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Summary

The aims of this thesis have been to separate the real George Jamesone from the mass of picturesque but largely imaginary detail which has slowly attached itself to his name since his death: to compile a Catalogue of his works: and to trace the precedents and development of his art.

In the Introduction the growth of Jamesone's reputation is followed from its beginnings in the laudatory verses of his contemporaries in Aberdeen, down to the pretentious and sentimental view of him that John Bulloch presents in the late 19th century. While Jamesone does have a historically interesting role to play in the development of a native tradition of British painting, his own unpretentious merits have been inflated by writers ranging through Walpole, the Earl of Buchan, Sir William Musgrave, Allan Cunningham and culminating in Bulloch. Although the legend waned to some extent thereafter, much of the traditional matter was repeated by J.M. Gray in the Dictionary of National Biography, and by Sir James Caw. No one, with the probable exception of David Laing, apparently bothered to look at contemporary records.

Chapter II traces Jamesone's life in detail, solely on the basis of records, from his first known appearance in a written record in 1607; his date of birth can however be calculated to have been in the latter half of 1589 or first half of 1590.
An attempt is made to see him in his social and historical context, tracing him through his apprenticeship with a decorative painter in Edinburgh, his establishment as a portrait painter in Aberdeen in 1620, and the gradual widening of his horizons. His personal prosperity and family life are also followed in some detail. After 1633, when he helped prepare the reception of Charles I in Edinburgh, he carried on many of his activities from that city. The patronage he received from Sir Colin Campbell looms large in his later years, at which time he had Michael Wright as an apprentice. Jamesone's life is seen to end with a falling off in both the quality and quantity of his work.

Chapter III is a discussion of Jamesone's painting on the basis of the facts established in Chapter II, and on the Catalogue of his paintings. The work of two immigrant portrait painters in the period immediately prior to Jamesone, Adrian Vanson and Arnold Bronckorst, is examined, as well as the work of the decorative painters of Edinburgh and Aberdeen, to one of whom, John Anderson, Jamesone was apprenticed. Other isolated examples of portraiture of the period are discussed.

During the early years of Jamesone's career it is felt that, besides the possible influence of Cornelius Johnson and Daniel Mytens, he was influenced rather more by a painter of Scottish sitters, active between 1622 and 1628 and perhaps based in London. This artist's work is disentangled from
Jamesone's and an attempt made to define his œuvre; his identity is also discussed.

Jamesone's masterpieces of the 20s, the portraits of the Countess Marischal and Montrose are examined in detail in an attempt to define the unique qualities of Jamesone's best paintings. His tendency to often drop far below his best is also discussed. The work of an almost decorative type that he did for the Council of Edinburgh in 1633 is contrasted with the quite sophisticated portraiture of his most active years, 1636 and 1637. The possible motives behind his self-portraits of these years, and the extent to which he influenced, or was influenced by, Michael Wright, are looked at; as are likely reasons for the ineptitude of his two known portraits of the last year of his life, 1644.

The first part of the thesis concludes with a series of all those known contemporary documents in which Jamesone's name appears. These documents are drawn on throughout the work. The Documents section also includes all those known references to Jamesone's wife, Isobel Tosche, his daughters Mary and Marjory, and his master, John Anderson.

The second part of the thesis is a Catalogue of Jamesone's paintings arranged in chronological order, as far as this is possible. The basis, aims and methods of the Catalogue are described in the introduction to it. It has an Appendix of the works of the painter active between 1622 and 1628.
mentioned above.

The third part of the thesis is a series of plates illustrating Jamesone's work; and also a series of comparative illustrations, largely of items discussed in Chapter III.
Introduction: the Growth of the Legend

George Jameson has generally been felt to be a figure of particular significance in the history of Scottish painting and has even been allowed some standing in the history of painting in Britain as a whole. In the narrower context he has stood and will continue to stand as a landmark, though ultimately a historical rather than an aesthetic one. This is to say, simply, that starting in a period when easel paintings, though not by any means unknown, were scarce, he produced (in a short productive life) a body of such paintings which was widely known throughout Scotland and brought him considerable fame. And he was of course a native of the country in which he worked. While easel pictures (in effect portraits) were a common enough accompaniment to the civilised life in England at this time they were largely painted by immigrant artists. In this broader context then, Jameson as representative of a native tradition, has a real claim to interest.

The extensive patronage which he received suggests that in the much poorer country the rewards were insufficient to attract painters having the capabilities of those working in England: thus a local growth was forced in order to meet demands which must have owed a good deal to increased contacts with the southern kingdom after 1603.
On the other hand, the general situation in the two countries was not so very different. Each country had a well established tradition of decorative painting carried on by native painters, while at the level of sophisticated full-size portraiture each relied on continental products. There was in this latter respect a very considerable difference in scale, and in the Scottish case the pictures seem to have been imported rather than painted by visiting artists. To place against the great numbers of Elizabethan portraits Scotland can offer only rare items like the Darnley Memorial, painted for a very specific purpose in London in 1567 by Lieven de Vogeleer, a native of Antwerp and shipped north: (1) or the bright elaborate portrait of the 5th Lord Seton painted in the 1570s and almost certainly brought into the country. (2)

In the latter years of the 16th century and early years of the 17th, the tendency became more marked in England for the incoming painters to settle and develop rather more local variations of their continental styles. This can be said of the members of the Gheeraerts, de Critz and Oliver families. The Scottish equivalents, though little is known of their work, are figures like the two Flemings Arnold Bronckorst and Adrian Vanson, the former working for the king, the latter for both the king and the burgh of Edinburgh. Both, it seems, were recognised as part of a policy of encouragement of the art of painting. (3)
This pattern of immigrants more or less settling in England continued well into the 17th century and included painters of the calibre of Paul van Somer, born in Antwerp but resident in England by the end of 1616 for the remaining four or five years of his life; Daniel Mytens from Delft, but widely employed in England after 1618; Cornelius Johnson, born in London to parents who had fled from Antwerp, closely following the standards set by these other painters; and ultimately of course Van Dyck. Their immense capacities seriously hampered the growth of anything that could be termed a specifically English spirit. Suggestions of such a development in the work, in the previous reign, of George Gower, Sergeant Painter from 1581, or in that of Robert Peake, called painter to Prince Henry in 1609, are only of the most tenuous kind and were swamped by the painters already referred to. Sir Nathaniel Bacon, the more than gifted amateur, free of the requirements of patronage, is something of an exception but his output was small and he died in 1627. The formation of a truly native style was to be postponed until Dobson made his appearance in 1642.

It is in this context, of the painfully slow emergence of an indigenous art in the British Isles, that Jamesone born in Aberdeen and trained in Edinburgh, from about 1620, produced over the next twenty-four years many scores of portraits of the Scottish nobility, academics and leading
burgesses in a style which is basically native but not naive.

This relative degree of primacy has however had curious results historically. His contemporary fame and unquestionable uniqueness in the Scotland of his day have grown into what can only be described as the 'Jamesone legend'. He has, in the quite narrow field of Scottish painting, become a notable example of the desire of Time to find heroes among its artists: notable achievement has been elevated into something like divine genius. The legend that has slowly been built up round his name has given his art associations which are quite foreign to it and in this way obscured its unpretentious merits. By a process of repetition, tradition hearsay and speculation have been erected into fact and those facts which can be accepted unequivocally have been lost sight of. It is therefore of some importance to trace the history of writings on Jamesone in order to see how the romantic ideal has been formed.

The roots, or rather the seeds of the legend are to be found in Jamesone's surprisingly frequent appearances as a protagonist in the Latin verse produced during his own lifetime in the academically inclined north-east. Arthur Johnston's Epigrammata published at Aberdeen in 1632\(^4\) contains a short poem pretending to instruct Jamesone on how to paint Anne Campbell, daughter of the Earl of Argyll:
Illustres, ars quotquot habet tua, prome colores,
Pingere Cambellam si, Iamisone, paras

Johnston then calmly compares him, favourably, to Apelles.

Later, in his 'Encomia' of 1642 in a poem in praise of New Aberdeen, Johnston describes the ornamental garden which Jamesone had formed on the outskirts of the town:

Inde suburbanum Iamesoni despicis hortum,
Quem domini pictum suspicor esse manu.

In this particular poem it is noteworthy that Jamesone's is the only name to occur in what aims to be a celebration of the magnificence of the town (indeed putting Rome in the shade!) Despite the rather inflated sentiments of these poems it is surely significant that Jamesone is placed on a level with the nobility and the learned and is obviously seen to be quite different from the painter-craftsman.

In the same year Sir John Scot of Scotstarvet, the Lord Chancellor, received a gift of a series of epigrams from an unidentified versifier, William Forbes: these, though largely in praise of Scot, are in fact addressed to Jamesone who had apparently just painted him. And two years later, on his death, David Wedderburn, the aged schoolmaster of Aberdeen, brought out his elaborate obituary 'Sub obitum Viri Spectatissimi Georgii Jamesoni, Abredonensis, Pictoris Eminentissimi, Lachrymae'. It contains the
phrase:

Aemula si Belgis Italise peritia dextrae
Artifici laudem conciliare quest:

. . . the skill of a hand that emulated the Flemings and
the Italians . . . , the growth of the legend has quickened.

Less than twenty years after Jameson's death James
Gordon accompanied his map of New and Old Aberdeen of 1661
with a manuscript in Latin, 'Abredoniae Utriusque Descriptio'. After a long list of eminent citizens of Aberdeen the
author asks licence to add to their number 'Georgium
Jamesonum pictorem regium qui primus Mortalium artem pictor-
iam Abredoniam invexit'. The meaning is plain enough
yet in a MS. translation in another but contemporary hand
adjointed to Gordon's MS. we read '. . . and George Jamesone,
one of King Charles the Firsts paynters quho wes the first
man quho made the excellencie of the airt of painting knowne
in the north of Scotland'. The original perhaps exaggera-
tes a little but in the translation one detects that subtle
elaboration of fact in its early stages which has affected
Jamesone's reputation ever since. And whatever the intended
meaning of 'one of King Charles the Firsts paynters' it added
a vital element to the romantic legend.

There is then a gap of rather more than a hundred years
until 1763 when Horace Walpole published his notes on
Jamesone. Whatever the reason for this gap in time a good
deal had obviously been happening for Walpole presents us with the legend more or less fully grown, though it should be noted that he cites 'Mr John Jamison wine merchant in Leith' (10) as the source of his materials. It is therefore open to doubt who supplied the substance of his opening paragraph, but the persuasive form is certainly Walpole's: 'George Jamesone was the Vandyck of Scotland, to which title he had a double pretention, not only having surpassed his countrymen as a portrait-painter, but from his works being sometimes attributed to Sir Anthony, who was his fellow-scholar; both having studied under Rubens at Antwerp'. (11)

Walpole is not of course stating that Jamesone's works are of equal merit to Vandyck's but simply that each was the leading painter in his own circle, though their respective works can sometimes be confused through their having had a similar training. The second part of this proposition, as will be seen later, has no basis in fact. There is simply no external evidence to substantiate it and a proper comparison of styles should show how inappropriate it is. It was no doubt however the lack of precision on Walpole's part that led to the attribution to Jamesone of so many Scottish (and other) portraits having the stamp and costume of the period 1620-1640. An idea was introduced which when taken up by less experienced connoisseurs than Walpole, was elaborated into a travesty of the truth.
Walpole goes on to give a summary of the facts of Jamesone's life which bear more clearly the mark of his correspondent. While some of the traditions contained in this might be treated with a certain respect there are also glaring errors of fact. To cite one obvious example, the year of Jamesone's birth is given unequivocally as 1586, though in July of that year his parents had a daughter. (12) Again, there is no knowledge of Jamesone's apprenticeship in Edinburgh, but that Michael Wright had been a pupil of Jamesone is known - yet both these facts are contained in the same volume of the register, only twenty-four years apart. (13) One may therefore speculate on another source or a reliable tradition for the latter piece of knowledge.

Another example of this ambivalence is found in Walpole's statement: 'When King Charles visited Scotland in 1633, the magistrates of Edinburgh, knowing his majesty's taste, employed Jamesone to make drawings of the Scottish monarchs, with which the King was so much pleased, that inquiring for the painter, he sat to him and rewarded him with a diamond ring from his own finger'. (14) It is indeed true that Jamesone was in some way involved in the public events of this visit, for the Town Council minutes record a substantial payment to Jamesone 'for his extra ordiner paynes taiken be him in the tounes affaires at his Maiesties entrie within this burgh'. (15) Unfortunately his pains are not specified,
though it has been suggested (16) with some credibility that part of the burghal decorations described by Spalding - 'At the wast end of the tolbuith he (King Charles) saw the royall pedigree of the Kingis of Scotland fra Fergus the first, delicatelic painted, . . . .' (17) was the work of Jamesone. Twenty-five portraits of Scottish kings, clearly by Jamesone and which could have formed the facings of a triumphal arch are preserved at Newbattle. (18) There is therefore what is probably a confused understanding of some real situation in the first part of Walpole's statement but the latter part seems quite unconvincing.

Walpole next points to the patronage which Jamesone received from Sir Colin Campbell of Glenorchy and is able this time to quote from an original source, the so-called 'Black Book of Taymouth' which is basically a family genealogy. (19) Here, under the year 1635 are records of payments to Jamesone for two groups of portraits, royal and family. Besides stating that Sir Colin had been 'the chief and earliest patron of Jamesone', Walpole goes on to remark that Jamesone 'had attended that gentleman on his travels'. (20) The patronage is proven but the travel has never been substantiated. This is a question that will be discussed more fully in the chapter on Jamesone's life but it seems likely that it is these words which have given rise to considerable elaboration on the part of later writers, notably John Bulloch, and
have entered the existing literature as a visit to Italy in 1633.

There is one final point in which Walpole seems to nourish the growing legend. A will written by Jamesone in 1641 is mentioned.\(^{(21)}\) So much detail of the contents of this will is given that it is difficult to believe that it is a fabrication, but the will itself is no longer known. It is not impossible that such a will had been seen and its contents noted or remembered.\(^{(22)}\) It is also known from other sources\(^{(23)}\) that Jamesone did as Walpole notes, 'provide kindly for his wife and children'. He did not, however, as far as one can be certain, make 'handsome provision for his natural daughter' as Walpole also remarks. This rather _de rigueur_ view of the waywardness of artists, has also been generally accepted, the natural daughter being equated with Elizabeth. She however, as the records show, was baptised on 6 February 1639 as a quite legitimate child.\(^{(24)}\) Finally, Jamesone had died in Edinburgh and had been buried in Grey-friars' churchyard, without, conveniently, a monument to mark his grave.

It seems therefore fair to say that while some of Walpole's statements reflect a certain degree of truth, more are part of a mythologising process that perhaps had its beginnings elsewhere.

The next signs of a growing interest in Jamesone are to
be found in three nearly contemporaneous manuscripts: a 'Catalogue of Portraits of Illustrious or Learned Scots' compiled by David 11th Earl of Buchan prior to 1781: (25) a brief biography of Jamesone with a list of some ninety pictures, compiled by Alexander Carnegie, Town Clerk of Aberdeen: (26) and a 'Catalogue of Painted Portraits in many of the capital mansion-houses of Scotland', put together from the lists of correspondents by Sir William Musgrave in the years 1793–99. (27)

Buchan's wider aim was to create some kind of record of outstanding national figures. Referring to the Society of Antiquaries, he asks: 'Should not the Secretary of the Society be ordered to request in the name of the Society a correct list of all the known Portraits of the collection in this instance, Bothwell Castle with the Painters names where known super added?'. (28) In this spirit he himself made a series of copies of portraits, largely of the early 17th century, in Scottish collections. He was apparently doing this in the 1760s; in 1795 he made copies of these for transmission to John Pinkerton for use in his books of engraved portraits, especially the Scottish Gallery. Jamesone is the only artist's name occurring on these, in a fair number of cases quite justifiably.

Buchan's catalogue contains a separate list of Jamesone's works consisting of sixty-nine pictures, with three more noted
separately. Where relevant these are noted in the present Catalogue but it is interesting to notice some of the odder items here, for example the entry: 'a Perspective view of the City of Edinburgh with a Neptune in the foreground by Jamieson where is it?' (29) It seems very likely that this strange item is simply an example of the growing tendency to attribute all old pictures to Jamesone. He also includes in his list two portraits, the full-lengths of George Heriot and Lord Spynie which it now seems surprising could have ever been attributed to Jamesone. (30)

Buchan's list is however by no means a travesty and is of some interest as being the first in a number of such catalogues that were being put together at this time. He did however repeat the supposed connection with Rubens which he probably took from Walpole.

Alexander Carnegie's short biography of Jamesone seems at first a little more circumspect than Walpole's on which it is partly based, and he adds a parochial touch to the romance. Instead of a definite year of birth we have: 'He was born of respectable parents about the end of the 16th century'. (31) He repeats, however, that Jamesone studied under Rubens and the romantic description of his painting Charles I is taken almost directly from Walpole. Carnegie does include some quite particularised information about Jamesone's descendants which is obviously based on real local
knowledge; and he does contribute one significant date. He states that after the time spent with Rubens, 'About the year 1620 he returned to his native city, where he settled as a portrait painter'. This certainly pin-points as far as is still known the beginning of Jamesone's career.

Musgrave's interest in Jamesone is indicative of the trend. It has to be remembered however that his catalogue is uncritical as he had seen, as far as is known, none of the pictures in question. His list does stress the tendency to name Jamesone as the artist in the case of all Scottish portraits with an approximate early 17th century appearance, the Duff of Muldavit portraits then in Duff House, being a case in point. Musgrave includes in his lists eighty portraits as being the work of Jamesone, though some were unconsciously duplicated. He does not attempt to comment on Jamesone.

Much of Lord Buchan's knowledge of Scottish portraiture found its way into John Pinkerton's iconographical studies, the Jamesone material mainly into The Scottish Gallery. Their exchange of letters over a picture which Buchan claimed to be a 14th century French portrait of the patriot Wallace, and which Pinkerton emphatically asserted to be a mistake, suggests that Pinkerton must be taken more seriously as a connoisseur than Buchan. His introduction however, while reinforcing the legend growing round Jamesone, 'who burst forth at once with meridian splendour' adds little to Walpole's
picture, though the return from Rubens's studio is placed as late as 1628. His notes to the portraits contain some quite percipient comments on the series of Glenorchy ancestor portraits at Taymouth. These comments originated with the Newcastle artist Robert Johnson who was copying them in 1796 for Pinkerton. According to Johnson, and this is now clear, only the female portraits were by Jamesone. He also rightly excluded the 1633 portraits of Sir Colin Campbell and his wife, though Pinkerton was tempted to interpolate that perhaps Jamesone did them 'in a slight and hasty manner'. It seems unlikely that two painters should have been employed at Taymouth in 1633'. Pinkerton has blundered here simply because no one had published the 'Germane painter' recorded in the 'Black Book' under 1633. Otherwise, of the ten plates specifically attributed to Jamesone it is possible to quarrel with only one, that of Sir Alexander Fraser.

Allan Cunningham's life of Jamesone appeared in 1832 and must take a good deal of blame for the later form of the legend. This indeed is its only claim to notice for it is patently little more than fiction. This is evident in the opening sentence, where he states that Jamesone was born on the same day as Mary Queen of Scots was executed (that is, 8 February 1586). Thereafter he embellishes the plot with a mass of picturesque detail, and ends with the astonishing remark: 'That he stands at the head of the British school
of portrait-painting there can, therefore, be no question; nor had England an artist of her own worthy of being named above him in his own walk before the days of Reynolds . . . ." (40)

Cunningham acknowledges a debt to David Laing the antiquarian, but there is little evidence of the latter in his 'Life', for Laing in a set of unpublished notes (41) reveals himself as probably the only person to have looked at Jamesone from a properly historical standpoint. He put together a series of notes on aspects of Jamesone's life based purely on searches of records. It is now difficult to get any coherent picture from these, but certainly on the question of the will Laing is quite clear: 'As to the Will of George Jamieson, by the following Notes it will be seen that it cannot now be found'. He records a gap in the Minute Book of the Register of Deeds between 1640 and 1650 and in the Register itself between August 1643 and September 1649; and he records the loss of the Commissary Records prior to 1715. The records were searched at other possible points, 'but without success'. Although his researches were negative at many points, Laing must take credit as the first to make a real attempt to replace the legend by fact.

Towards the end of the 19th century John Bulloch brought out his book which has long been accepted as the standard work. Perhaps the two most notable features of Bulloch's
book are its confident style and a regular omission of sources. The legend is perpetuated in the title, and while admitting that a problem exists regarding the Rubens episode, he goes on to say: 'But while there is no positive evidence, there is at the same time no moral doubt'. (42)

Bulloch adds one important feature to the legend which has persisted to the present - the visit to Italy with Sir Colin Campbell in 1633. (43) This, as has already been mentioned, probably had its origin in Walpole's casual remark that Jamesone 'had attended that gentleman on his travels'. This was repeated by Allan Cunningham. Bulloch elaborates it into an intimate friendship with Campbell of Glenorchy, derived purely it would seem from the latter's patronage of Jamesone. Further, one new source was available to Bulloch, the diary of Alexander Jaffray. (44) In this Jaffray recounts that in the latter part of 1633 he visited London in the company of a small group of Aberdonians which included Jamesone. It is this fact which seems to have set Bulloch's imagination alight. It will be necessary to discuss this whole question in detail below but the wide range of Bulloch's fancy includes a meeting with Vandyck in London, a visit to Florence and Rome and a return journey via Antwerp where Rubens was visited. The whole journey is reckoned to have taken place between August and the end of the year: it is sufficient at the moment to note that in the months of September, October and November
Jamesone appeared as a witness (godfather) at baptisms in Aberdeen. (45)

Thereafter Bulloch is on slightly surer ground, though he repeats in a very close paraphrase the details of Jamesone's will found in Walpole, and ends his story with a touching but quite imaginary description of his death.

Bulloch provides a catalogue of 189 items but any consideration of these is left to the present catalogue. He concludes with an appendix of original source material taken mainly from the Burgh Register of Sasines. These documents throw a little light on biographical problems, but Bulloch was either proceeding on extracted material supplied to him, or else he read his records carelessly. In his extract No. 4, dated 25 January 1625, (46) he has failed to notice elsewhere in the instrument that Isobel Tosche, whom it had always been assumed married Jamesone in November 1624, is described as his future wife, 'iam in sua pura virginitate existens'. It must also be emphasised that these documents as quoted contain many inaccuracies which turn them in parts into nonsense. To quote only one example, part of his extract No. 2, (47) describing in the normal form the position of a tenement of land, reads:

'Inter terra anteriore quondam Davidis Indeaucht nec vero roberti forbes te mendatarii de Monymusk ex orientali ex vica terra quondam Adami Moir ex
occiendatali partibus ab altera terra Interiorem Andree Watsoun fabri liguarii vertis borea et toiem viam regiam vertis austris'.

This should in fact read:

'Inter terram anteriorem quondam Daidis Indeaucht nec vero Roberti Forbes commendatarii de Monymusk ex orientali ex vna, terram quondam Adami Moir ex occidentali partibus ab altera, terram Interiorem Andree Watsoun fabri lignarii versus boream et communem viam regiam versus austrum'.(48)

This degree of error continues throughout these extracts.

There are also records that neither Bulloch, nor those who later used him as an authority, examined. The most important among these are the parochial registers of baptisms, marriages and deaths. Certain biographical data had been extracted from these at various times,(49) and Bulloch makes use of this knowledge; but a great deal of further information was to be found there, including facts which enable Jamesone's birth date to be set within close limits, as well as the birth dates of eight of his children.

It is however as a persuasive weaver of fact, tradition and legend into something like a definitive shape that Bulloch is most significant and has had such a marked influence on Jamesone studies. He is quoted as a reliable authority by
J.M. Gray in the Dictionary of National Biography, by (Sir) James Caw in 1908 (50) and in Maurice Brockwell's notes published as recently as 1939. (51)

While Gray follows Bulloch in almost every point, Caw presents a much more balanced view. In 1906 it had been published that Jamesone had been apprenticed, not to Rubens, but to the obscure decorator John Anderson; (52) and from this time the legend waned. Caw also had the archives at Antwerp searched, but with no result, though he was still reluctant to give Rubens up for he also believed he had discovered that the apprenticeship with Anderson had been broken by 1616, (53) after which time a visit to the Continent might have been made. And it is undeniable that there is no record of Jamesone's movements between 1617 and 1620.

Brockwell's main purpose was to publish Musgrave's lists which he does with a fair degree of accuracy, though somewhat arbitrarily. While providing some useful documentation in the field of provenance, Brockwell's contribution is negligible. He had clearly seen few of the pictures quoted and as a result his annotations are sometimes quite inappropriate. An example of this is his willingness to accept the portrait of Sir Duncan Campbell (formerly Breadalbane collection), dated 1619, as the earliest known Jamesone. (54) A knowledge of his subject would have saved him from this error; and had he seen this collection he might also have
noted the significance of the 'fancy' portraits of the Glenorchy ladies.

This introduction might appropriately be ended by reverting to a remark made by Caw, which to some extent, summarises persistent aspects of writings on Jamesone: 'From then [1620] until 1644, when he was laid in a nameless grave in Grayfriars churchyard, the incidents of his career, gleaned from old account-books, letters, diaries, deeds, and the signatures on pictures, have been pieced together by Mr Bulloch, and, if the mosaic thus made shows blanks here and suppositions there, it is perhaps all we shall ever know of the earliest Scottish painter'. (55) Here there is still an echo of the national legend, the persistent but unwarranted faith in Bulloch, and also the hint, implicit in many writers, that records are now insufficient to throw any more light on Jamesone. These records however are not quite so few as had been believed; and indeed, considering the time and the subject they might be considered plentiful, though, it must be added, they refer with only a few exceptions to the biographical aspects rather than the painterly. From the one hundred and forty or so contemporary references to Jamesone, some admittedly very slight, it is possible to build up a picture of his life with some exactness.
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II
Life

(1) Early Years

On 3 December 1607 Andrew Jamesone, mason in Aberdeen, reconstituted the rights in his two houses in the Schoolhill in the north-west corner of the burgh. One of these, a tiled two or three-storied building, lay on the north side of the street. The other, roofed with turf, lay on the south side, somewhere near the north transept of St Nicholas Church, on the corner formed by the Schoolhill and the street running north to it from the Town Hospital. This latter was the house in which Jamesone himself and his wife Marjory Anderson were living, and in this and the property on the other side of the street he now gave his wife life-rent rights. To his eldest son Andrew he gave hereditary possession of the family house, reserving its use however, during their lives, to himself and his wife. The house (or foreland) on the north side, which stood on land belonging to Andrew Watson, a carpenter, whose own house (or inland) lay behind, he now, with similar reservations, conveyed to his second son, George.

Andrew Jamesone and Marjory Anderson had been married on 17 August 1585. On 27 May of the following year Andrew acquired the foreland just described which was eventually to
pass to George. The neighbour in the house to the east was one of the bailies of the burgh, David Endeaucht, who three years later was to be deputy commander of a ship called the 'Nicholas' which sailed out under the auspices of the burgh to join those other ships escorting James VI and his queen, Anne, back from Denmark.\(^{(6)}\) The ritual transfer of the property by the physical handing over of earth and stone took place at noon and among the eight witnesses were Endeaucht, David Anderson, who may have been Marjory's father, and William Anderson, his son.

The Jamesones' first child, a daughter Elspeth, was baptised on 30 July 1586.\(^{(7)}\) This date can be taken as nearly equivalent to the birth date as it is unlikely, considering the hazardous nature of birth at that time, that baptism would be long delayed; and in this instance the baptism is taking place less than a year after marriage.

Whether a child was born to them between Elspeth and the next recorded child, a son called David, baptised on 17 October 1588,\(^{(6)}\) is not known, but the lapse of time is great enough for this to be possible. Later, on 9 May 1591 another son William was born.\(^{(9)}\) In this second gap there is just enough time for two children to have been born, though it is rather unlikely. William survived until 1632, so when in 1607 at the redistribution of property a son Andrew is described as eldest and George as second son, it follows that their births must be located somewhere in these two stretches of time. If Andrew was born in the first, as seems
most likely, then George must have been born at sometime between September 1589 and June 1590. If however Andrew was born in the second of the blank periods, remembering that he is older than George, then George's birth would have to fall in June or July of 1590. The onus of probability however makes it reasonable to suggest that George Jamesone was born at some time between the winter of 1589 and the middle of 1590.\(^{(10)}\)

It is not clear why Andrew Jamesone should have resettled his property in 1607 for he was to live for some years yet. There was clearly however some necessity to provide for his wife in the event of her widowhood. Nor is it clear why William who was sixteen by this time was overlooked. It does follow from what has gone above that the son David, who was older than George and even perhaps older than Andrew, must have died prior to this time. Nothing certain is known about George during these years. His future role would indicate that he attended the Grammar School which lay only some hundred 'walking paces' to the west of his parents' house. The rector of this school prior to 1602 was David Cargill, Burgess since 1597\(^{(11)}\) and author of a Latin poem on James VI's escape from the Gowrie conspirators. The rector after 1602 was David Wedderburn, later a teacher in Marischal College\(^{(12)}\) and Jamesone's future panegyrist. There were other schools, which taught only reading and writing. On 4 September 1603 two of these had to be officially sanctioned because 'thair is sic ane multitude of schoollis takin up be sindrie wemen in
this toune having doctouris to teiche the Bairnis baith Ladis and Lassis'\(^{(13)}\); this was judged prejudicial to the livings of the two authorised school masters. It should not be entirely discounted that Jamesone attended a school of this type.

His father, Andrew Jamesone, was clearly a prosperous and prominent mason in the town and very likely came of a long line of mason-craftsmen. In 1541 a William Jamesone, mason, became a burgess\(^{(14)}\) and in 1573 a William Jamesone, possibly the same person, who is described as a mason and master mason of the kirk and bridge works, is recorded as dying on 28 March.\(^{(15)}\) The latter was certainly Andrew Jamesone's father for just a few months after, on 6 August, 'Androw Jamesoun sone naturall to vmquhill Wilzeam Jamesoune' was entered as apprentice to an Andrew Bethlem, mason.\(^{(16)}\) The apprenticeship was for seven years but Andrew was bound to serve Bethlem for a further two. Thus his marriage came some three years after the ending of this contract.

His own name occurs frequently in the Kirk and Bridge Works Accounts, especially in 1609, when he conducted his business in conjunction with a William Massie. On 17 April 1610 payments are made to Jamesone for 'building of the Bow brig'.\(^{(17)}\) This work had been underway as early as 1586. One of the two masters of work appointed by the town was the David Endeaucht who owned the house next to Jamesone's. The contract with Jamesone specified that the bridge should have two bows\(^{(18)}\) and this is
how it is clearly depicted on Gordon of Rothiemay's map.

The masons were comprised in the same incorporated trade as wrights, coopers, carvers and painters. Each incorporated trade had the right to elect a deacon who could take part in the election of the town council. This right had a long and chequered history and attempts had been made to abolish the deacon's powers or to limit them to the inspection of work; however the right to vote was reinforced in 1587 in the agreement known as the Common Indenture.(19)

Prior to this year there had been a good deal of friction between the wealthy burgesses of gild, merchants and traders, and the craftsmen, less wealthy but more numerous. The annual town council elections had degenerated into a process of self-election: in the period 1590 to 1610 the families of Mengzies, Cullen and Rutherford between them provided eighteen out of a total of twenty-one Provosts.(20) The discontent of the craftsmen at their lack of power came to a head in 1587 and led to the arbitration which produced the Common Indenture. Basically the trouble was over the lack of trading rights of the craftsmen. Among the sixty-nine craftsmen who appeared in St Nicholas Church on 2 July 1587 as procurators for their trades was Andrew Jamesone.(21) The result of the discussions with the gild burgesses produced the right of the craftsmen to trade in Scottish wares within the realm of Scotland.

There is no record of when Andrew Jamesone died, but it must
have been between 1612, when George was apprenticed in Edinburgh, and 1617 when he is described as the late Andrew Jamesone. In this same document George is described as the eldest (that is, eldest surviving) son.

It is almost certain that George's elder brother Andrew died in 1613 soon after his marriage. A marriage is recorded on 31 January between an Andrew Jamesone and Agnes Drum.(22) On 14 November of the same year Agnes Drum had a son, also called Andrew, but the father Andrew is now deceased.(23) The principal godfather was Andrew Watson, probably the carpenter who had sold the elder Jamesone the house on the north side of the Schoolhill. A further confirmation that this refers to George's elder brother is provided at a much later date, in 1628, when George Jamesone appeared as a godfather to a daughter born to Agnes Drum and her second husband.(24) It may be doubted whether George would have been able to proceed to Edinburgh in 1612 if Andrew's death had taken place a year or so earlier. George eventually succeeded to his elder brother's property in the Schoolhill, but not until 1625, which may indicate that their mother's life rights were operant until that year.

The population of Aberdeen in the early years of the seventeenth century was probably in the region of 4,000 inhabitants. For administrative purposes the town was divided into four quarters: Futtie, the Green, the 'Cruikit' quarter, and the 'Evin' quarter. In a Stent Roll of 1608 under the 'Cruikit' quarter we find the name
of Andrew Jamesone listed against this tax of 33s.4d.. The lowest amount payable is 6s.8d., and the highest £10. The numbers of taxed persons in these quarters respectively was 123, 103, 180 and 145, a total of 552. The total payment of the 'Cruikit' quarter was also relatively bigger than the payments of the others, being £352. The much more sparsely housed Green quarter in the south-west corner of the town paid only £226. (25)

Although Gordon of Rothiemay's map and panorama, and his text, (26) describe Aberdeen as it was in the middle of the seventeenth century, they also illustrate a settled town, and it is unlikely that it had changed a great deal since the first decade of the century. The town was dominated by the 'Great Church' of St Nicholas, a mediaeval building, which in 1513 had been crowned with a tall spire with four distinctive pinnacles clustered round its base. After previous mutilations a wall was built between the choir and the nave, in order to produce two churches, the Old and New Kirks. (27) The large burial ground around the church was, according to Gordon, 'planted about with great ash trees': (28) trees indeed were a notable feature of the town. Nearby stood the Grammar School, the Music School and, at the east end, the Town Hospital.

The town was bisected north and south by the Broadgate and the Gallowgate. The Broadgate had originally been what its name indicates, a street of up to a hundred yards in breadth, but a line of houses had been built down one side of it, producing a new street called the Guesraw. At the top of the Broadgate was the house of
the Greyfriars, the conventual buildings of which had been erected into a College by the Earl Marischal in 1593. To the east of the south end of the Broadgate lay the Castlegate which had the proportions of a square, being some two hundred yards in length and one hundred across. In the north-west corner stood the Town House; besides being the administrative centre it was also a prison. On the south side of the Castlegate stood the residence of the Earl Marischal, which, as Gordon's map shows, was some kind of tower-house.(29)

To the west of the main axis the streets were less open and regular. From the north end of the Broadgate ran the Overkirkgate, terminating in a 'port' or gate which separated it from the Schoolhill. Further to the south, and like the Overkirkgate leading towards St Nicholas, lay the Netherkirkgate, also halved in its length by a port. South of the Great Church was the Green, relatively sparsely populated: on the water's edge of the harbour stood the Tradesmen's Hospital.

The majority of the houses were probably of two storeys with an attic level. Behind the forelands which fringed the streets there were usually inlands, entered by closes running through part of the foreland. Behind the inlands there usually stretched a long plot of ground. These properties were the burgesses' main capital at a time of shortage of currency and a lack of investment opportunities. Gordon's description of the houses may be idealised to some extent but it is worth quoting: 'It is easie to
conjecture that the closes, lanes, and streets, have not been at first chaulked out or designed by any geometricall rule. The buildings of the toune are of stone and lyme, rigged above, covered with slaits, mostlie of thrie or four stories hight, some of them higher. The streets are all neatlie paved with flint stone, or a gray kind of hard stone not unlike to flint. The dwelling houses are cleanlie and bewtiful and neat, both within and without, and the syde that looks to the street mostlie adorned with galleries of timber, which they call forestaires. Many houses have their gardings and orcheyards adjoyning;...

The oligarchic town council represented one side of a double-edged control over the lives of the citizens: the omni-present kirk-session represented the other. Each on occasion represented the superstition and brutality of the time, with in each case however, a leavening of humanity. Beggars were permitted in the town, but 'strange beggars' were to be driven out. A system of provision for the poor and sick certainly existed: on 20 May 1610 the kirk-session ordained 'tua merkis to be gewin to the support of the Lipper woman laitlie put in the Lipper hous, becaus she will not gett ony of the rent of the said hous till martenmas nixt'.

Yet earlier, in 1584, many were put to death as witches in an attempt to appease the plague, the men hanged, the women drowned. In 1586 a John Greyne and three women were convicted of poisoning an illegitimate child: Greyne was hanged and quartered and his head fixed on the Justice Port, the women were publicly drowned.
Yet, another aspect of the inhabitants is seen in contributions made in 1598 to relieve the distress in Haddington which had been destroyed by fire.\(^3\) And we can read a simple awareness of the fleeting nature of life in the proclamation of a fast in August 1610, because of the 'visitatioun of the young childrene with the plague of the Pocks quhairof many children are already diceissed'.\(^3\)

The more private aspects of the citizens' lives were kept in strict check by the kirk-session. Of, for example, eight minutes recorded at a meeting of the session four or five might deal with adultery or fornication. Monetary penalties (for the use of the poor) were always exacted, usually about £5, and repentance in public was required. On 17 July 1603 Andrew Jamesone appeared as cautioner to find five merks for someone involved in such a case.\(^3\) For more extreme degrees of transgression imprisonment could be imposed. The church vault was frequently used as a prison where the transgressor could be held on a diet of bread and water. Women tended to be treated more harshly than men and for repeated offences, branding on the cheek might be ordered; the victim then to be carted through the streets wearing a paper crown and expelled from the burgh. An offence which carried an even heavier fine, about £20, was a failure 'to accomplish the band of marriage'. That the session and the civic government were in effect aspects of the same power can be seen in judgements against 'contentious playing' (disorder),
in proscriptions against fishing on Sunday, and in sanctions to enforce church attendance. (35)

This was the town in which George Jamesone spent his childhood and schooldays, and the days which followed, and which he temporarily left in 1612. Balancing some of the harsher sides of burgh life are Gordon's limpid picture of the town and Arthur Johnstone's praise of the burghers:

Martiā mens illos commendat et aurea virtus
   Rebus et in dubiis sāepe probata fides.
Hospita gens haec est et comis et aemula Divum,
   Quaeque regunt alios, huic famulantur opes.
Si locus est meritis, urbs haec Regina vocari
   Et dominae titulum sumere iure potest. (36)
(ii) Apprenticeship

When Jamesone was entered as an apprentice to John Anderson in Edinburgh on 27 May 1612(37) he was in the region of twenty-two years of age. No explanation has been forthcoming for the lateness of his entry. He was initially entered for eight years but the indenture probably lapsed before the full period was completed. In the circumstances it is possible that Anderson was a relative of Jamesone's through his mother, but there is no definite proof of this. On 6 October 1601 a John Anderson was entered as a gild burgess of Aberdeen. (38) He was designated 'painter', and son of the deceased Gilbert Anderson. (39)

Anderson took up residence in Edinburgh some time between 8 May 1611 and 1 August of the same year. On the earlier date he appeared with 'ane furnisht hagbuit', his contribution towards the peace-keeping obligations of Edinburgh's citizenry, and was made burgess. He had evidently still to settle in Edinburgh for he required a guarantor that he would take up residence before Lammas (1 August). (40) However, on the same 8 May, Anderson was paid by the city treasurer for 'paynting and gilting of the twa brods of the knok at the Netherbow'. (41) This is either pure coincidence or else the burgess-ship was in some way connected with his carrying out this work. It does indicate the normal character of Anderson's painting and shows him to be in the same category of
decorator-artist as those other painters working in the low-lands at this time. The most frequently recurring names among these are James Workman, John Binning, John Sawers and Valentyne Jenking, who was an Englishman.\(^\text{(42)}\) The majority of recorded payments to them are for work in the royal palaces, but there must have been a good deal of burghal work of the kind quoted above, and much of the unattributable decorative painting in country houses must be from their hands.

