμεινεν ἐν Κορίνθῳ,
Τρόφιμον δὲ ἀπέλιπον ἐν Μιλήτῳ ἄσθενοῦντα.

4:21 Σπούδασον πρὸ χείμωνος ἐλθεῖν.
Ἀσπασάται σε
Εὐβουλος καὶ Πούδης
καὶ Λίνος καὶ Κλαυδία
καὶ οἱ ἀδελφοὶ πάντες.

4:22 κύριος μετὰ τοῦ πνεύματος σου. ἡ χάρις μεθ' ὑμῶν.
TITUS

1:1 Παύλος

dοῦλος θεοῦ, ἄδοτολος δὲ Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ
κατὰ πέστιν ἐκλεκτῶν θεοῦ καὶ ἐπέγνωσεν ἄληθείας τῆς
κατ’ εὐθείαν ἔπειτ’ ἐλπεὶ διωγμού, ἢ ἐπήγγειλατο
ὁ ἀμεμίδης θεὸς πρὸ χρόνων αἰωνίων, ἐφανερώσεν δὲ
καιροῖς ἱδίοις τὸν λόγον αὐτοῦ ἐν κηρύγματι καὶ ἐπι-
στείθην ἐγώ κατ’ ἐπιταγήν τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν θεοῦ,

1:4 Τίτῳ γνησίῳ τεκνῷ κατὰ κοινῆν πέστιν γόρις καὶ
εἰρήνη ἅπε θεοῦ πατρός καὶ Χριστοῦ Ἰησοῦ τοῦ σωτῆρος
ἡμῶν. Τούτου γόριν ἀπέλιπον σε ἐν Κρήτῃ, ἦν τὰ λεί-
ποντα ἐπιδιορθώσας καὶ καταστήσας κατὰ πόλεις πρεσβύ-
tέρους, ὡς ἐγώ σοι διεταξάμην,

1:6 εἰ τέσσερας ἀνέγκλητος,
μῦδε γυναικὸς ἄνηρ,
τέκνα ἔχων πιστά,
μὴ ἐν κατηγορίᾳ σωτείας ἢ ἀνυπότακτα.

1:7 δεῖ γὰρ τὸν ἐπίσκοπον ἀνέγκλητον εἶναι ὡς θεοῦ οἰκονόμον,
μὴ αὐθαίρη,
μὴ ὑρνίλος,
μὴ παροιλον,
μὴ πλήκτην,
μὴ σιγυροκερδῆ,
ἀλλὰ φιλόξενον,
μὴ λαμπάθων,
σιώφρονα,
δίκαιον,
δολον,
ἐνεκρατη,
ἀντεχύμενον τοῦ κατὰ τῆς δίδαχης πιστοῦ λόγου,
ἐνα δυνατὸς ἢ καὶ παρακολεῖν ἐν τῇ διδασκαλίᾳ
tῇ ὑγιαινοῦσῃ καὶ τοὺς ἀντιλέγοντας
ἐλέγχειν.

1:10 Εἰσὶν γὰρ πολλοὶ [καὶ] ἀνυπότακτοι,
ματαιολόγοι,
καὶ φρεναπάται,
μᾶλλον οἳ ἐκ τῆς περίτομης,
οὐς δεί ἐπιστομίζειν,
αἰτίνες δόλους ὀίκους ἀνατρέπουσιν
dιδάσκοντες δὲ μὴ δεί σιγυροῦ κέρδους γόριν.
1:12 εἰπέν τις ἐξ αὐτῶν, ἵδιος αὐτὸν προφήτης, Κρῆτες δὲι ματαιαί, κακὸ θηρία, γαστέρες ἁργαί. ἢ μαρτυρία αὐτὴ ἐστὶν ἀληθῆς.