Although the nature of his own work was to be very different there are indications that Jamesone was in no way out of place in this milieu. There were clearly painters of this class in Aberdeen, the names of Mellin\(^\text{(43)}\) and Strachan occurring quite frequently in the records. What may have been quite a sophisticated piece of painting, though in the nature of copying or imitation, is a note of 1587 that John Mellin painted in the Great Church 'the bak of the ruid loft in tapesserie vark', and at the same time painted 'the est horloge with owyll'.\(^\text{(44)}\) In 1611 Andrew Mellin, though described as a glasswright, painted 'the new beir' in the same church.\(^\text{(45)}\) Work of a much more advanced type than either is suggested in the words of an accusation by the kirk-session in 1604 against a 'Johne Melvill paynter . . . for paynting of a crucifix to the Burial of the Ladye of Gicht quhilk wes borne at hir buriall'.\(^\text{(46)}\)
In 1613 Andrew Mellin had married an Isobel Jamesone, which is merely suggestive. However, before 1617, Andrew Strachan, a painter whose name, as will be seen below, occurs more than once at a later period in the same context as Jamesone's, was married to a Margaret Meling (i.e. Mellin). There are therefore indications that Jamesone had connections with decorative painters before his own career was fully underway; and it will be seen that such connections were continued, even when fully employed in portrait painting.

Though Jamesone's apprenticeship with John Anderson was probably relaxed after four or five years there is no reason to believe that it was officially broken. On 25 March 1617 the Privy Council demanded of the Marquess of Huntly, for whom Anderson was working at Strathbogie, that he send Anderson to Falkland Palace so that work might be carried out in preparation for the approaching visit of James VI on his first (and only) journey to Scotland after 1603. Anderson himself is commanded to be there 'with his workeloomes and otheris necessaris . . . within sex dayis . . . under the pane of rebellioun'. There is no record of Anderson appearing at Falkland, though working for such a powerful figure as Huntly was hardly likely to provide an acceptable excuse for non-appearance. On 3 June of the same year he was again in trouble with the Privy Council for not appearing to work at Edinburgh Castle though he had entered into
an agreement with the master of works. Not only had he not appeared, but 'by ane idill and frivolous excuse returnit be him . . . he seems to pretend some impedimentis quhairfoir he may not fulfill the condition undertane be him . . . ''. In this instance however he certainly followed the dictates of the Council, for on 16 June he was paid £100 'for painting the rowme quhair his Majestie wes borne'. This work in the Castle he carried out in the company of John Sawers and James Workman: the painting done seems to have been mainly armorial in nature with some painting of imitation marble on doors and chimneys. 

In so far as it can be seen what kind of work Anderson was capable of, it must be assumed that Jamesone had a training in similar work. The technical faults in his portraiture imply that he continued to use technical procedures more akin to those of a decorative painter than those of a painter of easel-pictures (discussed in Chapter III).

That the relationship of master and apprentice between John Anderson and Jamesone had virtually come to an end by this time is further suggested by a document dated 26 November 1617. On that date Jamesone loaned 100 merks to Alexander Jamesone, a tailor in Aberdeen, and received as security (the process known as wadsetting) a property in the Green. That Jamesone was in a position to do this is suggestive, but it is important to note that in this instance he was not personally present to
take sasine of this property: this was done on his behalf by David Anderson. (53)

It is therefore unlikely that Jamesone was engaged with John Anderson in some other part of the country, though not impossible. If not, and neither present in Aberdeen, one is led to speculate on his movements in these last few years of this decade. The tradition of having travelled abroad is, as will be seen, more or less counted out by the records of later years; this is the one period when it would clearly have been possible. In 1620 his career as a portrait painter apparently began with a portrait of (Sir) Paul Mengzies, later provost of Aberdeen. It may only be coincidence that this was the year in which his apprenticeship would officially have ended. He was now thirty years of age.
(iii) Early Career in the North

Since the date on the Mengzie portrait cannot be accepted unequivocally (54) there is no clear proof that Jamesone had returned to Aberdeen until 12 March 1624. On that date he personally received repayment of the 100 merks he had loaned in 1617 to Alexander Jamesone. In 1623 the right to redeem the property held by George had been assigned to the David Anderson (55) who had earlier represented him. When Jamesone took repayment of his loan, sasine of the property was granted in favour of 'sui avunculi Davidis ANDERSONE': that is, Anderson is the brother of Marjory Anderson, Jamesone's mother. (56)

Among Jamesone's sitters in the mid-twenties were, it can be stated with some confidence, Arthur Johnston, physician and poet, James Sandilands, Rector of King's College (1624), John Earl of Rothes (1625), Mary Erskine Countess Marischal (1626), and Lady Rothes and her daughters (1626). The portrait of Rothes, though dated 1625, may have resulted in some way from the appearance of Rothes and his followers in Aberdeen in August 1623, when, on the 19th of the month, he was made an honorary burgess. (57)

On 12 November 1624 there was the first calling in the parish church (the Old Kirk) of 'ane promeis of mariage' between George Jamesone and Isobel Tosche. (58) There is no
record of the required second and third calling of banns and the marriage for some reason had not taken place by 25 January 1625 when Isobel's procurator in a joint conveyance of property to her and George Jamesone acted in the name of the 'future sponsa ipsius Georgii'. (59) They were however married before the middle of 1627, and probably much nearer the earlier date.

The marriage must have been very carefully ordered for on 25 January 1625, although not yet married, they took joint possession of two properties, one on either side of the Schoolhill. Jamesone is described as 'Juvenis', a man in his prime. As heir, he took sasine of the house which had belonged to his late brother Andrew, but in order to fulfil a clause in his marriage contract, he resigns it so that they can take conjunct possession, as is also done in the case of the 'tiled foreland' which he already owned. It may be that Jamesone's mother died about this time, as there is no mention of her life-rights. (60)

On this occasion Isobel was represented by her uncle James Tosche, a name which later occurs many times in the same context as Jamesone's. He is probably the same James Tosche, merchant, who in 1621 mortified along with his mother, a considerable sum of money to the use of the kirk session. (61)

Isobel Tosche was the daughter of Alexander Tosche and Marjory Mason and there is some reason to think that she might be the unnamed daughter born to an Alexander Tosche on 22 September 1608, (62) making her about seventeen when she
married Jamesone, about half his age. She certainly had a
brother James, born on 16 July 1614, and a sister
Elizabeth, both of whom were dead by 7 June 1627 when she
inherited the remaining half of a tenement in the Overkirk-
gate, the other half being already in her possession. This
is also the first occasion on which she is described as the
wife of George Jamesone, burgess of Aberdeen, who takes conjunct
possession of the property.

On the same day that Isobel inherited the house in the
Overkirkgate Jamesone acquired a fourth property which seems
to have lain at the junction of the Schoolhill and the street
running north from the west end of St Nicholas, that is, very
close to the Grammar School on the western edge of the town. As this house was acquired directly in a sense that the others
were not, two reasons may be surmised: Jamesone may either
by now have needed a further investment for accumulating capi-
tal or else he required the house for a special purpose.
When its openness to light on three sides is considered it
may be proposed that Jamesone bought this house as a work-
place. At any rate, in the earliest years of their marriage
George Jamesone and Isobel Tosche owned between them what
appear to have been four substantial properties.

In their rather less than twenty years of marriage
Jamesone and his wife were to have at least nine children,
five boys and four girls. After the first recorded birth,
that of William in July 1629, they appeared with fair regularity down to the year of Jamesone's death. William was followed by four other boys. None of these sons survived very early childhood, a fact which must have blighted the parents' lives, though a protective fatalism induced by the plain uncertainty of life must have offered some comfort. Latterly came three daughters, Elizabeth, Isobel and Mary who all survived their father, though of these three only Mary grew to adulthood. There was however another daughter, Marjory, who appears on her father's death with these three as inheritor of his properties. The first three were mere infants at the time but Marjory had been married some time before this to an advocate, John Alexander; they had a child themselves at the beginning of 1645. It is almost certain then, that Marjory was the first-born child, born somewhere in the region of 1628.

Less than four months after the birth of his first son, Jamesone had a brief preview of a man who was to leave, more than most, an indelible stamp on the coming years of civil strife. On 4 November 1629, James Graham, Earl of Montrose, still only seventeen years of age, was entered as a burgess of Aberdeen along with eight of his entourage. Among these was his personal servant John Lambie who later recorded in his accounts a payment 'for my Lord's portrait drawn in Aberdeen'. It is impossible even to speculate on how long the sitting
lasted, but Montrose seems to have been in Aberdeen only three days. It was not until December that the portrait (one of the few to bear Jamesone’s signature) was brought to Kinnaird Castle, where Montrose was now living with his wife Magdalen Carnegie, so the opportunity for working on it would have existed after the original sitting. As we assess the evidence now, Jamesone was at the height of his powers and the delicacy of his perception carried on to the boards with gentle precision the quiet depths of the smiling boy, with no hint of potential disasters.

Jamesone acquired rights in a fifth dwelling in May 1630, this time lying in the broad Castlegate on the other side of the town, somewhere near the Earl Marischal’s town-house. A more important event however must have been the birth of his son Paul in October of that year. The list of seven godfathers gives some indication of the circles Jamesone by now moved in. The principal godfather, whose name the child was given, was Paul Menzies of Kinmundy, provost of the town and in the middle of an extended period of office lasting from 1623 to 1634. Another of the godfathers was Alexander Jaffray one of the leading bailies of the town and a later provost. The remainder consisted of David Wedderburn, former rector of the Grammar School, Robert Petrie one of the town’s law agents who is later found playing some part in Jamesone’s legal affairs, and three rather less elevated citizens, one of whom,
Andrew Strachan, has been equated with the Professor of Divinity at King's College. (72) This is certainly wrong however and the likelihood is that he is the 'Andrea Straquhon pictore in Aberdein' who witnessed an instrument of sasine in favour of Jamesone in 1633. Patrick Jack was a 'litster', or dyer, and one of the more than respectable elder members of the kirk-session: his name (as is that of James Tosche) is prominent over the years at this time as a collector for the poor at the church door. Patrick Ferguson may have stood in a family relationship to Jamesone, as second husband of Jamesone's widowed sister-in-law, Agnes Drum (see above, p. 31). Jamesone himself had been godfather to one of their children two years earlier. (73)

Jamesone and Isobel Tosche had their lives shattered in the mid-winter of the following year. On 6 January 1631 'ane berne' was buried; exactly a fortnight later the following laconic entry was made in the Kirk & Bridge Works Accounts: 'ane vther berne of George Jamesoun: burit'. (74) Thus in a handful of days they lost their sons William and Paul, the latter having lived scarcely ten weeks. Some time after, Jamesone made a contribution of £70 for the maintenance of a minister in the kirk of Futtie, the little fishing community lying near the mouth of the Dee: (75) he also appeared as godfather at two baptisms in the month after his personal tragedy. (76) The events may or may not be connected:
certainly they symbolise the continuity of life in the face of a bleak fate.

These happenings do not quite close this period in his life, for at some point in 1632 his brother William died. On 23 January 1633 Jamesone, as executor to the late William Jamesone, writer in Edinburgh, handed over to the Professor of Mathematics, on the instructions of the Town Council, those mathematical instruments and books which William had left in legacy to the College. It is not known when William took himself to Edinburgh, but he was apparently still in Aberdeen at the beginning of 1621 when he lent £14 to Alexander Garioch, a flesher. He was a resident of Edinburgh sometime before the end of 1626 when, in a document concerning his rights to draw an annual rent of 300 merks from lands in Inveresk for a loan of 3,000 merks, he is described as 'servitor to Archbald Prymrois writter and clerk to his Majesties taxatiounes'. By the time this money was repaid to him in mid-1631 he was no longer Primrose's servitor and in a deed of July 1632 concerning other lands in Kirkliston in which he had had financial interests he is recorded as deceased. Between 1626 and 1628 he had been able to dispose in forms of investment sums amounting to 8,000 merks. Apparently unmarried, it is not unlikely that his modest wealth came to his brother George on his death.
(iv) **Edinburgh and Aberdeen**

After 1633 Jamesone seems to have moved in a rather wider orbit, or rather in two orbits, one now centred on Edinburgh and the older one which still centred on Aberdeen. There is no question of the association with Aberdeen being broken and certainly the personal part of his life still found its focus there. In January 1633 his son George was baptised in the parish church and in this instance the godfather whose name the baby was given was George Keith, the second son of the Earl Marischal, as the entry carefully records, again indicative of the unusual social status attained by a mere painter. The son however, in whom fresh hopes must have rested, survived for only two years.\(^{(82)}\)

It seems likely that Jamesone was in Edinburgh some time before the visit of Charles I for his Scottish coronation, which took place on 18 June 1633. There is even some indication that Jamesone had started a form of painting business which supplied needs other than portraiture: this is suggested in the Dean of Guild Revenue Accounts concerning the re-decoration of the 'kyngis loft' in the kirk of St Giles, not by Jamesone himself but by his man.\(^{(83)}\) As this work was almost certainly done prior to June and before Jamesone was entered a burgess of Edinburgh on 28 August,\(^{(84)}\) it may be that he was actually invited to Edinburgh by the Town Council to help them prepare for
the coming public celebrations. This is borne out by a payment on 23 August of sixty dollars (about £168) 'for his extraordiner paynes taiken be him in the Tounes affaires at his Maisties entrie within this burgh'.(85) Unfortunately, at no point in the Town Treasurer's Accounts are these 'paynes' specified which must fall somewhere within the total expenses 'towardis his Majesties entrie and reception within this citie in erecting of padgines propyne banqueit and uther thingis than incident', which amounted to rather more than £41,000.(86)

That painted decoration played a part in these events is indicated by one particular entry in the Accounts, a payment to four men for erecting 'peices of paynterie about the counsall and banquet houssis', a task which occupied them for six days.(87) Although the Council were probably aware of Charles I's predilections for the visual arts there were many precedents for this sort of thing. In 1561, on Queen Mary's entry into the town, she entered through an archway 'coloured with fine colours', to be met by a child stepping from an opening quatrefoil cloud, who handed her the keys of the town.(88) In 1579, when the young James VI made his first ceremonial entry into Edinburgh 'the forehowsis of the streits be the whilks [he] passit, war all hung with magnifik tapestrie, with payntit historeis, and with the effegeis of noble men and wemen'. On this occasion the cloud had become a globe. There was also some kind of erection at the salt-market cross, 'quharupon was erectit the genealogie of the Kings of Scotland'.(89)
It is therefore more than likely that there were similar scenes in the summer of 1633. Indeed the annalist Spalding points to something similar to the last mentioned feature; and William Drummond of Hawthornden, as part of his official duties, composed a speech which summarises the allegorical flavour of these happenings and also points to some form of official veneration of the antiquity of the kingship: 'This age seeth no prince greater, no man better. The verye thornes will by your presence haiv a birth of Roses. Evrye where Sir you are Welcome but most welcome heer to this old Toun, the seatt of your royall progenitours . . .'. If Jameson supplied imaginary portraits of the early and legendary kings, and this seems likely, he had probably himself moved far enough away from the decorative tradition to be able to echo Drummond's remark on his own share in the work: ' . . . so I haiving addressed my self to write . . . for pageantes and such divises, beheld my selfe some thing disfigured'.

Among those crowding into Edinburgh on this occasion were Paul Mengzies the provost of Aberdeen, who was knighted by the king, and Alexander Jaffray, the son of the bailie who had been godfather at the baptism of Jameson's son Paul. Jaffray later wrote a journal-cum-autobiography and records in it that he returned to Aberdeen in July for the birth of his own first son, and that shortly after he 'went again to London, in company with Robert Skene, Andrew Birnie and George Jamieson'.
been to London a year before this with Skene and Birnie, whom he describes as merchants. Knowing that his father would be unlikely to send him to London in the company of anyone who was not entirely respectable, it seems likely that this Robert Skene is the same person as the church deacon who is described in the session records as both a glasswright and a painter. Jaffray goes on: 'I staid some time longer, and . . . on my return, went off the road, and visited the University of Cambridge by the way.' There is perhaps some slight indication here that the visit of the other three to London was a short one. This is further borne out by Jamesone's appearance as a godfather at a baptism in Aberdeen on 21 September, the child in question being a daughter of the same Robert Skene. (94) This visit must therefore have taken place between 28 August, when he was installed as a burgess of Edinburgh, (95) and 21 September. No further details are known.

It has been stated, unwarrantably, (96) that during the period of Charles I's visit to Edinburgh, Jamesone formed a friendship with Sir Colin Campbell of Glenorchy and that in the latter part of the year they visited Italy together, after passing through London. Prior to the royal visit the Town Council of Edinburgh had written to Sir Colin requesting him to send them 'some Vennisone and Caperkealzie . . . quhen [they] ar better acquented with his Majesties dyett'. (97) Silver table-ware was also requested and in these circumstances it would not be surprising if
Sir Colin had visited Edinburgh. It would seem that he certainly intended to: on 30 May his agent in Edinburgh, Archibald Campbell, wrote to him: 'I have spokin the Lord Cancellar and Hadintoun quho thinkis it most necessar if it be possible that yow cum heir to sie the king your master'.(98) However, the earldom which was apparently expected did not materialise, and on 1 June Archibald Campbell wrote: '... I culd wisch yow to remane at home quhill new advertisement.'(99) The remainder of this voluminous correspondence from his agent, in which there are repeated references to the frailty of Sir Colin's health, does not remark on the subject again, but the indications are that he indeed stayed at home. This is given added weight by a letter which Sir Colin wrote to the Privy Council on 9 January 1635, referring to a charge to appear before them regarding disorders in his part of the highlands: 'May it please your lordis It is weill knawin That this Thrie yeir bygane I have not bene able to travell ane myle from myne owin house for ane paine I have in my leg quhilk makis me altogidder vnable to travell.'(100) If this is not conclusive proof that he did not visit Edinburgh, it makes a continental journey more than unlikely. It has already been noted that Jamesone appeared at baptisms in September, October and November of 1633. This excludes the possibility of any such foreign travel on his part in this particular year, and there is no proof that he ever made such a journey.

Jamesone was, however, patronised by Sir Colin to a quite
considerable extent, though the first evidence of this does not appear until 25 October 1634. In a letter written by Archibald Campbell to Glenorchy which shows that the latter was bent on some purpose of collecting works of art, and which, incidentally, in the sentence 'The church men reulis all for the present', summarises the coming way of affairs in the State, we learn that Jamesone had agreed to undertake the painting of unspecified pictures for Glenorchy. Three days later Archibald Campbell wrote again, this time enclosing Jamesone's note of his prices (twenty merks for the picture, thirty if framed); and he asks Glenorchy to confirm his intentions at once 'For les he [Jamesone] sweiris to me he can not teike', which suggests that Jamesone had more than enough work on hand. On 15 March 1635 Campbell writes to Glenorchy about the imminent completion of a commission and, as the painter has asked him, requests that three or four horses be sent to Edinburgh for carrying the pictures north. There is then no further mention of Jamesone (or any other painter) in this fairly continuous correspondence until 24 June 1636, and then only the unrevealing sentence: 'Pleis yow receive the painters answer'. This almost certainly refers to Jamesone, and if nothing else, indicates the continuation of the contact over almost two years.

Two other sources throw some further light on this subject: the entries in the so-called 'Black Book of Taymouth', which is
a mixture of genealogy, house-journal and account-book; and two letters written to Sir Colin by Jamesone himself, which, although dated with the day and month do not have the year indicated.

As the 'Black Book' shows, between 1632 and 1634, Glenorchy spent about £3,500 on tapestries and furnishings for his houses of Balloch and Finlarg. In 1633 he employed 'ane Germane painter, quhom he entertanit in his house sucht moneth' to paint posthumous portraits of kings and queens, as well as Sir Colin's own portrait and those of his predecessors. It is not absolutely clear how many pictures were involved but it was perhaps forty. The total payment was £1,000, which would mean an individual price of £25 each. (105)

Under the year 1635, Jamesone 'painter in Edinburgh' is paid a total of £440 for twenty-two pictures which indicates an individual price of £20 each, (106) which has already been noted to be Jamesone's price for a framed head and shoulders portrait. It is impossible to read any real meaning into these apparent variations in price, for the circumstances of the two painters were different and it is now impossible to tell what the size of some of the German painter's pictures were. What has survived of his work are the eight 'fancy' portraits of Sir Colin's ancestors (not including his father Sir Duncan Campbell) and it is the set of companion female portraits pendant from seven of these which is the most interesting remaining part of Jamesone's activities on this occasion.
It is into this series of records then, that Jamesone's own two letters to Sir Colin Campbell, which are dated only with day and month, must be fitted. They are also of course the only two documents which give any real degree of psychological information on Jamesone.(107)

Both letters were certainly written after some work had already been done. That dated 13 October acknowledges receipt of 100 merks for work completed and refers to pictures still to be carried out, clearly portraits of contemporaries, which cannot be started until January, 'except that I have the occasione to meit with the partties in the North, quhair I mynd to stay for two moneths'.

The letter dated 23 June acknowledges receipt of an order for sixteen pictures. Jamesone then explains in some detail his price structure for waist-length portraits and this might imply that he had not painted anything of this nature for Glenorchy before. But he does say that his price will be 'bot the ordinarie' and continues: 'Thus I deal with all alyk: bot I am moir bound to have ane gryte cair of your worships service, becaus of my gouid payment for my laist imployraent'. Jamesone concludes by seeming to refer to portraits of contemporaries, and also seems to suggest that Glenorchy should write to them asking them to sit: some, however, he has painted already and he will duplicate these, giving him the first version. The sixteen pictures he undertakes to complete in the three months
July to September.

In the light of the other Glenorchy records and the pictures remaining from these years, it is here suggested that the letter of 13 October should be dated 1635. The payment acknowledged must in fact be part payment for the largely 'fancy' portraits which are recorded in the 'Black Book' in 1635. Other than the portraits of Charles I and his Queen (and these were almost certainly also 'fancy' portraits) and Sir Colin and Lady Campbell's own portraits, there are no references to portraits of living sitters in the 'Black Book'; but in an inventory of 1640 there is recorded a total of thirty-four pictures of lords and ladies of Glenorchy, 'and uther noblemen'. (108) This total cannot be explained by portraits of ancestors and must be made up by a series of portraits, clearly by Jamesone, which remained in this particular collection until relatively recently, and bear the dates 1636 and 1637. Not all these dates could be accepted as contemporary inscriptions but the doubtful ones are almost certainly early copies of the original dates. These include portraits of the Earl Marischal (1636), with whose family Jamesone has been seen to have had some intimacy, the Marchioness of Hamilton (1636), the Earl of Airth (1637), Lord Napier (1637) and the Earl of Loudon (1637). These then, would be among the portraits to be started in January, of 1636.

The dating of the remaining letter need be less tentative. It has already been noted that in a letter of 24 June 1636
Archibald Campbell had written to Glenorchy: 'Pleis yow receave the painters answer'. This obviously refers to an enclosure and Jamesone's letter of 23 June thus has a very good claim to being that enclosure. This letter has also a theme in common with the previous letter - portraits of contemporaries - which makes it likely that the 'laist imployment' referred to is the group of portraits of contemporaries dated 1636. It is conceivable however, especially in the light of his repetition of prices for standard waist length portraits, that this refers to the large genealogical painting, the Glenorchy family-tree, which bears the date 1635 and for which there is no record of payment (indeed it is not recorded until 1640).(109)

Sir Colin Campbell, after these extraordinary years of artistic patronage, and family codification, died in 1640. On 29 August Archibald Campbell wrote to him: 'I receavit your letter frome this bearer bot finding it subscryvit be ane wther hand nor your awine god knowes it was no small greiff to me'.(110) He died eight days later.

Latterly he seems to have been involved unwillingly in the growing religious strife of the time, mainly through the intermediary of his brother, Robert Campbell of Glenfalloch. On 10 June 1639 the Earl of Argyll had written to Glenfalloch - 'Becaus it is the best way for peace to be readie for Wars' - requesting men, boats and 'wapins . . . for defenc of ther religiones lyves'.(111) He asked that all of Glenorchy's men
should be informed. And on 22 June Archibald Campbell had written to Glenorchy saying he had been forced to send a certain young man to him so that he could be conducted to Argyll. It typifies in a small way, both the times and Glenorchy's standing as a patron of the arts of civilisation, that Archibald Campbell remarks that he has ordered the boy to pretend 'That he goes to yow for ane painter and let him be thought so whill he is with yow the trueith is that he is sent to the Earle for ane expert gunner'.(112)

The general impression of Jamesone's movements in these years is that he spent a good deal of time in Edinburgh or in the south of the country, especially the summer months. He did not own property in Edinburgh but was, by May 1635, tenant of what is described then as 'the second hous within the former [east] turnpike' in a fore-tenement which lay on the north side of the High Street near the Netherbow Gate.(113) One of Jamesone's co-tenants in the land was 'Clemens Touris glasen-richt' - a trade which had tenuous connections with that of painting. Jamesone was still a tenant in June 1642 when the property was disposed of by a Robert Mason who was a carver (also a trade with painterly connotations) and son of Jamesone's original landlord.(114) Besides Touris, another co-tenant at this period was an armourer, Thomas White. Strictly speaking, at this time Jamesone's ultimate landlord was, and continued to be, Mason's mother who had life-rent rights in the 'lodgeing'
which he occupied. When the property was disposed of again, in 1650, this lodging was still described as pertaining to Mason's mother and, 'sumtyme possest be umquhill George Jamiesone painter.'

In the trades of the tenants sharing the house with Jamesone there is perhaps some indication of a reason, other than pure chance, why he should be living in this particular place. It is also interesting to note that one of the earlier owners of the property had been Archibald Primrose, the writer by whom Jamesone's brother William had once been employed. It is therefore just feasible that his tenancy of the house dated back to the period of this association.

It was also presumably in this lodging that Jamesone took as apprentice in April 1636, Michael Wright, son of James Wright tailor and citizen of London; the indenture was made out for five years. Although there is no justification for arguing that Wright came north to learn painting because of Jamesone's reputation, the possibility should not be entirely ruled out. Some contact could have been made on Jamesone's brief visit to London; many other reasons could have brought Wright north, but, as now, it was not the usual direction to travel. Wright may have been born in 1617 which would make him eighteen or nineteen when his apprenticeship began. There are no further records of him in Scotland; indeed he is not recorded again until he reached Rome in 1648.
The year immediately after the advent of Michael Wright was probably the high-water mark of Jamesone's achievement. In 1637 he painted three of the Carnegie brothers, David 1st Earl of Southesk, John 1st Earl of Northesk, and Sir Alexander Carnegie of Balnamoon. The first two of these are among the very few pictures bearing what can reasonably be claimed to be Jamesone's signature. Southesk had been knighted in 1603 for accompanying James VI's Queen, Anne of Denmark, and her children to London on the removal of the court; and he had been raised to the earldom by Charles I in Edinburgh in 1633. Jamesone, as has already been noticed, had established a connection with this family in 1629 by painting Montrose just prior to his marriage to Magdalen Carnegie, daughter of Southesk (then Lord Kinnaird), as well as the youngest of the four brothers, Sir Robert Carnegie of Dunnichen.

A more tenuous connection with this family may have played some part in the painting of two other signed portraits in this year, those of Sir George Stirling of Keir and his second wife Margaret Napier, whom he married in 1637. Her mother, who had married the 1st Lord Napier, was Margaret, sister of the Marquis of Montrose. It might therefore be surmised that Stirling of Keir and his new wife had their portraits painted by Jamesone on the occasion of their marriage at the suggestion of Margaret's uncle who had had his painted on a similar occasion. These portraits were probably done in the south of the country in the
same year as that of Sir William Nisbet of Dean, who had earlier been Lord Provost of Edinburgh. This to some extent illustrates a different social level of patronage, as indeed does the recorded portrait of Sir Thomas Hope of 1638. The sittings for the latter portrait, which cannot certainly be equated with any existing portrait, were on two occasions, on 20 and 27 July 1638, as Hope records in his diary. Strangely, the artist is called 'William' Jamesone, but this must be an absent-minded confusion on Hope's part with Jamesone's brother William, of whom Hope as Lord Advocate must have known something in the narrow legal circles of Edinburgh. (120)

In these years as his activities increased, Jamesone's status as a man of substance also grew. His acquisition of property, or rather his investment in property, now extended outside Aberdeen itself. The first of two such properties was that called Fechil, in which he acquired the wadset rights on 29 October 1633. (121) Fechil lies some eleven miles north of Aberdeen in the south-east corner of the parish of Ellon, near the banks of the River Ythan. Jamesone acquired the estate (along with the 'priwiledge of ferieing wpoun the watter of Ythane') from John Gordon of Buckie for 14,000 merks: it was however to be redeemable after three years. And, quite in keeping with the complicated nature of land tenure of the time, the transfer was only allowed to take place 'cum consensu Magistri Robert Gordoune de Straloche' (Robert Gordon the
he was the superior of these lands, which at the time were farmed by four tenants. Part of the
estate (presumably about a quarter) was called Craighall, and Jamesone gave this in life-rent to his wife. (122) Jamesone
appeared personally, and among the witnesses of the actual transfer were Robert Petrie, Aberdeen's agent in Edinburgh,
and Andrew Strachan, painter in Aberdeen. These two in a sense typify both Jamesone's widened horizons and his continuing
attachment to the circle of decorative painters of his native town.

This estate still pertained to Jamesone in 1638, when Robert Gordon 'for fatherlie love and kyndnes' assigned his
rights to his second son, John Gordon: and indeed Jamesone went on drawing income from it until its eventual redemption by
Gordon in 1640. (123)

From two small facts which seem to bear no other explanation, it appears likely that Jamesone and his family used as
their dwelling-house in these years that house on the north side of the Schoolhill which he had inherited from his father
in 1607. These are, firstly, his acquisition on 29 May 1635, of the feu-duty which burdened the property, and secondly,
his acquisition of the close that ran between his foreland and the inland behind. (124)

Of much greater and more picturesque interest is the so-called 'Playfield', for possession of which Jamesone successfully
petitioned the Town Council on 13 May 1635. This lay beyond the western edge of the town, beside the Denn Burn. Gordon of Rothiemay's translator describes it thus: 'Next to the well of Spaa, hard by it, ther is a four squair feild, which of old served for a theater, since made a gardyne for pleasur by the industrie and expense of George Jameson, ane ingenious paynter quho did sett up therin ane timber hous paynted all over with his owne hand'. For a nominal sum Jamesone was granted 'tolerance to mak sic building, policie, and planting within and about the said plott of ground ... both to withstand the violence of the watter fra doinge forder harme ... and to the effect the same may redound to the publict wse and benefitt of the toune'. It is this garden which is among those notable features of Aberdeen singled out by Arthur Johnston in his poem in *Encomia Urbium* of 1642. Jamesone agreed that the garden should revert to the town's use on his death but in fact, at the beginning of 1645 his son-in-law John Alexander, advocate in Edinburgh (and husband of Marjory), claimed that the ground 'buildit ... in a garden, is now vnaprofitable' and was granted the land in a yearly feu. It is difficult to suppress the feeling here, that one generation is supplanting another by turning its back on the earlier's achievements. Whatever the subsequent fate of the place, in which, the evidence suggests, Jamesone may even have done some
decorative painting himself, it is still clearly depicted in Gordon's map, its reputation perhaps outliving its real existence.
(v) Final Years

As will be seen, during the last five years of Jamesone's life there was a diminution in the quality and probably in the quantity of his work, but not in his material wealth. These however were years in which the very act of living became a hazard and not more so than to a citizen of Aberdeen. So far as the facts of this period in his life are known, it can be lightly traced in political, material and personal terms.

When Charles I was crowned King of Scots in Edinburgh on 18 June 1633 there was some uneasiness among onlookers at the splendour of the event and at the bowing of certain bishops before a crucifix. While he listened to the sermon given by the Bishop of Brechin, the king sat on a 'chair of crimson velvet embroidered with gold . . . sett betwixt the stage and communion table with footstool and cusheons conforme befor which was a little table covered with crimson velvet fringed and laced with gold on which was laid a rich covered Bible'. The king's love of splendour, both for his Crown and for the Church, allied to his constitutional innovations in the coming years, provoked an intense hostility from the nobility and the ministers which led, in the long run, to the destruction of the monarchy.

The disaffection of the nobles had many other causes: firstly perhaps, a sense of frustration and impotence at what
seemed a growing distance from the Court and their lack of understanding with the king, an English king: secondly a fear for the stability of their material wealth, stemming from Charles's revocation of all crown properties and his indrawing of all temporal lordships erected on former ecclesiastical properties: and thirdly, they saw their positions being usurped by prelates. Edinburgh became a bishopric in 1633, the see for a time being filled by William Forbes of Aberdeen, one of the most ecclesiastically reactionary of the clergy. In 1635 John Spottiswoode, Archbishop of St Andrews was made Chancellor - 'This wes thocht strange, and markit be many to sie ane bishoch maid chancelair and his sone president [of the College of Justice] both at ane tyme, quhilk bred gryt truble . . .' (130). Bishops also began to play a far more obvious role in the proceedings of the Council.

Resentment was caused at another level by the king's interference in the election of the Provost of Aberdeen in the years 1634 to 1636. In the latter part of 1634 Patrick Leslie had broken the traditional hold of the Mengzies family on this post. Leslie's dealings however, at the previous Parliament, were considered seditious, and the king wrote bluntly to this effect: '... we wish yow to mak choice of Sir Paull Mengzes, who wes formerlie in that chairge'. (131) Under threat of losing privileges the Town Council quickly reversed their decision and conformed to the king's wishes. There was
however a danger that Leslie would be re-elected the following year and the Bishop of Aberdeen, as a member of the Privy Council, intervened, and against a majority of the Council, forced a postponement of Aberdeen's elections. When the elections did take place a fortnight later there were grotesque scenes in the Town House as the Mengzies faction tried physically to prevent Leslie from voting. Ten of the retiring council eventually withdrew in protest, while the remaining nine proceeded to elect a new council with Robert Johnston as Provost. (132) This council was however overthrown in January 1636 by a decree of the Privy Council and Alexander Jaffray was forced on the Town as Provost, while the previous council was re-imposed, but without Patrick Leslie. (133) In this way the forces of conservatism were bolstered in the north, and Aberdeen, to its cost, was to remain staunchly royalist.

At an ecclesiastical level the king's innovations focussed round the new Prayer Book which was introduced on 23 July 1637, and this in turn became a focus for the country's discontents. The nobility, led by the Earl of Rothes, to serve their own ends, seem deliberately to have confused the move to greater ceremonial and more elaborate dress with the deep-rooted fear of a resurgence of Catholicism. (134) Violence was fostered, and not only in the centre: in November in Brechin, the Bishop, who had previously used the English service without opposition, was forced to flee. The contemporary annalist Spalding adds
ominously: 'So sone spred the distructioun of thir bookis and bischopis also, as ye may reid, . . . .'(135) The king's arbitrary refusal to withdraw the Prayer Book led directly to the production of the National Covenant, which was first subscribed in Greyfriars' Church in Edinburgh on 26 February 1638. From the beginning subscription of the Covenant had an element of compulsion about it which contrasted with its opposition to the royal prerogative and its belief in the rule of Parliament.

The Covenant had been presented to Aberdeen on 16 March 1638(136) for signing, but this was refused. The town refused again, as a body, on 20 July when Montrose and three ministers put in an appearance; though on this occasion some did sign, including Patrick Leslie the deposed Provost.(137) On 15 August the council received letters of thanks from the king and Hamilton, the latter asking them 'to hinder the subscript-ioune thatirof by anie within your toune'.(138) In September however, the king did revoke the Prayer Book and permitted a general assembly to be called; but the movement seemed to have gathered a spontaneous momentum and, inspired by the fanatical Johnstone of Warriston, this assembly in Glasgow which the nobility had carefully packed, ended by abolishing episcopacy, something far beyond the original aims of the movement.

Armed conflict thus grew more likely and Aberdeen as traditional supporters of episcopacy took precautions. Captains
were chosen for each quarter of the town, ditches were ordered to be dug 'in consideration of the intelligence gewin to the towne that thair is ane great armie coming hither from the south for persute and invasion ...'. (139) Arms were bought from the Marquess of Huntly for over £3,000, and arrangements were made to hide the town records. Meanwhile the acts of the assembly were being published throughout the parish kirks of Scotland 'except brave Abirdein wold onnayes heir nor suffer the saidis actis to be publishit within thair kirkis whill thay war compellit ...'. (140)

The covenanting forces gathered in Montrose in early March with the express purpose of moving on Aberdeen to enforce the Covenant and to proclaim the acts of the Glasgow assembly. At this point Huntly, whose authority in the north now went unregarded, and the Council of Aberdeen, decided to send two commissioners each to discover the aims of this force. One of Huntly's commissioners was Robert Gordon of Straloch. Aberdeen sent William Johnstone, Professor of Mathematics in the College, and George Morison, bailie and one of the town's Captains. They also sent George Jamesone to 'assist thame in the said commissioun'. (141) Besides making an offer of the Cathedral in Old Aberdeen, or the parish church, for proclama-
tion of the acts, on the condition that the covenanters' forces remained as far from the town as Huntly's, the commissioners were also to seek help from the Earl Marischal who had arrived
at Dunottar in February. It would seem more than likely that Jamesone was used in this latter instance because of his degree of familiarity with Marischal's family. Nothing is recorded of the outcome of this part of the embassy, but in fact Marischal had declared himself a covenanter in the middle of February. The outcome of the other part of the embassy was negative. Huntly disbanded his forces and the town, in despair, undid their precautions declaring the town to be undefendable. On 30 March, Montrose, the Earl Marischal, Kinghorn, General Leslie and others, with an army of 6,000 men, entered the town; then passed south to Inverurie leaving Kinghorn and 1,800 men in occupation. The town was disarmed, their precious twelve cannons ordered to be delivered to the Earl Marischal's house in the Castlegate. On 9 April the Provost, Alexander Jaffray, told the townspeople that a tax of 100,000 merks (£66,000) was to be imposed; this was so far beyond their means that in desperation they asked for a month in which to entirely abandon the town. On the following day came the final humiliation, recorded laconically in the Council Register: 'The quhilk day, efter seromone . . . the toune for the most pairt subscryvit the nobilities covenant'. (142)

During the remainder of the year Aberdeen was caught in the cross-fire of the opposing factions and suffered real privation. The town now became a target for the northern 'anti-covenanting' lairds. By June five armies consisting
together of about 13,000 men had spent a total of some thirty days in the town; the twelve cannon (six of them belonging to the king) had been taken for the defence of the town of Montrose and a large number of citizens had fled, thus increasing the burden of the exactions imposed on the remainder which they had to pay in order to avoid being plundered. By June Aberdeen was actually actively supporting the king again, under the leadership of Huntly's son Viscount Aboyne, but on 19 June Montrose met Aboyne at Brig of Dee and the latter's army 'both horse and futt, left the feildis and fled'. Thereafter the town paid another 7,000 merks to escape pillage, though by this time a partial peace had been concluded in the south. When, in August, the town fruitlessly petitioned the king on the subject of the losses they had sustained in this first phase of the troubles, they put the figure at some £12,000 sterling.

Despite the pacification, humiliations and exactions were still to be endured in 1640 - this despite the fact that Patrick Leslie was now Provost and a covenanting faction in office. A general subsidy was imposed on the entire country to pay for the troubles, but the contributions already made by Aberdeen were not taken into account. Leslie gave up to Marischal a bond of allegiance to the king which the citizens had signed, and Marischal destroyed it. A company of soldiers, in the event raised with difficulty, was demanded by Marischal and his new confederate General Robert Munro who arrived at
at Dunottar with an army in May. Slowly throughout the year the citizens signed the covenant and general bond. At the end of May Munro and Marischal presented the so-called 'Articles of Bonaccord' (146) which, among other things, demanded the names of those who had still not signed the Covenant; at the same time Munro's soldiers harried the lairds in the vicinity.

Individual acts of violence increased. On 10 June Marischal and Munro gathered together in the Tolbooth a group of five lairds and nine burgesses and accused them of 'being contrarie myndit to the good causs'. (147) Among the burgesses were George Jamesone and George Morison, who, whatever their personal positions, through their embassy of 1639 were identified with the anti-covenanters. Their explanations were not accepted and they were the following day sent in custody to Edinburgh where they were imprisoned in the Tolbooth there, latterly to be brought before the Tables. It is to the credit of Provost Leslie that intervention on their behalf was made with the Town Council of Edinburgh, (148) but with no effect.

A council letter to the Aberdeen commissioner at Parliament, the late Provost Alexander Jaffray, states that they had all in fact signed the Covenant in April 1639 (149) which, if true, makes their treatment even more arbitrary. No immediate decision was reached as to their fate. In August, Jaffray, who was this time in Edinburgh as the burgh's commissioner
to the Committee of Estates, was instructed to 'deall with the committee of estait as effectualie as possiblelie ye can in favor of our nightbors that ar lying in ward within the tolbuith of Edinburgh'. (150) A similar charge was sent to William Moir at the end of October. It is unlikely that the imprisonment was particularly rigorous for on more than one occasion remonstrances were sent to commissioners or to meetings of the Estates which do not refer to the prisoners - the town was still more concerned with the continued presence of troops, now considered to be unnecessary. This is borne out by a remark of Leslie's on 22 November in a letter to Aberdeen: 'Al our nightbors in ward ar well and thay stay in on serimonies'. (151) The matter seems to have reached a conclusion early in December, with fines of £1,000 being imposed on some: George Morison was conditionally released and Jamesone was released 'til a new sitation on aught dayes'. Leslie regretted that he had been unable to do more but promised the same day 'to mak a new onset for them'. (152) He wrote again before the end of December but does not mention the matter, and there it seems to have ended. (153)

Jamesone however appears once more in this context. A Privy Council paper of 1641 (154) includes his name in a roll of delinquents which comprises 222 names, a list which shows the extraordinary scope of the revolution. Besides national figures like Traquair, Airth and Huntly, and the names of
seven 'pretended' bishops, the roll includes seventeen individuals described as burgesses of Aberdeen, the only major burgh mentioned. It is also interesting to note that Jamesone's designation by trade, 'paynter', is the only such specification of trade in the entire document which must be indicative to some extent of his contemporary fame.

Whatever terrors these events held, and worse was to come, Jamesone was certainly back in Aberdeen by 13 March 1641.(155) In September and October of this year he and his wife suffered an almost identical tragedy to that undergone ten years before: the death, this time within three weeks of each other, of two sons, the last two, Alexander and Andrew, aged five and six. (156) This was offset in a small way by the birth of a daughter, Isobel, three days later. She joined another baby-sister, Elizabeth, born at the beginning of 1639, to whom George Morison, Jamesone's comrade in the troubles, had been a godfather. Very close to his own death in 1644, another daughter, Mary, was born: all three, as well as the already married Marjory, survived Jamesone, unlike the ill-fated sons.

Besides a failing in his own powers in these final years, the conflicts and disturbances must have militated against a large output of paintings. The pattern of frequent visits to Edinburgh, especially in the summer, probably continued — certainly he still possessed the room in the tenement
near the Netherbow Gate in the middle of 1642. In 1641 he had painted the new Glenorchy laird, Sir Robert Campbell, and the following year his son, Sir John. Few other paintings can be ascribed with certainty to these last years but in 1644 he certainly painted Anne, wife of the 3rd Earl of Lothian, a family firmly on the covenanting side. An unidentified male portrait at Yester which, even more than that of Anne Countess of Lothian, shows a complete falling away of his powers, was also painted in this final year.

Money however still remained to be invested and there are no records of Jamesone selling properties in these years. In the autumn of 1641 he had acquired a foreland in the Guestraw from James Tosche his wife's uncle, who remained as a tenant, presumably a simple device to relieve Tosche of financial distress. (157) And two years later came perhaps the largest of all such investments, his acquisition under reversion of the estate of Mains of Esslemont and Bourhills, which like Fechil, lay near the River Ythan in the parish of Ellon. (158) The sum of money involved was considerable, 17,000 merks, and the contract was to last for five years. The other partner to this contract was Gilbert, the twelve year old Earl of Errol and Lord High Constable of Scotland, whose family fortunes were under stress at this time.

Jamesone himself was present to take sasine of the 'manor-place, fortalice, gardens and orchards', as well as the half-
lands of Bourhills.

In the years 1641 to 1644 Aberdeen was able to return to a more settled state and conduct of its everyday affairs: the shelters built by Munro for his soldiers in the market place were taken down, the town's College repaired. The conflict however was in fact moving on to a new phase of civil war as a split gradually appeared in the covenanting party. The aim had also appeared to presbyterianise England, culminating in the Solemn League and Covenant of September 1643, which was followed by a military agreement to provide Scottish forces to aid the English Parliament in its struggle with the king. These moves were again so far beyond the original aims of the covenant that by the beginning of 1644 a civil war had virtually begun. Montrose, now many years in experience away from the smiling boy of Jamesone's portrait of 1629, found it impossible to reconcile these new moves with the original meaning of the covenant he had supported and switched allegiance to the other side; on 1 February 1644 the king appointed him lieutenant-general of Scotland.

Aberdeen was now collectively on the covenanting side but was to suffer once again for its stance. On the early morning of 19 March, Gordon of Haddo, Irving of Drum and his brother Robert, three of the northern lairds who had been enrolled as delinquents along with Jamesone, made with their followers a surprise raid on the town, wrecked the houses of Leslie,
who was again Provost, and Alexander Jaffray, and took them off as prisoners. (160) On 25 March, George Morison, again in his role as the town's ambassador, and two others, went south to Inverurie to find out the intentions of Huntly - the latter however, entered the town on the same day with 6,000 soldiers. (161) The worst trouble however came in September.

Although royalist strategy was collapsing, Montrose temporarily reversed this position. After a victory at Tippermuir, he headed north with a mainly Irish force, and on 13 September appeared before Aberdeen.

Montrose, after sending an unheeded warning to the citizens, (162) faced the garrison of 3,000 just outside the town to the south-east, at the Justice Mills. 'It is to be remembrit, but nevir without regrait, the great and heavie prejudice and lose quhilk this burghe did sustaine by the cruell and bloodie feicht ...' (163) - so the writer of the Council Register describes the outcome. The opposing forces fell fighting within the town itself, and in victory Montrose's troops sacked the city - 'the enemie entring the toune immediatlie, did kill all, old and young, whome they fand on streittes ... enterit in verie many houssis and plunderit thame, killing sic men as they fand within'. (164)

Whether Jamesone was in the town when these events took place is not known. It is most likely that he was in Aberdeen in the middle of July when his last child was born, less
than a month before the violence. His death must in any case have taken place about this time, and prior to 11 December 1644 on which date three of his four daughters, Marjory and the two youngest, were served heirs to the late George Jamesone in the lands of Esslemont and Bourhills. (165)

Although unrecorded Jamesone's death did not go unnoticed. Clearly a unique townsman, even a rather surprising countryman, had died. David Wedderburn, the aged retired rector of the Grammar School, brought out a broadsheet poem on his death, printed before the end of the year by Aberdeen's sole printer, Edward Raban. (166) No matter how inflated these stylised verses are, something had been felt to be far enough from the ordinary to merit the poet's highest comparison, both in terms of myth and Scottish cultural history:

Gentis Apollo suae fuit ut Buchananus, Apelles
Solus eras Patriae sic, Jamesone, tuae.
Rara avis in nostris oris: ...

And now this phenomenon was dead. In the words spoken at the grave there is nothing to suggest that Jamesone had died anywhere other than in his native town:

Conditur hic tumulo Jamesonus Pictor, & una
Cum Domino jacet hic Ars quoque tecta suo.
Hujus ni renovent cineres Phoenicis Apellem;
Inque urna hac coeant Ortus & Interitus.
For some reason Jamesone's daughter Elizabeth was not served as an heir to his property holdings outside Aberdeen; these went to the three other daughters. On 6 January 1645 however, she took her adult sister Marjory's place as inheritor with Isobel and Mary of three houses in the burgh, including the two family houses in the Schoolhill. (167) On the following day, with what almost seems indecent haste, John Alexander, advocate and husband of Marjory, petitioned the Town Council for a heritable feu of Jamesone's garden in the Playfield, and this was granted to him a week later. (168) There is no hint this time of the garden passing into public use as Jamesone had intended.

Of the three houses inherited by Elizabeth, Mary and Isobel in January of 1645, that on the north side of the Schoolhill had been held conjointly by George Jamesone and his wife, yet on this occasion there is no mention of her rights in the property. This does not however indicate that she too was dead by this time and indeed she probably continued to live in this house with her three young daughters. Isobel Tosche married again, on 12 June 1649, Robert Cruikshank a merchant and one of the town's bailies. (169) She became a widow for a second time sometime prior to 16 April 1667 when she entered a financial contract with a George Cruikshank, probably a
relative of her late husband. (170) Her cautioner in this contract was her widowed daughter Marjory. At what must have been a considerable age she was buried in Aberdeen on 12 October 1680, (171) having outlived George Jamesone by some thirty-six years.

In September 1653 Marjory and Mary were confirmed in their possession of the tenement in the Guestraw, but only in order to sell it. (172) This was a property in which Elizabeth had had a share: she however had died a good deal before this, at some time prior to 12 September 1645 when a payment of £3 was made for the burial of 'ane chyld of Geo. Jamesons' in St Nicholas kirk. (173)

On 17 July 1655 Marjory and Mary and John Alexander, Marjory's husband, disposed of the house on the south side of the Schoolhill in which Jamesone had probably been born. (174)

On 16 February of the following year these two sisters were secured in their rights in Esslemont. In the meantime the Earl of Erroll must have redeemed part of these estates (wadset in 1643 for five years), for it is now only a third part of the estate which is concerned. Isobel, the other remaining sister had probably died not very long before this date, since Marjory and Mary are described in the instrument as 'tua douchters aires portioners of the deceist George Jamesone painter burges of Edinburgh, and are the onlie tuo lawfull sisters and aires portioners of the deceist Issobel Jamesone
their third sister'. (175)

Mary, on 12 April 1664, when she was almost twenty, married her first husband, John Burnet of Elrick; he died on 9 December 1666. She subsequently married George Aedie on 28 October 1677. This latter marriage produced at least four children, but three of these were dead by February 1681. Another died in 1687. Mary herself was buried on 7 July 1684. (176) Her name has been traditionally associated with the production of four large embroideries which now hang in the western vestibule of St Nicholas, but there is only a mere hint of evidence to substantiate this. (177)

John Alexander, Marjory's husband, had been a godfather at the baptism of her sister Isobel on 8 October 1641. If it is accepted that Marjory was born about 1628, then it is most unlikely that they were married by the former date. What was very likely their own first child, a daughter significantly called Isobel, was baptised on 9 January 1645, (178) at which time Alexander has been seen to be active in Aberdeen in the redistribution of George Jamesone's property. Among the godfathers at this particular baptism was the ubiquitous James Tosche. Alexander was the son of Robert Alexander, a merchant burgess of Edinburgh, and was admitted to the Faculty of Advocates on 22 December 1642. (179) Thereafter he acted as one of the Aberdeen Town Council's agents in Edinburgh, and latterly, in 1660 he was, for a short space, town-clerk of
Aberdeen.

Alexander was dead by 24 June 1661\(^{(180)}\) when Marjory 'relict of the deceist Mr Johne Alexander advocate' borrowed £334 from, interestingly, a painter, Robert Porteus the Snowdon-herald. She received some support in her latter days from her brother-in-law Andrew and her two sons by Alexander, John and George. She appears as a party to many deeds, with an almost yearly frequency down to 1678, and finally twice in June 1683, when she borrowed sums of 500 and 100 merks from the clerk to the session in Aberdeen.\(^{(181)}\) These two deeds, however, were not registered until October 1689, which suggests that she had recently died and that an effort was then contemplated to get repayment from Marjory's heirs. Thus, the first-born of George Jamesone's children had outlived the entire family.
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The obscure and fragmentary nature of the history of easel-painting in the later decades of the 16th century in Scotland has been discussed in Chapter I. Easel-paintings, whether produced in the country itself, or brought in from England or abroad are isolated phenomena, or so it now seems. There is no hint of anything that could be called a developing tradition, either native or otherwise, and no coherent picture can be traced. From the fragmented appearance of the period, however, two personalities do begin to assume a certain form, one almost exclusively on the strength of documents, but the other supported by real pictorial evidence: Adrian Vanson and Arnold Bronckorst. Vanson can perhaps be seen as typifying a deliberate encouragement of painting by the Council of Edinburgh. On 30 December 1585 he was freely admitted as a burgess 'for guid and thanful service to be done to the guid towne', and also under the express condition that 'he tak and Instruct prenteisses'. (1) Vanson also appears to have received royal patronage, for there is a record of him being paid for a miniature of the king which was fixed to a gold chain made by the royal jeweller George Heriot. (2) In view of this
connection it just conceivable that he is the 'Abrahaame Vansoun flemyng goldsmyth' who, having been naturalised, was made a burgess of Edinburgh in February of 1587 (3) - though the difference in name cannot be overlooked. There was certainly at this time elsewhere, though it does not seem to have been the case in Scotland, a good deal in common between the crafts of the goldsmith and the painter, Hilliard in England being an outstanding example.

There are very few clues to the nature of the painting that Vanson practised. He had been in the country some years before his burgess-ship, as an entry in the Accounts of the Treasurer under June 1581 shows. (4) On that occasion he was paid £8. 10. 0. for two pictures which were sent to Theodore Beza at Geneva. In the year previous to this payment Beza had brought out his Icones (5) which contained woodcut portraits of some of the heroes of the Reformation. As a frontispiece he had a rather stylised half-length profile of the young James VI (Plate 42) in armour which bears a fair degree of resemblance to later portraits of him. (6) The book also contained a portrait of John Knox (Plate 43). (7) Although the payment to Vanson was made in the year after the production of this book it was made 'conforme to ane precept', the date of which is not known but which must have been earlier, perhaps considerably earlier. It therefore seems quite feasible that Vanson's two pictures were of the king and Knox and that they
were sent to Beza for reproduction in his still projected work; the small sum involved implies that the paintings themselves were small.

One remaining piece of evidence enables the payment to Vanson to be interpreted slightly differently: this is a letter dated 13 November 1579 written by Peter Young, one of the king's tutors, to Beza. It refers generally to Beza's request for portraits of reformers and specifically to a portrait of Knox which has already been requested from a certain artist. He ends the letter: 'Quum hasce obsignarem commodum advenit pictor qui mihi una pyxide Buchananum et Cnoxum simul expressos attulit' - 'Just as I am signing this letter, an artist has opportunely come in, and brought in one box the likenesses of Knox and Buchanan.'(8) These then (and they also seem to be little pictures) may be the ones to which the payment to Vanson refers. However, as the court must have been interested for political reasons in Beza's theme(9) (the king's interest is referred to in the letter) it is quite conceivable that a portrait of the king had already been sent. This official interest would clearly explain why the payment to Vanson was made from the Treasury.

If the woodcuts say only a little about the nature of Vanson's art they are at least a glimmer of the type of activities in which he was involved. In the case of Bronckorst, there is a signed and dated work as well as others having
documentary and stylistic claims to being his work. He is firstly clearly identified in a Scottish context just prior to 1580 when he is recorded as having been sent to Scotland by Nicholas Hilliard and another painter, Cornelius Devosse, as their agent, in order to search for gold. Although apparently unsuccessful he was prevented by the Regent, the Earl of Morton, from exploiting his discoveries, and as a result 'was forced to become one of his Majesties sworne servants at ordinary in Scotland, to draw all the small and great pictures for his Majesty'. This office was granted to him on 19 September 1581 'for all the dayis of his Lyvetime' and carried a yearly pension of £100. He was however employed by the king a year before this. On 9 September 1580 he had requested payment of £64 for three pictures 'delyverit laitlie to his Hienes': these consisted of one of the king 'fra the belt upward', 'ane other' portrait of George Buchanan (which may mean a copy or that he had previously painted one), and a full-length of the king. The prices were, respectively, £16, £8 and £40. The Treasurer was ordered to pay this debt as well as the pension 'quhilk we have grantit him as ane gratitude for his repairing to this countrey', which is rather like an echo, at a royal level, of the Council of Edinburgh's attitude towards Vanson.

Pictures painted by Bronckorst would however have remained unsupported suppositions but for the quite recent
discovery of a signed and dated example. This is the portrait called Baron St John of Bletso (Plate 44) which bears the autograph: AR (monogram) BRONCKOST FECIT 1578. The aged head is painted with much refinement and an economy of tonal variation which relate it to the finest miniatures of the period. The skin stretches subtly on the bone and the drawing of the eyes is imbued with an understanding which is both anatomical and psychological. It is on the basis of this portrait, rather than the above document, that the small panel of James VI holding a falcon, in the Scottish National Portrait Gallery (Plate 45) can be attributed to Bronckorst. In this the pigment of the face is slightly transparent, and there is some damage, but it has the same sensitive variation of tone and the same rather precise drawing: the line between face and collar, for example, has the same almost tender quality. Yet in the portrait of the king, the falcon, the belt at his waist and his right hand and cuff, are placed with a naturalness and painted with a richness of light and suppression of detail which has more in common with a large easel-painting than with a miniature. A painting which fits well into this stylistic context is the three-quarter length of the 4th Earl of Morton in the same collection (Plate 46), the man with whom Bronckorst had treated for four months about the removal of the gold he had discovered. Morton stands by a table in front of a high, draped balustrade, beyond which is visible a pale green landscape.
Besides the correspondences of details of the drawing the picture has the same gentle gravity of mood as the two portraits already discussed. Morton's left hand, which is clasped on a sword-hilt, has a similar angular quality to that of James's gloved left hand; their right hands are formally almost identical: the index and little fingers are rather splayed, the two middle fingers drawn together. All the fingers share a sinuous plumpness tapering to their tips. These are in fact characteristics of the hand visible in the woodcut of Knox which it has already been suggested was done from a painting by Vanson, and it may well be that the styles of these two painters had a good deal in common.

It is not clear how long Bronckorst remained in Scotland. He may be the 'Arnolde' mentioned as an English painter by Francis Meres in 1598; his official position and yearly pension cannot however be ignored. Vanson, of whom there is no evidence that he did indeed take and instruct apprentices, was dead before 6 July 1610 when his wife was granted an enquiry by the king into the debts still owed to Vanson, 'suntyme our Painter'. Even however if apprentices were not instructed, these two minor Netherlandish masters must have left in Scotland a residue of effect which would slowly prepare the ground for further developments.

And there is in fact a handful of existing portraits which have the appearance of a native Scottish development
of this tradition. Among the most interesting of these is a group at Oxenfoord of three small panels of heads which may have been cut from larger portraits; they probably represent Thomas Hamilton of Priestfield, father of the 1st Earl of Haddington (born before 1549) and two of his family, and must date from the first decade of the 17th century. The faces and collars contain a great deal of white pigment which has contributed to their preservation. The method of painting is most readily visible in that of Hamilton himself, which shows the frail features of a man of great age (Plate 47). There is no indication of the head having been built up in glazes: instead, it is thickly and directly drawn with the point of the brush, the shadows and highlights interlocked in series of small zig-zag lines of paint. Forms are never implied, but, as in the curved wispy beard, almost scored in groups of repeated outlines. Actual anatomy is not very clearly understood but there is an honest endeavour to trace the interplay of bone and flesh: though basically primitive this does in the end produce an image of moving quality. There is in this picture something of the bold textures of the decorator, the presence of light colours perhaps deriving from that craft; yet one can sense that basically the painter's ultimate aims were not all that different from Bronckorst's in his Baron St John of Bletso.

A painting of similar type, which could be by the same
hand, is that of James Murray (formerly at Polmaise Castle)\(^{(19)}\) which bears the date 1610 (Plate 48). This again is representative of a native style which derives in some manner from the influence of foreign painters like Vanson and Bronckorst; one senses that it was probably done by a painter who was being required with growing frequency to turn to portraiture from decorative and heraldic work – this argument is supported by the relatively minor social status of the sitter. That the artist was this kind of painter is nowhere more evident than in the drawing of the hand clasping a glove, which is quite linear and formless and has more in common with something from a coat-of-arms.

Evidence of influence from a rather more mannered foreign tradition can be surmised, though not clearly traced, in a three-quarter length seated portrait of the inventor of logarithms, John Napier of Merchiston \(^{(20)}\) (Plate 49). There is a diversity of parts and yet clarity in the composition in this portrait (dated 1616) which suggests something of more sophisticated Continental methods, though there is an inevitable lack of ease. The drawing of the hands shows a fair degree of understanding, and even have a roundness conveyed by a smooth gradation of tones which is not far removed from a certain aspect of Northern Mannerism. Yet, curiously, this form of chiaroscuro will be met with in the one substantial example of the work of Jamesone's master, John Anderson.
There is thus some knowledge of the kinds of painting being produced in the south of Scotland (and presumably actually in Edinburgh) before, and immediately after, the beginning of the 17th century just prior to the period of Jamesone's apprenticeship in the south. There is no comparable evidence for the north, meaning in effect Aberdeen, and what there is is of a purely curious or even grotesque interest. Pictorial representation was not by any means unknown and doubtless paintings were imported from the nearby Low Countries. The statues and paintings which are spoken of as having been in the Chapel of King's College were probably of this category. Actual practice seems to have fallen almost wholly within the decorative tradition.

The type of painting done by John and Andrew Mellin (Melville) in Aberdeen in the first decade of the century has already been indicated (p. 38). Jamesone's links with another painter of this circle, Andrew Strachan have also been noted. Much of their work seems to have been repetitive and largely unimaginative, though one would wish to know more about the nature of the crucifix which John Melville painter for the younger laird of Gicht in 1604, and which brought upon the painter the wrath of the kirk session for 'the fostering of Idolatrie and superstition'. Surviving examples of religious paintings, which may well have been done by one of these three, for they are clearly local, are the painted ceilings
in Provost Skene's house in Aberdeen. (23) These consist of large rectangular panels (surrounded by elaborate cartouches) containing scenes of the Annunciation, Adoration, Crucifixion (Plate 51) and Resurrection. There is some doubt about the dating of these paintings, but even if they are as late as the 1620s, they are clearly part of a longer tradition of bold, somewhat bucolic, narrative art with very distant roots in Renaissance painting. It seems more than likely that Jamesone was from an early age familiar with at least work of this type; this is given added weight by his connections with this circle and his apprenticeship with a painter of this school.

On the question of identifying the work of these northeastern painters, the ceilings of Delgatie Castle near Turriff are particularly interesting. (24) These bear the initials 'J M' and the dates 1592 (or 3) and 1597; there is therefore a strong possibility that these were painted by the John Mellin (or Melville) who had been paid in 1587 for painting imitation tapestry in St Nicholas Church. (25) The ceilings of the bedroom are crudely but richly painted with heraldic devices, arabesques, an elephant and even sphinxes. Certain of the details here are also found at Crathes Castle, where the so-called 'Muse's Room' is dated 1599. (26) If not actually by Mellin, the work here was almost certainly done by someone from the same circle and, particularly on the 'Nine Nobles'
ceiling, it is interesting to see the development of a crude figural tradition (Plate 53). Especially in the hands and facial details of these full-length figures, there is a simplicity and surety of purpose which is not far removed from the style of the portraits discussed above. And one may even begin to detect traces of a loose, rather peremptory type of drawing that can occasionally be traced much later in the painting of Jamesone himself. (27)
(ii) John Anderson and Jamesone's training

Whether Jamesone's master John Anderson was capable of portraiture remains speculation, though what has been written above concerning the development of native portraiture would seem to suggest that he was. If the frequency of documentary references to him is any guide, he appears to have been the outstanding decorative painter of his day. He was entered a burgess of Aberdeen as a painter on 6 October 1601; he presumably carried on his activities there or in the vicinity until his appearance in Edinburgh in 1611. It is intriguing that the two records of him in the years 1611-12 concern the painting of the shutters of a public clock, and his acquisition of George Jamesone as an apprentice.

The relationship between Anderson and Jamesone seems to have been quite flexible, totally lacking that rigidity that can be read in Jamesone's father's indenture of some forty years before. Although the late age of Jamesone's entry is surprising, the fact that the son of a mason was to become a painter probably appeared less so at the time, as painters and indeed carvers had always belonged to the same incorporated gild as the masons.

It may be that the indenture was little more than a disguised business relationship and the likelihood of a family
relationship between them has already been noted.\(^{(30)}\)

Anderson's residence in Edinburgh seems to have been spasmodic. His disagreement with the Privy Council over his activities at Strathbogie (Huntly Castle) has also been considered, and one may note a certain awkwardness of character in this episode. There are now only the merest traces of painting at Strathbogie but a decorative scheme was still visible in 1780. A description written in that year almost certainly gives a clue to the nature of Anderson's work there: '... their curious ceilings, are still preserved pretty entire. They are painted with a great variety of subjects in small divisions: a few lines of poetry underneath each, describe the subject of the piece. In these the virtues, vices, trades and pursuits of mankind, are characterised by emblematical figures, which though not the most elegant, are expressive. In the chamber which was appointed for a chapel, or place of worship, the parables and other sacred subjects are represented in the same style'.\(^{(31)}\) This description suggests a good deal of figural work and the last item (Huntly was a Catholic) is particularly interesting. If a date in the 1620s is accepted for the religious paintings in Provost Skene's house in Aberdeen, the possibility of Anderson having painted them must be quite strong.

The work in Edinburgh Castle which, according to the
Privy Council, required 'so quick and present dispatche and expeditioun' was undertaken by Anderson before any legal remedy was necessary: some of it still survives. Above the lower panelling on the west wall of the small birth-room of James VI, and rising some feet to the ceiling is a vigorous depiction of the royal arms of Scotland (Plate 54). The vitality of the normally remote unicorns is marked, as is the emphatic and smooth use of chiaroscuro on their bodies. It may be rather stretching the evidence but it is the same treatment of light and shade that has already been remarked on in the portrait of John Napier, painted in the previous year. The rectangular coffers of the ceiling are decorated with painted thistles, crowns and royal initials, while the remainder of the frieze bears bold, almost baroque, cartouches, one of which, that on the south wall, carries the date of the king's birth: 19:IVN11. (Plate 55).

For this particular part of the exercise Anderson was paid £100. He was also paid a much smaller sum for 'marble dures and chimnayes', that almost universal deceit stretching back at least to the 12th century. In such a purely abstract use of paint as this type of painting called for, could Jamesone, who must at some time have done similar work have begun to discover a larger talent?

Working with Anderson, and certainly his equal in status,
were two other painters whose names recur in the Master of Work's accounts, James Workman and John Sawers, each of whom also produced a royal-arms, Workman's apparently outside, above the inner gate.\(^{(32)}\) It is interesting to note the materials and colours used: oil, skrows (clippings of parchment to make glue), paper and flour, indigo, 'vergus', 'orpament', 'rois aparice', and two horse loads of chalk.\(^{(33)}\) It is at this level simply the world of the interior decorator, yet Workman was also the Marchmont Herald\(^{(34)}\); and, towards the end of his active life we find Anderson in a relationship of real intimacy with Sir John Grant of Freuchie for whom he had done, and later in 1634 was about to do again, decorative work at Ballachastell near Inverness.

In this latter context it is tantalising to be not quite clear if the four portraits mentioned in their correspondence, and which Anderson was cleaning (and perhaps restoring?) were actually Anderson's own work. Anderson was to outlive Jamesone. His wife died a few days after Grant of Freuchie had written in such friendly terms to him, but he himself was still alive in 1649.

If the conclusion seems more and more inescapable that at least some of these decorative painters were on occasion producing portraits, there is from the year before Jamesone's apprenticeship was due to end (the same year in which a portrait
by him can be identified) a picture which it is difficult to see coming from any ambit other than theirs. This is the three-quarter length of Sir Duncan Campbell of Glenorchy (Plate 50) which has the date 1619 below the prominent coat-of-arms painted against the background.\(^{(35)}\) There is an implacable firmness in the manner in which the facial features are almost harshly drawn in: what is lacking in knowledge is compensated for by a good eye; and if the creams, pinks and oranges of the face show an almost heraldic interest in colour for its own sake, they certainly do not lessen the strength of expression in the face of this aged but gleaming man. The sitter is best remembered for his barbaric treatment of the Clan McGregor, but there is evidence to show that he spent some time on the new arts of civilisation and that he had some paintings in his castle at Finlarg.\(^{(36)}\) Looking forward, his son was to spend, for the times, vast sums of money on the decoration of his houses and was to be Jamesone's principal patron in the mid and late 30s of the century.

A training in such circumstances may account for the inadequacies in actual technical procedures which most of Jamesone's works now exhibit. Whatever his medium was, it had a frailty which is probably the main contributory factor to the thin, rather watered-down appearance which most of his paintings now have. Indeed the flattened, rubbed nature of
particularly the shadow areas of the faces in his portraits is now something of a distinguishing characteristic. It is one factor which points emphatically against any proper training in a Netherlandish school. If he had had such a training it is difficult to believe that, whatever their subsequent treatment, some of his paintings would now be in such a disastrous state.

The distinction between oil paint and the tempera used by the decorative painters seems to have been well enough understood by them, though oil paint always seems to be used for outside work. Jamesone may have attempted some inexplicable combinations of these types. If for instance soap is added to tempera to improve the emulsion the resultant mixture will remain permanently soluble in water. (37) It is also conceivable that Jamesone used a gouache-like tempera which he finished off by rubbing linseed oil onto the surface; in subsequent cleanings soap and water would penetrate this to produce the rubbed effect. A connection between the type of painting done in Cullen House and Provost Skene's house (discussed below), where a distemper-type beginning is followed by glazes of a resinous type, and the method employed by Jamesone has also been suggested; this would apparently tend to be removed as a whole by cleaning agents. An implication of this is that Jamesone's paintings might originally have been much brighter in colour.
If however Jamesone did use some such method, he also apparently used a pure oil-painting technique in pictures like the Mary Erskine, Countess Marischal (no. 9) and the Marquess of Montrose (no. 25). (38)
Influence of an unidentified painter flourishing 1622–1628

It is difficult to gain a very clear impression of Jamesone's activities in the years immediately following the official completion of his apprenticeship in 1620. From the first half of the decade there now remains a mere handful of portraits, mostly in rather equivocal condition. The earliest of these is the Sir Paul Menzies of 1620 (no. 1, Plate 2). It is a stiff, certainly provincial portrait with the head placed centrally, the background an unconsidered wilderness. The probably rather later portrait of Robert Gordon of Straloch (no. 4, Plate 3) is of quite the same type, except for the ambitious introduction of the sitter's right arm and hand, a feature which Jamesone was later (to normally) avoid. It is possible to see some tenuous similarity to the paintings of the same period of Cornelius Johnson but this may be little more than a shared hesitancy in interpretation; there is in 1620 a distinct Dutch quality in Johnson's work (39) which is not visible in Jamesone.

The purely local, north-eastern quality of his painting is emphasised by the portrait of James Sandilands (no. 2) dated 1624, yet to the following two years belong the full-lengths of the Earl of Rothes (no. 6, Plate 5) and the Countess of Rothes with her daughters (no. 7, Plate 6) which are basically
Mytens-like arrangements; the pose of the former, despite its crudities, is the same as Mytens's portrait of the 1st Duke of Hamilton in the Tate Gallery (Plate 60). It may be therefore, that Jamesone's increase in sophistication from this point on, is owed to an increasing knowledge of what painters like Johnson and Mytens were doing in the south, but there is evidence of a more pervasive and more likely influence from another, so far unnamed painter.

Though it may be difficult to reach firm conclusions as to the extent of this influence it is important to try and pin down the personality of this painter, for some of the most interesting portraits of Scottish sitters in the mid-twenties came from his brush. If not actually painted in Scotland there is every indication that they were immediately brought into the country. It is equally important to attempt to define his oeuvre and its quality for many of these pictures have for long been traditionally attributed to Jamesone. (A short catalogue of these paintings, seventeen in number, is placed as an Appendix at the end of the main Catalogue.)

Though the first consideration leading to the isolation of these paintings has been stylistic, full support is provided by more measurable characteristics. In size all these pictures fall into two categories: the head and shoulders portraits all approximate closely to 'head size' canvases, that is, 24 x 20 inches, while the three-quarter lengths are
close to that size known as 'small half-length', that is 44 x 34 inches. Though a few of Jamesone's portraits are near the former size this seems usually due to reduction. The second size seems never to have been used by Jamesone.

These pictures also have in common the calligraphy and form of the inscriptions. The usual form is the word 'AETATIS' followed by the number of years with, centred underneath, the year, the digits widely separated so as to take up almost the same length of line as the first line. The word 'SVAE' is on occasion introduced in the first line with the result that the year on the second line is stretched even farther. The first line is usually punctuated with a period above the base line of the two words and midway between them; occasionally a double or triple period occurs at the end of a line. The letters are always upright block, and the impression of the initial 'AE' (in monogram and twice the height of the other letters) is also an upright one. There are on the extremities of each letter characteristic spiky serifs, always particularly pronounced on the letter 'S'. While the digits have no serifs, except for the suggestion of a horizontal one on the top of 'l', they always taper markedly at their ends to a sharp, fine point, the initial 'l' of the year being extended far below its base line and curving away to the left (see Plates 67 to 71).

For reasons that will appear below, the two key pictures
are the three-quarter length of the 3rd Earl of Winton at Traquair, dated 1628 (Appendix to Catalogue, no. 13, Plate 63): and another three-quarter length of the same sitter with his two sons, at Keith Hall, dated 1625 (Appendix to Catalogue, no. 4, Plate 64). Though the former is rather badly damaged in places it shares with the latter, which is the most complex of the group, what can only be described as a tense immobility. In each an axis of intense, compact shadow runs from just above the left eyeocket down the side of the nose, and down the left half of the beard. The head have a very pronounced diagonal tilt, the eyeballs rather hooded, the lower lid rather straight. In each picture there are dull pink draperies with deep gold fringes in the top corners.

The portrait of Winton and his sons may be described as immobile (indeed 'ineloquent' in the sense given to this word by Berenson) but it has both a surface richness and a power to move. The rather stiff right arm of the father and the just sufficiently articulated hand, which protectively claps the shoulder of the younger child, is both a physical and emotional extension of the gravity of the father's expression; but the left arm and hand, foreshortened and partly covered by a cloak, conveys a degree of support back from the elder son to the father. This child's doublet is the same dull crimson as his father's, but broken by a vermilion cloak across his left shoulder; the younger child's is grey and blue with
embroidery and he childishly holds up a carnation. There is also a subtle differentiation in the tone and texture of the faces. The pigment on Winton's face is rather thick, the brushwork rather slow and decisive, and with the dark tones already referred to. On the other hand, the children's faces are in a high key, the pigment lighter and the handling much looser.

The figures, although set in a shallow niche, are illumined by a strong light source which quite sharply picks out the lace, braidings and ribbons of the costume.

The structure of Winton's head in this last portrait is very closely paralleled by the head and shoulders portrait of the 6th Earl of Buchan on loan to the Scottish National Portrait Gallery (Appendix to Catalogue, no. 17, Plate 65). The forms have a similar compactness, the line of the right jaw and the inside edge of the collar made up of a series of small transverse brush strokes tightly knitted into one another. This is a feature to be found in many of the group. The tendency to hood the eyes by painting the upper lid in the form of a stretched curve is marked, both here and in the damaged portrait of the sitter's son, the 7th Earl of Buchan (Appendix to Catalogue, no. 12); again, it occurs in the portraits of the Marchioness of Hamilton (Appendix to Catalogue, no. 7, Plate 66) and in the portrait called Lady Yester, at Yester (Appendix to Catalogue, no. 14). This last, dated 1628, is in especially good condition and clearly shows the rather dry use of paint favoured by this
painter, especially in the wispy highlights of the hair, painted on a darker ground: this is also especially noticeable in the elder Buchan portrait.

All these pictures have a weight of pigment not found in Jamesone, which enables textures to be explored in a way never attempted by him, even allowing for the damages his paintings have undergone. They are high-keyed, by comparison, which allows detail to be explored, yet they rather lack physical atmosphere, a quality which Jamesone, with his much freer handling was to attain easily in his better works. Finally, they may be said to have an authority, or even a slightly dogmatic view of character which Jamesone replaces by a simpler vision of human personality.

Before assessing his influence on Jamesone, an attempt should be made to identify the artist. His mode has clearly grown from the Miereveld tradition, whose simple compositional devices and sobriety he shares. His understanding of form is not so assured as Miereveld's and his insistence on detail is comparatively reactionary; it is noteworthy that the recurring device of the fringed curtain is not found in the work of the Continental painter. (43) It does however recur frequently in the work of Marcus Gheeraerts, for example in the portrait formerly called the Countess of Pembroke in the National Portrait Gallery; (44) and a similar use of a drape is found in the portraits of Sir Henry Savile (45) and Richard Tomlins (46) (dated
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1628, see Plate 61) in the Bodleian Library at Oxford. It is indeed instructive to compare certain other details in the full-length Savile with similar features in the three-quarter length of the 1st Earl of Haddington, also in this group (Appendix to Catalogue, no. 2). The disposition of mass and light is the same, and the drawing of the hands is very close, especially the manner in which, in each case, the right hand clasps the gloves. The Haddington, though competent in a rather Dutch sense, lacks the extreme sensitivity with which Gheeraerts, at his best as he is here, paints the face and subtly probes character. Nevertheless, these two pictures have many points of contact, and from these considerations a figure tends to emerge who may have partly trained in Holland, but at some time had passed under the influence of the younger Gheeraerts.

The pictures in question are all dated between 1622 and 1628: none with similar characteristics has been found to fall outside this period. Perhaps significantly, in view of what has just been written concerning Gheeraerts, the earliest dated in the group is a probably posthumous copy of a Gheeraerts portrait of the 1st Earl of Dunfermline (Appendix to the Catalogue, no. 1). The original is dated 1610, in Gheeraerts's typical script: in the copy the inscription has changed into the characteristic one of the painter under discussion, and the details updated to 1622, though the sitter remains precisely the same age. In the copy, fringed curtains have been introduced
in both top corners.

Like Dunfermline, the other sitters are almost exclusively figures who had places at the Court in London, and are frequently recorded there. An account book\(^{47}\) kept personally by the 3rd Earl of Winton between 1627 and 1630 contains payments which must have been made in London, though others are concerned with his newly built house of Winton. Unfortunately, the two entries which are relevant here, fall among payments made in both Scotland and England. The first reads: 'Item gewine to Adame the painter for my Lord Erroll, my Lady Hay, and James Maxwells portraits, 86 lib. 13s. 4p.' The second, which follows a payment for plasterwork ceilings at Winton, and precedes one made to the keeper of the monuments at Westminster, is: 'Item gewine to Adame the painter for my aune portritte gewine to my sister, 40 lib.' Both payments seem to fall somewhere between 10 February and 2 July 1628. On the strength of the two portraits of Winton already discussed, the only known portraits of him, it is difficult to avoid connecting this painter with them. The latter payment also suggests a painting larger than head and shoulders (for which Jamesone was charging £20, framed, in the thirties). There is therefore good reason to believe (and this gains some support from a possible provenance) that the portrait now at Traquair which is dated 1628 is the picture of himself which he gave to his sister.\(^{48}\) The artist however remains elusive. Can one
posit a painter of Netherlandish origin working in London who for a short period had a corner in Scottish sitters there? Or a painter with the kind of training indicated, working in the Scottish field where Jamesone had just begun to harvest the growing demand for portraiture? There appears to be no other category of record in Scotland concerning him. (49)
(iv) The progress of Jamesone's painting

between 1625 and the early 30s

Whether or not Jamesone ever had any actual contact with the '1622-1628' painter, it seems very probable that he had knowledge of his work. After the very little that can be gathered of his style in the first few years of his career there seems from 1625 to be a rather subtle shift away from the provincial type of portrait to something rather broader in outlook. This, as noted above, is first evident in his full-length portrait of the 6th Earl of Rothes (no. 6, Plate 5) painted in 1625 where, despite his perhaps widened horizons, Jamesone carefully records himself as 'Abredonensis'. Though the extremely awkward pose is of the kind favoured by Mytens, the drapery seems to be an attempt to fill out the picture space in the way that the '1622-1628' painter did. This is even more marked in the companion picture of the Countess of Rothes with her daughters (no. 7, Plate 6) painted in the following year, where the drapery is of the exactly same type, though the general disposition of the interior is rather reminiscent of Gheeraerts. The linking of the figures and the expression of family tenderness does seem to derive from the picture of Winton and his sons, painted in the previous year, and the drawing of the little girl on the right who grasps her mother's hand corresponds quite closely to the boy on the left of the Winton picture. There must also have been at one time a
comparable surface richness, but both Rothes pictures are now very badly damaged. Finally, the portion of stained glass window on the left of the Countess of Rothes and the series of small pictures (eight portraits, and what seems to be a depiction of Rinaldo and Armida) on the rear wall, which the window illumines, would seem to derive from a knowledge of Dutch interiors, perhaps of the type of the picture dealer's room.