1:13b δι' ἂν αἴτιαν ἐλεγχε αὐτοὺς ἀποτύμως, ἕνα ὑγιαίνωσιν ἐν τῇ πίστει, μὴ προσέχοντες Ἰουδαϊκοῖς μύθοις καὶ ἐντολαῖς ἀνθρώπων ἀποστρεφομένων τὴν ἀλήθειαν.

1:15a πάντα καθάρα τοῖς καθαροῖς·

toῖς δὲ μεμιμμένοις καὶ ἀπίστοις οὐδὲν καθαρόν, ἀλλὰ μεμίλανται αὐτῶν καὶ ὁ νοῦς καὶ ἡ συνείδησις.

1:16 θεὸν ὑμολογοῦσιν εἰδέναι, τοῖς δὲ ἐργοῖς ἀρνοῦνται, βδελυκτοὶ διντες καὶ ἀπειθεῖς καὶ πρὸς πᾶν ἐργον ἄγαθον ἀδόκιμοι.

2:1 Εὗ δὲ λάλει ἀ πρεπεῖ τῇ ὑγιαίνωσιν διδασκαλία.

2:2 πρεσβύτας νηφαλίους εἶναι, σεμνούς, σῴφρονας, ὑγιαίνοντας τῇ πίστει, τῇ ἀνάσῃ, τῇ ὑπομονῇ.

2:3 πρεσβύτιδας ὄσιάτως ἐν καταστήματι ἑρεπρεπεῖς, μὴ διαβόλους, μὴ δὲ οἷς πολλῷ δεδουλωμένας, καλοδιδοθεσίας, ἑνα σῳφρονίζωσιν

2:4 τὰς νέας φιλάνδρους εἶναι, φιλοτέκνους, σῴφρονας, ἁγνάς, οἰκουργούς, ἁγαθός, ὑποτασσομένας τοῖς ἴδιοῖς ἀνδράσιν, ἑνα μὴ ὁ λόγος τοῦ θεοῦ ἀνασφημήται.

2:6 τοὺς νεωτέρους ὄσιάτως παρακάλει σῳφρονεῖν·

(passage continued)
2:6 περὶ πάντα σεαυτὸν παρεχόμενος τύπον καλῶν ἔργων, ἐν τῇ διδασκαλίᾳ ἀφθορίαν, σεμνότητα, λόγον ἀγιὴν ἀκατάγνωστον, ἕνα ὑπὸ ἐναντίας ἐντραπῆ μηδὲν ἔχων λέγειν περὶ ἡμῶν φαύλων.

2:9 δούλους ἵδιοις δεσπόταις ὑποτάσσεσθαι ἐν πάσιν, εὐαρέστους εἶναι, μὴ ἀντιλέγοντας, μὴ νοοφιλομένους, ἀλλὰ πᾶσιν πιστὲν ἐνδεικνυμένους ἀγαθὴν, ἕνα τὴν διδασκαλίαν τῆς τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν θεοῦ κοιμῶσιν ἐν πάσιν.

2:11 Ἐπεφάνη γὰρ ἡ χάρις τοῦ θεοῦ σωτήριος πάσιν ἀνθρώποις, παιδεύοντας θυμός ἕνα ἀρνητικὸν τὴν δέξιαν καὶ τὰς κοιμικὰς ἐπιθυμίας σωφρόνως καὶ δικαίως καὶ εὐσεβῶς ἀναπέματος ἐν τῷ νῦν αἰῶνι, προσδεχόμενος τὴν μακαρίαν ἐλπίδα καὶ ἐπιφάνειαν τῆς δόξης τοῦ μεγάλου θεοῦ καὶ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ.

2:14 δει οὖν ἐστιν ἀνωτέρω ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν ἕνα λυτρώσηται ἡμᾶς ἀπὸ πᾶσας ἀνομίας καὶ καθαρίσῃ ἐαυτῷ λαὸν περιούσιον, ζηλωτὴν καλῶν ἔργων.

2:15 Ταῦτα λάλει καὶ παρακάλει καὶ ἔλεγχε μετὰ πᾶσας ἐπικαλήθης μηδείς σοι περιμορνέσθω.