That Jamesone had undergone some such influence about this time which cannot be specified, is further suggested by one of his finest pictures (probably partly so because one of his best preserved), the Mary Erskine, Countess Marischal (no. 9, Plate 9) which was painted in 1626. It has undertones of Netherlandish vision which give it a feeling of atmosphere and even a sensuous quality which, for a moment, almost bring it into the mainstream of European art. There is an inevitable comparison here with the sensitive, unpretentious head and shoulder portraits of Cornelius Johnson, but finer technician as Johnson was, the comparison does not quite do Jamesone justice. Johnson's portraits at this period are curiously circumscribed, as though he were quite willing to accept the limitations imposed upon him by the class which patronised him. Indeed, they are often physically circumscribed, the sitter placed plaintively behind a painted oval frame, an illusionist but certainly not a realist device. (50) This device had been used equally unmeaningfully by a contemporary of Johnson's, the Englishman
John Souch in his portrait of George Pulestone in the Tate Gallery (Plate 57). In this the extraordinarily bright, smooth finish of the subject has the effect of seeming to pull him in front of the marbled frame. And even when used by a genuine realist like Sir Nathaniel Bacon, where in his Self-portrait (Plate 59) of the early twenties he paints the oval opening as though chipped slightly on its edges, it still has the effect of divorcing the sitter from a real ambient light and atmosphere.

This form was apparently either unknown to Jamesone at this period or else he had no use for it. In the Mary Erskine there is scarcely any sense of the sitter being in one world and the spectator in another. There is also a suggestive interplay of shadow and accents of light, both between the parts of the figure and between the sitter and the background which brings the vulnerability of this rather plain woman, and even her place in time, immediately into the spectator's consciousness.

This freedom from too great a concern with surface realism and from one of the conventions of the time had perhaps even more individual and interesting results in the portrait of the young Montrose, painted near the end of 1629, virtually on the eve of the subject's marriage (no. 25, Plate 12). The picture is, unusually, on panel and is in surprisingly good condition, though the pigment has become somewhat transparent.
An immediate impression is of a quite loaded brush handled with audacious freedom. The face is 'drawn' in paint, the brushstrokes following the forms in intermingled series of pink and cream lines, merging with subtlety into the shadows on the left half of the sitter's face. The drawing can scarcely be faulted in its ability to convey a vivid face, often by the merest suggestion; it only hardens into emphasis where absolutely required, as in the sharp inside edge of the right nostril. The hair is a brown/olive extension of these forms, its soft texture conveyed by the slightest of highlights. In the collar and in the doublet there is barely a hint of seeking out detail for its own sake. And though the background lacks any concrete detail it is divided by an almost casual pale ochre light, running from just above the head down to the left shoulder, which, though not really explicable in terms of the light source, has a rightness in tone and in placing which turns the slightly smiling face into immediate focus; and, as in the Mary Erskine, the sitter is placed credibly in space, and also in time.

This last point is a considerable claim to make for any painting and implies a power of understanding found in only painters of high rank. Yet on comparing this portrait with others of the period, produced in a similar context, the impression is certainly not eradicated. The freshness and quite individual manner of Sir Nathaniel Bacon, which in a
very real sense inaugurated (despite the obvious Utrecht influence in his painting) a more or less new and native manner of painting in England, is undeniable. Yet the self-portrait already mentioned has an almost cloying (admittedly vigorous) wealth of detail. This was Bacon's taste, for patronage was not involved. It is a clear, unblinking view of the physical world; but was it perhaps slightly reactionary in terms of methods? None of this can question the strength of his vision, manifest in a few works and cut off in 1627.

The freedom from tradition which can be seen in the Montrose is further emphasised when it is compared with the 6th Earl of Buchan by the '1622-1628' painter (Plate 65). Here the solution-seeking of the Montrose is replaced by a more certain, more authoritative style; it is a portrait of some depth but it lacks the vivid suggestiveness of Jamesone's portrait. Paradoxically, it may well be that Jamesone was influenced by this picture, for it is compositionally very much of the same type, and the methods of conveying recession in the foreshortened sides of the faces are very similar.

A painting like Cornelius Johnson's little panel of an Unknown Man in the Tate Gallery (Plate 58), which must date from a year or two later, does superficially seem quite close to the Montrose: the handling is free and the method of drawing into the facial features does seem similar. Yet the handling has a greasy directness and the drawing has a
rather schematic simplicity which fail to convey anything but the most direct message; and the collar is painted with a startling degree of detail which is quite old-fashioned and throws the picture out of balance.

These minor claims for Jamesone must now be balanced against his curious tendency to regression. The calm, precise insight of the Mary Erskine, the gentle directness of Montrose, were scarcely to be repeated. Perhaps initially, lack of a clear tradition and a scarcity of competition had advantages in allowing Jamesone to develop his own vision; but quite soon this led to carelessness, a result partly of overproduction and partly of missing that firmness of purpose which a tradition can supply.

To just a year or so after the Montrose belong the portraits of an Unidentified Man (no. 32, Plate 17) and Lady Binning (no. 31, Plate 16) at Oxenfoord. The formulae are so similar that there can be no question of their authorship; in the male portrait, despite the similarities imposed by the costume, there is the same compositional outline running round the figure from arm to arm, through the pronounced curve on the rear of the head. The light spreads from exactly the same point, the shadows fall in precisely the same places, with the same emphasis. Yet, allowing for the inferior condition of the Unidentified Man, there is a creeping gaucheness in the drawing, a carelessness of relative proportions, which weakens the effect. In the Montrose one can sense a delight in the few vagaries
of the costume: the minute upward curve of the crisp lace on the edge of the collar, the loop in one of the two band-strings, the stiff creases between breast and arm with the consequent slight misalignment of the body slash, and the way in which light and shadow relate in the deep openings on the sleeves. On the other hand, in the Unidentified Man, it seems that even originally the costume must have been painted with a lack of excitement: the slashed openings on the doublet are little more than white lines, each given the same emphasis, and this has the effect of bringing the more distant arm too near to the picture plane. This adds considerably to the awkwardness which this arm, cut off just above the inside of the elbow, tends always to have in portraits of this format.

The Lady Binning also illustrates this tendency, and quite forcibly if it is compared with Mary Erskine. Her costume completely lacks the interesting surface and colouristic richness of the latter; and the more complicated nature of the lace collar and fill-in, which cover the breast and shoulders, has deceived Jamesone into broadening the shoulders unnaturally, a tendency that was to recur in quite a number of later portraits.

The manner in which the vision of the two earlier portraits has been watered down in these two later ones demands some explanation. At a personal level the evidence is perhaps too slight to draw any conclusions: in any case,
these were years of hope for Jamesone, with marriage and his first three children still alive. (54) Montrose, and almost certainly Mary Erskine (wife of the Earl Marischal who had a house in the town) were painted in Aberdeen — indeed the Montrose may owe some of its vigour and directness to the clear possibility that it was painted rapidly during the three days of the subject's visit to Aberdeen in early November of 1629. However, the history and possible identity of the latter two suggest that they were painted in the south of the country, and before Jamesone had in any way established himself there. It may be that the fall in quality is explicable in terms of distance from his normal materials and the fact that he was peripatetic. From the point of view of patronage there is no reason to believe that Montrose and the Countess Marischal would demand more 'style' than the others, though their possibly rather higher social status (certainly in Jamesone's own eyes) may have played a part.

That the reasons for this variation in quality were external rather than internal is borne out by the portrait of Patrick Dun (no. 37, Plate 18) which was probably painted in 1631. Skinned and transparent as it now is, this portrait nevertheless has a brooding power which looks forward to some of the striking statements of character in portraits painted about 1636 or 1637, such as the Earl of Southesk (no. 114, Plate 30) or the Earl of Menteith and Airth (no. 95, Plate 26).
Indeed the forms would seem originally to have been put down on the panel with such precision that even now what remains of them is sufficient to imply what has disappeared behind a curtain of exposed wood-grain. Dun was personally close to Jamesone and this intimacy may well have called forth the deeply felt portrait that this must originally have been. It is of course seen to be a rather provincial portrait when one tries to make wider comparisons; it has much in common with portraits like Gilbert Jackson's Robert Burton in Brasenose College, Oxford, which is dated 1635. Jackson may indeed be seen as an English equivalent of Jamesone but he must have had experience of developments in London, which only slowly filtered through to Jamesone, or were known fleetingly. Yet by comparison with the Patrick Dun Burton is little more than a sprightly effigy.
Though Jamesone's surviving pictures are probably quite a small fraction of his output, it is perhaps permissible to draw a general statistical conclusion from those painted before 1633, after which year his activities began to radiate rather more from Edinburgh than Aberdeen. The vast majority of these are of the northern nobility, while a handful are minor lairds or academic figures with whom Jamesone had personal links. The most obvious exceptions are the three pictures belonging to Lord Stair which are probably of members of the Haddington family (nos. 31 - 33); and another group of three consisting of portraits of Sir Thomas Hope, the Lord Advocate (no. 18, Plate 11), his son Sir John Hope (no. 20) and his daughter-in-law Margaret Murray (no. 22). All of these were almost certainly painted in the south of Scotland, where Jamesone's reputation was presumably spreading. Hope was the son of a leading Edinburgh merchant; he rose quickly in the law and by the late 20s he had acquired a position of great influence in the realm, and also in the affairs of Edinburgh. Interestingly, in the present context, he acted for another denizen, like himself, of the Cowgate in Edinburgh, Thomas Hamilton, 1st Earl of Haddington (a former Lord Advocate) when the latter was negotiating the purchase of the lands of Tyningham in the late months of 1627. Haddington (while
still Lord Melrose) had had himself painted in 1624 by the painter active between 1622-28 (discussed above) who, as has been suggested, may well have been active in London. On becoming sole Lord Advocate (the position had been shared in 1626) Hope, whose horizons were narrower, had himself painted by Jamesone. When in 1638 he records a sitting for an untraced portrait, he calls the painter 'William' Jamesone, the name of Jamesone's brother who had practised as a writer in Edinburgh. As the contents of the latter's library indicate, William was a man of considerable breadth of outlook and capable of making some impression on Hope. Hope's slip is perhaps the clue as to how Jamesone came into his orbit and as a consequence Edinburgh, and perhaps also how he took up his relationship with the Council of Edinburgh.

Jamesone's labours for Edinburgh in the town's preparations for receiving Charles I to the land of his birth, where he was to be crowned King of Scots, must have added greatly to his contemporary fame, though the array of 'fancy' monarchs now has little aesthetic interest. The fact that he was able to undertake the work serves as a reminder that he had grown from the decorative tradition and that he indeed still had contacts with decorative painters. It remains curious however, to see someone who was capable of producing such a 'modern' portrait as the Montrose, regressing to paint the bright, vapid images that these monarchs are (or more accurately, were)—
see Plates 19 and 20.

Such series one normally associates with the more primitive stages of portraiture. Of the few surviving easel-paintings of the 16th century which are probably by Scottish painters, some of the more notable are those comprising the set of small panels of the first five Jameses. (63) They are quite impersonal but not by any means entirely linear in style (Plate 75); their surface textures and colour tend to associate them with heraldic painting, but a certain understanding of three-dimensional form is present. They seem to date a few decades later than the date of death of the latest of the subjects, James V in 1542, and derive perhaps from manuscript illuminations. That they had antecedents is quite obvious in the case of James III (discussed in the Catalogue, after no. 64). Were they perhaps part of a much larger series, extending the national history well beyond the reaches of memory? How do they relate to the 'effegies of noble men and wemen' which decorated the streets of Edinburgh in 1579 at the entry of the boy James VI? Their size and lack of carrying power might seem to rule this out, but the minute scale of burghal life at the time should not be overlooked.

One may look for a parallel in the example of Daniel Mytens having to produce for Charles I portraits of Margaret Tudor, James IV and Mary Queen of Scots; (64) even Van Dyck was obliged to devise a portrait of James VI from a Van Somer
prototype.\textsuperscript{(65)} These, with the apparent exception of James IV, were full lengths; they were also full-blooded images in a sense that the vast majority of Jamesone's decorations were not. In the final analysis Jamesone's monarchs, or more precisely those of them which seem to be pure invention, have much more in common with the tempera decorations of ceilings in the larger Scottish houses. These simple faces with their long curling moustaches and staring eyes have far more in common with the nine full-length nobles astride the ceilings at Crathes (Plate 53), or the mounted monarchs rearing above the blue fields of the ceiling at Stobhall\textsuperscript{(67)} (Plate 56). Their vermillion or pale green draperies with accents of cerulean belong so much more to the heraldic painters' repertory of effects, that Jamesone's use of chiaroscuro seems almost an intrusion. The armoured heads and bodies of some of these kings are quite surprisingly close to the 'parochial-classical' warriors who besiege Troy on the coved ceiling of Cullen House\textsuperscript{(88)} (Plate 52); and this strain of classical imagery was strongly present in the general presentation of the pageants and decorations that welcomed the king.

The tantalising records of a servant of Jamesone's working for the space of twenty days on the scaffolding erected in the king's gallery of St Giles, and of a John Levingstoun supplying books of gold-leaf and varieties of oil-paint,\textsuperscript{(69)} would seem to suggest that Jamesone had helpers
in his part of the undertaking. This would not be surprising, as at this time the number of monarchs credited to Scotland was 110 and the mystic significance could not be realised only by a selection. Yet Jamesone's hand is perfectly in evidence in the remaining twenty-five, which may either mean that he did in fact provide them all himself (hence the 'extraordiner paynes' for which he was paid) or else that only those from his own hand were preserved. However, the payment of sixty dollars, about £168, made to Jamesone on 23 August 1633\(^{(70)}\) seems unlikely to be the whole payment, for even for twenty-five pictures this represents a remarkably low price per painting - about half of what he charged Sir Colin Campbell in 1636 for an unframed waist-length portrait. The nature of the work makes this just feasible.

On the same occasion and for the same reasons other painters were active in Edinburgh, but under the jurisdiction of the Master of Works - from the frequency of their names in the records they were the leading decorative painters of the day.\(^{(71)}\) One can now only guess at the nature of their work, but there was certainly feverish activity in the Castle and in the Palace of Holyroodhouse (which was in the burgh of the Canongate). John Anderson painted the council house at Holyrood, for which he was paid £290;\(^{(72)}\) he was also paid a further £116 for 'bywarkes ... besyde', which is annoyingly vague. In April and May John Sawers was being paid on a
similar scale 'for paintrie work done be him at the castell of Edinburgh';(73) while Robert Telfer was active in the cold rooms of Holyroodhouse, where, between 22 April and 20 May, he was provided with five loads of coal.(74) In early June James Workman was paid for 'gilting painting and furneisching of gold and oyle to ellevine double badgis gilt on both sydes and also for quhytting and graying of four rowmes in my Lord Marqueis luidging (in Holyroodhouse) and for gilting of nyne theanes ...'.(75) Also involved, though probably to a lesser extent, were Mungo Hanginschaw who was brought from Glasgow(76) and Valentine Jenkin, who was an Englishman.(77)

These painterly preparations were not confined to Edinburgh, for the king was due to visit the other royal palaces. At Linlithgow for instance, although one cannot envisage the end product, the whole action can be followed in rather touching detail:(78) a boy carries a letter to Edinburgh, to summon the painter Alexander Law. Earlier in the year Law had been paid by the Council of Edinburgh 'In Arles (that is, earnest-money) to attend the penting of the staiges'.(79) He now arrived at Linlithgow to paint the king's throne. It is interesting to note that all his materials were provided by the John Levingstoun who supplied Jamesone's assistant when working in the king's gallery at St Giles. These materials included twelve ounces of 'best blew', eight ounces of vermilion, red-lead, 'caddes' (cotton waste) and ten books of
gold-leaf for which the boy, again, had to run to Edinburgh.

Unfortunately the accounts do not give much information on the actual appearance of this kind of work, once it was completed. It was probably however, very much of the type of work done by Valentine Jenkin at Stirling Castle in 1628, which is more clearly recorded and some of which survives. This included 'the kingis bedchalmer the window brodis (boards) hie and low to be layit over and set af and the armes and letteris to be set af in thair awin cullouris with gold and aisser and the borderis to be helpit and the dores and chymnayis to be marbillit and the pend of the windowes and skenschonis to be weill layit over with ane blew gray . . . the hail pannallis of the sylring (of the low gallery) to be layit over in ane fresche cullour . . . the pannallis (of the queen's chamber) above the hingingis round about the sylring to be fair wrocht with armes (and) antikis . . .'. (80) The work was clearly an amalgam of arms, natural and abstract motifs and debased classical imagery.

One completely tantalising record of Charles I's visit remains to complete this attempted reconstruction of that part of the visit concerned with painting, in which Jamesone had played a central rôle. On 8 July, soon after the king's departure, the Master of Works paid someone 'for carying of the kinges fuye pictures out of the abay to Leith'. (81) Were these pictures which the king had brought with him or were
they pictures he had acquired in Scotland? Were they portable religious pictures or were they portraits? The vital question is perhaps, were they contemporary and local pictures in any way connected with the royal visit? Unfortunately these questions cannot be answered.
Sometime in the late summer of 1633 Jamesone made his only recorded journey outside his own country - a trip to London the duration of which is not known, but which was certainly not more than three weeks. Little can be read into the nature of the men who accompanied him, though Robert Skene was a painter and glasswright, probably on the lower levels of the craft.\(^{(82)}\) It would be guesswork rather than speculation to say anything of the purpose of this visit, though in two portraits Jamesone painted in 1634, the Marchioness of Argyll (no. 69, Plate 21) and the Countess of Airlie (no. 66) one can, especially in the latter, detect a rather more pronounced influence of Cornelius Johnson. Van Dyck had been in London for rather more than a year, but there is really no evidence of any kind of influence from this source at all. It is difficult however to believe that this visit to London was as isolated as it seems. In 1636 for instance, Jamesone was to take as an apprentice Michael Wright, the son of a London tailor, while at a very much later date, in 1675, we find Marjory Jamesone, and her son George Alexander, borrowing money in London\(^{(83)}\) in the middle of what was obviously a little Aberdonian community there.\(^{(84)}\) The journeyings of apparently insignificant individuals like Jaffray, Skene and Birnie are counterparts of the frequent comings-and-goings of the nobility, and there is really no need to think of London as being a vast distance from Scotland.
Apart from the portraits last mentioned, and a few others, there are no very revealing records of Jamesone's activities until October of 1634 when he was about to come under the extensive patronage of Sir Colin Campbell of Glenorcy - he appears however to have been in Aberdeen until the spring, and again in the winter of the year. (85) There is really no Scottish parallel to the nature and extent of the commission which Jamesone received from Campbell in the next two years. It is probably related to what Jamesone had done in Edinburgh in the year of the coronation in the sense that Campbell's gallery of Scottish monarchs, painted by his 'Germane painter' in 1633, aped the public display. It was also continued at a personal level in the series of Campbell's own progenitors, or 'predicessors'. These are bright, solidly and crudely painted images with little differentiation, placed behind oval bands inscribed with the sitter's identity. (86) It is difficult to connect this painter's work with any Netherlandish style, and the nationality should perhaps be taken literally. It may only be a result of their type and function, but visually they are near to the mural portraits illustrating the history of learning in the Bodleian picture gallery, painted about two decades earlier. (87)

Jamesone accepted, for the first time, the form of the painted oval, both in his own series of thirteen monarchs, which have largely disappeared, and in his pendant ladies of Glenorcy. In style they fall somewhere between his Edinburgh monarchs and his conventional portraits. They are painted with
some verve; the quite bright primary colours often seem to appear purely for their own sake, that is decoratively. Hands are introduced, something Jamesone normally tried to avoid; the fingers are long and thin, and curve with a mannered, almost Baroque elegance. It may indeed be as a result of the rather odd stylistic features of these pictures that the legendary apprenticeship of Jamesone to Rubens arose. When one compares what is perhaps the best of the group, the pink and silvery Marjory Stewart (no. 71-(1), Plate 22) with Rubens's portrait of his wife Isabella Brant at The Hague, one finds the same rather swaggering draperies, and fingers with the same curving sinuosity. These are features which could be derived from engravings; there is also a sense in which Jamesone's thin, swiftly brushed backgrounds seem also to derive from Rubens, at what remove one cannot say. There is in these pictures, and in others by Jamesone, a basic interest in form as constructed by colour and light, by summary rather than painstaking depiction of detail which, though comparatively trivial in the final result, does link his manner with the development of Baroque painting and its Venetian beginnings.

Yet these pictures have a quite patent naivety. Marjory Stewart does seem in a sense more complete, as though the idealising process had meant rather more to the painter in this case. Katherine Ruthven (no. 78-(6), Plate 23), a subject who had died in 1588 has a certain sharp individuality
which may connect it with some already existing image.

These were the beginnings of the busiest years in Jamesone's productive life. This is made abundantly clear in the urgency of the request of Campbell's agent in Edinburgh that he should confirm his acceptance of Jamesone's conditions - 'For les he sweiris to me he can not teike'. (89) He must have been resident a good deal of the time at this juncture in Edinburgh, though the evidence is not definite until May of 1635. (90) Yet in this same month Jamesone acquired the Playfield in Aberdeen, which in the next few years he was to erect into an ornamental garden: (91) this has a Flemish, even Rubensian ring to it. Jamesone is now clearly something of the grand man, for 'out of his naturall affectioun to this his native citie' he binds himself to hand the garden over to the burgh on his death, for the 'wse and benefitt of the toune'.

His letter of 13 October 1635 to Campbell of Glenorchy does give the impression that he had settled semi-permanently in Edinburgh, for he speaks of the north in terms of 'quhair I mynd to stay for tuo monethes'. (92) He had by this time obviously agreed to undertake a series of portraits of living sitters from life, those which the Balloch inventory of 1679 describes as 'noblemen all which are Descended of the family of Glenvrchy'. (93) Jamesone states that he will be unable to begin them until the following January unless he should happen to meet the subjects in the north. There is here
perhaps the possibility that Jamesone retained in Edinburgh some form of pattern of subjects he had already painted; for example, one of Glenorchy's set, that of the 1st Baron Napier (no. 96, Plate 27) follows very closely a portrait of a few years earlier (no. 38), with only the costume brought up to date. Apart from this possibility he was obviously equipped to paint in either city.

All that certainly remains of this group of perhaps eleven portraits are the rather feeble Lord Binning (no. 94), the 7th Earl Marischal (no. 93, Plate 25), the Earl of Airth and Menteith (no. 95, Plate 26) and the Baron Napier. The portrait of the Earl Marischal shows something of an ease and fluidity, an immediacy, which the portrait of his mother Mary Erskine (no. 9, Plate 9), painted ten years earlier, lacks. Yet it is a rather less profound portrait and lacks the variety of surface and compositional interest of the female portrait. The type seems well established, and practised with ease: cut off just above the waist, right arm and shoulder well forward, broad shoulders, the left shoulder and arm sloping steeply to the bottom right corner, head held straight up but turned slightly more towards the spectator than the plane of the body; the right side of the face and collar are strongly lit, while the background, except to the right of the face, is dark; light floods up the arm from the left and spreads across the breast, quickly dying into shadow. While traces of Cornelius Johnson
may be detectable, it is a blunter, even more esoteric, appreciation of character than one finds, certainly in the average, Johnson male portrait.

The formula is followed in the Napier and in the Airth. Both are now considerably battered, but they have been heads of considerable power, indeed far more forthright images than the tentative Lady Binning (no. 31, Plate 16) and Unidentified Man (no. 32, Plate 17) of a few years earlier. The intense, brooding face of Airth is quietly compelling, and the small ruff allows the structure of the body to be more clearly perceived than in the case of Napier. Indeed the parts of the picture have been integrated with considerable feeling, and it has a formal sophistication which makes comparison with a Miereveld portrait of this period scarcely avoidable. The latter's portrait of Francois Van Aerssen (94) which is signed and dated 1636 (Plate 62) is of exactly the same format, both compositionally and in terms of lighting. Jamesone never had the sure grasp of bone and muscle structure in the face that Miereveld had, nor indeed could he integrate colour into a design in the way that the green and purple patterning on Van Aerssen's right arm is made part of the whole picture. Yet he comes remarkably close in this instance to arriving at an effect that Miereveld and his school could produce with ease. It leaves many questions unanswered, this appearance of a remote provincial painter in the far north of the British Isles producing something
which stands in such close proximity to a sophisticated Dutch model; but the historical interest of Jamesone's eclecticism is considerable.

The Glenorchy family-tree (no. 92, Plate 24) which is dated 1635 is not mentioned in any of the documents which throw a fitful light on this phase of Jamesone's career. In view of what has just gone before, it seems to represent another example of regression on Jamesone's part for, at a certain level, this immense collection of genealogical information set out on brightly coloured discs held in the branches of some strange kind of cherry tree has more in common with the art of the herald painter. It again illumines Jamesone's decorator origins, and his flexibility. In terms of scale and inventiveness, it has no counterpart; (95) and the fact that someone, who had established the relatively new art of portrait painting in Scotland and had by this time painted many of the leading figures in the country, should be required to do this work, shows, in comparison with England, the very different conditions prevailing north of the border. Although the English painter (with the exception of Van Dyck) was still a craftsman, it is inconceivable that painters like Mytens or Johnson, or even Jackson, would be asked to undertake such a painting, or would indeed be capable of doing it.

In the midst of these undertakings for Glenorchy, on 6 April 1636, Jamesone took what was apparently his first
apprentice, Michael Wright. Wright really belongs to a different generation of painters and would be noteworthy in the post-Restoration period for being a native painter practising among a continued influx of foreign painters. In this, and seemingly in this sense only, can he be seen as a continuator of a tradition represented by Jamesone. Although son of a citizen of London, it has been stated that Wright was a Scot, but this seems unlikely. Wright was apparently about nineteen years of age when he joined Jamesone. One picture which must date from soon after Wright's advent is the curious conversation piece, the Haddington family group (no. 128, Plate 36). The compositional devices and the drawing it required were beyond Jamesone's abilities. Its distant kinship with the large Mytens of Charles I and Henrietta Maria departing for the Chase, at Windsor, has been noted in the Catalogue; as has its affinity to the quaint, naive group of the Royal family engraved by William Marshall (Plate 76). It is also in a picture like this that Jamesone comes closest in feeling to the work of Gilbert Jackson. The figures of the two brothers on the extreme left have the same unsure pose and primitive drawing of Jackson's full-length of the child William Hickman, of 1634. In the Haddington picture, though the round forms are treated with a more modern chiaroscuro, the drawing is if anything more ill-conceived in respect of the articulation of necks, hands and legs. The bright,
almost pretty colour and the failed grandiosity are again features which call inevitably for comparison with the strangely domestic looking full-length by Jackson of Lord Bellasyss of 1636. (99)

The open background of this picture is however remarkably successful, the near tree and the distant woods painted in finely varied greens and browns, the sky in quite intense harmonies of yellow, pink and varied warm greys. These soft harmonies and the low horizon are features which recur in Wright's mature painting. The favoured sky of Wright, of low horizontal bars of pink quickly overlapped by broader bars of grey, is quite specifically similar to what appears in the group portrait. Was Wright in any way responsible for this apparent development in Jamesone's art, or did the younger painter derive it from his master? The full length portrait of the Earl of Dalhousie (no. 105, Plate 28) of a year or two earlier, if accepted as by Jamesone, shows his undoubted ability to open up the rear of the picture space, but the rendering of landscape is more literal, less expressive of mood.

Looking at Wright's masterpiece, the Colonel Russell of 1659 (100) (Plate 79) with its grand elegance of pose and its minute elegance of treatment of surfaces, its brilliantly controlled colour scheme of ochre, orange and vermilion, one is certainly aware of moving in a quite different sphere of sophistication from the humble stereotypes that Jamesone's
portraits often are. Yet a similar cast of features, a similar rather sensual arrogance can be detected in Jamesone's portrait of the Earl of Airth (discussed above). The slightly flattened head that is just discernible in the Colonel Russell, the rather long, rather large, lemon-shaped eyes, that are characteristic of Wright, may just conceivably be derived from Jamesone's influence. And despite his eventual knowledge of the most progressive artists of the 17th century, it could be from Jamesone that he gained an early understanding of a plastic, non-linear treatment of form.

Of the still small, but increasing number of portraits probably painted in Scotland in the late 30s and early 40s which are decidedly not by Jamesone, the most interesting in the present context is another Haddington portrait, an almost half-length of the young future 4th Earl of Haddington (Plate 78). He wears armour, the surfaces of which are treated with that almost loving attention to texture and minor details which is typical of Michael Wright's later paintings. The face is quite simple but is seen with delicacy, the rather thin, creamy paint slowly exploring the forms. The outlines of the eye openings have exactly that large flat oval shape which was to become characteristic of Wright, the contours joining to a quite precise point towards the centre of the face, but finishing rather vaguely apart on the outside. The sky background is almost identical to the imminent sunrise in
the Colonel Russell.

On the stylistic basis of his later work, it is the only known painting from these years which can be ascribed to Wright; and the implication is, of course, that he in fact completed the period of his apprenticeship and practised to some extent independently. It may be that his hand appears, though indecipherably, in some of Jamesone's own paintings of the period of the apprenticeship.
(vii) Self-portraits and last portraits

As a visible counterpart to Jamesone's growing reputation in the mid-30s there exists a series of four self-portraits, none surviving unfortunately in anything like prime condition. The least altered, and that from which the others perhaps derive, is that which still remains in Aberdeen, where the painter, turning towards the spectator holds a miniature in his right hand (no. 109, Plate 1). Apart from the hand and the large-brimmed hat which he wears, the overall pattern of this picture does not vary greatly from his commissioned head and shoulder portraits, though there is a slight spiralling movement within the body. The much smaller self-portrait in Edinburgh (no. 111) follows this, though the hand holds gloves in place of the miniature, but it is so restored as to be perhaps nearer the work of John Alexander, who restored it, than Jamesone himself.

Quite different, though Jamesone's own figure tends to be repeated, are the Self-portrait with his Wife and Child (no. 112, Plate 29), and the Self-portrait in a Room hung with Pictures at Cullen (no. 110, Plates 40 and 41). The former of these has been entirely repainted, but is interesting from personal and social points of view. The subject of the picture is Isobel Tosche rather than Jamesone himself. In some respects it seems the apotheosis of the form of legal equality enjoyed by the Scotswoman of the 17th century, always
referred to by her maiden name, property rights always clearly set out in the marriage contract; and, in the case of Isobel Tosche, a proprietor of heritable property purely in her own right though with equal rights invested in her husband. The admittedly suspect evidence of the portrait is also that she was a physically impressive woman, and that Jamesone (as Rubens) makes great play of presenting his prize to the world's scrutiny. If not exactly at this time, then a little later, Jamesone was to act as a minor diplomat for the city of Aberdeen in its dealings with the forces of the Covenant. Did he, as a man of this social eminence, intimate with the leading noble family in Aberdeen, creator of an ornamental garden within the burgh before such a thing had scarcely been done in the kingdom, owner of many houses in the town and an estate outside, capable (in 1643) of lending a large sum to the High Constable of Scotland, and married to a far younger, and beautiful, wife, feel himself to be another Rubens? The question cannot be answered but, on the strength of the facts just quoted and on the evidence of the self-portrait, this seems distinctly possible, no matter how tenuous and second-hand his knowledge of Rubens might be. It was, after all, almost an artistic fashion to ape Rubens; both Van Dyck and Lucas Vorsterman had done so. In this way the legendary association with Rubens, first given clear shape by Walpole, would have some further grounding in reality.
The Cullen Self-portrait, though now perhaps an archaeological fragment rather than a work of art, is expressive of the same or a similar pretension. The implication is that the portraits ranged on the rear wall, as well as the two landscapes and the picture of the 'Chastisement of Cupid' (Plate 40), were actually painted by Jamesone, though they may in fact reveal him as a dealer in pictures; there is however no other evidence for this.

The year 1637 seems to have been the busiest in Jamesone's life, and while there is intermittently evidence from then until his death that his standards were capable of dropping catastrophically, there are also portraits which bear comparison with his early masterpieces, the Mary Erskine and the Montrose. Foremost among these are the portraits of the brothers, the 1st Earl of Southesk (no. 114, Plate 30) and Sir Alexander Carnegie of Balnamoon (no. 116, Plate 31). They are of the same mould as the earlier Patrick Dun, but are in much better physical condition. In the Southesk the sitter almost seethes with latent energy, his massive, firmly constructed head set off by the extreme delicacy of the painting of his ruff. The portrait of his brother is perhaps marginally less compelling, but exhibits the same grave delicacy. Both are painted in terms of a quite broad chiaroscuro, the light lingering, perhaps to a formula but effectively, on upper arm, right breast and the favoured detail of band-string tassels;
the collars and faces are more fully lit, the bone structure of the heads given an almost blade like exactness without recourse to line. It is in the face of such accomplishment that one views with some dismay a quite vacuous portrait of the 4th Earl of Haddington (no. 127) which must date from about this time.

A portrait also of 1637, and one of the most expressive of character of all Jamesone's portraits is that of Sir William Nisbet of Dean (no. 120, Plate 34), which shows the fat ruddy-faced burgher just about to smile - with perhaps rather coarse humour; every form has a quality of consistent roundness, the whole treated with exactly that freedom that one can see in the Montrose of almost a decade earlier. Indicative perhaps of a more mundane aspect of patronage is the Jacobean importance given to the large coat of arms placed unceremoniously in the top right quarter of the picture. Here, if in any painting done in Britain in this decade, is a thoroughly native spirit, something which anticipates the direct, earthy quality of the Tradescant portraits at Oxford, particularly that of John Tradescant the Younger, as a Gardener. (104) Jamesone however could never leave his formula far enough behind, or greatly vary it, to be able to produce portraits as brilliantly eccentric, as formally uninhibited, as freshly moving as this.

How this burst of activity might have continued had the political situation in the country not deteriorated so disast-
rously is difficult to say. Jamesone was now a rich man and his activity would perhaps have lessened for this reason. His pre-eminence in producing what the limited sensibilities of his patrons required apparently inhibited the appearance of other portrait painters. Some of the large number of decorative painters may well have been capable of developing in this direction and were perhaps responsible for the spasmodic outbreaks of paintings, which although close to Jamesone, cannot be accepted as by him. Yet throughout the twenty-four years of his active life, written records point to only two other painters capable of portraiture, the painter already discussed, called starkly by his patron the Earl of Winton 'Adame', and Jamesone's own apprentice Michael Wright. For this reason one of the most intriguing contemporary documents\(^{105}\) in the present context is that one which just fails to reveal the information sought for: that is the letter from Sir John Grant of Freuchie written at the end of 1634 to Jamesone's former master John Anderson in which Grant arranges the return of four portraits that Anderson had 'mowlerit' (repaired). The four portraits cannot be equated with any existing ones.

The political situation, however, blurred these possibilities inherent in Jamesone's abilities and the times. The upheaval, as has been seen, struck Aberdeen harder than most other parts of the country.\(^{106}\) In the circumstances that the Privy Council roll of delinquents of 1641 aptly summarises, the
demand for portraiture dwindled. With the repeated deaths of all his sons, there may also be a sense in which Jamesone's own vitality dried up. This is what one is tempted to read into the vague, ephemeral, later Henderson portraits (nos. 135 and 136). The two Glenorchy portraits of 1641 and 1642, **Sir Robert Campbell** (no. 138) and his son **Sir John Campbell** (no. 139, Plate 37) do represent a rallying, which perhaps stresses the psychological aspect of Jamesone's deterioration, for they after all represented a link with the near past of Sir Colin Campbell and the paintings which his patronage inspired.

The period seems to end in fragments. Two portraits alone represent the last two years of Jamesone's life: that of **Anne, Countess of Lothian** (no. 141, Plate 38), and one which is almost certainly the companion portrait of her husband (no. 142, Plate 39), both dated 1644. In this, the first climactic year of the revolution it was perhaps only a family like the Lothians, whose taste ran in the direction of Continental painters like Louis Ferdinand Elle and Mierveld, (107) who would have a strong enough interest in art to continue seeking pictures. Jamesone, though his talents had dissipated, was perhaps the only painter available. The portraits in question are loosely constructed, broadly painted but flat, latent faults in the drawing of earlier years no longer latent. There is a sense of breaking up; his art, perhaps from different
causes, symbolises the breaking up of the social order of these years.

The last record of Jamesone as a living man\(^{(108)}\) seems to continue the symbolism: a damaged baptismal entry from which his child's name has vanished, a record where Jamesone appears for the last time identified by only two syllables of his name; clearly identified indeed only by the presence of the name of Isobel Tosche, whom Jamesone himself had perhaps seen as part of that legendary status he had attained in his own lifetime.
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A painter whose name does not appear in the Master of Works Accounts (he was presumably employed solely by Edinburgh) was one of the first on the scene; a Council minute of 27 March 1633 reads: 'Ordanis the deane of gild to admit and ressaive Gawin Sym, painter, to the libertie of ane burges gratis without payment of anie thing and that at the earnest desire of the Lord Lorne' (Extracts from the Records of the Burgh of Edinburgh 1626 to 1641 (as cited), p. 121).

See Documents, no. 91.

(74) Ibid., pp. 318 and 325 (SRO, Vol. XXV, ff. 19 and 31).

(75) Ibid., p. 329 (SRO, Vol. XXV, f. 38 v).

(76) Ibid., p. 314 (SRO, Vol. XXV, f. 9 v).

(77) Ibid., p. 443 (SRO, Vol. XIII, f. 19 v), an account for work done at Stirling Castle in 1617, where he is called 'Englischman'; see also Ibid., p. 314 (SRO, Vol. XXV, f. 9 v).


(79) ER, Town Treasurers Accounts, p. 858.


(82) See Documents, no. 37.

(83) See Documents, no. 86 (1).

(84) A painter called William Jameson (presumably a Scot) is recorded in London during the reign of Edward VI (see Roy Strong: 'More Tudor Artists', The Burlington Magazine, Vol. CVIII, pp. 83-85); this is conceivably the same William Jamesone who with his 'child' was painting banners for the 'Kingis schippis' in 1512: he was working with an Alexander Chalmer (Accounts of the Lord High Treasurer of Scotland, Edinburgh (1902) p. 295). It is interesting to note that Document 86 (1) was written by a student in London, George Chalmers, clearly an intimate of Jamesone's daughter.

(85) See Documents, no. 78 (25-30).
See The Black Book of Taymouth with other papers from the Breadalbane Charter Room, Edinburgh (1855), p. 75. These 'portraits' were dispersed at a sale in Invereuril House, 3 March 1969.


Mauritshuis, no. 250 (similar to that in the Wallace Collection).

See Documents, no. 39.

See Documents, no. 42.

See Documents, nos. 43 and 72; see also pp. 63-65 above.

See Documents, no. 46.

SRO, GD/112/22/4.

Mauritshuis, no. 750.

cf. The Neaf Family Tree, dated 1616 'Apud Edinburgh' in possession of the Statens Historiska Museum, Stockholm (exhibited 'Scots in Sweden' exhibition, Edinburgh 1962, no. 10; it measures 88 x 67 cm.

See Documents, no. 48.


In possession of Sir Edmund Bacon, Gainsborough, Lincolnshire; see Whinney and Millar, *op. cit.*, p. 79 and Plate 21a.
In possession of the Duke of Hamilton, at Lennoxlove. The signature seems to have been deliberately erased at some time and changed to 'Cornelius Jansen'; it is far more like the work of Jackson than Johnson.

In Ham House; see Guide published by Victoria and Albert Museum (1959), pp. 49-50.

In possession of the Earl of Haddington, at Tyninghame; on canvas, 28 x 33 in.

See Documents, no. 55.

See Julius S. Held: 'Rubens and Vorsterman', The Art Quarterly, Vol. XXII (no. 2, 1969), pp. 111-129, for a convincing discussion of this idea, with reference to Van Dyck's portrait of Vorsterman in Lisbon, Vorsterman's own engraving after it and Van Dyck's etching of Vorsterman for his Iconographia; he concludes - '. . . what is inescapable is the conclusion that Vorsterman used various devices to look like Rubens.'


See Documents, no. 93 (a and b).

See pp. 69-75 above.

SRO, GD 40/Portfolio XVIII/1/2 and 22.

See Documents, no. 68.
Documents

The documents are arranged chronologically. As documents of a certain date may contain references to other important dates, the latter are listed in the appropriate place, with a cross-reference to the actual source. The majority are from manuscript sources, but for the sake of completeness those which are from published sources are included. In some of the longer documents, especially the legal ones, a good deal of compression and summarising has been necessary. The usual order in each section is: the document itself, either complete, or in an abridged form with actual quotation indicated; notes and cross references; the source. The documents are preceded by a summary table.
Summary Table of Documents

The headings given below are not intended to indicate the precise nature of the documents but to summarise briefly the relevant information contained in them.

A. Documents relative to George Jamesone

1. 6 August 1573
   Apprenticeship of George Jamesone's father.

2. 17 August 1585
   Marriage of George Jamesone's parents.

3. 27 May 1586
   Acquisition by his father of a house on the north side of the Schoolhill of Aberdeen.

4. 27 May 1586
   A feu-duty granted by his father from the above house.

5. 30 July 1586
   Baptism of daughter, Elspeth, born to Jamesone's parents.

6. 17 October 1588
   Baptism of son, David, born to Jamesone's parents.

7. 9 May 1591
   Baptism of son, William, born to Jamesone's parents.
8. 3 December 1607
A life-rent granted to Jamesone's mother of two houses, that on the north side and another on the south side of the Schoolhill.

9. 3 December 1607
Grant of the house on the south side of the Schoolhill to Andrew, Jamesone's elder brother.

10. 3 December 1607
Grant of the house on the north side of the Schoolhill to Jamesone.

11. 27 May 1612
Apprenticeship of George Jamesone in Edinburgh.

12. 26 November 1617
Acquisition by Jamesone of a house in the Green of Aberdeen.

13. 19 May 1623
Proprietor's right of reversion in above house assigned to David Anderson, Jamesone's uncle.

14. 12 March 1624
David Anderson redeems this property from Jamesone.

15. 12 March 1624
Formal grant of the property to Anderson.

16. 12 November 1624
First calling of banns between Jamesone and Isobel Tosche.
17. **25 January 1625**
Jamesone declared heir to his late brother Andrew in the house on the south side of the Schoolhill.

18. **25 January 1625**
Joint entry by Jamesone and Isobel Tosche into the two houses in the Schoolhill, Jamesone as heir to his brother, Isobel in terms of a marriage contract.

19. **7 June 1627**
Jamesone's wife Isobel inherits a house in the Overkirkgate.

20. **7 June 1627**
Joint entry by Jamesone and his wife into this house.

21. **7 June 1627**
Acquisition by Jamesone of a third house in the Schoolhill, next to the 'kirkludge'.

22. **27 July 1629**
Baptism of a son, William, born to Jamesone and his wife.

23. **3 - 5 November 1629**
Payments for portrait of Montrose.

24. **26 May 1630**
Acquisition by Jamesone of a house in the Castlegate.

25. **27 October 1630**
Baptism of son, Paul, born to Jamesone and his wife.
26. **6 January 1631**
Burial of one of Jamesone's children.

27. **20 January 1631**
Burial of another of Jamesone's children.

28. **- 1631, 32**
Mortification by Jamesone of £70 for upkeep of a minister at Futtie.

29. **- 1632, 33**
Payments for work done in St Giles, Edinburgh.

30. **23 January 1633**
Delivery by Jamesone of his late brother William's books to the Council of Aberdeen.

31. **31 January 1633**
Baptism of a son, George, born to Jamesone and his wife.

32. **23 August 1633**
Payments to Jamesone by the Council of Edinburgh.

33. **26 August 1633**
Jamesone admitted burgess of Edinburgh.

34. **28 August 1633**
Jamesone entered as burgess.

35. **29 October 1633**
Acquisition by Jamesone of the lands of Fechil.
36. 29 October 1633
Grant of part of Fechil to his wife.

37. Post-July 1633
Jamesone visits London with Alexander Jaffray.

38. 25 October 1634
Jamesone agrees to paint pictures for Sir Colin Campbell.

39. 28 October 1634
His prices and conditions for painting portraits.

40. 30 January 1635
Burial of one of Jamesone's children.

41. 15 March 1635
Completion of a number of portraits for Sir Colin Campbell.

42. 1 May 1635
Jamesone occupant of part of a house in the High Street of Edinburgh.

43. 13 May 1635
Lease of the Playfield in Aberdeen granted to Jamesone.

44. 29 May 1635
Acquisition by Jamesone of the feu-duty on his house on the north side of the Schoolhill and of part of the close next to it.
45. **12 June 1635**
   Baptism of son, Andrew, born to Jamesone and his wife.

46. **13 October [1635]**
   Letter from Jamesone to Sir Colin Campbell.

47. **- 1635**
   Portraits painted by Jamesone for Sir Colin Campbell.

48. **6 April 1636**
   Michael Wright apprenticed to Jamesone.

49. **23 June [1636]**
   Letter from Jamesone to Sir Colin Campbell.

50. **24 June 1636**
   Letter from Archibald Campbell to Sir Colin Campbell, enclosing Jamesone's letter.

51. **18 August 1636**
   Baptism of son, Alexander, born to Jamesone and his wife.

52. **23 January 1638**
   Robert Gordon of Straloch assigns his rights in Fechil to his son John.

53. **20 and 27 July 1638**
   Portrait of Sir Thomas Hope.

54. **6 February 1639**
   Baptism of daughter, Elizabeth, born to Jamesone and his wife.
55. 20 March 1639
Jamesone sent on mission to the Earl Marischal.

56. 19 May 1640
Renunciation by Jamesone of his rights in the lands of Fechil.

57. 19 May 1640
Renunciation by Isobel Tosche of her rights in the lands of Fechil.

58. 10 June 1640
Jamesone accused of being an anti-Covenanter and sent to Edinburgh.

59. 1 December 1640
Conditional release of Jamesone in Edinburgh: the course of his imprisonment detailed.

60. - 1641
His name included in a roll of delinquents.

61. 13 September 1641
Burial of one of Jamesone's children.

62. 5 October 1641
Burial of another of Jamesone's children.

63. 8 October 1641
Baptism of daughter, Isobel, born to Jamesone and his wife.
64. **5 November 1641**
Acquisition by Jamesone from James Tosche of a house in the Guestraw.

65. **7 June 1642**
Jamesone still tenant of a lodging in the High Street of Edinburgh.

66. **6 September 1643**
Charter granted to Jamesone by the Earl of Erroll of the lands of Esslemont.

67. **12 September 1643**
Sasine of these lands given to Jamesone.

68. **17 - 23 July 1644**
Baptism of a daughter, ?Mary, born to Jamesone and his wife.

69. **11 December 1644**
Marjory, Isobel and Mary Jamesone served heirs to their late father in the lands of Esslemont.

70. **4 January 1645**
Sasine of the lands of Esslemont given to these three daughters.

71. **6 January 1645**
Elizabeth, Isobel and Mary Jamesone inherit the house by the 'kirkludge', the house on the north side of the Schoolhill and the house in the Guestraw.
72. **15 January 1645**
Acquisition by John Alexander, Jamesone's son-in-law, of the Playfield.

73. **12 September 1645**
Burial of one of Jamesone's children.

74. **2 January 1650**
Jamesone mentioned as former tenant of a lodging in the High Street of Edinburgh.

75. **15 September 1653**
Disposal by Marjory and Mary of the house in the Guestraw.

76. **17 July 1655**
Disposal by Marjory and Mary of the house on the south side of the Schoolhill.

77. **16 February 1656**
Marjory and Mary confirmed in $\frac{1}{3}$ of the lands of Essle: mont.

78. Appearances of George Jamesone at baptisms in Aberdeen between 1628 and 1643.

79 (a) Poem by Arthur Johnston to Jamesone, regarding Anna Campbell - 1632.

(b) Epigrams by William Forbes to Jamesone, regarding Sir John Scot of Scotstarvet - 21 June 1642.

(c) Poem by David Wedderburn on the death of George Jamesone.
80. **22 September 1608**  
Presumed birth of Isobel Tosche.

81. **12 June 1649**  
Re-marriage of Jamesone's widow.

82. **16 April 1667**  
Financial contract with a relative of her second husband.

83. **12 October 1680**  
Burial of Isobel Tosche.

84(a-h) **12 April 1664 - 1 February 1687**  
Eight documents relative to her two marriages, the death of her first husband, the deaths of four children and her own death.

85. **9 January 1645**  
Birth of first child born to Marjory and John Alexander.

86(a-o) **24 June 1661 - 30 June 1683**  
Fifteen documents on financial affairs, mainly the borrowing of sums of money.
E. Documents relative to John Anderson

87. 6 October 1601
Admission of Anderson as burgess of Aberdeen.

88. 8 May 1611
Admission of Anderson as burgess of Edinburgh.

89. 8 May 1611
Payments from the Council of Edinburgh.

90(a-c) 25 March 1617; 3 June 1617; 16 June 1617
Employment at Falkland Palace and Edinburgh Castle.

91. 8 July 1633
Employment at Holyrood.

92. 9 September 1634
Employment in St Nicholas Kirk, Aberdeen

93(a-b) 7 December 1634; 10 December 1634
Correspondence with Sir John Grant of Freuchie concerning portraits and decorative painting at Ballachastell.

94. 20 December 1634
Burial of Anderson's wife.

95. 14 May 1638
Requests payment from Sir James Grant of Freuchie.

96(a-b) 2 June 1647; 21 June 1649
Disposal of two properties in Aberdeen.
A. A Chronological Series of Documents relative to
George Jamesone

1. 6 August 1573

At Abirdene the sext day of August the zeir of god
[15 hundred] sevintie & thre zeiris It is appoyntit contractit
and finalie endit betuix ane honorable man Gilbert Mengzes of
Cowlie on the ane part and Androw Bethlem masoun & freman of
this burt (burgh) of Abirdene on the wther part in maneir follow-
ing That is to say the said Gilbert hes boundin & conducit
Androw Jamesoun sone naturall to vmyquhill Wilzeam Jamesoune
with his awin consent & assent with the said Androw Bethleam as
prenteis to him of the masoun croft to serve him lelely (loyally)
treulie and obedientlie as becumis ane servand to do to his
maister for the space of sevin zeiris nixt & immediatlie following
the dait of ther presentis within the quhilk space the said
Androw Bethleam obleisis him faythfullie to schaw lerne &
instruct the said Androw Jamesoune in the haill poynits of the
masoune croft as becumis ane gud master to do vunto his servand
and sall find him meitt sufficientlie induring the said space.
And the said Androw Jamesoun obleisis him faythfullie to serf
the said Androw Bethleam for the space off twa zeiris nixt &
immediatlie following the ischee & end of the said sevin zeiris
for meit and fee. The quhilk fee extendis zeirlie ilk zeir
of the said twa zeiris to the sowme of sax merkis money forsaid.
And the said Gilbert Menzes of Cowlie is becum cautionar &
souertie for the remanying of the said Androw Jamesoune with the
said Androw Bethleam the space forsaid and alswa for his lawtie &
gud seruice. For the quhilk causis the said Gilbert byndis &
obligis him to resoume content & pay to the said Androw
Bethleam the sowme of ten poundis vsuall money of Scotland.
Thairof fyve poundis to be payit in hand at the making heirof
to said Androw And the vther fyve poundis in haill & compleit
payment of the forsaid sowme of ten poundis within zeir & day
eftir the dait of ther presentis. In witness of the quhilk
baytht the saidis parteis hes subscriuit this present appoyntment &
contract of prentischeip with thair handis day zeir & place
forsaid Befoir thir witnessis Alexander Chalmer Vilzeam Schand
and maister Thomas Malysone with wtheris dyuers Sic subscribitur
Gilbert Menzes of Cowlie with my hand Androw Bethleem with my
hand at the pen led be maister Johnne Kennedy notar publict.

City of Aberdeen Records (Town Clerk), Register of Contracts,
1569-1575, Vol. I, pp. 94-95. Printed with minor inaccuracies in

2. **17 August 1585**

Thair is promess of mareage betuix
Andro Jameson
Mariore Anderson in 17 August 1585
The numbers refer to calling of banns: the date is the date of marriage.

GRO(S), Parochial Registers, Aberdeen 168A, Vol. 12, under date. This, with nos. 5, 16, 22, 25-27, 40 and 61 are printed with minor variations in Analecta Scotica, Edinburgh (1834), First Series, pp. 289-290.

3. 27 May 1586

Instrument of sasine, whereby it is made known that in 'anno . . . millesimo quingentesimo octuagesimo sexto, mensis vero Maij die vigesimo septimo . . . Andreas Watsoun carpentarius liber artifex burgi de Aberdein' resigned 'Totam et integram terram suam anteriorem tam subitus quam supra cum pertineñ jaceñ infra dictum burgum in vico Scholari ex boreali parte eiusdem vici Inter terram Dauidis Indeaucht ex orientali ex vici terram olim Adami Mayr nunc vero heredum quondam magistri Vilhelmi Carmichaell ex occidentali partibus ab altera Terram Interiorem dicti Andree Watsoun versus boream et communem viam regiam versus austrum', into the hands of 'Alexandri Chalmer de Cultis', bailie: this for giving sasine to 'Andree Jamesoun latomo libero artifici dicti burgi suis heredibus et assignatis'.

Consequently the bailie gave sasine to 'prefato Andree Jamesoun personaliter acceptanti', of the foreland, but excluding that 'passagio et Introitu sub eadem quo Ingruditur ad dictam
terram Interiorem'. Sasine took place 'super fundo dicte terre horam circiter duodecimam in Meridie' before these witnesses:
'Dauide Andersoun magistro Wilhelmo Andersoun eius filio Dauide Endeaucht Alexandro Mollesoun Dauide Low burge dicti burgi Gilberte Willox fabro lignario Gilberte Black vietore et Alexandro Rolland vno sergeandorum dicti burgi . . .'.
Expeding notary Thomas Mollesone.


4. 27 May 1586

Instrument of sasine of same date as no. 3, whereby
Andrew Jamesone, mason, grants 'Totam et integram vnam feudifirmam [i.e. a feu-duty] annuam duodecim marcarum' from the land described in no. 3 above, to Andrew Watson: in the same general form and before the same witnesses as no. 3.


5. 30 July 1586

The penult day Julij 1586 Andro Jameson Marioe Anderson doithar in mareage callit Elspaitt James Robertson Edward Donaldson Elspat Cultes Elspait Mydalton witnesses
Unless otherwise indicated, documents of this nature refer to baptisms rather than births.


6. 17 October 1588

The xvii day October 1588 Androw Jameson Mariore Anderson sone in mareage callit David David Anderson William Wederburne Mariore Endieche Isbell Red witnesses

Ibid.

7. 9 May 1591

The ix day May 1591 Andro Jameson Mariore Anderson sone in mareage callit William William Hay Jon Sanderis Margaret Anderson Isbell Loremer witnessis

Ibid.

8. 3 December 1607

Instrument of sasine, whereby it is made known that in 'anno . . . millesimo Sexcentesimo septimo, mensis vero Decembris die tertio . . . Andreas Jamesoun latomus' resigned, firstly 'Totam et Integram terram suam anteriorem tam subtus quam supra cum pertinentiis Jaceñ infra dictum burgum (Aberdeen, but not
in fact mentioned before) in vico Scholari ex boreali parte eisudem vici Inter terram anteriorem quondam Daudis Indeaucht nec vero Roberti Forbes commendatarii de Monymusk ex orientali ex vna, terram quondam Adami Mair ex occidentali partibus ab altera, terram Interiorem Andree Watsoun fabri lignarii versus boream et communem viam regiam versus austrum; and secondly 'terram suam de novo adificatam cespitibus coopertam de presente Inhabitab per dictum Andream Jaceñ in australi latere dicti vici Scholaris Inter terram quondam Joannis Robertsoun nunc vero [blank] ex occidentali ex vice cimenterium dicti burgi ex australi partibus ab altera et communes vias regias versus oriens et boream', into the hands of 'magistri Thome Mengzis vnius ballivorum dicti burgi': this for giving sasine 'in gratiam et favorem dilecte sponsa sui Meriorie Andersoun in vitali redditu (i.e. in liferent) pro omnibus vite sui diebus'.

Consequently the bailie gave sasine of the two lands to the said 'Meriorie personaliter acceptanti in vitali redditu'. Sasine took place at 'horam secundem pomeridiana aut eo circa' before these witnesses: 'magistro Vilhelmo Andersoun magistro Patricio Skein Joanne Vrquhard Andrea Houatt burgensibus dicti burgi et Thome Mollesoun vno serjeandorum eiusdem ...'. Expediting notary Thomas Mollesone.

The first of these two properties is the same as that described in no. 3.

9. 3 December 1607

Instrument of sasine of same date as no. 8, whereby it is made known that Andrew Jamesone, mason, resigned 'Totam et Integram terram suam de novo adificatam cespitibus coopertam de presente per dictum Andream Inhabitaec Jacee infra dictum burgum in vico Scholari ex australi parte eiusdem vici Inter terram quondam Joannis Robertsoun nunc vero heredum quondam Thome Straquhan ex occidentali ex vice, cimeterum ecclesie parochialis divi Nicolai dicti burgi ex australi partibus ab altera et communes vias regias versus boream et oriens' in same manner as no. 8, for giving hereditary sasine 'in gratiam et favoram delecti filii sui senioris legitimi Andree Jamesoun suorum heredum et assignatorum . . . Reseruando liberum tenementum proficiuim et vsum fructuum dicte terre prefato Andree resignanti et Meriorie Andersoun eiu sponse eorumque alteri diutius viventi pro omnibus vite eorumdem diebus'.

Consequently the bailie gave sasine to 'Andree Jamesoun Juveniori personaliter acceptanti' with the above reservation. Sasine took place at the time, and before the witnesses given in no. 8.

Expeding notary Thomas Mollesone.

This is the second property described in no. 8.

Ibid., ff. 40 - 40 v.
10. 3 December 1607

Instrument of sasine of same date as nos. 8 and 9, whereby it is made known that Andrew Jamesone, mason, resigned 'Totam et Integram terram suam anteriorem cum pertinentiis tegulis coopertam Jaceñ infra dictum burgum in vico Scholari ex boreali parte eiusdem vici Inter terram olim quondam Dauidis Endeaucht nunc vero Roberti Forbes commendatarii de Monymusk ex orientali ex vna, terram quondam Adami Mair ex occidentali partibus ab altera, terram Interiorum Andree Watsoun carpentarii versus boream et communem regiam versus austrum' in same manner as no. 8, for giving hereditary sasine 'in favorem delecti filii sui secundo geniti Georgii Jamesoun suorum heredum et assignatorum . . .': with the same reservation as in no. 9 above - 'ac etiam soluendo annuatim dicto Andree Watsoun suis heredibus et assignatis vnam feudifirmam annuam [blank] vsualis monete regni Scotie que antea solui solebat Alexandro Rutherford proposito dicti burgi'.

Consequently the bailie gave sasine to 'Georgio Jamesoun personaliter acceptanti' with the above reservation and burden. Sasine took place at the time, and before the witnesses given in no. 8.

Expeding notary Thomas Mollesone.

This is the property described in no. 3 and the first of the two described in no. 8. The fixed feu-duty left blank was of 12 merks: see no. 4.

Ibid., ff. 40 v. - 41.
11. 27 May 1612

Vigesimo septimo Maij [1612]

The quhilk day in presens of Richard Dobie dene of gild and the gild counsell George Jamesoune sone to Andro Jamesone burges in Aberdeine enteris prenteis to Johne Andersone paynter for aught zeiris conforme to thir Indentors schawen and payit of entres silver xiiij s. iiiij d.


12. 26 November 1617

Instrument of sasine, whereby it is made known that in 'anno . . . millesimo sexcentesimo decimo septimo mensis vero Nouembris die vigesimo sexto . . . Alexander Jamesoune vestiarius burgeñ de Aberdein' resigned 'cum expressis consensu et assensu Katherine Oglay eius sponse, Totam et integram illud tenementum cum horto et pertineñ quod olim pertinebat ad quondam Alexandrum Oglay tinctorem Jaceñ infra dictum burgum in Viridi (i.e. the Green) de presente occupat per dictum Alexandrum Jamesoun et eius sponsam Inter terram heredum quondam Walteri Watsoun ex boreali ex vna terram quondam Alexandri Harper textoris nunc vero Andree Harper eius filii ex australi partibus ab altera terram
magistri Patricii Dvn versus oriens et communem viam regiam
versus occidens', into the hands of 'Georgii Nicolsoun' bailie:
this for giving sasine, under reversion, 'in gratiam favorem
Georgii Jamesoune pictoris filii senioris legitimii quondam
Andree Jamesoune latomi . . . Redimen tamen de manibus dicti
Georgii . . . per dictum Alexandrum Jamesoune resignantem et
dictum Katherinum Oglay eius sponsam . . . per solutionem aut
consignationem summe centum mercarum . . .'.

Consequently the bailie gave sasine to 'Dauidi Andersoun
Juveniori burgen dicti burgi procuratori et eo nomine dicti
Georgii Jamesoune'. Sasine took place 'hora nono antemeridiem'
before these witnesses: 'Magistri Jacobo Ross Minister verbi
dei apud ecclesiam dicti burgi Patricio Skein Andree Cant Incolis
eiusdem et Wilhelmo Kay vno sergeandorum eiusdem . . .'.
Expeiding notary Thomas Mollesone.

City of Aberdeen Records (Town Clerk), Register of Sasines,
Vol. XXXIV, ff. 29 - 29 v.

13. 19 May 1623

Date on which Alexander Jamesone assigned his right of
reversion of the property described in no. 12 above to
David Anderson. See no. 14 for source of this date.
14. 12 March 1624

'The Quhilk day in presence of Mr Alexander Cullen Baillie compeirit personallie George Jamesone paynter eldest lawfull sone to vmquhill Andro Jamesoun measone Indwellar in Aberdein And grantit and confessit him to haue ressavit from David Andersone burges of the said burght Assignay lawfullie consti¬tute be Alexander Jamesone tailzier Indwellar thatrof in and to the reversioun and redemption eftir specifiat be vertew of ane act Inactit in this present auditorie the nyntein day of Maii last bypast all and haill the soume of ane hundreth merkis . . . And that for the lawfull redemption . . . be the said David . . . frome the said George off all and haill that tenement of land with yard and pertinentis quhilk sumtyme pertenit to vmquhill Alexander Oglay litstar Lyand within the said burght in the Greyn Betuixt the land of the aires of vmquhill Walter Watson at the north the land of Andro Harper at the south the land of Mr Patrick Dwn at the east and the kingis comoun gett at the west . . .'.

George Jamesone then renounces his rights in the property into the hands of the bailie in favour of David Anderson. Redemption signed by: 'George Jamesone Alexander Cullen Baillie'.

This is the property of which Jamesone had taken sasine in no. 12. City of Aberdeen Records (Town Clerk), Baillie &c. Court Book from 19 May 1621 to 8 June 1624, Vol. 50, pp. 871 – 872.
15. **12 March 1624**

Instrument of sasine of this date whereby it is made known that 'Juvenis Georgius Jamesone pictor filius legitimus primo genitus quondam Andree Jamesone latomi Incole burgi de Aberden' resigned the property as described in no. 12 into the hands of Alexander Cullen, bailie: this for giving hereditary sasine 'In gratiam fauorem dilecti sui avunculi Dauidis Andersone . . .' who had become 'assignatum legitime constitut ad reversionem eiusdem per Alexandrum Jamesone vestiarium . . .' as entered 'in Libris curie Ballivorum dicti burgi de data presentium'.

Consequently the bailie gave sasine to 'memorato Dauidi Andersone personaliter acceptanti . . . super fundo dicti tenementi horam circiter quartam pomeridianam' before these witnesses: 'Gilberto Willemsone textore Gulielmi Huntar fabro ferario burger de Aberden Patricio Smyth notario publico Ibidem et Roberto Sleith vno seriandorum dicti burgi . . ..'.

Expeding notary Walter Robertson.

City of Aberdeen Records (Town Clerk), Register of Sasines, Vol. XXXVI, f. 2.
16. **12 November 1624**

Under a general heading 'Ane promeiss of mariagis Betuix . . .

the 12 of November [1624]

1 Georg Jamesoun e Issobell Tosche'.

This is not a date of marriage. The '1' refers to the first calling of banns; subsequently a '2' and a '3' would be entered either before or after the '1': no such entries have been made. See no. 18.

GRO(S), Parochial Registers, Aberdeen 168A, Vol. 12, under date.

17. **25 January 1625**

Declaration by a jury 'quod quondam Andreas Jamesone filius senior quondam Andree Jameson latomi Incole burgi de Aberdein frater germanus Georgii Jamesone pictoris latoris presentium Obiit ad pacem et fidem (of the sovereign) . . . In tota et integra illa terra cespitibus cooperta Jacen infradictum burgum in vico Scholari ex australi latere dicti vici Inter terram quondam Joannis Robertson postea quondam Thome Straquhan et nunc Joannis Caddell ex occidentali parte cimeterium ecclesie parochialis divi Nicolai ex australi parte et communes vias regias versus boream et oriens In qua terra obiit ultimo vestitus et sasitus vt de feodo Et quod
dictus Georgius Jamesone est legitimus et propinquior heres prefati quondam Andree Jameson sui fratris de dicta terra cum pertineñ Et quod est legitime etatis . . .'.

This refers to the same property described in the second half of no. 8. Among the fifteen members of the jury were John Anderson and William Jamesone.

City of Aberdeen Records (Town Clerk), Baillie and Guild Court Acts (Council Register), Vol. 51, p. 129.

18. 25 January 1625

Instrument of sasine whereby it is made known that in 'anno . . . millesimo sexcentesimo vigesimo quinto, mensis vero Januarij die vigesimo quinto . . . Thomas Colinsone vnus Ballivorum burgi de Aberdeñ ad specialem et humilem supplicationem probe et Ingenui Juvenis Georgii Jamesone pictoris heredis legitime deserviti quondam Andree Jamesone fratris sui germani . . . accessit personaliter cum dicto Georgio ad illam terram de novo adificatam cespitibus coopertam (as described in no. 17 above) in qua dictus quondam Andreas legitime Infeodatus et sasitus fuit per Resignationem quondam Andree Jamesone latomi Incole dicti burgi sui patris . . . ' and gave hereditary sasine to 'prefato Georgio Jamesone personaliter presenti et acceptanti
George Jamesone then resigned 'pro per impletione certe partis sui contractus matrimonialis cum Issobella Toshe filia legitima quondam Alexandri Toshe burgen de Aberdein, ac Intuita matrimonii inter ipsos celebrandi' the land described, as well as 'Terram suam anteriorem tegulis coopertam cum pertinein Jacen infra dictum burgum in dicto vico Scholari ex Boreali parte eiusdem vici, Inter terram quondam Daudis Endeauch nunc vero heredum quondam Thome Forbes de Rubislaw ex Orientali ex vna, Terram quondam Adami Mair ex Occidental partibus ab altera, Terram interiorem quondam Andree Watsone carpentarii nunc vero Jeanne Liddell versus Boream et communem viam regiam versus austrum' into the hands of 'Thome Colinsone' bailie: this for giving hereditary sasine 'necon conjunctam Infeodationem earundem sibi ipsi Georgio Jameson Resignanti et dicti Issobell Toshe eius future coniugi iam in sua pura virginitate existen eorumque alteri diutius viventi . . . et heredibus inter ipsos legitime procreandis Quibus deficientibus heredibus propinquioribus legitimis ipsius Georgii et suis assignatis quibuscumque'.

Consequently the bailie gave sasine of the two lands in conjunct infeftment to 'Georgio Jamesone personaliter presenti et acceptanti necnon Jacobo Toshe burgen dicti burgi patrus ac procuratori et eo nomine dicte Issobelle Toshe future sponse ipsius Georgii . . . pro per impletione illius partis dicti contractus matrimonialis et Intuita matrimonii de super inter ipsos solemnizandi et non alias aliter neque alio modo'.
Sasine took place 'super fundo predictarum terrarum respective et successive hora secunda pomeridiana aut eo circa' before these witnesses: 'Gulielmo Gordon Patricio Smyth burgensibus dicti burgi Thoma Allan servitore Georgii Pyper fabri lignari Joanne Bartar et Roberto Sleith duobus seriandorum eiusdem . . .'.

Expediting notary Walter Robertson.

The second property is that described and referred to in no. 10.

City of Aberdeen Records (Town Clerk), Register of Sasines, Vol. XXXVI, ff. 71 v - 72 v.

19. 7 June 1627

Instrument of sasine, whereby it is made known that in 'anno . . . millesimo sexcentesimo vigesimo septimo, mensis vero Junii die septimo . . . Joannes Mortimer vnus Balliuorum burgi de Aberdeñ, ad specialem et humilem supplicationem probe femine Issobella Tosche sponse Georgii Jamesone burgeñ dicti burgi, Sororis germane a c heredis quondam Elizabethe Tosche vnius duarum coheredum quondam Alexandri Toshe burgeñ de Aberdeñ de dimedietate terre anterioris subscript, accessit personaliter cum dicta Issobella Tosche ad illam terram anteriorem dictis Issobelle et Elizabethe Tosches iure hereditario incumbeñ tanquam coheredibus dicti quondam Alexandri Toshe earum patris Jaceñ infra dictum burgum in vico Superiore Ecclesie ex Boreali parte eiusdem vici, Inter terram anteriorem
heredum quondam Henrici Robertsoni calcearii ex orientali ex
vna, Terram quondam Joannis Arthure nunc vero heredum quondam
Magistri Ricardi Irvynng ex occidentali partibus ab altera,
Terram Interiorem et Claustrum Roberti Alexander versus Boream,
et communem viam regiam versus austrum', and gave hereditary
sasine to 'Issobellam Toshe tanquam veram legitimam et prop-
inguicorem heredem dicte quondam Elizabethe Tosche . . . de
equali dimedietate predicte terre . . . cognovit per ly hesp
et steppill . . . personaliter presenti et acceptanti'.

Sasine was given, but reserving 'liberum Tenementum
proficium et vsum fructuum predicte terre anteriores subitus
et supra cum pertinei Mariorie Meassone reliete dicti quondam
Alexandri Toshe matrique dicte Issobelle pro omnibus vite
ipsius Mariorie diebus', at 'hora quarta pomeridiana aut eo
circa' before these witnesses: 'Magistro Patricio Skene
Gulielmo Gordone Petro Maitland burgensibus dicti burgi,
Patricio Smyth notario publico ibidem et Joanne Bartar vno
seriandorum eiusdem burgi . . .'.

Expeding notary Walter Robertson.

City of Aberdeen Records (Town Clerk), Register of Sasines,

20. 7 June 1627

Instrument of sasine of same date as no. 19, whereby
it is made known that 'Issobella Tosche sponsa Georgii
Jamesone pictoris burgen de Aberden, resigned 'pro per impletione cuiusdam partis sui contractus matrimonialis cum dicto Georgio Jamesone, ac cum eius consensu', the property as described in no. 19 above, but 'dempto tamen et reservato dicto Roberto Alexander suis heredibus et assignantis possessoribus et occupatoribus dicte terre sue Interioris passagio et Introitu ly Throchgang Intrañ ad dictam Terram Interiorem sub dicta terra anteriore eiusdem altitudinis et latitudinis prout de presenti per dictum Robertum Alexander possidetur', into the hands of 'Magistri Joannis Mortimer vnius Ballivorum dicti burgi': this for giving hereditary sasine 'necnon conjunctam Infeodationem eiusdem dicto Georgio Jamesone suo marito et ipsi Issobelle Tosche resignanti', with the reservation to 'Mariore Meassone' quoted in no. 19 above.

Consequently the balie gave sasine in conjunct infeftment to 'Georgio Jamesone et Issobelle Tosche coniugibus personaliter acceptantibus . . .'. Sasine took place at the time, and before the witnesses given in no. 19.

Expeding notary Walter Robertson.

The position of the house belonging to Robert Alexander, whose passage (or 'Throchgang') is specifically excluded from the above transaction, will be found in no. 19 above.

Ibid., ff. 226 - 226 v.
Instrument of sasine whereby it is made known that in 'anno . . . millesimo sexcentesimo vigesimo septimo, mensis vero Junii die Septimo . . . Alexander Gray burgen de Aberdeñ et divini verbi lector in ecclesia parochiali dicti burgi' resigned 'cum expresso consensu et assensu Jonete Murray eius sponse Totam et integrum illam Terram suam Anteriorem tam subtus quam supra cum pertineñ per eundem a Magistro Duncano Forbes de Balnagask emptam et conquestam, Jaceñ infra dictum burgum in vico Scholari ex australi latere eiusdem vici, Inter terram communitatis dicti burgi vocat ly kirkludge ex australi ex vna, Terram Roberti Alexander ex orientali partibus ab altera et communes vias regias versus boream et occidens', into the hands 'Magistri Joannis Mortimer vnius Balliuorum dicti burgi': this for giving hereditary sasine to 'Georgio Jameson pictori burgen dicti burgi . . .'.

Consequently the bailie gave sasine to 'Georgio Jamesone personaliter acceptanti . . .'. Sasine took place at 'horam paulo post quartam pomeridnæm before these witnesses: 'Patricio Fergusson Patricio Smyth Gulielmo Burrie pellione Thoma Leslie textore burgensibus dicti burgi et Joanne Bartar vno serianorum eiusdem . . .'.

Expeding notary Walter Robertson.

Ibid., ff. 226 v - 227.
22. 27 July 1629

1629 yeeris

George Jamesone & [blank] Toche ane sone baptized

be Mr Robert Baron the 27 day of Julij callet William.

Mr Patrick Done. Robert Alexander. Andrew Meldrom. William
Gordone godfathers

GRO(S), Parochial Registers, Aberdeen 168A, Vol. 2, under date.

23. 3 - 5 November 1629

Maister John Lambyes Compts

... Item for my Lords portrait drawen in Aberdeen, 26 lib. 13sh. 4d.

Item to ane going to Aberdeen for it, 12sh.

... Item December the second day 1629 to ane who brocht my Lords

portrait from Aberdeen, 12sh.

These accounts, while not specifically mentioning Jamesone by

name, must refer to his signed and dated (1629) portrait of

Montrose in Kinnaird Castle (see Catalogue no. 25).

Montrose, Sir John Colquhoun of Luss and his brother Alexander,

and John Graham of Orchill, entered as honorary burgesses of

Aberdeen on 4 November 1629 (see Miscellany of the New Spalding

Club (New Spalding Club, Aberdeen 1890), Vol. I, p. 152,
quoting the Council Register Vol. 51³, pp. 1178 and 1344). Among the five personal servants also entered was 'Mr John Lammye'.

The above extracts are quoted from Memorials of Montrose and his Times (Maitland Club, Edinburgh 1848): editor Mark Napier, Vol. I, p. 199. Of the first two items Napier notes: 'In the MS a line is drawn through these two items relating to the portrait, and on the margin is written, "This was given by Morphie;"'.

Also quoted in Napier's Memoirs of the Marquis of Montrose, Edinburgh, 1856, Vol. I, p. 67. Napier believes that Montrose arrived in Aberdeen on 3 November and left on the 5th - the latter date supported by a payment for five horses. He also assumes that Sir Robert Graham of Morphie gave the portrait as a gift to Montrose's prospective wife, Magdalen Carnegie.

24. 26 May 1630

Instrument of sasine, whereby it is made known that in 'anno ... millesimo Sexcentesimo Trigesimo, mensis vero Maii die vigesimo sexto ... honesti coniuges Alexander Rolland burgen de Aberdeñ et Besseta Tullidaff eius sponsa' resigned 'vnanimi consensu et assensu Totam et integram illam Terram suam anteriorem orientalem tam subtus quam supra cum pertineñ pro presenti per Robertum Straquhan et Issobellam Leyth
occupat Jacen infra dictum burgum in vico Castri ex australi parte eiusdem vici, Inter terram quondam Magistri Georgii Johnestoun nunc vero Wilhelmi Ingrahame ex orientali ex vna, Terram anteriorem occidentalem ipsius Alexandri pro presenti per seipsum occupat ex occidentali partibus ab altera, Terram Interiorem heredum quondam Alexandri Gray versus austrum et communem viam regiam versus Boream', into the hands of 'Thome Colinson vnius Balliuorum dicti burgi': this for giving hereditary sasine to 'Georgio Jameson pictori burgen dicti burgi'.

Consequently the bailie gave sasine to 'Georgio Jamesone personaliter acceptanti ...'. Sasine took place at 'horam circiter sextam vespertinam' before these witnesses: 'Jacobo Colinsone Magistro Joanne Touch Magistro Georgio Robertson burgensibus dicti burgi et Roberto Sleith vno seriandorum eiusdem ...'.

Expeding notary Walter Robertson.


25. 27 October 1630

October 1630 yeeres

George Jamesone & Issobell Toche ane sone baptized

GRO(S), Parochial Registers, Aberdeen 168A, Vol. 2, under date.

26. 6 January 1631

The 6 of Januarie 1631 ane berne of George Jamesouns burit iii lib.

This must refer to either William or Paul.

City of Aberdeen Records (Town Clerk) Kirk & Bridge Work Accounts 1571 - 1670, under date.

27. 20 January 1631

The 20 day of Januarie [1631] ane vther berne of George Jamesouns burit iii lib.

This must refer to either William or Paul.

Ibid.
28. 1631, 32

'. . . ane not of the moneys [word obliterated] mortified to be voluntar contributioun of the nichtborand comburgessis of the burghe of Aberdeine efter named in the yeare of God 1631 and 1632 yeares and appointit to be laid wpoun bank for maintenance of ane of the ministers of the said burghe Who is and sall heireftir be appointit be the prouest Baillies and Counsell thairof for the tyme to serve the cure at the Kir k of Futtie . . .'

There follows a list of fifty-four names and contributions, the highest being from 'Mr Alexander Jaffray baille Sex hundreth thriescoir sex pundis xiii s. iii d.'.

'George Jamiesone - Thriescoir ten pundis'.

City of Aberdeen Records (Town Clerk), Council Register, Vol. 52\(^1\), on leaf inserted at front.

29. 1632, 33

The comptis of Joseph Marjoribankis deyne of gild of the burgh of Edinburgh. The foirst yeir of his office 1632.1633

Item to John Levingstoun for xx bookes of gold and sundrie cullors of payntre and Oyle to paynit the kyngis loft conforme to his compt- \( L \ iiii \ lib. \ xi \ s. \ iiid. \)
Item to George Jamiesones painter his man for paynting the kyngis loft for the spacie of xx dayes xxx lib.