3:1 Ὑποκείμενοι αὐτῶς ἀρχαῖς ἐξουσίαις ὑποτάσσεσθαι, πελαργεῖν, πρὸς πᾶν ἔργον ἀγαθὸν ἐτοιμοῦς εἶναι, μηδὲνα βλασφημεῖν, ἀμάχοις εἶναι, ἐπείρεσθε, πᾶσαν ἐνδεικνυμένους προτίθη πρὸς πάντος ἀνθρώπους.
3:3 Ἡμεν γὰρ ποτε καὶ ἡμείς ἀνόητοι,
ἀνειθεῖς,
πλανώμενοι,
dουλεύοντες ἐπιθυμίαις καὶ ἡδοναῖς ποικίλαις,
ἐν κακία καὶ φθόνῳ διάγοντες,
στυγνοὶ,
μισοῦντες ἄλληλους.

3:4 δε ἐὰν ἤ ἀγαθότητις καὶ ἡ φιλανθρωπία ἐπεφάνη
tου σωτήρος ἡμῶν θεοῦ,
οὐκ ἐξ ἐργῶν τῶν ἐν δικαιοσύνῃ
tὸ ἐποιήσαμεν ἡμεῖς
ἀλλὰ κατὰ τὸ αὐτὸ τῆς ἐλεοῦς
ἐνσωπὲν ἡμᾶς διὰ λουτροῦ παλιγγενεσίας
καὶ ἀνακαινώσεως πνεύματος ἄγιον,
οἱ ἐξέγειν ἐφ' ἡμᾶς πλωυφωὶς
dιὰ ἵστοι Χριστοῦ τοῦ σωτῆρος ἡμῶν,
ἔνα δικαίωσάντες τῇ ἑκείνου χώρῃ
cληρονόμοι γεννηθέμενοι καθ' ἐλπίδα ζωῆς αἰωνίου.

3:8a Πιστὸς ὁ λόγος,
καὶ περὶ τούτων βούλομαι σε διαβεβαιώσαι,
ἕνα φροντίζων καλῶν ἐργῶν προεύροισθαι
οἱ πεπιστευκότες θεοὶ.

3:8c ταῦτα ἐστὶν καλὰ καὶ ὀφέλημα τοῖς ἀνθρώποις.

3:9 μωρὰς δὲ ἵπτησεις
καὶ γενεαλογίας
καὶ ἐρεῖ
καὶ μάγας νομικὰς
περιόστασο, εἰσὶν ὧν ἄνωθεν ἐκείνως καὶ μάταιοι.

3:10 αἱρετικῶν ἄθραμπον
μετὰ μέαν καὶ δευτέραν νουθετεῖσαν παραλτοῦ,
εἴδος ότι ἐξέστραπται ὁ τοιοῦτος καὶ ὁμαρτάνει,
ἀν αὐτοκατάκριτος.

3:12 "Ὅταν πέμπω Ἀρτεμίδων πρὸς ἡ τυχεῖν, ὄσούν,
διασώσειν ἔλθειν πρὸς με εἰς Νικόπολιν, ἐκεῖ γὰρ κέκρικα
παραγειμάζει.
Ζηνάν τὸν νομικὸν καὶ Ἀπολλῶν οπουδαῖος πρόημων,
ἔνα μὴ δέν αὐτοῖς λείπῃ.
3:14 μανθανέτωσαν δὲ καὶ οἱ ἡμέτεροι καλῶν ἐργῶν προστοσθαί εἰς τὰς ἀναγκαίας χρεέσις, ἵνα μὴ δαίν δικαρποί.

3:15a Ἄσπαζονταί σε οἱ μετ' ἐμοῦ πάντες,
     Ἄσπασαι τοὺς φιλούντας ἡμᾶς ἐν πίστει.

3:15c ἡ χάρις μετὰ πάντων ύμῶν.
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