Item to the wrytis for upsetting of the scaffold to the paynter and downetaking thereof againe L iiiis.iiiid.

These entries refer to work done in the 'ald kirk' of Edinburgh, that is, St Giles', at unspecified dates between the terms of Michaelmas (29 September) of the two years. The three items are consecutive.

City of Edinburgh Records, Dean of Guild's Revenue Accounts from Michs. 1626 to Michs. 1720, p. 12.

30. 23 January 1633

The said day in presence of the prouest, baillies, and councell compeirit George Jamesone, painter, burgess of Aberdeine, ar, and executor to umquhill William Jamesone, writtar in Edinburghe, his brother germane, and exponit and declairit, that the said umquhill William, befoir his deceas, left his haill mathematicall instrumentis and bookes in legacie to the toune for the use of the professor of mathematicques within the colledge of the said burghe, and studentis in that professioun present and to come: And conforme thairto the said George delyuerit instantlie the saidis haill instrumentis and bookes,
at the directioun of the magistrattis and counsall, to
Mr William Johnstoun, doctor in phisik, and present professor
of mathematicques within the said colledge, be ane speciall
inventar writtin and subscryveit be the said Mr Williame on
the end of the catalogue of umquhill secretarie Reidis librarie

Extracts from the Council Register of the Burgh of Aberdeen.
1625 - 1642. (Scottish Burgh Records Society, Edinburgh,

A later 17th century copy of this inventory of William
Jamesone's books still exists and is as follows:
'Ane Catalogue of William Jamison's books mortified to the
Library of Abdn
1 Ortellius his Epitome of the world
2 Robert Norman his well attractive
3 Thomas falle his art of Dyalling
4 The Whetstone of Wit
5 Aron Rothburn his Surveying ane old book found
for it.
6 Ane Logarithmicall Trigonometrie of J. Neper of
Marchiston written be his own hand
7 John Norden his Surveigheor
8 Edmund Gunter his description of the use of the Sector
not found
Robert Nortowne his Mathematicall appendix
the description of the proportional Rule written
be the mortifier
Errors in Navigation by Edward Wright not found
John Blackgrave his Mathematicall Jewell
William Bourne his treasure for travellers
Thomas Hill his School of Skill
the castell of [blank] be Robert Record
Pitiscus his Trigonometrie
John Harper his Jewell of Arithmetick
Leonard diggs his panto
Blunderwills exercises not found
Arthurr Hippton his Typographical glass
Thomas Oliveron the plain sphere
Alexr Hunter of Weights and Measures
John Spidell upon Sphericall Triangles
Marke Riddler of Magneticall bodies
A Generall prognostication for qch is found Mer.
Blundeville on the theorie of the Planets
The Treasurers Almanack or monie maister'.
31. **31 January 1633**

31 Januarie [1633]

George Jamesone and Isobell Tosche ane sone his name
George Bap. be Mr Robert Barrone docter of divinitie
George Keyth secound sone to the earle of Mershell Mr Robert
Paiρ Mr Alexander Davidstone Robert Skeyne Mr Robert Martine
John Alexander Georg Wilsone godfathers

GRO(S), Parochial Registers, Aberdeen 168A, Vol. 3, under date.

32. **23 August 1633**

The quhilk day the Proveist baillies dene of gild
thesaurer counsall and deaconis of craftis being
convenit in counsall ordanis the thesaurer to pay to George
Jamiesoun painter for his extraordiner paynes taiken be him
in the Tounes affaires at his Maiesties entrie within this
burgh thriescore dolloris and fyve dolors to his servand in
drinksilver and the same salbe allowit to him in his comptes

City of Edinburgh Records, The Minutes of the Town Council,
Vol. 14, p. 547. Partially quoted in *Extracts from the Records*  
of the Burgh of Edinburgh 1626 to 1641* (edited Marguerite Wood),  

Besides that item quoted in note (87) to Chapter II of the 
present work the only other indication of what Jamesone's
'extraordiner paynes' were must be sought in the general entries in the Treasurer's accounts for this occasion: 'The compter dischairsigies himselff with the sowmes of money debursit the yeir of Accompt towards his Majesties entrie and recepccion within this citie in erecting of padgines propyne banquetit and uther thingis than incident conforme to the severall actis of Counsall ordeaneing the same Item the compter dischairsiges himself with the saidis sowmes of money debursit be him in maner foirsaid conforme to his comptis given in thairanent and Fittit be the Auditores appoyntit be the counsall upoun the 12 of Maiiche 1635 and act of Counsall maid the 13 of the said moneth extending in the haill to the soume of

\[\text{xLi}^{\text{th}} \text{ iii}^{\text{c}} \text{ Lxxxix vii s.} \].

City of Edinburgh Records, Town Treasurer's Accounts from 1623 - 1636, p. 950.

33. 28 August 1633

The same day ordanis the deane of gild to admitt George Jamiesoun painter burges and gild brother of this burgh for payment of the ordiner sowmes of money and to repay the same bak againe & the said soume salbe allowit to him in his comptes

of the Burgh of Edinburgh 1626 - 1641 (edited Marguerite Wood),
Edinburgh (1936), p. 130.

34. 28 August 1633

The same day . . . George Jamesone paynter comperand
is maid Burges and gild brother of this burgh conforme
to ane act of counsell of this dait of thir presentis and hes
gevin his aith in maner abone writtin and hes payit for his
dewtie to the deyne of gild the soume of ane hundred the thriescore sex pundis threttine schillings four pennyis

The Act of Council referred to is no. 33 above which authorises
the Dean of Guild to make the entry in the Guild Register.

City of Edinburgh Records, the Guild Register 1617 to 18 February
1669, under date.  Listed (but inaccurately) in Roll of Edinburgh
Burgesses and Guild-Brethren 1406 - 1700 (edited Charles B.

35. 29 October 1633

Instrument of sasine, whereby it is made known that in
'anno . . . millesimo Sex centesimo Trigesimo tertio
mensis vero Octobris die vigesimo nono . . . Georgius Jamesoune
pictor burgen de Abridein' comperead personally 'habens et
tenens suís in manibus quandam alienationis preceptum sasinae in eadem contineb, granted to him and his heirs by 'Joannem Gordone de Buckie cum consensu Magistri Roberti Gordoune de Straloche' of the lands of Fechil (described below).

George Jamesone then produced the charter to 'Jacobo Gordone in Fechill ballivo in hac parte specialiter constituted' and required him to execute his office of bailiary, which he did by handing the charter to the notary public (Thomas Gordon) for reading the precept of sasine, as follows: " . . . precipio quatenus visis presentibus' you give hereditary sasine 'Totarum et Integarum villarum et terrarum de Fechill cum lie cowbleseitt coblecroft cum privilegio lie transport- andi lie ferrying super aqua de Ithane et procktoures croft quae sunt propriae partes et pendiculis . . . de Fechill . . . cum milturis . . . libertate et privilegio molendine super iisdem construendi vna cum omnibus domibus aedificiis Toftis croftis hortis outseattis inseattis cottagis moris marresiis pratis comunitate comuni pastura lie fewall et foggag annexis connexis dependentiis tenentibus tenendriis libereque tenentium servitiis quemadmodum pro presenti per Jacobum Gordone Alexandrum Johnstoune Gulielmm Cassie et Andream Sympseone occupantur Jaceni per annexationem infra dominum de Altrie parochiam de Ellone et vicecomitatum de Abirdein' to the foresaid George Jamesone, 'Redimen tamen praedictae terrae aliaque suprascript de manibus dicti Georgii . . . per me dictum Joannem Gordone vel per dictum Magistrum
Robertum Gordone . . . per solutionem dicto Georgio . . .
summae Quatuordecem mille mercarum . . . ad quodlibet festum
Penthecostes post expirationem suspensionis trium annorum in
dicto contractu specificatī with sixty days warning 'vel per
consignationem ejusdem summae in manibus Magistri Alexandri
Jaffray vel Magistri Roberti Farquhair et in eorum absentia
in manibus thesaurarii vel decani gildae dicti burgi de
Aberdeen pro tempore ad utilitatem dicti Georgii . . . In
cujus rei Testimonium . . . Sigillum meum est appensum apud
Abirdein et Straloche vigesimo sexto et octavo diebus mensis
Octobris [1633] ' before these witnesses: 'Magistro Roberto
Petrie scriba Edinburgi Magistro Alexandro Davidsone advocato
Abredoniae Georgio Gordon in Drumwhindle Thoma Gordone
antedict et Joanne Forbes fratre Jacobi Forbes de Blaktoune
Signed] Johne Gordon Johne Gordone Mr Robert Gordone
consentis Mr Alexander Davidsone witnes to Buckie young and
old Georg Gordone witnes sicklyk Mr Robert Petrie witnes
Thoma Gordone witnes & wriitter heirof John Forbes witnes
to Straloche his subscriptione.' "

After reading the precept of sasine James Gordon,
bailie, and George Jamesone went personally to the said
lands and Gordon gave sasine (under reversion) to 'Georgio
Jamesoune presenti et acceptanti per terrae et lapidis . . .
super solo et fundo dictarum terrarum horas inter undecimam
et duodecimam ameridianas aut eo circa' before these witnesses:
The instruments of sasine quoted so far have been of burghal properties and are rather simpler in form than the present which is in this instance quoted fairly fully to indicate the form of those to follow, which are rather more abbreviated.

The usual form may be summarised as follows: The disponee (Jamesone) or his attorney appears with a charter containing a precept of sasine (the executive clause which also describes the lands and the conditions of their holding) which has previously been granted by the disponer (John Gordon of Buckie) before witnesses. The disponee (or his attorney) then presents the charter to the disponer's bailie (James Gordon) and requests sasine. The bailie then hands the charter to a notary public for reading and publishing. Subsequently, on return of the charter, the bailie gives sasine of the lands (in this case under reversion of 14,000 merks - the process of wadsetting, for which see note to no. 65 below), before witnesses, the ceremony taking place on the actual land. The notary public then expedes an instrument of sasine which was a necessary condition of infeftment as was its subsequent registration in full in the appropriate Register of Sasines, within sixty days. It is in the registered form
that the evidence of such transactions has usually survived.

The present instrument of sasine, expedite on 29 October 1633, was presented for registration by Jamesone himself on 25 November.

SRO, Particular Register of Sasines, Aberdeen, Vol. 8, ff. 367 v - 369 v.

---

36. 29 October 1633

Instrument of sasine of same date as no. 35, whereby it is made known that 'Magister Robertus Petrie scriba Edinburgi procurator et eo nomine Issobellae Tosha conjugis Georgii Jamesoune pictoris' compeared personally 'habens et Tenens suis in manibus quondam cartam vitalis redditus preceptum in sasinae in eadem continen' granted to Isobel Tosche 'in vitali redditu pro omnibus vitae suae diebus et toto tempore non redemptionis . . . per dictum Georgium Jamesoune ejus maritum' of two ploughlands of Fechil called Craighall (described below).

Robert Petrie then requested sasine in the form quoted in no. 35 above. The precept of sasine requires that sasine be given of 'Totorum et Integorum duorum aratrorum dictarum terrarum de Fechill vocat Craighall de presenti occupat per Andream Simpsone cum domibus aedificiis hortis toftis croftis partibus pendiculis et pertinentiis ac cum decimis tam
garbalibus quam decimis vicariae inclusis' situated as in no. 35 above, 'predictae Issobellae Tosha mea conjugi in vitali redditu . . . et duran non Redemptione . . .' The precept of sasine is signed at Aberdeen on 28 October 1633 before these witnesses: 'Magistro Alexandro Davidsone advocato Abredoniae Patrico Davidson ejus fratre et Thoma Gordoune' and signed thus: Georg Jamesoune [and the three witnesses].

The bailie (James Gordon) then went with Robert Petrie 'procuratori dictae Issobellae Tosha' to the lands and gave sasine in life-rent (during non-redemption) of Craighall in Fechil. Sasine took place at 'horam circa duodecimal' before these witnesses: 'Georgio Gordone in Drumwhindle Andrea Strachauchin pictore Alexandro Johnestoune in Fechill et Andrea Simpsoune in Craighall . . .'. Expede and registered as no. 35 above.

Ibid., ff. 369 v - 371 v.

37. Post-July 1633

In the month of January, 1633, the King being then to come to Edinburgh to be crowned, I [Alexander Jaffray] went over and attended that ceremony.

In July thereafter I came home, my wife being, before my coming, brought to bed of her first son, called Alexander. Shortly thereafter, I went again to London, in
company with Robert Skene, Andrew Birnie and George Jamieson. I stayed some time longer, and . . . on my return, went off the road, and visited the University of Cambridge by the way.

Alexander Jaffray, or his editor, is here being careless in stating that the coronation took place in January. The present whereabouts of the manuscript of this diary is not known.

Diary of Alexander Jaffray . . . (edited John Barclay), Aberdeen (1856), p. 44.

38. **25 October 1634**

To the Rycht honorabill and my moist speciall good freind the Laird of Glenvrqhy

Ryght honorabill

I ame speiring out for yowr hingingis the best I cane and shall acquant yow quhan I have fand them boith of thair worth and pryce Jamesone the painter will undertak your broids boit I could not speik with the wreicht becaus the measures are not sent heir. The rest of your affaires ye may be assured they shall be done god willing to your contentment and god knowis gife ye may not think your self happie quhan matteris are groweing heir to such ane heicht. For newis the report is that wpone new information the chancellers comitis are to be rewyzt The marquies the chanceler Haddingtoune and the rest of our
nobilitie are all put of the exchequer and none to be of it 
bot suche as I haue ureittin in thes wther noit Quhat effectis 
this may produce it will be bettir knowin heir eftir The 
church men rewlis all for the present His Maiestie hes 
recallit his warrandis in favouris of The erle of Airth and 
hes ordained Comissioneris to haue proces against hime Sua 
this being all I haue to wret for the present I sall remaine 
youris ever to serve yow Ar Campbell 
Edinburgh the 25 of October 1634

SRO, Breadalbane Muniments, GD/112/40/Box 1.

39. 28 October 1634

To the right honorabill the Laird off Glenvrquhy
Right honorabill Sins my last letter to yow I haue 
heird no newis Bot that their is a new commissioun of Justi-
siorie com home to the erle of Arroll to sit on Balmerenoch 
for his tryell. And efter that Sir Williame Elfistoun beis 
cheif Justice for ane yeir. So ye may eseilie persave how 
thingis are lyke to go her. I haue spddin with Jemisone the 
penter And hes caused him set doun at the teill of your memo-
random quhat he vill haue for your portratis quhich is tuentie 
merkis for drawing of them And ten merkis for furnisching all 
necessaris. Therefore gif ye vill haue him to do them send 
bak the not with with the first beirar For les he sweiris to
me he can not teike. Pleis yow I ressawed this inclosed from the lord of Lorne And immediatlie I went to Sir Leus Steuart and hes agreid with him to len yow aught thousand merkis quhill Wotsonday For Williame Dike vald not len any bot for half ane yeer. I haue sent yow the band And ye and your brother Robert may subscrive it and sent it heir to me to be subscrived for he vald haue me to subscrive it becaus ye are not here that he mey sie yow subscrive the same Therefore send it heir And vritt to Sir Lewis that ye haue subscrived the same So to the next occasioun and ever I shall remane Youris to serve yow Ar Campbell

Edinburgh the 28 of October 1634

The lord Cancellier cam heir yistir night And this day gois to court So it appeiris We sall haue good varke or it be longer for the rest of yowr affaires I hope ye vill trust that I vill not forget them

SRO, Breadalbane Muniments, GD/112/40/Box 1.

40. 30 January 1635

30 Januar 1635

Mair ane bairne of George Jamesounes buriet in the auld kirk iii lib.

This must refer to his son George: see no. 31 above.

City of Aberdeen Records (Town Clerk), Kirk & Bridge Work Accounts 1571 - 1670, under date.
41. 15 March 1635

To the Rytte honnourable and my most speciall gud freind
the Laird of Glenvrchy theis
Right honnorabill

I receavit thir incloisit frome the Erle of Argyll and
the lord of Lorne quhairin ye may perceiwe they are desyrous
to hawe me goe to them Soit ordering no expenssis for my
Journey . . . [Follows a request for advice on whether to obey
and other remarks on Sir Colin's business affairs.]
The painter will hawe all your portraits readie within tuo
or thrie dayes And desyres earnestlie they may be takin
frome hime and assures me that thrie or four horssis will easi-
lie carie them and that he sail pack them wpe as they can haw
no harme He desyre that ye may send with the horssis some
canvessis or packing sheittis I can assure yow on my crydit
they are werie weill done and all as ye desyrit . . . .
[Follow further remarks on the theme of obeying Lorne and
Argyll]

Edinburgh the 15 of March 1635  Youris ever to serve yow
Ar Campbell

SRO, Breadalbane Muniments, GD/112/40/Box 1.
42. 1 May 1635

Extent Roll for 1635

North-east quarter: last third part thereof

Wm. Somervell Officer . . .

Landlord Robt. Maissone mt

Tennants Robt. Maissone forsaid the topmost house within the easter turnpike on the former stair head

Maill 66. 13. 4. Anuitie 2. 17. 10.

George Jamesone painter the second hous within the former turnpike

Maill 66. 13. 4. Anuitie 2. 17. 10.

Clement Tours a heigh hous on the former stairwhead east side thereof east of the joyning the former turnpike foote there

Maill 40. -. -. Anuitie 1. 14. 8.

Mongo Burrell a laiche fore hous with a little Shop above east of & joyning under the former staire foote

Maill 26. 13. 4. Anuitie 1. 3. 2.

John Harvie a laiche fore Sellar east of & joyning the former fore laiche hous with two heigh forebooths there above

Maill 70. 13. 4. Anuitie 3. 1. 3.
This extent roll was compiled in order to carry out a taxation imposed by the Privy Council in 1634. On 1 May 1635 an extender was appointed to each of the four parishes, which were in turn divided into thirds and an officer put in charge of each. The tax of about $4\frac{1}{3}$% was to go towards the maintenance of the ministers. (See The Book of the Old Edinburgh Club, Edinburgh, (1924), Vol. 13, p. 93.)

City of Edinburgh Records, Extent Roll for 1635.

43. 13 May 1635

The quhilk day the prouest, baillies, and counsell of the burght of Abirdeine wnderwrittin, thay ar to say, Sir Paull Mengzeis of Kynmondy, knight, prouest, Gilbert Collisoun, Maister Thomas Gray, Maister Mathow Lumysden, Maister Robert Farquhar, baillies; Walter Robertsoun, deane of gild; Robert Cruikschank, thesaurar; Robert Johnstoun, Thomas Mortymer, George Mengzeis, Robert Alexander, Dawid Aidye, Alexander Burnett, Thomas Paip, Paull Mengzeis, Hew Andersoun, goldsmith, and George Pyper, wricht, being convenit in the tounes counsellhouse anent the petitioun gewin in to thame be George Jamesoun, indwellar in the said burght, makand mentioun, that for sameikle as a greate part of the playfeild belongeing to the toune whair comedies were wont to be actit of auld bysede the well of
Spa, is spoilled, brockin, and cariet away be speat and inundation of watter, and is lyabill to the same danger, and inconvenient heirefter, so that unless some course be taikin to withstand suche speattis and invndatiounes, the whole playfeild, within a short space of tyme will alluiterlie decay, and serwe for no wse; and the said George tacking notice of the tounes prejudice heirin, and withall havand consideratioun how this little plott of ground may be vsefull to the toune heirefter, out of his naturall affectioun to this his native citie, he is content wpon his awin charges, not onlie to mak some fortificatioun to withstand the violence of speattis in tyme coming, but lykewayes to mak some policie and planting within and about the said playfeild for the publict vse and benefitt of the toune, wherof he hes takin occasioun be this his petitioun to acquaint thair wisdomes of the counsall, humblie desyiring for this effect, that ther wisdomes will be pleased to grant him frie libertie, licence, and tolerance to mak sic building, policie, within and about the said plott of ground, as he sall think most fitting and convenient, both to withstand the violence of the watter fra doinge forder harme thairwnto, and to the effect the same may redound to the publict wse and benefitt of the toune: onlie this muche he desyiris for his travellis, cost, and expenssis to be bestowit on this work, that he may have a lease of this plott of ground and the wse thairof
to his awin behowe during his lyftyme allanerlie, and eftir
his deceas, he is content that the magistrattis and councell
of this burght for the tyme intromett thairwith, and apply
the same in all tyme thaireftir in the publict wse and bene-
fitt of the toune as they sall find most convenient, without
any recompense to be sought be him, his aires, executoris,
assignayes, or successoris, for any chairges that he salhappin
to bestow thairwpoun, as at mair length wes contenit in the
said petitioun: quhilk being red, hard, and considderit be
the saids prouest, baillies, and counsall, and thay thair-
with being ryplie advysed, thay find the desyir thairof to
be most reasonable as being a motioun tending to the publict
gude and benefitt of the toune, acknowledging thairby the
petitionar to expres himselleff as a weall affected citizen
towards the furtherance and incres of policie in this his
native toune; and thairfoir be thir presentis thay giwe,grant, and sett to the said George Jamesoun a lease and
tack of the said plott of ground callit the Playfeild during
all the dayes of his lyftyme allanerlie, his entrie thairof
to be and begin the day and date heirof, with full power,
libertie, and priuiledge to him to build and mack sic policie
and planting in and about the said plott of ground in all
pairs, and throughout the haill bounds and limites thairof
as he sall think most convenient, payand thairfoir yeirellic
during his lyftyme to the thesaurar of the said burght for
the tyme in name of the toune, thrie shillings foure penneis
usuall Scottes money at the feast of Witsunday yeirlie, if
the same be asked allanerlie, for all vther maill or dewtie
that may be reuyred thairfore during the space forsaid,
with this alwayes conditioun and provisioune, that immediatlie
eftir the deceis of the said George, the magistrattis and
counsell of the said burght for the tyme, in name of the toune,
or thair thesaurar in thair name, sall hawe full and frie
power to mell and intromett with the said Playfeild, haill
policie, building, and planting within and about the same to
the publict use and benefitt of the haill toune in all tyme
thaireftir, without any recompense to be gewine be the toune
to the aires or executors of the said George, for any cost
or charges, he sall happen to mak and deburse in planting
and building thairvpoun, quhairunto the said George
Jameson consentit, and agrist and accepted of his lywerent
tak aboue writtin wpoun the conditioun foirsaid.

City of Aberdeen Records (Town Clerk), Council Register,
Vol. 52¹, p. 206 ff. Printed in Extracts from the Council
Register of the Burgh of Aberdeen 1625 - 1642, (Scottish
Instrument of sasine, whereby it is made known that in 'anno . . . millesimo sexcentesimo Trigesimo quinto, mensis vero Maii die vigesimo nono . . . Magister Andreas Myyne minister verbi dei in ecclesia de Marieculter procurator ac eo nomine Reverendi etiam viri Magistri Thome Melvill minister verbi dei in ecclesia de Dyce et Dauidis Melvill burgen de Aberdene necnon Jeanne Liddell Relicte quondam Alexandri Rutherfurd olim prepositi (provost) dicti burgi per eosdem ad effectum subscriptum legitime constitutus' in terms of a procuratory of resignation expede 'apud Aberden vigesimo secundo die mensis maii Instantis', went to Gilbert Collison bailie in Aberdeen and resigned into his hands, by virtue of the letters of procuratory: (i) 'Totam et integram illam feudifirmam annuam octo librarum . . . ' resting to Thomas and David Melvill hereditarily and to Jeanne Liddell in life-rent, exacted 'de Tota et Integra illa Terra anteriore nunc ad Georgium Jameson pictorem burgen de Aberden spectan, Jaceñ infra dictum burgum in vico montis Scholaris ex Boreali parte eiusdem vici, Inter terram heredum quondam Thome Forbes de Rubislaw ex orientali ex una, Terram aliquando Andree Howat et nunc Joannis Nwn ex occidentali partibus ab altera, Terram interiorem quondam Andree Watson nunc vero dictorum Magistri Thome et Davidis Melvillis versus Boream et communem viam regiam versus australian' and (ii) 'illum portionem Claustri predicte terre Interiors aliucando ad dictum quondam Andream Watson
et nunc ad dictos Magistros Thomam et Davidem Melvillis . . . spectān, Contigue adiaceān terre anteriori supralimitaī nunc dicto Georgio Jameson Incumbeān, et comprehendeān Tres vlnas in Longitudine a muro posteriore dicte Terre anterioris, versus australe gabulum vulgo the south galbill predicte terre Interioris, Reservando tamen dictis Magistris Thome et Davidi Melvillis . . . liberum Introitum et exitum ad predictam terram Interiorem . . .'; this for giving hereditary sasine of the feu-duty and the portion of the close described, to George Jamesone, 'nunc hereditario proprietario Terre anterioris' and freeing him and future possessors and occupiers 'ab omni solutione dicte feudifirme octo librarum . . .que antea levari solebat de eadem Terra anteriore'.

Consequently the bailie gave sasine of the feu-duty and portion of the close (reserving right of entry and exit to possessors and occupiers of the inland) to 'Georgio Jameson personaliter acceptanti'. Sasine took place at 'horam circiter Sextam vespertinam' before these witnesses: 'Joanne Ingrahame Waltero Guthrie burgensibus dicti burgi Laurentio Tod ibidem et Wilhlemo Hay vno seriandorum ejusdem . . .'.

Expediting notary Walter Robertson.

A procuratory of resignation was a mandate granted by the disponer (in this case the two Melvilles) which authorised the return of land to a superior (represented here by the bailie) so that it could be reconveyed to the disponee (Jamesone).
The house of which Jamesons here acquires the feu-duty (and the close leading to the house behind) is that on the north side of the Schoolhill which his father had acquired in 1586 (no. 3 above) and in 1607 had vested in life-rent in his wife (no. 8) and in hereditary possession in George (no. 10). The house behind, now occupied by the two Melvilles, had previously been owned by the late Andrew Watson from whom Jamesone's father had acquired the foreland and to whom he had paid the feu-duty of twelve merks (£8).

City of Aberdeen Records (Town Clerk), Register of Sasines, Vol. XXXVIII, ff. 324 v - 325.

45. 12 June 1635

12 Junij 1635


GRO(S), Parochial Registers, Aberdeen 168A, Vol. 3, under date.

46. 13 October [1635]

To the Richt honorable the Laird of Glenvrquhie thes.
Richt Honorable, — I receawed the hundreth merkis fra this berar, for the quhilk I shall indewor to do your worship better service heirefter; and as for the picturis quhilk I am yeit to make I shall do all diligens to gett themilk with the first occasione, bot it will be in Janvarij befoir I can begin themilk, except that I have the occasione to meit with the pairties in the North, quhair I mynd to stay for tuo monethes; and if ether ther or heir I can be able to do youwr worship service, I shall be moist willing, and ewer to remane Yowr worships servand, George Jamesone

Edinburgh, 13 October

The present whereabouts of this letter is not known: it is neither in the Scottish Record Office nor apparently in the possession of the Breadalbane family. As published it has been given the date 1634 but for reasons given in the main text (p. 57) it is here dated 1635. Although published with the 'Black Book' it is not part of it.

The Black Book of Taymouth with other papers from the Breadalbane Charter Room, (edited Cosmo Innes), Edinburgh (1855), p. 440.
Anno Domini 1635

Item, the said Sir Coline gave unto George Jamesone painter in Edinburgh, for king Robert and king David Bruyses, kingis of Scotland, and Charles the first, king of Great Brittane, France and Ireland, and his Maiesteis Quein, and for nyne more of the Queins of Scotland, thair portraits, quhilks ar sett up in the hall of Balloch, the soume of tua hundreth thriescor pundis.

Mair, the said Sir Coline gave to the said George Jamesone for the knight of Lochow's lady, and the first Countes of Argyle, and sex of the ladys of Glenvrquhay, thair portraits, and the said Sir Coline his awin portrait, quhilks ar sett up in the chalmer of deas of Balloch, ane hundreth fourscoir pundis.

The first item enumerates thirteen portraits, giving an individual price of £20. The second item includes nine portraits, that is £20 each. This is confirmed by reference to Jamesone's letter of 23 June 1636 (no. 49) and Archibald Campbell's of 28 October 1634 (no. 39). This price included a frame.

A 'chalmer of deas' has been defined as the principal bedroom, usually reached by the upper or dais end of the


48. 6 April 1636

Sexto Aprilis [1636]

The quhilk day In presens of Johne Sincler deyne of
gild and gild counsell Michaell Wryt Lawfull sone to
James Wryt tailyeor citizen of Londoun enteris prentéis to
George Jamiesoun paynter for fyve yeiris Conforme to thair
Indentouris schawen & payit of entres silver – xxx sh.

SRO, Burgh of Edinburgh Apprentice Register 1583 – 1647, under
date. Listed in *The Register of Apprentices of the City of
Edinburgh 1583 – 1666* (edited Francis J. Grant), (Scottish

49. 23 June [1636]

Richt honorable,

I receawed yowr worship's letter with ane measure
concerning the maiking of soume picturis, quhairof sextine
of theam ar set doune in not. I will werie willinglie
serwe yowr worship, and my pryce shall be bot the ordinarie,
since the measure is just the ordinarie. The pryce quhilk ewerie one payes to me, above the west, is twentie merkis, I furnishing claiith and coulleries; bot iff I furniss ane double gilt muller, then it is twentie poundis. Thes I deal with all alyk: bot I am moir bound to hawe ane gryte cair of your worships service, becaus of my gouid payment for my laist imployment. Onlie thus your worship wold resolwe at quhois charges I mist go throwe the countrey to maik thir picturis, for all that are heir in town neidis onlie your worships letter to theam to caus theam sitt, and for theam quhois picturis I hawe allreadie, I shall double theam, or then giwe your worship the principall. So, leawing this to your worships consideration and ansuer, I shall ewer remaine, Your worships willing servand, George Jamesone.

Edinburgh, 23 Junii

Iff I begin the pictures in Julii, I will hawe the sextine redie about the laist of September.

See note to no. 46 above. As published it has been placed in the year 1635, but for reasons given in the main text (p. 58) it is here dated. 1636.

The Black Book of Taymouth with other papers from the Breadalbane Charter Room, (edited Cosmo Innes), Edinburgh (1855), p. 440.
A letter from Archibald Campbell, at Edinburgh to Sir Colin Campbell mainly on legal business conducted on his behalf by Sir Lues Stewart and Mr David Prymrois. The letter ends with a series of short notes:
'Receive the doubill of your suspentione againes McCowll Sir Lues thinkis it not expedient that ye sould caus law the same Your letters to your brother sone sall goe away with the first occassione Pleis yow receive the painteres answer As for newis we have none herie . . .'.

From the circumstances noted in the text (pp. 54-58) the painter referred to must be Jamesone. The fact that it is dated one day in the calendar after Jamesone's letter of 23 June, and refers to an enclosure, makes it extremely likely that no. 49 above is the enclosure and is of the year 1636.

SRO, Breadalbane Muniments, GD/112/40/2.
51. 18 August 1636

George Jamesoune and Issobell Tosche ane sonne his name Alexander Bap: be doctor Arthur Johnstoune doctour Alexander Ross James Smythe William Andersoune James Andersone and Mr Adam Andersoune godfathers

GRO(S), Parochial Registers, Aberdeen 168A, Vol. 3, under date.

52. 23 January 1638

Assignation and discharge of reversion - 'We maister Robert Gordoun of Straloche for fatherlie love and kynchès whiche I bear to my secund lawfull sone Johne Gordoun and for provisioun to him of sum portioun whairby he may have meanis to leive . . . ordaines the said Johne Gordone . . . my werie lawfull and wndoubtit cessionar . . . In and to ane letter of reversioun conteaning the soume of Fourtein thousand merkis . . . maid be Johne Gordoun of Buckie to me . . . wpoun the redemption and outquyting frae him . . . of all and haill the landis and tounes of Fechill with the cobbil-seat cobbilcroft priviledge of ferieing wpoun the watter of Ythane and proctoris croft whilkis ar proper pairtis and pendiclis of the saidis landis togidder with all and sundrie wther pairtis and pendiclis of the samen als veill not namit as namit and with the multuris of the saidis landis libertie
and pruiledge to big milnes thairone and with the haill
houssis biggingis toftis croftis yeardis outseatis inseatis
cottagis mossis medowis commantis commone pasturage fewell
foggage annexis connexis dependencis tennentis tennandries
and service of frie tennentis and pertinentis of the samen
whatsomever lyand be annexatioun within the lordship of
Altrie parochin of Ellone and shereffdome of Aberdein And in
and to the redemption of the saidis landis . . . be wertew
of the said remesioun whelk is of the dait at Aberdein the
tuantie sext day of Maij . . . 1618.

Also ceded is 'all libertie power' held by Straloch to
redeem 'the saidis landis frae the handis of Johne Gordoune
of Hiltoun eldest laufull sone and appearand air to the said
Johne Gordoun of Buckie . . . Surrogating him (i.e. John
Gordon, Straloch's son) . . . in my vice place right and title
with power to the said Johne Gordone my sone . . . to warne
or caus laufullie warn the said Johne Gordoun of Buckie Johne
Gordoune of Hiltoun and George Jamesone . . . to compeir at
the time and at the place conteaned in the said reversioun
and thair to resave the soumes conteaned thairin for redempt-
ioniun and outquyting of the saidis landis and tounes of Fechill
. . . .

. . . I have delyverit the said reversioun and contract
of the dait foirsaid to the said Johne Gordone my sone . . .
In witnes whairof thir presentis writtin with my hand and
subscryved be me and my seall affixit thairto att Straloche
the tuantie third day of Januar . . . 1638 . . . Maister
Robert Gordon Robert Gordoun witnes Mr James Gordoun
witnes Alexander Gordoun witnes Mr Walter Ritchie witnes'.

The witnesses James and Alexander Gordon are Straloch's
sons, James the future delineator of Aberdeen.

Jamesone's appearance in this context is explained in
no. 35 above. In that Jamesone had, for 14,000 merks,
received possession of Fechil, under reversion, from John
Gordon of Buckie. The latter however was not the proprietor:
that was Robert Gordon of Straloch, who on 26 May 1618 (see
above) had disposed the property under reversion, to Buckie.
In the present document Straloch assigns his ultimate right
of redemption to his son John Gordon, thereby enabling the
latter to redeem the property from Buckie and John Gordon
of Hiltoun (Buckie's son and heir), and George Jamesone
(present holder of the property under reversion).

The present assignation was presented for booking in
the Register of Sasines by Straloch's son Mr James Gordon
on 8 March 1638.

SRO, Particular Register of Sasines, Aberdeen, Vol. 11,
ff. 3 v - 5.
53. 20 and 27 July 1638

20 Julij 1638, Fryday
This day William [sic] Jamesoun, painter, (at the ernest
desyr of my sone, Mr Alexander, ) was sufferit to draw my
pictur.

27 Julij 1638
Item, a second draucht be William [sic]
Jamesoun

For reasons given in the main text (p. 62) these entries must
almost certainly be intended to refer to George Jamesone.

A Diary of the Public Correspondence of Sir Thomas Hope of
Craighall . . ., (Bannatyne Club, Edinburgh 1843), pp. 75 - 76.

54. 6 February 1639

George Jamesone and Isobell Tosche ane dochter
hir name Elizabeth Bap. be doctor Baroune Mr Thomas
Gray George Morissone Lait bailzies Williame Cutberd
Richart Alexander Johne Ingrame and James Farquhar
godfathers.

GRO(S), Parochial Registers, Aberdeen 168A, Vol. 3, under date.
The Samen day Doctoris Williame Johnstoune and George Moresoun ar chosin commissionares to pass to the Nobilitie of the Covenant converseit at Montrois and to capitulat with thame vpoun sic articles as shalbe gewin in commissioun to the said commissionares anent the repairinge of thair armie to this burghe, As lyikwayes to confer be the way with the Erll Marshall wpoun the same busienis that his lordship wald be pleased to contribute his assistance to the saidis commissionares for the peace and quyett of this Toune and George Jamesoun is appoyntit to accompanie and assist thame in the said commissioun quhilk is gewin to the effect following Viz to petition and desyre the Nobilitie that thay send in a peaceabill maner ane hundreth men at the most for holding of thair committie in the auld college and publicatioun of the actis of the generall assemblie in the cathedrall kirk of this diocis, and if the College and Cathedrall kirk be not made patent to thame for that effect To declar wnto thame that thay salhaweoure Paroche kirk patent for the said Intimatioun The Nobilitie alvayes keipand thameselffis and thair forces als far distant frome this burghe as the Marqueis of Huntlie sall do with his forces.
56. 19 May 1640

Renunciation and grant of redemption by '... me
George Jamesoun paintar burges of Aberdein Forsaimeikle as
be ane contract of the dait at Aberdein the tuantie sext
day of October ... 1633 maid ... Betuixt Johne Gordoun
of Buckie as principall and wmquhill Johne Gordon of Hiltoun
as cautioner ... with consent ... of Maister Robert
Gordoun of Straloche ... on the ane pairt and me the said
George Jamesoun on the wther pairt. The said Johne Gordoun
of Buckie ... For the soume of fourtein thousand merkis
money payit and delyverit be me to the said Johne Gordoun
of Buckie ... disponit to me the said George Jamesoun
... all and haill the said Johne Gordoun of Buckie his
waidsset right of all and haill the toune and landis of Fechill
(described as in no. 52 above but with the occupiers noted:
"James Gordoun Alexander Johnstoun William Cassie and
Andro Simpsoun").

... Qwhilks landis teindsheavis and teind wiccarage
... war waidset and impignorat Be the said Master Robert
Gordoun of Straloche with consent of Katharine Irving his
spous to the said John Gordoun eldar of Buckie . . . wnder
reversioun alvayis of the soume of fourtein Thousand merkis
. . . as in the said reversioun of the dait the tuantie sext
day of Maij . . . 1618 . . . and registrat in the clerk of the
registeris register of the shirreffdome of Aberdein wpoun
the fourt day of Junij in the said yeir.

. . . Lyik as also the said haill toun and landis of
Fechill . . . wer also redemeable frome me the said George
Jamesoun . . . Be the said Johne Gordoun of Buckie . . . Be
payment of the lyik soume of fourtein thousand merkis . . .
in the said contract . . . betuixt the said Johne Gordoun
eldair off Buckie and Johne Gordoun of Hiltoun with consent
of the said Master Robert Gordoun and me the said Geor
gue Jamesoun on the ane and wther pairtis of the dait befoir
mentionat.

And now seing that Johne Gordoun secund laufull sone to
the said Master Robert Gordoun of Straloche . . . as assigney
laufullie constitut . . . to the letter of reversioun befoir
re hersit grantit for redemptioun of the saidis landis hes
. . . payit and delyverit to me all and haill the said soume
of fourtein thousand merkis . . . whairof I hauld me weill
content . . .

Thairfoir witt ze me the said George Jamesoun with
consent of Issobell Tosche my spous . . . renuncis overgivis
quytclamis and simpliciter dischargis all right title . . .
in and to the said toune and landis of Fechill . . . in
favouris of the said Johne Gordoune . . .

Lyik as I the said George Jamesoun hes instantlie
delyuererit to the said Johne Gordoun the foiirsaid contract
. . . to gidder with the haill writtis richtis and evidentis
of the saidis landis . . . .

. . . written be Johne Robertsoun servitur to ws Johne
Chalmer shirreff clerk depuit of Aberdein and subscryveit
with our handis at Aberdein the nyntein day of May . . . 1640
Befoir thir witnessis Doctor William Johnstoun doctor of
medicin James Smithe Thomas Gordoun burgessis of Aberdein
Mr Patrick Chalmer shirreff clerk of Aberdein and the said
Master Johne Chalmer et sic subscribitur - George Jamesoun
Issobell Tosche' (and the above).

See above no. 35, where Jamesone takes possession of John
Gordon of Buckie's wadset right of Fechil; and no. 52,
where Robert Gordon assigns his ultimate right of reversion
to his second son John Gordon. In the present document
John Gordon now redeems Fechil by payment of 14,000 merks to
Jamesone.

The present renunciation was presented for booking in
the Register of Sasines by John Robertson (writer of above)
on 5 June 1640.
SRO, Particular Register of Sasines, Aberdeen, Vol. 11,
ff. 426 - 428.
57. 19 May 1640

At Aberdein the nynteint of Maij [1640] zeiris in presens off Mr William Davidsone Compeirit Judicallie Issobell Tosche spous George Jamesone painter in Aberdein out with the presens of hir said spous . . . Renuncit . . . all richt and titill aither off conjunctt fie Lyfrent tearces or wther richt & titill quhatsumevir the said Issobell haid hes or ony wayis may clame or pretend to thame In and to all and haill the toune and Lands of Fechill . . . all wadset and Impignorat be Mr Robert Gordoune of Straloch with consent of Kathrin Irving his spous To Johne Gordoune of Buckie wnder Reversioun of the soume of fourtein thousand markis . . . and quhilk wadset richt thairoff the said Johne Gordoune of Buckie with consent of the said Mr Robert and Johne Gordoune of Hiltowne his sone disponit to the said George Jamesone Redemabill and wnder reversioun of the said sowme . . . Lykas the said Issobell Tosche gave hir corporall and solemn aith that scho is nawayis coactit nor compellit to mak this present renunciatioune . . . and Immediatlie thaireftir compeirit the said George Jamesone and Ratifiet and approvit his said spous . . . and gave his express consent and assent thairto . . . Issobell Tosche George Jamesone W Davidsone shereff deput of Aberdein (signatories).
This is a complement to no. 56. See no. 36, where Jamesone gave his wife a life-rent of part of Fechil called Craighall.

City of Aberdeen Records (Sheriff Clerk), Minute Book of Judicial Enactments 1638 - 1648, under date.

58. 10 June 1640

Vpone the tent of Junij the soldiouris dreillit in the lynkis, and thairefter wes holdin ane counsell of warr in the tolbuith of Abirdein, be Marschall and Monro, and thair complices. Thair wes brocht befoir thame the lairdis of Culter, Ochterellon, [Thomas] Burnet of Campbell, [George] Gordoun of Nethermvre, Irving of Fornet, formerlie said, Thomas Nicolsone, George Johnstoun, George Moresoun, George Jamesoun, George Gordon, Robert Forbes, Mr. Alex Reid, Dauid Rikard, and William Patrie, tounes men and burgessis of Abirdein; bot the lairdis of Drum, Haddoche, Fedderet, Hiltoun, Mr. Johne Ross, minister at Brass, wes not brocht in befoir this committee, bot had south, as ye sall heir, ... The rest wes accusit for thair outstanding, and being contrarie myndit to the good causs. Thay maid thair owne ansueris, bot wes not weill hard. In end thay ar all ordanit to go lodge in Mr. Henrie Buchan's house that nicht, and prepair them selffis to go for Edinbrugh vpone the morne;
and in the meintyme setis a strait guard about their lodging, that none sould go in nor out without licens, whiche thir gentlemen wes compellit to obey.

Vpone the morne thay took thair leive from Abirdein, leaving thair freindis with sorie hartis. Thay war gairdit and convoyit be soldiouris as throtcutteris and mvrtheraris, quhairat thay war displeissit, bot culd not mend it. The first nicht thay cam to Cowy, and sua furth to Edinbrugh, convoyit be ilk schirefdom from schire to schire. Thus is the Kingis loyall subiectis, without his auchtoritie or law, brocht wnder subiectioun.

The old laird of Geicht, a seiklie tender man, being by chance at this samen tyme in Montross, is takin by ane capiten Betou and had to Edinbrugh with the rest; his houss of Ardessie pitifullye plunderit, becauss he wes ane papist and out stander againes the good caus.

Howsone thay cam to Edinbrugh, thay war all wairdit in the tolbuith, and schortilie our tounes men ar first brocht in befoir the Tables. Thay ar accusit as contrarie to the good caus. Thay maid there owne ansueris, whiche wes not weill hard, quhairvpone thay ar committit agane to waird; bot inrespect of the laird of Geicht his seiknes, and of Thomas Nicolsone his seiknes, thay got libertie, and wes confynit in the toun, whair old Geicht departit this lyf; yit wes not fynit as is said.
Efter examinatiooun of our burgessis, the laird of Culter, the laird of Ochterellon, Fornet, Campbell, Nethermvre, thay war brocht in and accusit, and returnit bak to waird, whair ane and all wes forsit to stay during the space of six monethis, to thair gryt displesour and hurt to thair helth, with gryte charges and expenssis. At last it pleissit the estaites to fyne thame as follouis; and first for our tounes men, Thomas Nicolsone wes fynit in 2000 merkis, George Johnstoun 1000 pundis, Robert Forbes 1000 lib., Dauid Rikard 1000 merkis, William Patrie 1000 merkis, George Morisone and George Jamesone be moyan wan frie, and payit no fyne, George Gordoun 1000 merkis. Mr. Alex^R Reid, be menis of the Erll of Mar, wes translaitit to Striviling, thair to remane in waird whill he payit 2000 merkis, syne gat libertie. The laird of Culter wes fynit in 300 merkis, the laird of Ochterellon 1000 merkis, Nethermvre 300 merkis, Fornet , Campbell  . Thus, barronis and burgessis ar first wardit, syne fynit, and compellit to pay the samen befoir thay wan out of the tolbuith, syne set to libertie, and ilk man cam hame to his owne houss. Thus, the Kingis loyall subiectis ar forsit to suffer . . .

Spalding, a contemporary observer from an Aberdeen, and episcopalian, standpoint, is always most specific, but is rather confused on the outcome of this event. Despite having said
above that all were imprisoned for six months and that Jamesone was free without having been fined he writes later (ut infra, p. 352):

'The lairdis of Watertoun, Ochterellon, with sum vtheris, Thomas Nicolsoune, Robert Forbes, alias Dobrie, George Jamesoun, burgessis of Abirdein, whome ye hard ... wes wairdit in Edinbrugh, cums hame about the 4th of November, after payment of there fynes. Mr. Alex^F Reid cam hame befoir, bot the rest stood out ...'.

Later (p. 355), Spalding writes that about 21 November four others, Johnstone, Morison, Rikard and Patrie were released on payment of fines and returned to Aberdeen. The fine in each case agrees with those given in the main quotation above, except that Morison is now stated to be fined 1000 merks. There is thus a clear contradiction in the cases of Jamesone and Morison, who besides being intimate, were possibly in this situation together because of their part in the embassy to Marischal of 20 March 1639, which probably led them to be classified as anti-covenanters. That Spalding's first account however is the correct one is borne out by the evidence of no. 59 below.

59. **1 December 1640**

In a letter of this date Patrick Leslie, provost of Aberdeen and the town's commissioner to Parliament in Edinburgh at this time, writes to the Aberdeen Council:

'I have got in our schip fully releived under the conditions in my former letters bot on Saturday at night schow was in hasart off new for our enemies ar manie heir. Our nightbours hes it in their wils to come first quhen thay pleas for that feild to gin yisternight at aught at night both in heat and suet bot god be thanked we ended fair without blood. Sum ar stifer than others and so al ther decreits ar not alyk. George Morrison hes libertie to stay in Edinburgh till the 22 of this munth and then to compeir or reenter: George Jemison hes libertie to goe quhair he pleaseth til a new sitation on aught days: George Johnstoun is fyned til 1000 lib: William Pettrie and David Rickard ar fynd ich off them in 1000 lib quhich I think thay sal pay this day. This is al I culd doe altho thay had bein my neirest kinsmen bot I mynd this day to mak a new onset for them. . . .'

What is said here is more in keeping with Spalding's first account, though Pettrie and Rickard's fines are now given as pounds instead of merks. The meaning of the second sentence above, however, is not clear. It does not seem
to be a continuation of the information given in the first sentence quoted, which presumably refers to an Aberdeen ship under Thomas Boyis which was arrested by the Estates on its returning from Holland about 16 November and not released until 4 December (Spalding, loc. cit., p. 353) - a Council Letter of 13 November however already refers to the capture of this ship (ut infra, p. 254).


Follow, from the same source, the other references to Jamesone and his fellow burgesses' imprisonment, which culminate in that given above.

(a) 'It hes pleased the erll Marishall and generall Maior Monro to select some of our nightbors and fellow citizenes and summarlie without any accusation used aganes thame to confyne thame in a nightbors hous of the towne and to put a gaird of sojors over thame and withall to charge thame to go south and compeir befoir the tables thair to answer to what salbe laid to thair charge As for thair bygane careage in the commoun caus Our commissionar of parliament there present can informe you sufficientlie And thairfor out of experience of your bypass zeall courtesie and kyndnes conferit upon our nightbors that were confyned there this yeir bygane we
have made bold to entreat for the lyk respect and favor to
be exprest be you to the berars heirof and that ye will
contribute your best assistance with our commissionar for
thair liberation as we salbe redie godwilling to keip due
correspondence whairin we can availl your burghe or any of
your inhabitants . . .'

Letter of 11 June 1640 from the Council of Aberdeen to the

(b)'The berares heirof our kynd and loving nichtbors being
convenit yesterday befoir the Earle Marischall and Generall
Maior Monro without any accusation laid to thair charge or
any hering of thame wer confyned in Willeame Scottes foirhous
and a gaird of Soiors put to thame And wer chargit to go
south this day to the Tables to answer there what salbe
laid to thair charge and a gaird appoynted also to convoy
thame from this to Edinburgh This peremptorie and unlooked
for charge howsoever the same proceidis without any cognition
taking in the busines. Yett our nichtbors in all respective
duetie hawe gevin redie obedience thairunto and ar presentlie
at the wreitting heirof preparing thame selffis for thair
journey Ye know thair bygane cariage And that all of
thame subscryvit the covenant in aprill 1639 And some of
thame hawe agane subscryvit the same this yeir Many of
thame lykwayes hawe gevin obedience and conformitie to the
actis of the generall assemble Thay have all subscryvit the
generall band of releiff and hawe payed thair contributiones
and tent part accordinglie as thay wer stented be sworne
taxtares Heirfor these ar to entreat yow that ye will contribute
your best help and fortherance for thair good and releiff by
testifeing how thay have careit thameselfis since the tyme
thay first subscryvit the covenant We hawe ureittin for this
effect to the provest and baillees of Edinburgh and to the
commissionaires of burrowes who we hope will concur with yow
in what thay can for the liberation of our nightbors All farder
heirin remitting to your respective consideration and thair
awin mair amippil information wishing a happie peace and a
comfortable outgen to our nightbors in this thair distress

Letter of 11 June 1640 from the Council of Aberdeen to
their commissioner at Parliament, Alexander Jaffray (loc. cit.,
pp. 213 - 214). Spalding (supra) states that the prisoners
were held overnight in the house of Mr Henry Buchan.

(c)'Imprimis deall with the committee of estait as effectu-
allie as possibilie ye can in favor of our nightbors that ar
lying in ward within the tolbuith of Edinburgh . . .'

Instructions (first in a list), August 1640, from the
provost and four bailleis of Aberdeen to Alexander Jaffray
commissioner to the Estates (loc. cit., p. 224).
(d)'... When ye salbe at Edinburgh advyse with your freyndis [MS. faded] Mr Johne Cheyne be what meanes ye may procure liberatioun of our nightbors frome ward upoun the best conditiones ye can . . . '

Instructions of 29 October 1640 to William Moir, Aberdeen's commissioner to the Estates (loc. cit., p. 247).

(e)'... Item ye ar to use your best moyen with the estates of parliament for liberation of our nightbors out of ward furth of the tolbuith of Edinburgh for sic reasons as thay thame selffis will shaw to your lordship and as ye can furnishe your selff . . . '

Instructions of 13 November to Patrick Leslie, Aberdeen's commissioner to Parliament (loc. cit., p. 253).

(f)'... All our nightbors in ward ar weill and thay stay in on serimonies.'

Letter of 22 November from Patrick Leslie to the Aberdeen Council (loc. cit., p. 257). Other references in this letter to 'nightbors . . . in troubill' would seem to refer to the merchants on the Aberdeen ship which was still being held. The main entry, above, is the next and last reference to the affair.
'The Roll Delinquents, 1641'. This roll contains 222 names. It includes besides the Marquesses of Huntly and Douglas, the Earls of Tullibardine, Carnwath, Stirling, Traquair, Airth, Linlithgow, Crawford, Airlie and Nithsdale. It also includes the 'pretendit' bishops of Brechin, Edinburgh, Aberdeen, Ross, Glasgow, Galloway and Moray. There are many minor barons, knights and lairds. Of the latter, those whom Spalding (see no. 58 above) says were taken south to Edinburgh on 10 June 1640 are all included in the roll, with the exception of Ochterellon. Aberdeen is the only burgh mentioned in the roll and the following are specified as burgesses: 'William Scott . . . Paull Inglis . . . William Andersone . . . Robert Rae . . . George Cullane . . . Marie Dun . . . George Johnestoun . . . William Petrie . . . Robert Forbes . . . David Richard . . . George Moriesone . . . Mr William Johnestoun . . . Alexander Robertson . . . Johne Scott . . . Johne Strauchan . . . Androw Chalmer . . .'. 'James Cruikshank in Broadgait of Aberdeen in James Seatoun his hous' may also refer to a burgess. Also in the roll is 'George Jamesone paynter'; this is the only specification of trade in the whole document. Thus the remaining names in Spalding's list of prisoners are included, with the exceptions of Thomas Nicolson, George
Gordon and Mr Alexander Reid.


61. 13 September 1641

George Jamesoun ane bairne buried 3 lib.

This and the following entry must refer to Andrew and Alexander baptised on 12 June 1635 and 18 August 1636 (see nos. 45 and 51).

City of Aberdeen Records (Town Clerk), Kirk & Bridge Work Accounts 1571 - 1670, under date.

62. 5 October 1641

Octo: 5 1641 Ane berne of George Jamesonis 3.

Ibid.

63. 8 October 1641

George Jamesone and Isobell Tosch ane dochter namitt Isobell Bap. be Mr William Robertsons Mr Alexander Meingzies Georg Mengzies Mr Jon Alexander and Mr Adame
Andersone godfathers.

GRO(S), Parochial Registers, Aberdeen 168A, Vol. 3, under date.

64. 5 November 1641

Instrument of sasine, whereby it is made known that in 'anno . . . millesimo sexcentesimo quadragesimo primo, Mensis vero Novebris die Quinto . . . Magister Alexander Davidson advocatus procurator et eo nomine honesti viri Jacobi Toshe mercatoris Burguëñ de Aberdeñ, hereditarii proprietarii terre anterioris subtus bondaë' in terms of a procuratory of resignation contained in letters of disposition expedite 'apud Leith vigesimo die mensis Octobris proxime elapsi anno supradicto' went to George Moreson, bailie in Aberdeen, and resigned into his hands by virtue of the procuratory of resignation: 'Totam et integram illam Terram Anteriorem tam subtus quam supra cum pertineñ per dictum Jacobum Toshe pro presenti occupat Jaceñ infra dictum burgum in vico Lemurum Ly Gestraw nuncupat ex occidentali parte eiusdem vici Inter terram quondam Gulielmi Wormett, nunc vero heredum quondam Joannis Howyson ex australi ex vna, Terram quondam Davidis Porter nunc vero heredum quondam Georgii Pacock ex borealis partibus ab altera, Terram interiorem quondam Gulielmi Vrquhart postea quondam Joannis Ray et nunc Andree Birny versus occidens et communem viam regiam versus
versus orients'; this for giving hereditary sasine to 'Georgio Jameson pictori burgen de Edinburgh . . .', to be held 'in libero Burgagio pro servitio Burgagio . . . ac pro annua solutione Magistro Alexander Jaffray preposito (provost) dicti burgi de Aberden (heirs and assignays) summe Septem mercarum . . .'.

Consequently the bailie gave sasine to 'Roberto Alexander . . . actornato seu procurator et eo nomine dicti Georgii Jameson'. Sasine took place at 'horam circiter tertiam pomeridianam' before these witnesses: 'Magistro Adamo Anderson filio Joannis Anderson pictor burgen de Aberden Magistro Georgio Robertson burgen dicti burgi Andrea Massie servo dicti Magistri Alexandri Davidson et Gilberto Bireck vno seriandorum eiusdem burgi . . .'. Expending notary Walter Robertson.

City of Aberdeen Records (Town Clerk), Register of Sasines, Vol. XXXIX, ff. 179 - 179 v.

65. 7 June 1642
Contract of wadset (\%c.) between 'Robert Masone carver induellar in Edinburgh sone lauffull to vmquhill Robert Masone Merchand burges of Edinburgh . . . and Jone Dawsone tailyeor burges of the said burt of Edinburgh . . .'.

Dawson has lent Mason the sum of 3,000 merks. As security Mason dispones to Dawson 'heretablie vnder reversion . . . All and haill That foretenement of land under and above with the pertinents thairof Now perteineing To the said Robert Massone and of before to the said vmquhill Robert Massone his father lately acquyret be him fra vmquhill Jon Landis and Helene Crawfurd his spous and quhilk somtyme perteinit to vmquhill Archbald Prymrose wryter Lyand within the said burt of Edinburgh one the Northe syde of the street thairof neer the Nethirbow, betwix the land of vmquhill Robert Hendersone one the eist The Landis of vmquhill Jon Turnor one the west, The kingis street one the southe and the landes perteineing to vmquhill John Foullis one the Northe pairtis . . . Reservand alwayes and except and furth of this present wodset . . . Jeane Kellie relict of the said vmquhill Robert Massone merchant and mother to the said Robert Masone disponer hir lyfrent or sic vther richt as is maid and grantit to hir off the lodgeing of the said foretenement quhilk is possest be George Jamesone painter . . .'.

Dawson however 'Setts bak in tak and assedation', i.e. leases, to Mason during non-redemption for 128 merks yearly, the said foretenement, 'presentlie possest be Clemens Touris glasenwricht Thomas Quhyte armorar and David Fergusson merchant burgess of Edinburgh'.

Dawson himself 'acceptis to be his duelling hous'
the 'heiche house of the said foretenement' for a yearly
rent of 112 merks. Redemption is suspended until 1647.
Registered 21 June 1642.

See no. 42 above and no. 74 below. A wadset was a giving
of security by disponing lands to a creditor (the wadsetter),
the lands being redeemable by the debtor (the reverser) on
payment of the principal sum and fulfillment of certain
conditions and payment of any penalties.

City of Edinburgh Records, Moses Bundle 23, no. 950.

66. 6 September 1643

Date of charter granted by Gilbert, Earl of Erroll
with consent of John, Earl of Kinghorn, at Huntly,
to George Jamesone, of the lands of Esslemont and half-lands
of Bourhills.

For details and source see no. 67 below.

67. 12 September 1643

Instrument of sasine whereby it is made known that in
'anno .. Millesimo Sexcentesimo quadragesimo tertio
mensis vero Septembris die duodecimo' compeared personally
'Magister Joannes Alexander advocatus ballivus in hac parte nobilium et potentum Comitum Gilberti comitis de Erroll &c. magni constabularii huius regni Scotie hereditarii proprietarii terrarum . . . subscript . . . cum speciali avisamento et consensu Joannis comitis de Kinghorne &c. eius tutoris testamentarii pro suo interesse . . ., ac etiam providus vir Georgius Jamesone pictor burgen burgi de Edinburgh . . . habens et tenens suis in manibus quandam cartam preceptum sasine . . . in se continein' granted to him and his heirs by Erroll and Kinghorne 'in libera alba firma' during non-redemption, 'de Totis et integris terris diucalibus de Essilmont prout eodem pro presenti per Gilbertum Johnstoune . . . occupat sunt cum maneriei loco turre fortalicicio hortis pomariis et pertinein ejusdem necnon de tota et integra illa dimidietate ville et terrarum de Bowrehilles pro presenti per ______ Lidgertwood . . . occupat . . . Jacein infra barroniam de Essilmont et infra vicecomitatum de Aberden' with the provision however, 'quod dicte terre . . . redimabiles et sub reversione sint dictis comitibus . . . a memorato Georgio Jamesone (and heirs) per solutionem aut satisfactionem illis per dictos comites summe sedecem mille mercarum . . . et omni preteritorum annuorum reddituum ejusdem pro tempore debif super requisitionem sexaginta dierum dictis comitibus . . . per dictum Georgium Jamesone . . . Suspendein tamen dictam requisitionem pro redemptione dictarum terrarum ad festum Pentecostes . . . Millesimo Sexcentesimo quadragesimo octavo durante quo quidem spatio et ad quem terminum nullatenus licitum est dicto
George Jamesone then produced the charter to John Alexander, bailie, and required him to execute his office of baliary, which he did by handing the charter to the notary public (Robert Alexander) for reading the precept of sasine, as follows: "precipimus et firmiter mandamus quatenus visis presentibus' you give hereditary possession 'Totarum et integrarum predictarum terrarum duicalium de Essillmont . . . et terrarum de Bowrehillis (all as described above) . . . durante non redemptione' to George Jamesone. 'In cuius rei Testimonium (written by Frederick Hamilton servitor to John Alexander) Sigilla nostra propria sunt appensa Apud Huntlie sexto die mensis Septembris . . . millesimo quadragesimo tertio' before these witnesses: 'Joanne Mortimer et Andrea Erskyne' servitoribus dicti Joannis comitis de Kinghorne et Roberto Alexander scriba in Edinburgh . . . (signed) Erroll Kinghorne (and the above)' ".

After reading the precept of sasine John Alexander gave sasine of the said lands (under reversion) to 'Georgio Jamesone personaliter presenti et acceptanti per terre et lapidis fundi dictarum terrarum necnon dicti turris fortali-cii respective . . . horas inter decimam et vndecimam antemeridiem' before these witnesses: 'Jacobo Bruce servitore Joannis Kennedie de Caimucks Patricio Ranyin Bourehillis et
Joanne Brodie servitore Jacobi Scot incole in Aberdonia . . .'.

It should be noted that Jamesone is bound not to require repayment of his capital sum until 1648 - see nos. 70 and 77 below.

Presented for booking in the Register of Sasines by Andrew Massie, notary, on 15 September 1643.

SRO, Particular Register of Sasines, Aberdeen, Vol. 12, ff. 514 v - 516 v.

68. 17 - 23 July 1644

mesone and Issobell Tosch

ane daughter nam Johne Row Mr Johne

Gregorie Mr Tho gill Thomas Melling

James Tosch Alexander Alsch

Gilbert Skeyne Godfathers

This baptismal entry is much damaged, the missing parts being torn away; it must fall somewhere between 17 and 23 July 1644. On the evidence of no. 70 below, this must refer to Mary Jamesone. From the frequency of certain names in the register and from names seen here and elsewhere in a Jamesone context, the above entry might therefore be expanded thus:
The said day George Jamesone and Issobell Tosch
ane daughter nam [ed Mary baptised be Mr] Johne Row Mr Johne
Gregorie Mr Thomas ?Gray ?Thomas Car]gill Thomas Melling
James Tosch Alexander Alscl [ioner ________________________]
Gilbert Skeyne Godfathers

GRO(S), Parochial Registers, Aberdeen 168A, Vol. 3, under
date.

69. **11 December 1644**

Date on which Marjory, Isobel and Mary Jamesone were
served heirs to the late George Jamesone their father,
in the lands of Essilmont and half-lands of Bourhills, at the
sheriff-court of Aberdeen.

For details and source, see no. 70 below.

70. **4 January 1645**

Instrument of sasine whereby it is made known that in
'anno ... Millesimo sexcentesimo quadragesimo quinto
mensis vero Januarii die quarto' compeared personally 'Thomas
Martein in Essillmont procurator et eo nomine Mariorie, Isobelle
et Marie Jamesones filiarum legitimarum ac heredum quondam
Georgii Jamesone burgeñ de Edinburgh ... Habens et tenens
suis in manibus quoddam preceptum clare constat' granted by
'Gilbertum Comitem de Erroll &c. magnum constabularium hujus regni cum consensu et assensu Joannis comitis de Kingorne ejus Tutoris Prefatis Mariorie, Isobelle et Marie Jamesones de et super totis et integris terris diucaleibus de Essillmont provt per Gilbertum Jonstoune occupat cum maneriei loco turre fortaliço hortis pomariis . . . necnon de et supra tota et integra illa Dimidietate ville et terrarum de Bourhilles provt per Joannem Lidgerwood occupat . . . Jaceń infra barroniam de Essillmont et Vicecomitatum de Aberdein'.

Thomas Martein then produced the precept of sasine to Thomas Jonstoun, bailie, who gave it to the notary public (James Pettindreich) for reading, as follows: 'Gilbertus Comes de Erroll . . . superior terrarum aliorumque subscript cum consensu et assensu Joannis Comitis de Kingorne mei Tutoris dilectis nostris Thome Jonstoun in Essillmont et vestrum cuilibet conjunctim et divisim Ballivis nostris in hac parte . . . constitutis . . . Quia nobis clare constat certisque evidentiarium testimoniis compertum est quod quondam Georgius Jamesone burgeń de Edinburgh pater Marjorie Isobelle et Marie Jamesones latricum presentium Obiit ultimo vestitus et sasitus vt de feodo ad fidem et pacem (of the Sovereign) In totis et integris terris' of Esslemont and Bourhills (both as described above) 'Et quod dicte Marioria Isobella et Maria Jamesones latrices presentium sunt legitimae et propinquiores heredes dicti quondam Georgii Jamesone eorum patris in curia.
Vicecomitatus de Aberdein tenta in praetorio ejusdem undecimo die mensis Decembris . . . Millesimo sexcentesimo quadragésimo quarto Coram Vicecomite de Aberdein legitime deservito de predict terris aliisque supra script Except that you give hereditary sasine of Esslemont and Bourhills, both as described above, to the three grantees 'velorum certis actornatis . . . Redimē praedict terre aliisque superscript per nos . . . de manibus Mariorie Isobelle et Mariae Jamesones . . . per solutionem aut consignationem summe Sedecem mille mercarum . . in contractu impignorationis Inter nos . . . Gilbertum ErroMie comitem cum consensu Joannis comitis de Kingorne . . . et quondam Georgium Jamesone et in revisione nobis . . .
In cuius Rei Testimonium huic presenti precepto meo manu Andreae Massie notarii publici script . . . Sigilla nostra sunt appensa Apud Glammes et [blank] . . . Millesimo sexcent-
esimo quadragésimo quarto et [blank] ' , before these witnesses:
'Magistro Davide Lindsay ministro verbi dei apud ecclesiam de Balhelvie Magistro Joanne Pilmor servitore domini de Panmwir et Alexander Keith scriba Edinburgen and signed thus:
' [blank] Kingorne (and the three witnesses above)' "

After reading the precept of sasine Thomas Martein, procurator for the grantees, and the granter's bailie went
personally to both the lands, and the latter gave sasine to Martein 'horas inter primam et secundam post meridiem aut eo circa' before these witnesses: 'Alexandro Lumsden in Essillmont Alexandro King ibidem Joanne Jonstoune ibidem et Joanne Ogilvie ibidem . . .'.

See nos. 67 and 69 above and no. 77 below. There remains no explanation why Jamesone's daughter Elizabeth (see no. 54 above) was not also served heir in these properties. She was still alive on 6 January 1645 as no. 71 below shows.

The stages by which the three heirs made up their title to the subjects (the latter stages summarised in the precept of sasine) were as follows: An executed brieve of inquest was presented in the court and a general service claimed, the claim stating that the ancestor died at the faith and peace of the Sovereign, that the claimants were nearest and lawful heirs and were of lawful age. On the granting of a retour of general service the heirs obtained a precept of sasine (called a precept of clare constat) from the superior and by virtue of this an instrument of sasine was expedite. The instrument was presented for booking in the Register of Sasines by James Pittindreich, notary, on 20 February 1645.

SRO, Particular Register of Sasines, Aberdeen, Vol. 13, ff. 173 v - 175.
71. 6 January 1645

Instrument of sasine, whereby it is made known that in 'anno . . . millesimo sexcentesimo quadragesimo quinto mensis vero Januarii die sexto . . . Joannes Hay vnus baillivorum burgi de Abredein ad specialem et humilem supplicationem providi viri Magistri Joannis Alexander advocati Edinburgi procuratoris et eo nomine Elizabethe Issobellei et Mariei Jamiesones filiarum legitimarum et coheredum quondam Georgii Jamiesone pictoris burgen de [blank] . . . accessit personaliter ad (i) Totam et integram illam terram anteriorem tam subtus quam supra cum pertinei per Jacobum Tosche pro presente occupat jacei infra dictum burgum in vico Lemurum lie gaistraw nuncupat ex occidental parti ejusdem vici Inter terram quondam Guielmi Wormet, nunc vero heredum quondam Joannis Howisone ex australi ex vna, terram quondam Davidis Porter nunc vero heredum quondam Georgii Peacok ex boreali partibus ab altera, Terram interiorem quondam Willielmi Wrquhart postea quondam Joannis Ray et nunc Andreei Birny versus occidens et communem viam regiam versus oriens . . . (ii) totam et integram illam terram anteriorem tam subtus quam supra cum pertinei jacei infra dictum burgum in vico Scholari ex australi latere ejusdem vici, inter terram communitatis dicti burgi vocae Ly Kirkludge ex australi parte, terram Roberti Alexander ex orientali parte et communes vias regias versus boream et occidens . . . (iii) Totam et
integram terram illam anteriorem cum pertinencī tegulis cooper-
tam jacent in infra dictum burgum in predicto vico Scholari ex
boreali parte ejusdem vici, inter terram olim quondam Davidis
Endeauche nunc vero Roberti Forbes de Rubbislaw ex orientali
ex vna, terram quondam Adami Mair ex occidentali partibus ab
altera, Terram interiorem quondam Andreei Watsone carpentarii
versus boream et communem viam regiam versus austrum'; and on
these grounds respectively and successively the bailie 'cognovit
et intravit per lie hespe et steple ... dictas Elizabetham
Issobellam et Mariam Jamesones in veras legitimas et propinqu-
iores coheredes prefati quondam Georgii Jamesone earum patris
de terris tenementis aliisque supralimitatī ...' and gave
sasine of the three lands to John Alexander, as procurator,
after the tenor of the instruments of sasine of 'dicti quondam
Georgii Jamesone earum patris de eisdem terris supra limitatī
sub signis et subscriptionibus manualibus quondam Magistri
Thomei Mollysone et Walteri Robertsone notariorum publicorum
... ', to be held of the sovereign 'in libero burgagio ...'.

Sasine took place at 'hora secunda post meridiem
aut eo circa' before these witnesses: 'Willielmo Gray junior
et Gilberto Skene burgensibus dicti burgi Magistro Jacobo Reid
advocati Abredon Frederico Hamiltonoun servitore dicti Magistri
Joannis Alexander et Georgio Kempt meo famulo ...'.

Expeding notary Patrick Chalmer.
In this instrument Elizabeth, who had not inherited a share in Mains of Esslemont (no. 70), replaces her adult sister Marjory. The lands in question are as follows: (i) foreland on west side of Guestraw acquired by Jamesone on 5 November 1641 (no. 64 - see no. 75 below); (ii) foreland on south side of Schoolhill acquired by Jamesone on 7 June 1627 (no. 21); (iii) foreland on north side of Schoolhill acquired by Jamesone's father on 27 May 1586 (no. 3), given in life-rent to his wife on 3 December 1607 (no. 8) and in hereditary possession to George on same date (no. 10), resigned and taken in conjunct infeftment by George and his wife on 25 January 1625 (no. 18 - see no. 76 below).

City of Aberdeen Records (Town Clerk), Register of Sasines, Vol. XL, under date (unpaginated).

72. 15 January 1645

The said day anent the supplication gevin in be Mr John Alexander, advocat in Edinburgh, makand mention that quhair that peice of ground callit the Playfeild, besyd the Womanhill (quhilk wes set to vmquhill George Jameson, painter, burges of Edinburgh, in liferent, and buildit be him in a garden), is now vnprofitable, and that the said Mr John Alexander, son-in-law to the said vmquhill George, is desyreous to have the same peice of ground set to him in feu heretable,
to be hauldin of the provest, bailies, counsell, and communitie of the burghe of Aberdein and their successoris, for payment of a reasonable few deutie yeirly thairfoir: Humblie thairfoir supplicating thair honours of the counsell to set in few heretable to him the foirsaid peice of ground for payment of a reasonable feu deutie yeirlie, as in the said supplication at mair lenth is contenit: Quilk being red, sein and consider-it, and they thairwith ryplie, and at length advysed, the saids provest, baillies, and counsall ordanes ane heretable few charter to be past and expended to the said Mr John Alexander, his aires, maill, and successores, of the foirsaid plot of ground, callit the Playfeild, a garden sometyme possest be the said vmquhill George Jameson, for payment of four pundis Scotis money yeirlie of few deutie to the towns thesaurar and his successors at Witsunday and Martimes in winter, be equall portions, the first terms payment to be and begin at the feast and terme of [blank] nixt to come, and sua furth, yeirlie, in all tymes heirafter, and ordanes the limits of the said garden to be set doun and boundit in the foirsaid charter after the sichting of the ground be the said provest and baillies, quhilk the counsell appoints to be visited the morne, and that in respect the haill inhabitants of this burghe being convenit within the tolbuith of the same, vpon the seivint day of January instant, they all in ane voice but any contradictioun gaue their expres consent and assent
to the heretable fewing of the said peice of ground vpon sic conditiones and for payment of sic yeirlie few as the magistrates and counsell sould think expedient.

See no. 43 above. It should be noted that the actual request was agreed to on 7 January, three days and one day after nos. 70 and 71 respectively.


---

73. **12 September 1645**

Under a general head - 'The charge of the colections of the buriells in the kirk 1644 the 20 day of September'

12 September (i.e. of 1645)

Ane chyld of Geo. Jamesons 3 - -

This almost certainly refers to Elizabeth (last mentioned 6 January, no. 71). See however the note to no. 75 below.

74. 2 January 1650

Disposition by 'Me George Maisson merchant burges of Edinburgh aire of provisoun to vmquhill Robert Maisson carver second lawfull sone to vmquhill Robert Maissone merchant burges of Edinburgh our father' of a foretenement acquired by the latter from 'Johne Landis merchant burges of the said burgh and Helen Crawfurd his spous and of befoir perteaneing to vmquhill Mathew Crawford father to the said Helein acquyret be him frae Archbald Primmroise wryttar and Katheren Andro his spous sumtyme perteaneing to vmquhill Francis Lintoun merchant burges of the said burgh and thairefter to vmquhill Mr Robert Lintoun advocat his sone and air lyand in the said burgh vpon the north syd of the kingis hie streit of the samyne neir to the Nether Bow (position as described in no. 65 above) . . . to Johne Pollock cordin or burges of Edinburgh for himselff and in name and behalff of Mareon Rutherfurd his spous . . . Reservand alwayes to Jean Kello my mother hir lyfrent right of the ludgeing of the said foretenement sumtyme possest be vmquhill George Jamiesone painter and the laich wester volt in the ground of the saidtenement presentlie possest be [blank] '.

Registered 5 April 1652.

See nos. 42 and 65 above.

City of Edinburgh Records, Moses Bundle 32, no. 1300.
75. 15 September 1653

By this present public Instrument Be it knowin

... that vpoun the Fyftent day of September on thousand sex hundreth feftie thrie yeiris ... Compeirit personally Patrick Moir (bailie of Aberdeen) ... at the speciall desyre ... of Maister John Alexander advocat in Edinburghe procurator specially constitut be Marjorie and Marie Jamesones laufull daughters and airs portioners to the deceast George Jamesoun painter burges ... of Edinbrughe, and husband to the said Marjorie and tutor dative to the said Marie ... Patrick Moir ... with ... John Alexander ... did personally cume To all and haill that tenement of foirland sumetyme pertaneing to James Tosch and occupied be him Lyand in the said brughe in the Guestraw and west syd tharoff Betuixt the land sumetyme of the deceast John Wormewood thairefter of John Howesoune and now of Issobell Gib at the south The land of the airs of the deceast David Porter and now of the airs of the deceast George Peacock at the north The Inland of the deceast William Vrquhart thairefter of John Ray and now of [blank] at the west and the comoun gait at the eist And that be wertew of ane Inquisitioun haid and deducit be certane citizens ... within the tolbuith ... In presence of the said Patrick Moir bailly wpoun the thretent day of the said month of September and yeir above writtin Whairby the saids Marjorie and Marie Jamesones were servit as neirest and laufull
coairs portionars to the said deceast George Jamesoun thair faither off all and haill the said tenement of foirland . . . the Baillie . . . did confer . . . To the said Master John Alexander procurator . . . heretable possessioun of . . . the said tenement of foirland . . . be deleyuery of earth and stone of the said tenement of land . . . To be holdin of the provest and Baillies of Aberdein or any other Imediat superior thairoff In frie burgage . . . And for payment of ane anvell of seveine merkis . . . to Alexander Jaffray of Kingswalls . . .

This is the property invested in Elizabeth, Isobel and Mary in no. 71 above (see also no. 64). The remaining two sisters are confirmed in their possession in order to dispose of it, as the remainder of the instrument, virtually a second instrument of sasine, shows. For 'procuratory of resignation' see notes to no. 44 above. During the period of the Commonwealth instruments of sasine were written in English.

And Instantlie thairefter the said Master John Alexander procurator forsaid Be wertue of Letteres of dispositioun contaneing ane procuratorie of Resignatioun maid and subscryvit be the saide Marjorie and Marie Jamesones . . . and the said master John Alexander . . . and be James Tosch burges of the said brughe of Aberdein . . . in favoris of John Ord merchant burges of the said brughe and Cathreine Dune his spous (longest
liver and heirs and assignees) ... of the dait the last day of Maij and zeer abovewrittin ... for himselff his owine right and entres and as procurator for the saids Marjorie and Marie Jamesones and James Tosche Be wertew of the saids Letteres of procuratorie contanit in the said dispositioun ... Resignit ... in the hands of the said Patrick Moir bailly As in the hands of the Immediat superior ... the said foirland ... The Which resignation being maid ... The Baillie ... Did confer ... To the said John Ord and Catherine Dune (personally present) ... in conjunctfie ... heretabill possessioun of all and haill the said foirland ... To be Holdin in maner abovementionat ... Theis thingis wer done wpoun the ground of the said land about four hours afternoone day moneth and yeer abovewrittin Beffoir witnesses Alexander Thomsoun and Master James Reid advocates in Abirdein John SandLands Comissary clerk deput Robert Smith elder burges of the said brughe and Alexander Bruce my servant ... (Expeding notary Mr Thomas Sandi-lands, town clerk).
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76. 17 July 1655

By this present instrument Be it knowin . . . That wpoun the seventeen day of July on thousand sex hundreth feftie fyve zeiris . . . Compeirit personallie master James Kennedie Induellar in Aberdein procurator specially constitut be Marjorie and Marie Jamesones Laufull daughters and airis portioneris of the deceast George Jamesoun painter burges of Aberdein And be Mr John Alexander advocat in Edinburgh spous to the said Marjorie and tutor dative to the said Marie be wertue of Letteres of dispositioun containeing procuratorie of resignatioun maid and subscryvit be the saids Marjorie and Marie Jamesones and the said Mr John Alexander . . . To and in favoris of Alexander Kempt meassone in Aberdein and Bessie Hill his spous (longest liver and heirs and assignees) . . . of the dait the [blank] day of [blank] in the yeir abovewritten . . . And the said Mr James Kennedy procurator forsaid Be wertue of the said procuratorie of resignatioun contain in the saids Letteres of dispositioun . . . resignit in the hands of ane honorable man Paull Collisoune ane of the bailies of the said burght As in the hands of the imediat suverin . . . All and haill that tenement or hous of old vaist and without ane inhabitant pertaineing sumtyme to the deceast Andro Reid of Collisoune And therefter conquest from him and reedified be the deceist Patrik Forbes
burges of Aberdein And thereafter acquirit from the said deceast Patrik be the deceist Andro Jamesoun meassoun And thereafter belonging to the said George Jamesoun as sone & air to him Lyand within the said burghe of Aberdein vpoun the south syd of the Scoollhill street of the samen neir to the kirk styl and entrie to the church yard of Sanct Nicolas Church of the said burghe vpoun the west syd of the said styl Betuixt the land of the comunitie of the said burghe which wes of old vaist wpoun the west The said kirk yard vpoun the south and the comone hie street wpoun the north and eist partis therof now possesst be Elspet Chalmer relict of the deceast Gilbert Buchane of Robstan . . . The Which Resignatione being maid . . . The Baillie . . . did confer . . . heretable possessioun off . . . the said tenement . . . To doctor Williams Guild doctor of divinitie procurator for the said Alexander Kempt & Bessie Hill his spous . . . in conjunctfie & lyfrent . . . To be holdin of his highnes Oliuer Lord Protector of the Comon wealth . . . in frie burgage . . . . Thes thingis wer done wpoun the ground of the said land or hous betuixt ellewine & tuelff houris in the foirnoone day moneth and zeir abovewrittin Beffoir witnesses Thomas Davidsone Master of the musik scool William Forbes his docter James Fuird serjand in Aberdein and Alexander Bruce my servant . . . .

(Docquet not booked but on evidence of no. 75 the expeding notary must be Mr Thomas Sandilands, town clerk.)
This is almost certainly the property described in nos. 8 (different to Andrew Jamesone's wife), 9 (hereditary possession to his son Andrew), 17 (George Jamesone declared heir to his brother Andrew) and 18 (Jamesone in conjunct infeftment with his wife). Although the description here introduces much that is not included in any of the above documents the salient points which agree are: south side of Schoolhill with streets on north and east and the churchyard on the south.

In terms of Gordon of Rothiemay's map these common features indicate a house in an identical position (in fact that building showing to the left of the spire of St Nicholas, which has a clearly marked opening into the churchyard on its west side - though the house is said to be west of the 'styll').

In the earlier instruments it must be assumed that the land of John Robertson (Strachan and Cadell) actually lay west of a strip of common land, which was then 'vaist' (waste). That the building had also been 'vaist' and then 'reedified' by Patrick Forbes before the first Andrew Jamesone acquired it strongly suggests the reason why it was then and later described as 'terram de novo adificatam'. It remains unclear why Andrew Jamesone's son Andrew is not stated to have been a previous holder.

City of Aberdeen Records (Town Clerk), Register of Sasines, Vol. XLIII, under date (unpaginated).
77. 16 February 1656

By this present public Instrument To all be it knowne that upon the sixtein day of February the yeare of our Lord (1656) . . . Compeirit personallie John Ligertwood in Bourhills of Essilmont haveing and bearing in his hands ane precept of clare constat conteining precept of sasine maid grantit & subscryvit be . . . Erle Gilbert Erle of Erroll Lord Hay and Slaines &c. To Marjory Jamesone now spous to Mr John Alexander advocat and Marie Jamesone hir sister tua doughters & aires portioners of the deceist George Jamesone painter burges of Edinburgh, and are the onlie tuo laufull sisters and aires portioners of the deceist Issobel Jamesone thair third sister Off all and hall the third part of the Lands and maines of Essilmont as the same was possesst be Gilbert Johnstone then tennent with the maner place yeards and pertinents and als that half of the toun and Lands of Bourhills with the pertinents Lyand within the barronie of Essilmont and sherrefdome of Aberdein.

(John Ligertwood, as procurator for Marjory and Mary, then produced the precept of Clare Constat to 'James Cuming servitor to the said Noble Erle', and bailie, and desired execution. The bailie then handed the precept to notary public (Gawin Cruikshank) for reading the precept of sasine, as follows: "(We) Charge yow . . . our precept sein . . . that ye give stait seasing . . . off . . . the
thrid part of the maines of Essilmont . . . and alse of the half of the said toun and Lands of Bourhills . . . together with the thrid part of the said teind sheaves of the saids Lands to the saids Mariore and Marie Jamesons . . . or to thair procuratore . . . To be holdin of ws . . . in all respe• estis as the said deceist Issobell Jamesone thair sister or the said deceist George Jamesone thair father held . . . the same . . . of Befoir Conform to the Originall rights . . . grantit be ws to the said deceist George Jamesone and his said dochters . . . provyding . . . that thir presents . . . be nowayes prejudiciall To ws anent the right of Reversione Competent to ws for redemptione from them of the Lands . . . Conform to the Contractis and rights of wodset past betuixt ws and the saids deceist George Jamesone Mr John Alexander Mariore and Marie Jamesons . . . In witnes quhairof to thir presents wnderwrittin be William Stewart servitor to Mr Alexander Forbes advocat in Aberdein And subscryvit with our hands our seall is appendit at Slaines the fouertein day of February [1656] Befoir witnessis Thomas Kirktoune James Gib servitors to the said Noble Erle and Gawin Cruikshank notar publict Inserter of the dait and witnessis names And sua subscryvit Erroll (and the above)".

(After reading the precept, James Cuming, bailie, gave sasine of the said lands to John Ligertwood, procurator. Sasine took place 'about Nyne hours in the foir noone day
yeare and moneth forsaid . . . Befoir John Catto in Bourhills
William Catto thair and Alexander Cuming thair witnessis
. . .' .)

Expiring notary Gawin Cruikshank.

See nos. 66, 67, 69 and 70 above. Presented for booking in
the Register of Sasines by Mr Alexander Davidson, advocate
in Aberdeen on 18 February 1656.
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78. On the following dates George Jamesone appeared as a
godfather/witness at baptisms in Aberdeen. The
frequency of these appearances was not especially unusual for
an eminent townsman. The normal number of godfathers ranged
from four to six. The parents' names followed by the name of
the child are given in brackets. If any of the other godfathers
are persons mentioned in the text or are particularly eminent
their names follow that of the child.

(1) 9 August 1628  (Patrick Fergusone, Agnes Drum -
Jeane)

(2) 27 December 1628  (Androw Straquhin, Margaret Melling
- Thomas)

(3) 10 January 1629  (Patrick Black, Christen Masone -
George)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24 January 1629</td>
<td>Robert Deskrie, Bessie Milne - George: James Tosche</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 February 1629</td>
<td>Thomas Thomson, Elspet Burnett - George: George Moresone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 January 1630</td>
<td>James Tocha, Agnes Gordone - Elspait</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 June 1630</td>
<td>Mr David Wederburne, Bethia Mowat - Bethia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 October 1630</td>
<td>Thomas Roiss, Elspet Guthrie - Ewphein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 November 1630</td>
<td>Alexander Gray, Janet Muray - Elspet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 February 1631</td>
<td>David Maitland, Mariorie Tailzor - Patrik</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 February 1631</td>
<td>Thomas Wrwhart, Annis Forbes - Patrik: Patrik Dwn principall of the Colledge, Patrik Leslie Bailzie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 August 1631</td>
<td>Patrick Jack, younger, Agnes Gray - Issobell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 October 1631</td>
<td>Williame Andersone, Girsall Drwme - Jeane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 November 1631</td>
<td>John Allane, Jonet Andersone - Margratt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 January 1632</td>
<td>James Elmslie, Bwphan Gordone - Issobell</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(16) 3 June 1632  (Alexander Jaffray, Elspet Gordone
   - Bessie)
(17) 23 October 1632  (Pitter More, Elspett Cosser -
   Christiane)
(18) 6 December 1632  (George Wilsone, Cristiane Andersone
   - Georg)
(19) 13 December 1632  (Thomas Sventoune, Margrat Thomsoune
   - George: James Tosche)
(20) 24 January 1633  (Mr Thomas Thorss, Issobell Andersone
   - William)
(21) 24 January 1633  (Robert Keyth, Isobell Jack - Georg)
(22) 21 September 1633  (Robert Skene, Kathrene Donaldsone
   - Bessie)
(23) 1 October 1633  (James Naughtie, Mariore Hendersone
   - William)
(24) 16 November 1633  (Mr Robert Barron doctor of divinitie,
   Jeane Gibson - Marie: William lord
   Keith, Alexander Irving appeirand
   of Drum)
(25) 12 January 1634  (William Andersone, Margaret Guthrie
   - Jon: Sir Paull Menzies of
   Kynmwndie knyt, Alexander Alshanor)
(26) 14 April 1634  (James Tosche, Anas Gordon - George)
(27) 6 May 1634  (Maister Williame Couper, Margratt
            Howesone - Jeane: Thomas Collinsone
            of Auchlureis, Andro Melling)

(28) 13 May 1634  (Androw Howatt, Issobell Leslie -
            George)

(29) 10 November 1634  (Thomas Gordon, Kaitharine Andersone -
            George)

(30) 20 November 1634  (Alexander Andersone, Margaret Medders
            - Marorie)

(31) 15 April 1635  (Alexander Gray, Issobell Walker -
            Thomas)

(32) 18 May 1635  (Mr Alexander Jaffray, Magdalene
            Erskine - Mariore)

(33) 3 June 1635  (Andro Meldrume, Margrat Burnet -
            Margrat)

(34) 18 November 1635  (Mr Alexander Davidsone, Effie
            Andersone - Jainiet)

(35) 19 January 1636  (Robert Lithco, Elspett Farsin -
            Jeans)

(36) 26 January 1637  (Alexander Downy, Margrat Hay -
            Elspet)

(37) 22 August 1637  (Robert Burnett, Cristiane Burnett
            - George)

(38) 26 November 1637  (Alexander Hendersoune, Agnes Geddes
            - George)
(39) 5 December 1637  (James Farquhar, Jeane Gray - George: 
                        George Morissoune bailzie)
(40) 30 December 1637 (Wmquhill Robert Alshioner, Elspett 
                        Jack - George: Robert Skeyne, 
                        James Tosche)
(41) 7 February 1638  (Johne Andersone, Agnes Straquhan 
                        - Jeane: Jon Andersone, Mr Adam 
                        Andersone)
(42) 5 November 1638  (Andro Wishart, Elspet Gray - Elspet)
(43) 15 November 1638 (Johnne Barclay, Kaithrene Troup - 
                        George)
(44) 17 December 1638 (Richard Alexander, Christaine Stewart 
                        - William)
(45) 15 February 1639 (Mr Robert Downy, Issobell Walker - 
                        Kaithrene)
(46) 26 August 1639  (Alexander Colinsoune, Margrat 
                        Cruikshank - Georg)
(47) 2 September 1639 (Mr Adam Andersone, Agnes Andersoune 
                        - Effie: James Tosche)
(48) 12 September 1639 (Doctor James Sibbald, Elspet Nicolsoune 
                        - George: George Moresoune lait baillie, 
                        Alexander Jaffray)
(49) 18 September 1639 (Charles Kelo, Dorathia Bruce - Margrat)
(50) 2 October 1639  (Thomas Colinsoune, Jeane Menzeis - 
                        Arthour: Docter Arthour Jonstoune, 
                        Mr David Wedderburne)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Entry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 October 1639</td>
<td>(Alexander Alshinor, Jeane Cargill - Hester: Jon Alexander)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 October 1639</td>
<td>(Patrick Jop, Issobell [obliterated] - Patrick: Doctor William Jonstone)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 November 1639</td>
<td>(Alexander Stewart, Margrat Bruce - William)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 May 1640</td>
<td>(Johnne Forbes, Mariorie Milne - Issobell: Robert Cruikshank)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 March 1641</td>
<td>(James Nicoll, Elspett Thomson - Elspett)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 February 1642</td>
<td>(Williame Jack, [not named] - Mariorie)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 March 1642</td>
<td>(Hew Fraser, [not named] - George)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 October 1642</td>
<td>(Mr Thomas Gray lait bailzie, Isobell Farquhar - Williame)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 December 1642</td>
<td>(Johne Gordoune, [not named] - Agnes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 January 1643</td>
<td>(Robert Campbell, [not named] - George)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 January 1643</td>
<td>(Alexander Alshoner, [not named] - James)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 October 1643</td>
<td>(Alexander Andersoun, Margratt Meddes - [obliterated])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 October 1643</td>
<td>(Walter Morisoune, Margrat Nicolsone - Georg: Georg Morrisiane)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 November 1643</td>
<td>(James Straquhan, Agnes Dauldsoune - [not named])</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
No. (41) refers to the family of John Anderson the painter who, as Document 64 shows, had a son 'Mr Adam Anderson'. The probability here must be that the parent of the child being baptised was brother to Adam and son of Jamesone's master (see also no. 94 below). The Robert Cruikshank who appears in nos. (54) and (66) is almost certainly the same who later married Jamesone's widow.

GRO(S), Parochial Registers, Aberdeen 168A, Vol. 2 (1 - 9) and Vol. 3 (10 - 66).
Jamesone is now known to have been addressed or mentioned in contemporary verse on five occasions: three were published during his lifetime and one immediately after his death while that by William Forbes does not seem to have been printed.

He is mentioned incidentally but significantly in David Wedderburn's *Vivat Rex* published in 1633 at Aberdeen by Edward Raban (reprinted with English summary in *Musa Latina Aberdonensis*, Vol. III, New Spalding Club 1910, pp. 415 - 425). The relevant lines have already been quoted in the commentary after catalogue entry no. 64. His name occurs again in Arthur Johnston's 'Aberdonia Nova' published in his complete poems at Middleburg in 1642; the relevant lines are quoted above at page 5. The remaining three poems addressed directly to Jamesone are given below, with a translation of the latest.
Ad Iamisonum Pictorem, de Anna Cambella

Heroina

Illustres, ars quotquot habet tua, prome colores,

Pingere Cambellam si, Iamisone, paras

Frons ebori, pectusque nivi, sint colla ligustris

Aemula, Paestanis tinge labella rosis.

Ille genus color eniteat, quo mixta corallis

Marmorat, vel quali candida poma rubent.

Caesaries auro rutilet: debetur ocellis

Qualis inest gemmis, sideribusque nitor.

Forma supercilii sit, qualem Cypridis arcus,

Vel Triviae, leviter cum sinuatur, habet.

Sed pictor suspende manum; subtilius omni

Stamine, quod tentas hic simulare, vides.

Cedit Apollineo vulsus de vertice crinis,

Cedit Apellea linea ducta manu.

Pinge supercilium sine fastu, pingie pudicos

Huic oculos, totam de sine labi Deam:

Vt careat naevo, formae nil deme vel adde,

Fac similem tantum, qua potes arte, sui.

---

(b) 21 June 1642

Nobilissimo, Amplissimo Doctissimoque viro
Domino Joanni Scoto Scototaruatio equiti
aurato Serenissimi regis Britanniarum cancellariae Directori &c. Vilhelmus Forbesius S.P.D.

Lusus hos poeticos (Nobilissime Héros) ad Jamisonum pictorem et remittentis se subinde animi Honesta exercititia tibi offero, Tuas certe eximias virtutes vti debeat tam communi et Jeiuno stylo delibare supra nos est, fateor, facient id alii quos melior Deus, et excitatus altioris ingenii vigor tantae materiae pares facit. Nullus tamen mortalium est qui ex aere tuo plus Laborat quam ego; qui omnem eruditionem omnen [sic] scientiam, quicquid denique in me est, id Honori, et Dignitati tuae consecro et deuoueo. Tu interim Vir ampliss. Vnicum Humanitatis Scoticae exemplum, in cuius anima ipsa, haec studia nostra crescere et florere videntur; et a cuius Idea, plusquam humana, vim omnen [sic] scribendi mutuamur; Me contra morsus Improborum, Susurros Sannionum, Sycophantarum Sibilos; et perditorum furorem hominum, Tui favoris aura, Sartum, et tectum, vt aiunt, ab omni in commodo, molestia, detrimento, sincerum Integrumque Conserua. Deus tuam Celstitudinem et aetatem quam diutissime augeat et conseruet incolouem. Emnerurtae E musaeo nostro 21 Junij 1642.
Ad Iamisonum pictorem De Nobiliss. et clarissimo D Joanne Scoto Scototaruatio &c.

Epigramma

Siste manum pictor vanissime, tolle Colores.
    Pingere Taruatii qui Jovis ora paras.
Pinge tuo Bromium minio; pigmentaque miscens
    Finge Cytheriacas qua potes arte genas.
Saepe sub hoc fuco vitium Latet; Inclyta Virtus
    Emicat, externis incomitata bonis.
Ipsa tamen testes gaudet sibi iungere Musas
    Vtque diu viuat ore Canentis eget.
Pictor abi, tibi nam Laudis spes omnis adempta est,
    Maeonia pingi debuit ille manu.

Aliud

Creditur huic tabulae patriae Lux prima: Senatus
    Grande decus: phoebi pieridumque parens.
Disce viri ingenium vultu, conspirat in illo
    Ardua maiestas, Gratia, Celsus Honos.
Non pictoris opus fuit hoc, depingere Scotum
    Ars nequit: haec Charitum picta tabella manu est.
Aliud

Non manus artificis tantum confudit in vno
Ore decus; pictor pone supercilium,
Scilicet in tabulam divinæ mentis Imago
Dum fluit; est Sancti pectoris illud opus.

Aliud

Ora vides Scoto, nec non Communia phoebo,
Scilicet os idem seruit utrique Deo.
Pulsus ab Ascreao nam pridem Cynthia antro,
Pro templo Scoti pectora Sancta colit.

Aliud

Ora vides Scoti, Sed quae sibi vendicat Hermes,
Dum Libet, Hoc Homines flectit, et ore Deos

Vilhelmus Forbesius

('Letters from Learned Men to Scotstarvatt'), ff. 8 - 8 v.

(c) 1644

Sub Obitum Viri Spectatissimi, Georgii Jamesoni,
Abredonensis, Pictoris Eminentissimi,
Lachrymae.

Gentis Apollo suae fuit ut Buchananus, Apelles
Solus eras Patriae sic, Jamesone, tuae.
Rara avis in nostris oris: Tibi mille colores,
Ora tibi soli pingere viva datum.
At Te nulla manus poterit sat pingere; nempe
Lampada cui tradas nulla reperta manus.
Quin si forte tuas vatum quis carmine laudes
Tentet, id ingenii vim superabit opus.
Quicquid erit, salve pictorum gloria, salve:
Aeternumque vale Phosphore Scotigenum:
Phosphore, namque tua ars tenebris prius obsita caecis,
Fors nitidum cernet Te praeante diem.

Tumulus Ejusdem.

Conditur hic tumulo Jamesonus Pictor, & una
Cum Domino jacet hic Ars quoque tecta suo.
Hujus ni renovent cineres Phoenicis Apelem;
Inque urna hac coeant Ortus & Interitus.
Ejusdem Encomium meritissimum.

Si pietas prudens, pia si prudentia, vitae
Si probitas, omni si sine labe fides;
Partaque si graphio Magnatum gratia, dotes
Nobilis ingenii siquid honoris habent;
Si nitor in pretio est morum cultusque decori,
Et tenuem prompta saepe levasse manu;
Aemula si Belgis Italisve peritia dextrae
Artifici laudem conciliare queat:
Omne tulit punctum Jamesonus, Zeuxe vel ipso
Teste; vel hoc majus Graecia si quid habet.

Amoris indissolubis ergo
David Wedderburnus.

Ad Exemplar Abredoniae Impressum per Edwardum
Rabanum, 1644.

On the death of that most illustrious gentleman, George
Jamesone of Aberdeen, the eminent painter,

A Lament

As Buchanan was the Apollo of his race, so, Jamesone,
you alone were the Apelles of your native-land.
Rare omen within our shores: to you an infinitude
of colours, granted to you alone to paint the features
as in life. But no hand will adequately depict
you; indeed no hand has been found to which you can
pass on the torch. And if any poet should attempt your
praise in verse, your achievement will overpower his genius.
Yet however that may be, hail thou pride of painters, hail:
and farewell for ever, Morning-star of Scotia's sons:
Morning-star, for your art which hitherto lay in darkness
shall happily see the bright day with you to show the way.

His Tomb

Here in this tomb lies Jamesone the painter, and with
her master, here lies art. Let not these ashes, as the
Phoenix, give in birth another Apelles, but in this urn
let birth and death be as one.

A most deserved Eulogy

If there is wise devotion and devout wisdom, if there is
righteousness and honour without stain; if there is gratitude
assured for the pencil of a great man, if the talent of a
noble mind command any honour; if there is esteem for nobility
of character and propriety of conduct, and for succour given
with a ready hand; if the skill of a hand that emulated the
Flemings and Italians can win praise for an artist: then,
in all these Jamesone is supreme, as Zeuxis himself shall
testify, or a greater, if there is such in Greece.

Aberdeen University Library
B. **Documents relative to Isobel Tosche**

These documents supplement references to Jamesone's wife as given in section A.

80. **22 September 1608**

22 Septembris 1608

Alexander Tosh ane daughter nomine [blank]

Alexander Jaffray and Robert Alshinor and Robert Burnett witnesses

Though the vital name has not been filled in, the evidence of no. 19 above, as well as the subsequent life of Isobel, suggest that this might refer to her birth.

GRO(S), Parochial Registers, Aberdeen 168A, Vol. 2.

81. **12 June 1649**

Robert Crukschank balzie and Issobell Toche mariet

the 12 day of Junij [1649]

GRO(S), Parochial Registers, Aberdeen 168A, Vol. 12.
16 April 1667

Contract 'Att Aberdein the Sixteen day of Apryll
[16 hundred] and Thriescore sewin yeires . . .
betuixt George Cruikshank off Berrihill merchant burges of
Aberdeen . . . and Issobell Tosch relict of the deceist
Robert Cruikshank laite baillie burges of the said bruch
. . . as principall & Marjorie Jamesone relict of the deceist
Mr John Alexander advocat her daughter as cautioner . . .'.

George Cruikshank binds himself to prosecute, on his
own charges, the heirs of Robert Cruikshank in order to obtain
£500 as part of a sum of £750 owed by 'Alexander Burnet elder
laite baillie' to the late Robert Cruikshank. Isobel Tosche
waives her rights in this sum, but agrees in the event of
George Cruikshank's failure, to pay him the £500, with expenses
and profits, if necessary. Witnessed by Mr Robert Burnet
tutor of Leyes, Mr Alexander Davidsone, advocate, and John
Innes his servitor.
Registered 10 December 1667.

SRO, Register of Deeds, Dalhousie Office, Vol. 21, p. 301,
(Warrant 1403).
83. 12 October 1680

Issobel Toish Relick of the decessit Robert Cruikshank lait baillie of Aberdeen was Intered the 12 day of October 1680.

GRO(S), Parochial Registers, Aberdeen 168A, Vol. 18.
C. Documents relative to Mary Jamesone

These documents supplement references to Jamesone's daughter Mary as given in section A, nos. 68 - 71 and 75 - 77.

84. (a) 12 April 1664

Johne Burnet present Baillie of Aberdin and Marie Jamesone war mareit the 12 of Apryll 1664

(b) 9 December 1666

Gravestone in St Nicholas Churchyard, Aberdeen: 'Heir Lyes John Bvrnet of Elrick Baillie of Abd Depairted This Lyf The 9 December 1666 Being The 38 Yeir of His Age'.

(c) 28 October 1677

George Aedie Marie Jameson war mareit the 28 of October 1677 zeirs

(d) 22 July 1679

George Aedie present thesaurer of this Burgh ane chyld [buried] the 22 day of Jullie

(e) 23 November 1680

George Aedie Lait thesaurer ane chyld [buried] the 23 of November
(f) 24 February 1681

George Aedie Lait thesaurer of this Burgh ane chyld [buried] the 24 Februarie 1681

(g) 7 July 1684

Mairie Jameson spows to George Aedie Laiett decon of gild was Intered the sewent day of Julli 1684

(h) 1 February 1687

George Aedie present baillie ane chyld [buried] the first of Februarie

(a) and (c) - GRO(S), Parochial Registers, Aberdeen 168A, Vol. 12.

(d), (e), (f), (g) and (h) - Ibid., Vol. 18

(b) is reproduced in William Kelly: 'Four Needlework Panels Attributed to Mary Jamesone in the West Church of St Nicholas, Aberdeen', Miscellany of the Third Spalding Club, Vol. II, Aberdeen (1940), fig. 20.

Kelly (loc. cit.) quoting from Burnet of Elrick Family Papers states that Mary Jamesone had two sons, George and Robert, by her first husband, George dying in March 1684. He also lists four children born to her and George Aedie - Alexander, b. 24 July 1679, David, b. 18 June 1679, Margaret, b. 16 June 1681, and Mary, b. 1 November 1683. There is therefore
either an error in the birth date of Margaret or a fifth child was born in 1680. Kelly also says that on Mary's death Aedie paid '20 merks for ringing the kirk bells at his wife's burial'.
D. Documents relative to Marjory Jamesone

85. 9 January 1645

Mr Jhone Alexander & Meriorie Jamesoun ane dochter
named Isobell baptised be Mr Jhone Row Johne Hay
baillie Mr Thomas Gray Mr Alexander Davidson George Cullen
William Gray & James Toschea godfathers

GRO(S), Parochial Registers, Aberdeen 168A, Vol. 3.

86. (a) 24 June 1661

Marjory Jamesone (with Andrew Alexander of Midlemure
and Robert Alexander writer to his Majesty's signet
as cautioners) borrows £344/3/4 from Robert Porteus Snowdon
herald: to be repayed by Candlemas 1662. Written by John
Andersone Writer in Edinburgh, signed at Edinburgh (above
date), and witnessed by: John Andersone, James Laurie Writer
in Edinburgh and John Spence of Bruntscone Rothesay herald.
Booked 13 February 1662.

(b) 10 September 1662

Marjory Jamesone for certain sums payed to her by
Alexander Andersone merchant Burgess of Edinburgh
assigns to the latter her right to 300 merks from a total of
1000 merks owed to her by Rorie Mccloud of Dunevaigane. If
Anderson fail to recover the 300 merks Marjory will make payment of the sum at Martinmas 1663: this with consent of Mr William Thomson Writer to the signet in respect of sums due to him in another assignation. Written by Henry Grahame Writer in Edinburgh, signed at Edinburgh, and witnessed by: Mr Robert Murray and Mr Francis Thomson Writers in Edinburgh.
Booked 26 January 1664.

(c) 17 March 1663
Marjory Jamesone and Andrew Alexander agree to deliver to Samuell Veitch merchant burgess of Edinburgh a series of bonds, agreements and tickets by 30 April 1663: included are two bonds aedebted to Robert Porteus (see (a) above). Written by the said Samuell Veitch, signed at Edinburgh, and witnessed by: John Anderson and William Thomson writers in Edinburgh.
Booked 3 July 1663.

(d) 18 April 1664
Marjory Jamesone borrows 300 merks from Alexander Forbes in Northfferrie and Issobell Forbes his only lawfull daughter: to be repayed by Martinmas 1665. Written by Alexander Alexander younger, merchant, Marjory's brother-in-law, signed at Aberdeen, and witnessed by: Andrew Chapman baker, burgess, George Farquhar cordiner, burgess, and the
said Alexander Alexander. Booked 8 November 1666.

(e) 19 October 1664


(f) 11 November 1664

Marjory Jamesone borrows £581 from Christen Alexander widow of Thomas Cargill of Auchtidonald: to be repayed at Whitsunday 1667. Written by Robert Reid advocate in Aberdeen, signed at Aberdeen, and witnessed by: Alexander Alexander, bailie of Aberdeen, Mr James Reid of Barrach and the said Robert Reid. Booked 16 October 1668.

(g) 16 April 1667

See no. 82 above.

(h) 17 May 1667

Marjory Jamesone (with Andrew Alexander of Artrothie as cautioner) binds herself to make repayment of the 600 merks borrowed from Alexander Abernathie of Athincloch ((e), above) by Whitsunday 1668. Written by John Abercrombie
Writer in Aberdeen, signed at Aberdeen, and witnessed by:
James Swane sheriff clerk, Mr Alexander Robertson advocate, and the said John Abercrombie. Booked 11 March 1674.

(i) 18 August 1669
Mutual obligation between Marjory Jameson (with Mr David Thoris as cautioner) and Andrew Alexander (with James Buchan as cautioner) whereby Marjory assigns to Andrew a proportion of 6000 merks owed by James Buchan to David Thoris equivalent to Andrew's cautionary on her behalf: on the other part Andrew agrees to pay Marjory by Whitsunday whatever he owes her as her proportion of feu-duties and entry money from Artrichie. Written by William Pantoune writer in Edinburgh, signed at Edinburgh, and witnessed by: Gilbert Skene servitor to Thoris and the said William Pantoune. Booked 24 July 1672.

(j) 1 August 1670
Marjory Jamesone borrows 200 merks from Mr William Thomsone Writer to the signet: to be repayed by Whitsunday 1671. Written by Alexander Dunlop Writer in Edinburgh, signed at Edinburgh, and witnessed by: Mr Robert Innes Writer in Edinburgh and the said Mr Alexander Dunlop. Booked 3 April 1674.
(k) 13 March 1671

Mr James Buchane of Oykhorne as cautioner for
Andrew Alexander of Artrochie discharges Mr David Thoires and Marjory Jamesone of a minute of contract of
18 August 1669 between these parties whereby, for certain sums, the lands of Meikill Artrochie, Midlemuir and Tersit Hill were to be sold to Buchan. Written by John Pantoune writer in Edinburgh, signed at Edinburgh, and witnessed by: William Pantoune writer in Edinburgh, Archbald Innes servitor to Thoires, and the said John Pantoune.
Registered 30 January 1678 but not booked.

(1) 30 April 1675


(m) — 1677

Marjory Jamesone, with consent of Andrew Alexander her brother-in-law and Mr John and George Alexander her sons, for a certain sum payed to her by Mr David Thores, advocate, dispones to him and his wife Barbara Skein, the lands
of Meikle Artrothie, Midlmuir and Torrsithill: entry to be
at Whitsunday 1677. Written by William Scot servitor to
Thores, signed at Edinburgh and Aberdeen and witnessed by:
William Thores of Muireske, Scot, and Ronald McDonald student
in Aberdeen.
Booked 19 June 1678.

(n)  16 and 19 June 1683

Marjory Jamesone, with Mr George Alexander
advocate as cautioner, borrows as from Whitsunday
past, the sum of 500 merks from Mr William More clerk to the
session and his wife Isabella Alexander: to be repayed at
Martinmas next. Written by George Mylne servitor to More,
signed at Aberdeen and Edinburgh, and witnessed by: William
Black son to Gilbert Black bailie of Aberdeen, Mylne, Patrick
Hume and George Mackie writers in Edinburgh.
Booked 7 October 1689.

(o)  30 June 1683

Marjory Jamesone borrows as from Whitsunday past,
the sum of 100 merks from Mr William More clerk
to the session: to be repayed at Martinmas next. Written
by George Mylne servitor to More, signed at Aberdeen and
witnessed by: James Wilson son to Edward Wilson deacon to
the weavers in Aberdeen, and Mylne. Booked 7 October 1689.
In each of the above Marjory Jamesone is designated as widow of John Alexander, advocate. As there was no obligation to register deeds of this type the above do not necessarily give a full account of this class of transactions. The late registration of (n) and (o) imply that Marjory had recently died and that an attempt was about to be made to get repayment from her heirs. Apart from the standardised form of Marjory's name the spelling of names follows that in the registered deeds. References below are to the deed as registered - the number of the actual warrant is given in brackets.

E. Documents relative to John Anderson

These documents are additional to those in which Anderson's name appears in the same context as Jamesone's. It should not be discounted that some of the later references may be to a son of Jamesone's master, also a painter. This possibility is however rather lessened by the appearance of Mr Adam Anderson, son of John Anderson painter, as witness to an instrument of sasine in favour of Jamesone in 1641 (no. 64). As the name 'John Anderson' is so common, only those instances where he is clearly designated 'painter' or where other clear proof is available are quoted.

87. 6 October 1601

Eodem die Joannes Andersoun filius legitimus quondam Gilberti Anderson burgeñ de Aberdein Receptus et admissus fuit in liberum burgensem et fratrem gilde dicti burgi de communi omnium comburgensium eiusdem consensu, et hoc gratis gratia consilii Soluñ preposito quinque solidis in alba bursa et prestito per eundem duramento solito.

In this minute of admission Anderson is not identified by trade but in the list of burgesses for the year Michaelmas 1601 to Michaelmas 1602 on page 799 in the same volume is
the entry: '6 Octobris Joannes Anderson pictor'.


---

88. 8 May 1611

The same day . . . Johne Andersone paynter Compeir-
and sufficiently armit with ane furnisht hagbuit
is maid Burges of this burgh and hes given his aith in maner
abovewrittin and hes payit for his dewtie to the dene of gild
lxvi lib. xiiiis. ivd.

and William Melros wright become Souertie that he sall cum
and mak his residence within this burgh betuixt and Lambes
(Lammas) nixt under the paine of ane hundrethe pundis.

City of Edinburgh Records, Register of Burgesses.

---

89. 8 May 1611

Ordanis Thomas Speir thesaurer to gif to Jhonn
Andersoun paynter for paynting and gilting of
the twa brods of the knok at the Netherbow the sowme of
threscoir nyntein pundis xijij s. iiiiid.

Quoted in Extracts from the Records of the Burgh of Edinburgh
1604–1626 (edited Marguerite Wood), Edinburgh (1931).
90. (a) 25 March 1617

Forsamekle as, George, Marques of Huntley, at his laitt being in the burgh of Edinburgh, having promeist to the Lordis of Secret Counsall to have send hither Johne Anderson, paynter, who attendis his workis at Strath-bogy, to the effect the said Johne mycht haif bene impoyit in his Majesties workis at Falkland, the necessitie of the accomplisheing and perfyteing quhairof is so urgent in respect of the neir approtcheing tyme of his Majesties heircomeing as the same can admitt no delay nor protract [ioun] of tyme, nevertheless the said Johne Anderson is not as yitt come heir, sua that his Majesties workis whilkis wer to half beene committed to his chairge ar lyke to be frustrat and disapointit, heichlie to his Majesties offence and miscontentment, without remeid be providit: Thairfore the Lordis of Secret Counsall ordanis letteris to be direct chairgeing the said George, Marques of Huntlie, to dimitt the said Johne Anderson fra his worke and service, and to set him fordwart on his journey hither within xxiiij houris nixtefter the said chairge, and alsua chairgeing the said Johne Anderson to addresse him self with his workeloomes and otheris necessaris [to his Majesties maister of workis at Falkland], to the effect he may be . . . impoyed be him in his Majesteis service, within sex dayis nixtefter the chairge, under the pane of rebellioun . . .
(b) 3 June 1617

Forsamekle as, James Murray, maister of his Majest-eis workis, haveing aggret with Johne Andersoun, painter, to have painted some chalmeris in the Castell of Edinburgh, and, he haveing proemist to haif enterit to the said worke upoun the penult of May last at the forrest, he hes not onlie failyeet in that point, bot by ane idill and frivo-lous excuise returnit be him to the said maister of workis he seames to pretend some impedimentis quhairfoir he may not fulfill the conditioun undertane be him, quhairthrou his Majesteis workis in the said castell, quhilkis requiris so quick and present dispatche and expeditioun, ar lyke to be disappointit and hinderit, heichlie to his Majesteis offence, without remeid be providit: thairfoir the Lordis of Secret Counsell ordanis letteris to be direct chargeing the said Johne Andersoun to addresse himselff with his workloomes and materialis necessair for the said worke to the Castell of Edinburgh within sax dayis nixtefter he be chargeit thairto, and to enter to the said worke and perfyte and accomplishe the same with suche convenient diligence as possiblie he may, under the pane of rebellioun . . .

(c) 16 June 1617

Edinburgh Castle Provisiou uns

To Johne Andersone painter for painting the rowme
quhair his Majestie wes borne and for furneisching gold
cullouris and warkmanschip 
To him for painting the rowme within the new hall and for
furneisching of all sortis cullouris and warkmanschip with
marble dures and chimnayes

\[133\text{ lib. vi s. viiid.}

(a) and (b) - The Register of the Privy Council of Scotland
Vol. XI, (edited David Masson), Edinburgh (1894), page 75 and
page 143.

(c) SRO, Accounts of the Master of Works, Vol. XV, f. 58.

91. 8 July 1633
Holyrood Provisiouns
Item to Johne Andersone painter for painting of
the dayell and counsell hous conforme to his contract
\[113\text{ lib.}

SRO, Accounts of the Master of Works, Vol. XXV, f. 45 v.

92. - September 1634
... debursments on the north yle of St Nicholas
kirk ... Item to Jhon Anderson painter for coloring
the mullor of the syd wall

City of Aberdeen Records (Town Clerk), Kirk & Bridge Work
Accounts 1571 - 1670, under date.

93. (a) 7 December 1634

Letter from Sir John Grant of Freuchie to his mother Lady Grant - Personal affairs, his wife's health: intends going to Edinburgh on 13 January (1635) but will visit his mother on 16 December.

'I hawe directitt ane letter to Aberdein to Jhone Andersone the painter for four porterats that he hes off myn and Mackinrossei is meitest and canniest to carrei them theifor (therefore) I intreitt your Ladyship to send him ther and Mr Jhone will giwe him Munei to be his chargis

Innerne the 7 December 1634  J Grantt

(b) 10 December 1634

To my werie good freind Johne Andersone paynter in Aberdein These

Good freind Jon Andersone I hawe sent this bearer to bring home my four porturates quhilk I sent to yow to be mowlerit And I pray yow sie that they be weill packeit wp & bucklit that they get no raine be the way and adwertise me how they shalbe guyded efter ther home cuming The last not which yow sent me concerneing the pryces of thame & my candle stickes it is past my hands so that I know not what it wes Thairfore
yow shall advertise me in wreit and I shall (godwilling) send yow payment betwixt this and Cristmas. I mynd (godwilling) to bring yow to Ballachastell efter Whitsonday for expeding of my galrie seing I mynd to enter wrightes for sylling of the same as yow show me efter Marche. for it can be no shooner done seing syling quhilk is done in winter cannot be close And I pray yow hawe fyne colours for paynting of the same and gold also for painting of the four storme windowes in readines againe my advertisment So to new occasion I rest Inernes 10 December Your werie good freind

1634 but change J Grant

[in margin] I will giwe no wther Employment in this kynd so long as yow liwe, seing I know yow are willing & readie to doe the same And Ishalbe (godwilling) thankfull to yow for your paines

[beside address] I pray yow doe me the fawor as to advertise me of all occurentis yow heare seing yow are neirer court then we are heir

This letter, through the chance of its survival, is unique in the picture it presents of relations between a decorative painter of the first half of the 17th century and his patron, both in its personal aspects and in practical details. The relationship indeed seems closer than that witnessed between Jamesone and Sir Colin Campbell at the same period. See also
94. **20 December 1634**

John Andersoun painter his wyf buried 10 lib

City of Aberdeen Records (Town Clerk), Kirk & Bridge Works Accounts 1571 - 1670, under date.

95. **14 May 1638**

To the Richt honorable Sir James Grant of Freuchie knicht Baronet Theise  
Richt honorable

As your worship desyired me I have sent this berar for that litle moneyis quhilk restis me for sum furneissing to your honorable father, According to the particular count therof Quhilk I deluyered to your honor at Aberdene togidder with your fathers letters thairvpon extending to threttie nyne li 10s 8d, Quhilk your honor wilbe pleased to caus be deluyered to this berar James Ramsay Lyk as quhatsoever lyis in the vther possibilitie of my power salbe euer reade to your service, So wissing your honor all prosperous success I rest Aberdene 14 May 1438  
Your honoris Seruiture John Andersone

SRO, Seafield Muniments, GD 248/46/2.
96. (a) 2 June 1647

Sasina conjuncte infeodationis doctoris Jacobi Leslie et Elizabethe Lumsdane sue conjugis apparent de tenementiis Gilberti Leslie et Joannis Anderson pictoris

(b) 21 June 1649

Sasina Doctoris Jacobi Leslie et Elspete Lumsdan de terra Joannis Anderson pictoris

These captions which refer to entries in the Aberdeen Records, Register of Sasines, Vols. XL and XLI, are the last certain references to John Anderson. As quoted, they are taken from Minute records taken by Mr John Chalmers and Mr James Sandilands respectively.

SRO, Minute Book Burgh Register of Sasines Aberdeen, 1573 - 1694.